TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER CENTER FOR FERTILITY AND REPRODUCTIVE SURGERY LUBBOCK, TEXAS

Comparison of success ra	ates ac	ross clinics may	not be	meaningful	. Patien	t medical ch	naracte	ristics and trea	atment appr	oaches vai	y (see page	s 11–20).
2015 ART CYCLE	PRO	FILE	Dat	a verified	by Ja	ou-Chen H						
Type of ART and	Proc	edural Facto	rs ^a				F	Patient Diag	gnosis ^b			
IVF100%With ICSI97%Tubal factorUnstimulated0%PGD/PGS0%Ovulatory dysfuUsed gestational carrier0%EndometriosisEndometriosis							13% 26% 16%	Uterine factor Male factor Other factor Unknown fac	- 5% 49% 0%	Female	Factors: e factors only e & male fact	·
2015 ART SUCCE	SS R	ATES ^c	Tota	al number	r of cy	cles ^d : 129	(inclu	des 1 cycle	s] using f	rozen eg	gs)	
Туре о	f Cyc	le					~ ~		Age of			
	-						<35	35–37	38–40	41-42	43–44	>44
Fresh Embryos from Number of cycles		naonor Eggs					36	18	6	0	0	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ations h	oefore retrieval (%	6)				0.0	2 / 18	0/6	0	0	0/1
Percentage of cancellations before retrieval (%) Average number of embryos transferred							1.9	1.9	1.8			071
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation (%)							45.3		2/11			
Percentage of empryos transferred resulting in implantation (%) Percentage of elective single embryo transfers (eSET) (%)							11.8		0/4			
Outcomes per Cycle								17 14	074			
	esulting	a in term, normal	weight	& singleton	ı live bir	ths ^e (%)	30.6	3 / 18	2/6			0/1
Percentage of cycles resulting in term, normal weight & singleton live births ^e (%) Percentage of cycles resulting in singleton live births (%)							30.6		2/6			0/1
Percentage of cycles resulting in twin live births (%)							22.2		0/6			0/1
							52.8		2/6			0/1
	Percentage of cycles resulting in live births (%) Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies (%)								2/6			0/1
Outcomes per Transfe		gin prognanoioo	(70)				55.6	0,10	270			071
Number of transfers							34	15	6	0	0	0
Percentage of transfer	s result	tina in term. norn	nal weig	iht & sinalet	ton live	births ^e (%)	32.4		2/6		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
Percentage of transfer							32.4		2/6			
Percentage of transfers resulting in twin live births (%)									0/6			
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births (%)							23.5 55.9		2/6			
Percentage of transfers resulting in pregnancies (%)								8 / 15	2/6			
Frozen Embryos fro	om Na	ondonor Egg	e									
Number of cycles			3				24	10	5	3	0	0
Number of transfers							20	10	4	3	0	0
Estimated average number of transfers per retrieval							1.3	0.9	0.6	0	U	U
Average number of embryos transferred							1.8	1.6	1.8	1.3		
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation (%)							36.1	2 / 16	1/7	1/4		
Percentage of transfers resulting in term, normal weight & singleton live births ^e (%)							35.0		0/4	1/3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in term, normal weight & singleton live births (%) Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births (%)							40.0		1/4	1/3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births (%) Percentage of transfers resulting in twin live births (%)							10.0		0/4	0/3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in twin live births (%) Percentage of transfers resulting in live births (%)							50.0		1/4	1/3		
Percentage of transfers resulting in pregnancies (%)							50.0		1/4	1/3		
Number of Egg/Em							8	7	7	0	0	0
	ioi yo		103				-			-	-	
Donor Eggs							Fresh Embryos [†]			Frozen Embryos ^f		
Number of cycles							0				3	
Number of transfers								0			3	
Average number of em	-										1.7	
Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation (%)											2/5	
Percentage of transfer					ton live	births (%)					1/3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births (%)											2/3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in twin live births (%)											0/3	
Percentage of transfers resulting in live births (%) Percentage of transfers resulting in pregnancies (%)											2/3	
Percentage of transfer	s result	ing in pregnanci		ront Nama	Texas	Tech Unive	reity LI	ealth Science	Centor		2/3	
CURRENT SERVIC	CES 8	& PROFILE	Cur	ient warne				Reproductive S				
Donor eggs? Yes					Yes	Single wome	en?	Yes	Verified lab	accreditation?		Yes
Donor embryos?	Yes	Embryo cryopr	eservati	ion?	Yes	SART memb	per?	Yes	(See Appe	ndix C for o	details.)	

^a Reflects features of fresh nondonor cycles. If IVF is less than 100%, the remaining cycles are GIFT, ZIFT, or a combination of these procedures with IVF.

^b Total patient diagnosis percentages may be greater than 100% because more than one diagnosis can be reported for each ART cycle.

^c Fractions are used for rates with denominators less than 20. Multiple-infant births (for example, twins) with at least one live infant are counted as one live birth. ^d Total cycle number includes those using frozen eggs. It excludes 0 cycle(s) evaluating new procedures. Both cycle types are excluded from ART success rates.

^e In this report, births are defined as term if at least 37 full weeks gestation and normal birth weight if at least 2,500 grams (approximately 5 pounds, 8 ounces).

^f All ages are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.