SCOTT & WHITE TEMPLE, TEXAS

| 2013 ART CYCLE PROFILE Data verified by Thomas | J. Wincel | k, MD, PhD | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Type of ART and Procedural Factors ^a | Patient Diagnosis ^b | | | | | |
| IVF 100% With ICSI 67% Tubal factor | 30% | Uterine facto | r 0% | Multiple | Factors: | |
| Unstimulated 0% Used PGD 1% Ovulatory dysfunction | 6% | Male factor | 29% | | e factors onl | y 4% |
| Used gestational carrier 0% Diminished ovarian reso | | Other factor | 6% | | e & male fac | tors 5% |
| Endometriosis | 8% | Unknown fac | tor 30% | | | |
| 2013 ART SUCCESS RATES ^c Total number of cycles ^d | : 98 (includ | les 0 cycle[s |] using fro | zen egg | s) | |
| | | Age of Woman | | | | |
| Type of Cycle | <35 | 5 35-37 | 38-40 | 41-42 | 43-44 | >44 |
| Fresh Embryos from Nondonor Eggs | | | | | | |
| Number of cycles | 47 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of cancellations (%) | 21.3 | 5 / 13 | 4 / 10 | 1/2 | | |
| Average number of embryos transferred | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.2 | | | |
| Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation (%) | 50.7 | 2 / 16 | 5/13 | | | |
| Percentage of elective single embryo transfers (eSET) (%) | 0.0 | 0/8 | 0/6 | | | |
| Outcomes per Cycle | | | | | | |
| Percentage of cycles resulting in term, normal weight & singleton live births ^e (9 | 6) 17.C | 0 / 13 | 2/10 | 0/2 | | |
| Percentage of cycles resulting in singleton live births (%) | 19.1 | 0 / 13 | 3/10 | 0/2 | | |
| Percentage of cycles resulting in twin live births (%) | 14.9 | 0 / 13 | 0/10 | 0/2 | | |
| Percentage of cycles resulting in live births (%) | 34.0 | 0 / 13 | 3/10 | 0/2 | | |
| Percentage of cycles resulting in pregnancies (%) | 48.9 | 2/13 | 4 / 10 | 0/2 | | |
| Outcomes per Transfer | | | | | | |
| Number of transfers | 36 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in term, normal weight & singleton live births | | | 2/6 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births (%) | 25.0 | | 3/6 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in twin live births (%) | 19.4 | | 0/6 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in live births (%) | 44.4 | | 3/6 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in pregnancies (%) | 63.9 | | 4/6 | | | |
| Frozen Embryos from Nondonor Eggs | | | | | | |
| Number of cycles | 16 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | |
| Number of transfers | 16 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Estimated average number of transfers per retrieval | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1 7 | 0.0 | | |
| Average number of embryos transferred | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | | | |
| Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation (%) | 30.8 | | 0/5 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in term, normal weight & singleton live births | | | 0/3 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births (%) | 4 / 1 | | 0/3 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in twin live births (%) | 1/1 | | 0/3 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in live births (%) | 5/1 | | 0/3 | | | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in pregnancies (%) | 7 / 1 | 6 0/1 | 0/3 | | | |
| Number of Egg/Embryo Banking Cycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Donor Eggs | F | Fresh Embryos ^T | | Frozen Embryos ^f | | |
| Number of cycles | | 1 | | | 4 | |
| Number of transfers | | 1 | | | 3 | |
| Average number of embryos transferred | | 2.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Percentage of embryos transferred resulting in implantation (%) | | 1/2 | | | 0 / 4 | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in term, normal weight & singleton live births | ° (%) | 0 / 1 | | | 0/3 | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in singleton live births (%) | | 0/1 | | | 0/3 | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in twin live births (%) | | 0 / 1 | | | 0/3 | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in live births (%) | | 0/1 | | | 0/3 | |
| Percentage of transfers resulting in pregnancies (%) | | 1/1 | | | 1/3 | |

CORRENT SERVICES & PROFILE Current Name: Scott & White Donor eggs? No Gestational carriers? Yes Single women? Yes

No Embryo cryopreservation?

Donor embryos?

Yes Verified lab accreditation? No (See Appendix C for details.)

No

^a Reflects features of fresh nondonor cycles. If IVF is <100%, the remaining cycles are GIFT, ZIFT, or a combination of these procedures with IVF.

^b Total patient diagnosis percentages may be greater than 100% because more than one diagnosis can be reported for each ART cycle.

^c Fractions are used for rates with denominators less than 20. Multiple-infant births (e.g., twins) with at least one live infant are counted as one live birth.

^d Total cycle number includes those using frozen eggs. It excludes 0 cycle(s) evaluating new procedures. Both cycle types are excluded from ART success rates.

^e In this report, births are defined as term if at least 37 full weeks gestation and normal birth weight if at least 2,500 grams (approximately 5 pounds, 8 ounces). ^f All ages are reported together because previous data show that patient age does not materially affect success with donor eggs.

Yes SART member?