
# Public Use Data File Documentation

1999 Period Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics

Hyattsville, Maryland March 2002

# PUBLIC USE FILE DOCUMENTATION LINKED BIRTH/INFANT DEATH TAPE FILES 1999 PERIOD DATA

# **SPECIAL NOTICE**

EFFECTIVE WITH THE 1999 DEATH DATA, CAUSE OF DEATH CODING IS BASED ON THE TENTH REVISION, INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF DISEASES, 1992

BIRTHS AND DEATHS FOR PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS AND GUAM ARE INCLUDED IN SEPARATE DATA FILES

#### **Contents**

- 1. Introduction, Methodology, and Classification of Data.
- 2. Machine used, file and data characteristics.
- 3. List of data elements and locations.
- 4. Record layout and definition of items and codes.
- 5. County geographic codes available on the public-use file.
- 6. City geographic codes available on the public-use file.
- 7. Titles and codes for the 130 cause-of-death list.
- 8. Documentation tables 1-6.
- 9. Infant Mortality Statistics from the 1999 Linked File.
- 10. Technical Appendix for the 1999 Natality file.
- 11. Technical Notes from Deaths: Final Data for 1999.

#### Introduction

The linked birth/infant death data set (linked file) is released in two formats - period data and birth cohort data. This documentation is for the 1999 period linked file. Beginning with 1995 data, the period linked files have formed the basis for all official NCHS linked file statistics (except for special cohort studies). Differences between period and birth cohort data are outlined below.

Period data - The numerator for the 1999 period linked file consists of all infant deaths occurring in 1999 linked to their corresponding birth certificates, whether the birth occurred in 1999 or 1998. The denominator file for this data set is the 1999 natality file, that is, all births occurring in 1999.

Birth cohort data - The numerator for the 1999 birth cohort linked file consists of deaths to infants born in 1999 whether the death occurred in 1999 or 2000. The denominator file is the 1999 natality file, that is, all births occurring in 1999.

The 1999 period linked birth/infant death data set includes several data files. The first file includes all US infant deaths which occurred in the 1999 data year linked to their corresponding birth certificates, whether the birth occurred in 1999 or in 1998 - referred to as the numerator file. The second file contains information from the death certificate for all US infant death records which could not be linked to their corresponding birth certificates - referred to as the unlinked death file. The third file is the 1999 NCHS natality file for the US in compressed format, which is used to provide denominators for rate computations. These same three data files are also available for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam.

#### Changes Beginning with the 1995 Data Year

In part to correct for known biases in the data, changes were made to the linked file beginning with the 1995 data year, and these changes are also effective for 1999 data. A weight has been added to the linked numerator file to correct in part for biases in percent of records linked by major characteristics (see section on Percent of records linked below). The number of infant deaths in the linked file are weighted to equal the sum of the linked plus unlinked infant deaths by age at death and state. The formula for computing the weights is as follows:

number of linked infant deaths + number of unlinked infant deaths
-----number of linked infant deaths

A separate weight is computed for each State of residence of birth and each age at death category (<1 day, 1-27 days, 28 days-lyear). Thus, weights are 1.0 for states which link all of their infant deaths. The denominator file is not weighted. Weights have not been computed for the Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam file.

An imputation for not-stated birthweight has been added to the data set, to reduce potential bias in the computation of birthweight-specific infant mortality rates. Basically, if birthweight is not-stated and the period of gestation is known, birthweight is assigned the value from the previous record with the same period of gestation, race, sex, and plurality. Imputed values are flagged. The addition of this imputation has reduced the percent of not-stated responses for birthweight from 3.81% to 1.42% in the numerator file, and from

0.12% to 0.06% in the denominator file, thus reducing (but not eliminating) the potential for underestimation when computing birthweight-specific infant mortality rates. The change from a birth cohort to a period format was discussed in detail on page one.

# Comparisons of infant mortality data from the linked file with infant mortality data from the vital statistics mortality file

Although the time periods are the same, numbers of infant deaths and infant mortality rates by characteristics are not identical between the 1999 period linked file and the 1999 vital statistics mortality file. The differences can be traced to three different causes: 1) geographic differences; 2) additional quality control; and 3) weighting.

Geographic differences - To be included in the linked file for the 50 States and D.C., the birth and death must both occur inside the 50 States and D.C. In contrast, for the vital statistics mortality file, deaths which occur in the 50 States and D.C. to infants born inside and outside of the 50 States and D.C. are included. Similarly, to be included in the linked data file for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, the birth and death must both occur in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands or Guam. In contrast, for the vital statistics mortality file, deaths which occurred in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam to infants born inside and outside of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and Guam are included.

Additional quality control - The second reason for differences between the two files is that the linkage process subjects infant death records to an additional round of quality control review. Every year, a few records are voided from the file at this stage because they are found to be fetal deaths, deaths at ages greater than 1 year, or duplicate death certificates.

Weighting - The third reason to the weighting procedures added to the 1995 and subsequent linked files. Beginning with 1995 data, linked file records are now weighted to compensate for the 2-3 percent of infant death records which could not be linked to their corresponding birth certificates. Although every effort has been made to design weights which will accurately reflect the distribution of deaths by characteristics, weighting may contribute to small differences in numbers and rates by specific variables between the linked file and the vital statistics mortality files.

In most cases, differences between numbers of infant deaths and infant mortality rates between the linked file and those computed from the vital statistics mortality file are negligible.

#### Methodology

The methodology used to create the national file of linked birth and infant death records takes advantage of two existing data sources:

- 1. State linked files for the identification of linked birth and infant death certificates; and
- 2. NCHS natality and mortality computerized statistical files, the source of computer records for the two linked certificates.

Virtually all States routinely link infant death certificates to their corresponding birth certificates for legal and statistical purposes. When the birth and death of an infant occur in different States, copies of the records are exchanged by the State of death and State of birth in order to effect a link. In addition, if a third State is identified as the State of residence at

the time of birth or death, that State is also sent a copy of the appropriate certificate by the State where the birth or death occurred.

The NCHS natality and mortality files, produced annually, include statistical data from birth and death certificates that are provided to NCHS by States under the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP). The data have been coded according to uniform coding specifications, have passed rigid quality control standards, have been edited and reviewed, and are the basis for official U.S. birth and death statistics.

To initiate processing, NCHS obtained matching birth certificate numbers from States for all infant deaths that occurred in their jurisdiction. We used this information to extract final, edited mortality and natality data from the NCHS natality and mortality statistical files. Individual birth and death records were selected from their respective files and linked into a single statistical record, thereby establishing a national linked record file.

After the initial linkage, NCHS returned to the States where the death occurred computer lists of unlinked infant death certificates for follow up linking. If the birth occurred in a State different from the State of death, the State of birth identified on the death certificate was contacted to obtain the linking birth certificate. State additions and corrections were incorporated, and a final, national linked file was produced. Characteristics of the natality and mortality data from which the linked file is constructed are described in detail in the Technical Appendices and Addenda included in this document.

#### Characteristics of Unlinked File

For the 1999 linked file 633, or 2.3% of all infant death records could not be linked to their corresponding birth certificates. Unlinked records are included in a separate data file in this data set. The unlinked record file uses the same record layout as the numerator file of linked birth and infant death records. However, except as noted below, tape locations 1-210, reserved for information from the matching birth certificate, are blank since no matching birth certificate could be found for these records. The sex field (tape location 79) contains the sex of infant as reported on the death certificate, rather than the sex of infant from the birth certificate, which is not available. The race field (tape location 36-37) contains the race of the decedent as reported on the death certificate rather than the race of mother as reported on the birth certificate as is the case with the linked record file. The race of mother on the birth certificate is generally considered to be more accurate than the race information from the death certificate (see section on Comparison of race data from birth and death certificates in the Mortality Technical Appendix included in this documentation). Also, date of birth as reported on the death certificate is used to generate age at death. This information is used in place of date of birth from the birth certificate, which is not available.

Documentation table 6 shows counts of unlinked records by race and age at death for each State of residence. The user is cautioned in using table 6 that the race and residence items are based on information reported on the death certificate; whereas, tables 1-5 present data from the linked file in which the race and residence items are based on information reported on the birth certificate. (see section on Comparison of race data from birth and death certificates in the Mortality Technical Appendix included in this documentation).

#### Percent of Records Linked

The 1999 linked file includes 27,281 linked infant death records and 633 unlinked infant death records. The linked file is weighted to the sum of linked plus unlinked records, thus the total number of weighted infant deaths by place of occurrence is 27,914. While the overall percent linked for infant deaths in the 1999 file is 97.7%, there are differences in percent linked by certain variables. These differences have important implications for how the data is analyzed.

Table 1 shows the percent of infant deaths linked by State of occurrence of death. While most States link a high percentage of infant deaths, linkage rates for some States are well below the national average. Note in particular the percent linked for the District of Columbia (94.8%), New York State (95.7%), New Mexico (91.8%), Ohio (91.0%) and Oklahoma (91.0%). When a high percentage of deaths remain unlinked, unweighted infant mortality rates computed for these States are underestimated. It is for this reason that weights were added to the file to correct for biases in the data due to poor data linkage for particular states.

The percent of infant deaths linked by age at death is shown in Table 2. In general, a slightly higher percentage of postneonatal (98.3%) than neonatal (97.5%) deaths were linked. Variations in percent linked by underlying cause of death have also been noted (data not shown). While the weighting protocol has been designed to correct for possible bias due to variations in match rates by characteristics, no statistical method can correct perfectly for data limitations. Therefore, variations in the percent of records linked should be taken into consideration when comparing infant mortality rates by detailed characteristics.

#### Geographic classification

Geographic codes in this data set are based on the results of the 1990 census. Because of confidentiality concerns, only those counties and cities with a population size of 250,000 or more are separately identified in this data set. Users should refer to the geographic code outline in this document for the list of available areas and codes.

For events to be included in the linked file, both the birth and death must occur inside the 50 States and D.C. in the case of the 50 States and D.C. file; or in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands or Guam in the case of the Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands and Guam file. In tabulations of linked data and denominator data events occurring in each of the respective areas to nonresidents are included in tabulations that are by place of occurrence, and excluded from tabulations by place of residence. These exclusions are based on the usual place of residence of the mother. This item is contained in both the denominator file and the birth section of the numerator (linked) file. Nonresidents are identified by a code 4 in location 11 of these files.

Table 1. Percent of infant deaths linked by state of occurrence of death: United States, 1999 linked file

United States	97.7%	Nebraska	99.4%
Alabama	100.0%	Nevada	97.4%
Alaska	98.0%	New Hampshire	100.0%
Arizona	98.4%	New Jersey	96.2%
Arkansas	98.5%	New Mexico	91.8%
California	97.1%	New York State	95.7%
Colorado	99.1%	New York City	97.3%

Delaware       99.1%       North Dakota       98.3%         District of Columbia       94.3%       Ohio       90.9%         Florida       99.2%       Oklahoma       91.0%         Georgia       99.9%       Oregon       99.6%         Hawaii       96.7%       Pennsylvania       97.7%         Idaho       99.1%       Rhode Island       100.0%         Illinois       97.5%       South Carolina       99.8%         Indiana       98.6%       South Dakota       100.0%         Iowa       100.0%       Tennessee       100.0%         Kansas       98.3%       Texas       97.2%         Kentucky       98.6%       Utah       96.3%
Florida       99.2%       Oklahoma       91.0%         Georgia       99.9%       Oregon       99.6%         Hawaii       96.7%       Pennsylvania       97.7%         Idaho       99.1%       Rhode Island       100.0%         Illinois       97.5%       South Carolina       99.8%         Indiana       98.6%       South Dakota       100.0%         Iowa       100.0%       Tennessee       100.0%         Kansas       98.3%       Texas       97.2%
Georgia       99.9%       Oregon       99.6%         Hawaii       96.7%       Pennsylvania       97.7%         Idaho       99.1%       Rhode Island       100.0%         Illinois       97.5%       South Carolina       99.8%         Indiana       98.6%       South Dakota       100.0%         Iowa       100.0%       Tennessee       100.0%         Kansas       98.3%       Texas       97.2%
Hawaii       96.7%       Pennsylvania       97.7%         Idaho       99.1%       Rhode Island       100.0%         Illinois       97.5%       South Carolina       99.8%         Indiana       98.6%       South Dakota       100.0%         Iowa       100.0%       Tennessee       100.0%         Kansas       98.3%       Texas       97.2%
Idaho       99.1%       Rhode Island       100.0%         Illinois       97.5%       South Carolina       99.8%         Indiana       98.6%       South Dakota       100.0%         Iowa       100.0%       Tennessee       100.0%         Kansas       98.3%       Texas       97.2%
Illinois       97.5%       South Carolina       99.8%         Indiana       98.6%       South Dakota       100.0%         Iowa       100.0%       Tennessee       100.0%         Kansas       98.3%       Texas       97.2%
Indiana       98.6%       South Dakota       100.0%         Iowa       100.0%       Tennessee       100.0%         Kansas       98.3%       Texas       97.2%
Iowa       100.0%       Tennessee       100.0%         Kansas       98.3%       Texas       97.2%
Kansas 98.3% Texas 97.2%
Kentucky 98.6% Utah 96.3%
Louisiana 97.6% Vermont 100.0%
Maine 96.9% Virginia 98.5%
Maryland 99.4% Washington 99.0%
Massachusetts 97.1% West Virginia 98.8%
Michigan 97.3% Wisconsin 100.0%
Minnesota 100.0% Wyoming 100.0%
Mississippi 100.0% Puerto Rico 99.4%
Missouri 98.7% Virgin Islands 100.0%
Montana 98.5% Guam 100.0%

Table 2. Percent of infant deaths linked by age at death: United States, 1999 linked file (Infant deaths are under 1 year; neonatal, under 28 days, and postneonatal, 28 days-under 1 year)

Infant 97.7% Neonatal 97.5% Postneonatal 98.3%

#### Demographic and Medical Classification

The documents listed below describe in detail the procedures employed for demographic classification on both the birth and death records and medical classification on death records. These documents, while not absolutely essential to the proper interpretation of the data for a number of general applications, should nevertheless be studied carefully prior to any detailed analysis of demographic or medical data variables. In particular, there are a number of exceptions to the ICD rules in multiple cause-of-death coding which, if not treated properly, may result in faulty analysis of the data. Volumes 1, 2 and 3 of the ICD-10 may be purchased from the World Health Organization (WHO) Publication Center USA, 49 Sheridan Avenue, Albany, New York, 12210 (\_ http://www.who.int/whosis/icd10/index.html).
Many of the instruction manuals listed below are available electronically on the NCHS website at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/major/dvs/im.htm. In addition, users who do not already have access to these documents may request them from the Chief, Mortality Medical Classification Branch, Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, 4105 Hopson Road, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709. The technical appendices for natality and mortality included in this document also provide information on the source of data, coding procedures, quality of the data, etc.

- A. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, Instructions for Classifying the Underlying Cause-of-Death, 1999. NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 2a. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.
- B. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, Instructions for Classifying Multiple Cause-of-Death, 1999. NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 2b. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.

- C. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, ICD-10 ACME Decision Tables for Classifying Underlying Causes-of-Death, 1999. NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 2c. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.
- D. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, NCHS Procedures for Mortality Medical Data System File Preparation and Maintenance, Effective 1999. NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 2d. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.
- E. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, ICD-10 TRANSAX Disease Reference Tables for Classifying Multiple Causes-of-Death, 1999. NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 2f. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.
- F. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, Classification and Coding Instructions for Live Birth Records, 1999. NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 3a. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.
- G. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, Demographic Classification and Coding Instructions for Death Records, 1999. NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 4. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.
- H. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, Computer Edits for Natality Data, Effective 1993. NCHS Instruction Manual Part 12. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.
- I. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, Computer Edits for Mortality Data, Effective 1999. NCHS Instruction Manual Part 11. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service.

#### Change in Cause-of-Death Classification

For data year 1999, a new classification system for coding causes of death was implemented in the United States: the Tenth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10), developed by the World Health Organization (WHO). Information about the new system can be obtained at the following address: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/major/dvs/icd10des.htm

#### Underlying Cause of Death Data

Mortality statistics by cause of death are compiled from entries on the medical certification portion of the death certificate. The U.S. Standard Certificate of Death is shown in the Mortality Technical Appendix which is included in this documentation. Causes of death include "all those diseases, morbid conditions or injuries which either resulted in or contributed to death and the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced these injuries". medical certification of death is divided into two sections. In Part I, the physician is asked to provide the causal chain of morbid conditions that led to death, beginning with the condition most proximate to death on line (a) and working backwards to the initiating condition. The lines (a) through (d) in Part I are connected by the phrase "due to, or as a consequence of." They were designed to encourage the physician to provide the causally related sequence of medical conditions that resulted in death. Thus, the condition on line (a) should be due to the condition on line (b), and the condition on line (b) should be a consequence of the condition on line (c), etc., until the full sequence is described back to the originating or initiating condition. If only one step in the chain of morbid events is recorded, a single entry on line (a) is adequate. Part I of the medical certification is designed to facilitate the selection of the underlying cause of death when two or more causes are recorded on the certificate. The underlying cause of death is defined by the WHO in the ICD-10 "(a) the disease or injury which initiated the chain of morbid events leading directly to death, or (b) the circumstances of the accident or violence that produced the fatal injury" and is generally considered the most useful cause from a public health standpoint. Part II of the cause-of-death section of

the death certificate solicits other conditions that the certifier believed contributed to death, but were not in the causal chain. While some details of the death certificate vary by State, all States use the same general format for medical certification outlined in the U.S. Standard Certificate. The U.S. Standard Certificate, in turn, closely follows the format recommended by the WHO.

If the death certificate is properly completed, the disease or condition listed on the lowest used line in Part I is usually accepted as the underlying cause of death. This is an application of "The General Principle." The General Principle is applied unless it is highly improbable that the condition on the lowest line used could have given rise to all of the diseases or conditions listed above it. In some cases, the sequence of morbid events entered on the death certificate is not specified correctly. A variety of errors may occur in completing the medical certification of death. Common problems include the following: The causal chain may be listed in reverse order; the distinction between Part I and Part II may have been ignored so that the causal sequence in Part I is simply extended unbroken into Part II; or the reported underlying cause is unlikely, in an etiological sense, to have caused the condition listed above it. In addition, sometimes the certifier attributes the death to uninformative causes such as cardiac arrest or pulmonary arrest.

To resolve the problems of incorrect or implausible cause-of-death statements, the WHO designed standardized rules to select an underlying cause of death from the information available on the death certificate that is most informative from a public health perspective. The rules for the Tenth Revision as updated by WHO since the publication of ICD-10 are described in NCHS instruction manual Part 2A. Coding rules beyond the General Principle are invoked if the cause-of-death section is completed incorrectly or if their application can improve the specificity and characterization of the cause of death in a manner consistent with the ICD. The rules are applied in two steps: selection of a tentative underlying cause of death, and modification of the tentative underlying cause in view of the other conditions reported on the certificate in either Part I or Part II. Modification involves several considerations by the medical coder: determining whether conditions in Part II could have given rise to the underlying cause, giving preference to specific terms over generalized terms, and creating linkages of conditions that are consistent with the terminology of the ICD.

For a given death, the underlying cause is selected from the condition or conditions recorded by the certifier in the cause-of-death section of the death certificate. NCHS is bound by international agreement to make the selection of the underlying cause through the use of the ICD-10 classification structure, and the selection and modification rules contained in this revision of the ICD. These rules are contained in a computer software program called ACME (Automated Classification of Medical Entities). ACME does exactly what a coder would do to select the underlying cause of death. The ACME program has been used for final mortality data since 1968.

The WHO selection rules take into account the certifier's ordering of conditions and their causal relationships to systematically identify the underlying cause of death. The intent of these rules is to improve the usefulness of mortality statistics by giving preference to certain classification categories over others and consolidating two or more conditions on the certificate into a single classification category.

#### Multiple Cause of Death Data

The limitations of the underlying cause concept and the need for more comprehensive data suggested the need for coding and tabulating all conditions listed on the death certificate. Coding all listed conditions on the death certificate was designed with two objectives in mind. First, to facilitate studies of the relationships among conditions reported on the death certificate, which require presenting each condition and its location on the death certificate in the exact manner given by the certifier. Secondly, the coding needed to be carried out in a manner by which the underlying cause-of-death

could be assigned using the WHO coding rules. Thus, the approach in developing multiple cause data was to provide two fields: 1) entity axis and 2) record axis. For entity axis, NCHS suspends the provisions of the ICD that create linkages between conditions for the purpose of coding each individual condition, or entity, with minimum regard to other conditions present on the death certificate.

Record axis is designed for the generation of person-based multiple cause statistics. Person-based analysis requires that each condition be coded within the context of every other condition on the same death certificate and modified or linked to such conditions as provided by ICD-10. By definition, the entity data cannot meet this requirement since the linkage provisions modify the character and placement of the information originally recorded by the certifier. Essentially, the axis of the classification has been converted from a entity basis to a record (or person) basis. The record axis codes are assigned in terms of the set of codes that best describe the overall medical certification portion of the death certificate.

This translation is accomplished by a computer system called TRANSAX (Translation of Axis). TRANSAX selectively uses the traditional linkage and modification rules for mortality coding. Underlying cause linkages which simply prefer one code over another for purposes of underlying cause selection are not included. Each entity code on the record is examined and modified or deleted as necessary to create a set of codes that are free of contradictions and are the most precise within the constraints of ICD-10 and medical information on the record. Repetitive codes are deleted. The process may 1) combine two entity axis categories together to a new category thereby eliminating a contradiction or standardizing the data; or 2) eliminate one category in favor of another to promote specificity of the data or resolve contradictions. The following examples from ICD-10 illustrate the effect of this translation:

- Case 1: When reported on the same record as separate entities, cirrhosis of liver and alcoholism are coded to K74.6 (Other and unspecified cirrhosis of liver) and F10.2 (Mental and behavioral disorders due to use of alcohol; dependence syndrome), respectively. Tabulation of records with K74.6 would imply that such records had no mention of alcohol. A preferable code would be K70.3 (Alcoholic cirrhosis of liver) in lieu of both K74.6 and F10.2.
- Case 2: If "gastric ulcer" and "bleeding gastric ulcer" are reported on a record they are coded to K25.9 (Gastric ulcer, unspecified as acute or chronic, without mention of hemorrhage or perforation) and K25.4 (Gastric ulcer, chronic or unspecified with hemorrhage), respectively. A more concise code is K25.4 which shows both the gastric ulcer and the bleeding.

#### Entity Axis Codes

The original conditions coded for selection of the underlying cause-of-death are reformatted and edited prior to creating the public-use data file. The following paragraphs describe the format and application of entity axis data.

- 1. Format. Each entity-axis code is displayed as an overall seven byte code with subcomponents as follows:
- 1. Line indicator:

The first byte represents the line of the death certificate on which the code appears. Six lines (1-6) are allowable with the fourth and fifth denoting one or two written in "due to"s beyond the three lines provided in Part I of the U.S. standard death certificate. Line "6" represents Part II of the death certificate.

2. Position indicator: The next byte indicates the position of the code on the line, i.e., it is the first (1), second (2), third (3) .... eighth (8) code on the line.

- 3. Cause category: The next four bytes represent the ICD-10 cause code.
- 4. The last byte is blank.

A maximum of 20 of these seven byte codes are captured on a record for multiple cause purposes. This may consist of a maximum of 8 codes on any given line with up to 20 codes distributed across three or more lines depending on where the subject conditions are located on the certificate. Codes may be omitted from one or more lines, e.g., line 1 with one or more codes, line 2 with no codes, line 3 with one or more codes.

In writing out these codes, they are ordered as follows: line 1 first code, line 1 second code, etc. ---- line 2 first code, line 2 second code, etc. ---- line 3 ---- line 4 ----- line 5 ----- line 6. Any space remaining in the field is left blank. The specifics of locations are contained in the record layout given later in this document.

- <u>2. Edit</u>. The original conditions are edited to remove invalid codes, reverify the coding of certain rare causes of death, and assure age/cause and sex/cause compatibility. Detailed information relating to the edit criteria and the sets of cause codes which are valid to underlying cause coding and multiple cause coding are provided in NCHS Instruction Manual Part 11.
- 3. Entity Axis Applications. The entity axis multiple cause data file is appropriate for analyses that require that each condition be coded as a stand alone entity without linkage to other conditions and/or require information on the placement of such conditions in the death certificate. Within this framework, the entity data are appropriate to examine relationships among conditions and the validity of traditional assumptions in underlying cause selection. Additionally, the entity data provide in certain categories a more detailed code assignment that could be excluded in creating record axis data. Where such detail is needed for a study, the user should use entity data. Finally, the researcher may not wish to be bound by the assumptions used in the axis translation process.

The main limitation of entity axis data is that it does not necessarily reflect the best code for a condition when considered within the context of the medical certification as a whole. As a result, certain entity codes can be misleading or even contradict other codes in the record. For example, category K80.2 is titled "Calculus of gallbladder without cholecystitis." Within the framework of entity codes this is interpreted to mean that the codable entity itself contained no mention of cholecystitis rather than that cholecystitis was not mentioned anywhere on the record. Tabulation of records with a "K80.2" as a count of persons having Calculus of gallbladder without cholecystitis would therefore be erroneous. This illustrates the fact that under entity coding the ICD-10 titles cannot be taken literally. The user should study the rules for entity coding as they relate to his/her research prior to use of entity data. The user is further cautioned that the inclusion notes in ICD-10 that relate to modifying and combining categories are seldom applicable to entity coding (except where provided NCHS Instruction Manual Part 2b).

In tabulating the entity axis data, one may count codes with an individual code representing the number of times the condition(s) appears in the file. In this kind of tabulation of morbid conditions, the counts among categories may be added together to produce counts for groups of codes. Alternatively, subject to the limitations given above, one may count persons having mention of the disease represented by a code or codes. In this instance it is not correct to add counts for individual codes to create person counts for groups of codes. Since more than one code in the researcher's interest may appear together on the certificate, totaling must account for higher order interactions among codes. Up to 20 codes may be assigned on a record; therefore, a 20-way interaction is theoretically possible. All totaling must be based on mention of one or more of the categories under investigation.

#### Record Axis Codes

The following paragraphs describe the format and application of record-axis data. Part 2f of the Instruction Manual Series (ICD-10 TRANSAX Disease Reference Tables for classifying Multiple Causes-of-Death, 1999) describes the TRANSAX process for creating record axis data from entity axis data.

- $\underline{1.\ Format}$ . Each record (or person) axis code is displayed in five bytes. Location information is not relevant. The Code consists of the following components:
- 1. Cause category: The first four bytes represent the ICD-10 cause code.
- 2. The last byte is blank.

Again, a maximum of 20 codes are captured on a record for multiple cause purposes. The codes are written in a 100-byte field in ascending code number (5 bytes) order with any unused bytes left blank.

- <u>2. Edit</u>. The record axis codes are edited for rare causes and age/cause and sex/cause compatibility. Likewise, individual code validity is checked. The valid code set for record axis coding is the same as that for entity coding.
- 3. Record Axis Applications. The record axis multiple cause data are the basis for NCHS core multiple cause tabulations. Location of codes is not relevant to this data, and conditions have been linked into the most meaningful categories for the certification. The most immediate consequence for the user is that the codes on the record already represent mention of a disease assignable to that particular ICD-10 category. This is in contrast to the entity code which is assigned each time such a disease is reported on different lines of the certification. Secondly, the linkage implies that within the constraints of ICD-10 the most meaningful code has been assigned. The translation process creates for the user a data file that is edited for contradictions, duplicate codes, and imprecisions. In contrast to entity axis data, record axis data are classified in a manner comparable to underlying cause of death classification thereby facilitating joint analysis of these variables. A potential disadvantage of record axis data is that some detail is sacrificed in a number of the linkages.

The user can take the record axis codes as literally representing the information conveyed in ICD-10 category titles. While knowledge of the rules for combining and linking and coding conditions is useful, it is not a prerequisite to meaningful analysis of the data as long as one is willing to accept the assumptions of the axis translation process. The user is cautioned, however, that due to special rules in mortality coding, not all linkage notes in ICD-10 are used. (NCHS Instruction Manual Part 2f).

The user should proceed with caution in using record axis data to count conditions as opposed to people with conditions, since linkages have been invoked and duplicate codes have been eliminated. As with entity data, personbased tabulations that combine individual cause categories must take into account the possible interaction of up to 20 codes on a single certificate.

#### Additional Information

In using the NCHS multiple cause data files, the user is urged to review the information in this document and its references. The instructional material does change from year to year and ICD revision to ICD revision. The user is cautioned that coding of specific ICD-10 categories should be checked in the appropriate instruction manual. What may appear on the surface to be the correct code by ICD-10 may in fact not be correct as given in the instruction manuals.

If on the surface it is not obvious whether entity axis or record axis data should be employed in a given application, detailed examination of NCHS

Instruction Manual Part 2f and its attachments will probably provide the necessary information to make a decision. It allows the user to determine the extent of the trade-offs between the two sets of data in terms of specific categories and the assumption of axis translation. In certain situations, a combination of entity and record axis data may be the more appropriate alternative.

# Data File Characteristics:

The data were processed using the SAS language on an IBM 9672. Codes may be numeric, alphabets, or blank.

#### I. Denominator File:

A. Record count: 3,963,465
B. Record length: 210

C. Data counts: a. By occurrence: 3,963,465

b. By residence: 3,959,417c. To foreign residents: 4,048

Territories Data Set

A. Record count: 65,493
B. Record length: 210

Puerto Rico

Data counts: a. By occurrence: 59,684

b. By occurrence and residence: 59,563c. To foreign residents: 121

Virgin Islands

Data counts: a. By occurrence: 1,772

b. By occurrence and residence: 1,671c. To foreign residents: 101

Guam

Data counts: a. By occurrence: 4,037

b. By occurrence and residence 4,021c. To foreign residents: 16

# II. Numerator File:

United States Data Set		
A. Record count:	27,281	
B. Record length:	535	
C. Data counts:	a. By occurrence: 27,281	
	b. By residence: 27,261	
	c. To foreign residents: 20	
Territories Data Set		
A. Record count:	678	
B. Record length:	535	
Puerto Rico		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	627
	b. By occurrence and residence:	627
	c. To foreign residents:	0
Virgin Islands		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	16
	b. By occurrence and residence:	16
	c. To foreign residents:	0
Guam		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	35
	b. By occurrence and residence:	35
	c. To foreign residents:	0

III. Unlinked File:		
United States Data Set		
A. Record count:	633	
B. Record length:	535	
C. Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	633
	b. By residence:	627
	c. To foreign residents:	$\epsilon$
Territories Data Set		
A. Record count:	4	
B. Record length:	535	
Puerto Rico		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	4
	b. By occurrence and residence:	2
	c. To foreign residents:	2
Virgin Islands	•	
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	0
	b. By occurrence and residence:	0
	c. To foreign residents:	0
Guam		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	0
	b. By occurrence and residence:	0
	c. To foreign residents:	0

# 1999 Period Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set List of Data Elements and Locations

<u>Data Items</u>	Denominator <u>File</u>	Numerator Birth	File <u>Death</u>	Unlinked <u>File</u>
<ol> <li>General</li> <li>Year of birth</li> <li>Year of death</li> <li>Resident status</li> <li>Record weight</li> <li>Flag for records included in both numerator and denominator</li> </ol>	7-10  11  210	7-10  11 	524-527 505 223-230	524-527 505 
<ul><li>2. Occurrence</li><li>a. FIPS state</li><li>b. FIPS county</li></ul>	14-15 16-18	14-15 16-18	508-509 510-512	508-509 510-512
<ul><li>3. Residence</li><li>a. FIPS state</li><li>b. FIPS county</li><li>c. FIPS place</li><li>d. NCHS state</li></ul>	19-20 21-23 24-28 12-13	19-20 21-23 24-28 12-13	513-514 515-517 518-522 506-507	513-514 515-517 518-522 506-507
<ul> <li>4. Infant</li> <li>a. Age</li> <li>b. Race</li> <li>c. Sex</li> <li>d. Gestation</li> <li>e. Birthweight</li> <li>f. Plurality</li> <li>g. Apgar score</li> <li>h. Day of week of birth/death</li> <li>i. Month of birth/death</li> </ul>	 78-79 70-77 80-87 88-89 90-91 209 205-206	 78-79 70-77 80-87 88-89 90-91 209 205-206	211-214     532 528-529	211-214+ 35-38* 78-79*   532 528-529
<ul><li>5. Mother</li><li>a. Age</li><li>b. Race</li><li>c. Education</li><li>d. Marital status</li><li>e. Place of birth</li><li>f. Hispanic origin</li></ul>	29-32 35-38 39-41 42-43 44-46 33-34	29-32 35-38 39-41 42-43 44-46 33-34	   	   
<ul><li>6. Father</li><li>a. Age</li><li>b. Race</li><li>c. Hispanic origin</li></ul>	60-62 65-66 63-64	60-62 65-66 63-64	  	  

# 1999 Period Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set List of Data Elements and Locations

<u>Data</u>	a Items	Denominator <u>File</u>		Numerator Fil Birth	e <u>Death</u>	Unlinked <u>File</u>
7.	Pregnancy items					
a.	Month prenatal care began	51-53		51-53		
b.	Number of prenatal visits	54-55		54-55		
c.	Adequacy of care recode 56		56			
d.	Total birth order	47-48		47-48		
e.	Live birth order	49-50		49-50		
8.	Medical and Health Data					
a.	Method of delivery	92-99		92-99		
b.	Medical risk factors	100-117		100-117		
c.	Other risk factors					
	Tobacco	118-121		118-121		
	Alcohol	122-125		122-125		
	Weight gain during pregnancy	126-128		126-128		
d.	Obstetric procedures	129-136		129-136		
e.	Complications of labor and/or					
	delivery	137-153		137-153		
f.	Abnormal conditions of the					
	newborn	154-163		154-163		
g.	Congenital anomalies	164-186		164-186		
h.	Underlying cause of death				216-219	216-219
i.	130 Infant cause recode				220-222	220-222
j.	Multiple conditions				261-504	261-504
9.	Other items					
a.	Place of delivery	67		67		
b.	Attendant at birth	68		68		
c.	Hospital and patient status				523	523
e.	Place of accident				215	215
f.	Residence reporting flags	187-203		187-203		
-	1 6					

<sup>+</sup> For the unlinked file, date of birth as reported on the death certificate is used to generate age at death. See section on <u>Changes Beginning with the 1995 Data Year</u> for explanation.

<sup>\*</sup> For the unlinked file, these items are from the death certificate. See section on <u>Changes</u> <u>Beginning with the 1995 Data Year</u> for explanation.

Locations 7-210 of the linked file contain data from the Birth Certificate. Locations 211-535 of linked file contain data from the Death Certificate.

Residence items in the Denominator Record and in the natality section of the Numerator (linked) Record refer to the usual place of residence of the <u>Mother</u>; whereas in the mortality section of the Numerator (Linked) Record, these items refer to the residence of the Decedent.

the residence of	the <u>Decedent</u> .			
Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Item an	Variable Name, ad Code Outline	
1-6	6		Reserved Position	<u>ons</u>
7-10	4		BIRYR Year of Birth	
			1998 1999	Born in 1998 (This code valid for numerator (linked) file file only). Born in 1999
11	1		RESSTATB Resident Status	- Birth
			<b>United States O</b>	ccurrence
			1	RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and
				residence are the same.
			2	INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence
				and residence are the same, but county is different.
			3	INTERSTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and residence are different, but both are in the 50 States and D.C.
			4	FOREIGN RESIDENTS: State of occurrence is one of the 50 States or the District of Columbia, but place of residence of mother is outside of the 50 States and D.C.
			Puerto Rico Oce	OHPPOROO
			1	RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence
			1	and residence are the same.
			2	INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence
				and residence are the same, but county is different.
			4	FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in Puerto Rico to a
				resident of any other place.
			Virgin Islands (	
			1	RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and residence are the same.
			2	INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence
			2	and residence are the same, but county is different.
			4	FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in the Virgin Islands
				to a resident of any other place.

## **Guam Occurrence**

- 1 ... RESIDENTS: Occurred in Guam to a resident of Guam or to a resident of the U.S.
- 4 ... FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in Guam to a resident of any place other than Guam or the U.S.

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<b>LocationLer</b>	<u>ıgth</u>	Item and Code Outline
12-13	2	BRSTATE

# **BRSTATE**

# **Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Birth**

This item is designed to separately identify New York City records from other New York State records.

	01		Occurrence Alabama
02		 Alaska	Madama
02		Titaska	Arizona
	04	•••	Arkansas
	05	•••	California
	06	•••	Colorado
	07	•••	Connecticut
	08	•••	Delaware
	09	•••	District of Columbia
	10	•••	Florida
	11	•••	Georgia
	12	•••	Hawaii
	13	•••	Idaho
	13	•••	Illinois
		•••	
	15	•••	Indiana
	16	•••	Iowa
	17	•••	Kansas
	18	•••	Kentucky
	19	•••	Louisiana
	20	•••	Maine
	21	•••	Maryland
	22	•••	Massachusetts
	23	•••	Michigan
	24	•••	Minnesota
	25	•••	Mississippi
	26	•••	Missouri
	27	•••	Montana
	28	•••	Nebraska
	29	•••	Nevada
	30	•••	New Hampshire
	31		New Jersey
	32		New Mexico
	33	•••	New York
	34	•••	New York city
	35	•••	North Carolina
	36	•••	North Dakota
	37	•••	Ohio
	38		Oklahoma
	39		Oregon
	40	•••	Pennsylvania
	41		Rhode Island
	42		South Carolina
	43		South Dakota
	44		Tennessee
			-

Texas

Utah

45

46

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<u>LocationLength</u>		Item and Code Outline

#### 12-13 2 <u>BRSTATE</u>

#### Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Birth (Cont'd)

This item is designed to separately identify New York City records from other New York State records.

#### **United States Occurrence**

47		Verm	ont
48		Virgi	nia
49		Wash	nington
50		West	Virginia
51		Wisc	onsin
52		Wyo	ming
53-5	8,60		Foreign Residents
53			Puerto Rico
54			Virgin Islands
55			Guam
56			Canada
57			Cuba
58			Mexico
60			Remainder of the World

#### **Puerto Rico Occurrence**

53	Puerto Rico
01-52,54-58,60	Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code
	structure.

#### **Virgin Islands Occurrence**

54	 Virgin Islands	
01-53,55-58,60	 Foreign Residents:	Refer to U.S. for specific code
	structure.	

## **Guam Occurrence**

55	 Guam
01-52	 U.S. resident is also considered a resident of Guam.
53,54,58,60	 Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code
	structure.

#### **FIPSOCCB**

## <u>Federal Information Processing Standards</u> (FIPS) Geographic Codes (Occurrence) - Birth

Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.

# -3-1999

# Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
14-15	2	STOCCFIPB State of Occurrence (FIPS) - Birth

<b>United States</b>		
01		Alabama
02		Alaska
04		Arizona
05		Arkansas
06		California
08		Colorado
09		Connecticut
10		Delaware
11		District of Columbia
12		Florida
13		Georgia
15		Hawaii
16		Idaho
17		Illinois
18		Indiana
19		Iowa
20		Kansas
21		Kentucky
22		Louisiana
23		Maine
24		Maryland
25		Massachusetts
26		Michigan
27		Minnesota
28		Mississippi
29		Missouri
30		Montana
31		Nebraska
32		Nevada
33	•••	New Hampshire
34	•••	New Jersey
35	•••	New Mexico
36	•••	New York
37	•••	North Carolina
38	•••	North Dakota
39	•••	Ohio
40	•••	Oklahoma
41	•••	Oregon
42	•••	Pennsylvania
44	•••	Rhode Island
45	•••	South Carolina
46	•••	South Dakota
47		Tennessee
48		Texas

#### 1999

## Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item	Item	Variable Name,		
<b>LocationLength</b>		Item and Code Outline		
14-15	2	<b>STOCCFIPB</b>		
		State of Occurr	ence (FII	PS) - Birth (Cont'd)
		<u>United States</u>		
		49		Utah
		50		Vermont
		51		Virginia
		53		Washington
		54		West Virginia
		55		Wisconsin
		56		Wyoming
		Puerto Rico		
		72		Puerto Rico
		Virgin Islands		
		78		Virgin Islands
				C
		Guam		
		66		Guam
16-18	3	CNTOCFIPB		
		County of Occu	irrence (1	FIPS) - Birth
		South, or occu	irrenee (i	THE STATE
		001-nnn		Counties and county equivalents (independent and
		001		coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically
				within each State. (Note: To uniquely identify a
				county, both the State and county codes must be
				used.)
		999		County with less than 250,000 population
		777	•••	County with less than 250,000 population
19-23	5	FIPSRESB		
	_		ation Pro	ocessing Standards (FIPS) Geographic Codes
		(Residence) - B		consist something (1115) Geographic Cours
		Mesidence) - Di	11 111	
		Defende the Co	1. ! .	Codo Outline fouth on heads in this document for a

Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<u>LocationLeng</u>	<u>th</u>	Item and Code Outline
19-20	2	<u>STRESFIPB</u> State of Residence (FIPS) - Birth

#### **United States Occurrence** Foreign residents 01 Alabama 02 Alaska ... 04 Arizona ... 05 Arkansas 06 California ••• 08 Colorado 09 Connecticut ... 10 Delaware ... District of Columbia 11 ••• Florida 12 ... 13 Georgia ... 15 Hawaii ••• Idaho 16 ... 17 Illinois 18 Indiana ... 19 Iowa 20 Kansas 21 Kentucky ••• 22 Louisiana 23 Maine ••• 24 Maryland ••• 25 Massachusetts 26 Michigan ... 27 Minnesota ••• 28 Mississippi ••• 29 Missouri 30 Montana 31 Nebraska ... 32 Nevada ... 33 New Hampshire ••• 34 New Jersey ... 35 New Mexico 36 New York ... 37 North Carolina ••• 38 North Dakota 39 Ohio ... 40 Oklahoma 41 Oregon ••• Pennsylvania 42 ••• 44 Rhode Island 45 South Carolina ... 46 South Dakota 47 Tennessee

•••

Item	Item	Variable Name,		
<u>Location</u> Length		Item and Code Outline	•	
19-20	2	STRESFIPB State of Reside	nca (FII	PS) - Birth Cont'd)
		State of Reside	ince (FII	.s) - birtir cont uj
		<b>United States (</b>	Occurre	
		48	•••	Texas
		49	•••	Utah
		50	•••	Vermont
		51	•••	Virginia
		53	•••	Washington
		54	•••	West Virginia
		55	•••	Wisconsin
		56	•••	Wyoming
		Puerto Rico O	ccurrenc	ce
		00-56,66,78	•••	Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code
				structure
		72		Puerto Rico
		Virgin Islands	Occurr	once
		00-56,66,72		Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code
		~~~~,~~,		structure
		78	•••	Virgin Islands
		Guam Occurre	ence	
		00,72,78		Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure
		01-56		U.S. Resident is also considered a resident of Guam. Refer to U.S. for specific code structure
		66		Guam
21-23	3	<b>CNTYRFPB</b>		
21 23	3	County of Resi	dence (I	FIPS) - Birth
		000	•••	Foreign residents
		001-nnn	•••	Counties and county equivalents (independent and
				coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically
				within each State (Note: To uniquely identify a
				county, both the State and county codes must be
		0.00		used.)
		999		County with less than 250,000 population
24-28	5	<u>PLRES</u>		
		Place (City) of	Residen	ce (FIPS)
		A complete lis back in this do		s is shown in the Geographic Code Outline further
		00000		Foreign residents
		00000 00001-nnnnn		Code rongo
		99999		Balance of county; or city less than
		7,777		250,000 population
				250,000 population

## 1999

# Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variab Item and Code	ole Name, Outline	
29	1	MAGI Age of	EFLG Mother Flag	
		is use	ed. The reported	ed whenever age is imputed or the mother's reported age age is used, if valid, when computed age derived from available or when it is outside the 10-54 code range.
		Blank 1 2		Not imputed and reported age is not used Reported age is used Age is imputed
30-31	2	DMAC Age of	GE Mother	
				uted using dates of birth of mother and of delivery; buted. This is the age item used in NCHS publications.
		10-54		Age in single years
32	1	MAGI Age of	ER9 Mother Recod	<u>e 9</u>
		1		Under 15 years
		2	•••	15 - 19 years
		3	•••	20 - 24 years
		4		25 - 29 years
		5 6	•••	30 - 34 years 35 - 39 years
		7	•••	40 - 44 years
		8		45 - 49 years
		9		50 - 54 years
33	1	ORMO Hispan	OTH nic Origin of M	<u>other</u>
		Hispa	anic origin is rep	orted for all areas except Puerto Rico.
		0		Non-Hispanic
		1	•••	Mexican
		2		Puerto Rican
		3		Cuban
		4		Central or South American
		5		Other and unknown Hispanic
		9		Origin unknown or not stated

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
34	1	ORRACEM Hispanic Origin	and R	ace of Mother Recode
		Hispanic origin	is repor	rted for all areas except Puerto Rico.
		1		Mexican
		2		Puerto Rican
		3		Cuban
		4		Central or South American
		5		Other and unknown Hispanic
		6		Non-Hispanic White
		7		Non-Hispanic Black
		8		Non-Hispanic other races
		9		Origin unknown or not stated
35	1	MRACEIMP		
		Race of Mother	Imputa	ntion Flag
		Blank		Race is not imputed
		1		Race is imputed
		2	•••	All other races, formerly code 09, is imputed
36-37	2	MRACE Race of Mother from Death Rec		Record or for Unlinked Records Race of Decedent

Beginning with 1992 data, some areas started reporting additional Asian or Pacific Islander codes for race. Codes 18-68 replace old code 08 for these areas. Code 78 replaces old code 08 for all other areas. For consistency with Census race code 09 (all other races) used prior to 1992 has been imputed.

# United States Occurrence

01	•••	White
02		Black
03	•••	American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
04	•••	Chinese
05	•••	Japanese
06	•••	Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
07	•••	Filipino
18	•••	Asian Indian
28		Korean
38		Samoan
48	•••	Vietnamese
58	•••	Guamanian
68	•••	Other Asian or Pacific Islander in areas reporting
		codes 18-58
78	•••	Combined other Asian or Pacific Islander, includes
		codes 18-68 for areas that do not report them
		separately

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item		Variable Name, Code Outline		
36-37	2	]	MRACE Race of Mother from Death Rec		Record or for Unlinked Records Race of Decedent ad't)
		1	Puerto Rico Occ	urrence	
		=	00		Other races
			01	•••	White
			02		Black
		•	Virgin Islands C	ccurren	ce
		-	01		White
			02		Black
			03		American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
			04		Chinese
			05		Japanese
			06		Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
			07		Filipino
			08	•••	Other Asian or Pacific Islander
		9	Guam Occurren	ice_	
		_	01		White
			02		Black
			03		American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
			04		Chinese
			05		Japanese
			06		Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
			07		Filipino
			08		Other Asian or Pacific Islander
			58	•••	Guamanian
38	1		MRACE3 Race of Mother	Recode	
			1		White
			2		Races other than White or Black
			3		Black

ariable Name, ode Outline		Item LocationLength
MEDUC ducation of Mother Detail		39-40
All areas report education of mother.		
No formal education Years of elementary school 1 year of high school 1 years of high school 2 years of high school 1 3 years of high school 2 4 years of high school 1 year of college 2 years of college 3 years of college 4 years of college 5 or more years of college		
Not stated  IEDUC6  ducation of Mother Recode	1 <u>N</u>	41
0 - 8 years 2 9 - 11 years 3 12 years 4 13 - 15 years 5 16 years and over 6 Not stated		
MARIMP Tarital Status of Mother Imputation Flag	<del>-</del>	42
Blank Marital status is not imputed Marital status is imputed		
MAR Tarital Status of Mother	<u>N</u>	43
Marital status is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.  nited States/Virgin Islands/Guam Occurrence  Married 2 Unmarried	<u>I</u>	
uerto Rico Occurrence  Married 2 Unmarried parents living together 3 Unmarried parents not living together	<u>F</u>	
mited States/Virgin Islands/Guam Occurrence  Married 2 Unmarried 3 Unknown or not stated  uerto Rico Occurrence 1 Married 2 Married 2 Unmarried parents living	<u>u</u>	

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>		
44-45	2	MPLBIR Place of Birth of Mother		
		01		Alabama
		02		Alaska
		03		Arizona
		04		Arkansas
		05	•••	California
		06	•••	Colorado
		07	•••	Connecticut
		08	•••	Delaware
		09	•••	District of Columbia
		10	•••	Florida
		11	•••	Georgia
		12	•••	Hawaii
		13	•••	Idaho
		14	•••	Illinois
		15	•••	Indiana
		16	•••	Iowa
		17	•••	Kansas
		18	•••	Kentucky
		19		Louisiana
		20	•••	Maine
		21	•••	Maryland
		22	•••	Massachusetts
		23	•••	Michigan
		24	•••	Minnesota
		25	•••	Mississippi
		26		Missouri
		27		Montana
		28		Nebraska
		29		Nevada
		30		New Hampshire
		31		New Jersey
		32		New Mexico
		33		New York
		34	•••	North Carolina
		35	•••	North Dakota
		36		Ohio
		37	•••	Oklahoma
		38	•••	Oregon
		39		Pennsylvania
		40		Rhode Island
		41		South Carolina
		42	•••	South Dakota
		43	•••	Tennessee
		44	•••	Texas
		45		Utah
		46	•••	Vermont
		47	•••	Virginia
		48	•••	Washington
		49		West Virginia

# 1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline	
44-45	2	MPLBIR Place of Birth of M	<u> fother (Cont'd)</u>
		50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 59 99	Wyoming Puerto Rico Virgin Islands Guam Canada Cuba Mexico Remainder of the World
46	1	MPLBIRR Place of Birth of M  United States Occu  1 2 3	Interce Born in the 50 States and D.C. Born outside the 50 States and DC
47-48	2	Blank  DTOTORD  Detail Total Birth	
49-50	2		Total number of live births and other terminations of pregnancy Unknown

Sum of live births now living and now dead plus one. If either item is unknown, this item is made unknown.

00-31 ... Number of children born alive to mother 99 ... Unknown

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	
51-52	2	<u>MONPRE</u> <u>Detail Month of F</u>	regnancy Prenatal Care Began
		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	No prenatal care  1st month 2nd month  3rd month  4th month  5th month  6th month  7th month  8th month  10th month
53	1	MPRE5 Month Prenatal (	Care Began Recode 5
		2 3 4	<ul> <li>1st Trimester (1st-3rd month)</li> <li>2nd Trimester (4th-6th month)</li> <li>3rd Trimester (7th-9th month)</li> <li>No prenatal care</li> <li>Unknown or not stated</li> </ul>
54-55	2	<u>NPREVIST</u> <u>Total Number of</u>	Prenatal Visits
		01-48 . 49 .	<ul><li>No prenatal visits</li><li>Stated number of visits</li><li>49 or more visits</li><li>Unknown or not stated</li></ul>
56	1	ADEQUACY Adequacy of Care	e Recode (Kessner Index)
			d on a modified Kessner criterion. Month Prenatal Care f Prenatal Visits, and Gestation are the items used to
		2 3	Adequate Intermediate Inadequate Unknown
57-59	3	<u>R1</u> <u>Reserved Position</u>	<u>ıs</u>

# 1999

Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
60	1	FAGERFLG Reported Age of Father Used Flag
		This position is flagged whenever the Father's reported age in years is used. The reported age is used, if valid, when age derived from date of birth is not available or when it is less than 10.
		Blank Reported age is not used 1 Reported age is used
61-62	2	DFAGE Age of Father
		This item is either computed from date of birth of father and of child or is the reported age. This is the age item used in NCHS publications.
		10-98 Age in single years 99 Unknown or not stated
63	1	ORFATH Hispanic Origin of Father
		Hispanic origin is reported for all areas except Puerto Rico.
		0 Non-Hispanic 1 Mexican 2 Puerto Rican 3 Cuban 4 Central or South American 5 Other and unknown Hispanic 9 Origin unknown or not stated
64	1	ORRACEF Hispanic Origin and Race of Father Recode
		Hispanic origin is reported for all areas except Puerto Rico.
		1 Mexican 2 Puerto Rican 3 Cuban 4 Central or South American 5 Other and unknown Hispanic 6 Non-Hispanic White 7 Non-Hispanic Black 8 Non-Hispanic other or unknown race 9 Origin unknown or not stated

## 1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<u>Location</u> Ler	<u>ngth</u>	Item and Code Outline
65-66	2	<u>FRACE</u> Race of Father

Beginning with 1992 data, some areas started reporting additional Asian or Pacific Islander codes for race. See reporting flags. Codes 18 -68 replace old code 08 for these areas. Code 78 replaces old code 08 for all other areas. Code 09 (all other races) has been changed to 99.

#### **United States Occurrence**

Cinted St	aces Occurre	ance .
01		White
02		Black
03		American Indian (includes Aleuts
		and Eskimos)
04		Chinese
05		Japanese
06		Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
07		Filipino
18		Asian Indian
28		Korean
38		Samoan
48		Vietnamese
58		Guamanian
68		Other Asian or Pacific Islander
		in areas reporting codes 18-58
78		Combined other Asian or Pacific Islander, includes
		codes 18-68 for areas that do not report them
		separately
99		Unknown or not stated

## **Puerto Rico Occurrence**

00	•••	Other races
01		White
02		Black
99		Unknown or not stated

## **Virgin Islands Occurrence**

01	 White
02	 Black
03	 American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
04	 Chinese
05	 Japanese
06	 Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
07	 Filipino
08	 Other Asian or Pacific Islander
99	 Unknown or not stated

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item LocationLength	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	
65-66	2	FRACE Race of Father (Cont'd	<u>D</u>
		Guam Occurrence         01          02          03          04          05          06          07          08	White Black American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos) Chinese Japanese Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian) Filipino Other Asian or Pacific Islander
		58 99	Guamanian Unknown or not stated
67	1	PLDEL Place or Facility of Deli	<u>very</u>
		1 2 3 4 5 9	Hospital Freestanding Birthing Center Clinic or Doctor's Office A Residence Other Unknown or not stated
68	1	BIRATTND Attendant at Delivery	
		1 2 3 4 5 9	Doctor of Medicine (M.D.) Doctor of Osteopathy (D.O.) Certified Nurse Midwife (C.N.M.) Other Midwife Other Unknown or not stated
69	1	R2 Reserved position	
70	1	is used when gestation c gestation is outside the 1	whenever the clinical estimate of gestation is used. It ould not be computed or when the computed 17-47 code range.
		Blank	Clinical Estimate is not used

Clinical Estimate is used

1

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item <u>Item ar</u>	Variable Name, ad Code Outline		
71-72	2	CLINGEST Clinical Estimat	e of Ges	<u>station</u>
		Clinical estimate See reporting fla		reported by all areas.
		17-47 99		Estimated gestation in weeks Unknown or not stated
73	1	GESTIMP Gestation Imput	tation Fl	ag
		Blank 1		Gestation is not imputed Gestation is imputed
74-75	2	GESTAT Gestation - Deta	il in We	<u>eks</u>
		menses; b) impu when there is in	ited from sufficien	d using dates of birth of child and last normal a LMP date; c) the clinical estimate; or d) unknown at data to impute or no valid clinical estimate. This is n NCHS publications.
		17-47 99		17th through 47th week of gestation Unknown
76-77	2	GESTAT 10 GESTATION R	ECODE	<u>E 10</u>
		01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09		Under 20 weeks 20 - 27 weeks 28 - 31 weeks 32 - 35 weeks 36 weeks 37 - 39 weeks 40 weeks 41 weeks 42 weeks and over Not stated
78	1	CSEXIMP Sex Imputation	Flag	
		Blank 1		Sex is not imputed Sex is imputed
79	1	<u>CSEX</u> <u>Sex</u>		
		1 2		Male Female

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, em and Code Outline			
80-87	8	<u>BIRTHWEIGHT</u>			
		Beginning in 1995, an imputation for not-stated birthweight was added to reduce potential bias in the data (see section on changes beginning with the 1995 data year in the introductory text to this documentation). The following imputation flag can be used to delete imputed values for those researchers wishing to use only reported birthweight data.			
80	1	BWIF Birthweight Imputation Flag			
		Blank Birthweight is not imputed 1 Birthweight is imputed			
81-84	4	DBIRWT Birthweight Detail in Grams (Imputed)			
		0227-8165 Number of grams 9999 Not stated birth weight			
85-86	2	BIRWT12 Birthweight Recode 12 (Imputed)			
		01 499 grams or less			
		02 500-999 grams			
		03 1000-1499 grams			
		04 1500-1999 grams			
		05 2000-2499 grams			
		06 2500-2999 grams			
		07 3000-3499 grams			
		08 3500-3999 grams 09 4000-4499 grams			
		10 4500 4000 grams			
		11 5000 8165 grams			
		12 Unknown or not stated			
87 1		IRWT4			
		Birthweight Recode 4 (Imputed)			
		1 1499 grams or less			
		2 1500-2499 grams			
		3 2500 grams or more			
		4 Unknown or not stated			
88	1	PLURIMP Plurality Imputation Flag			
		Blank Plurality is not imputed			
		1 Dhredity is imputed			
		i Fluranty is imputed			

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item LocationLength	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
89	1	DPLURAL Plurality
		1        Single         2        Twin         3        Triplet         4        Quadruplet         5        Quintuplet or higher
90-91	2	FMAPS Five-Minute Apgar Score
		Apgar score is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.
		00-10 A score of 0-10 99 Unknown or not stated
92-186 95		MEDINFO  Medical and Health Data
		Some States do not report an entire item while other States do not report all of the categories within an item. If an item is not reported, it is indicated by code zero in the appropriate reporting flag. If a category within an item is not reported it is indicated by code 8 in the position for that category.
92-99	8	DELMETH Method of Delivery
		Each method is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each method (position) is:
		1 The method was used
		2 The method was not used 8 Method not on certificate 9 Method unknown or not stated
92	1	VAGINAL Vaginal
93	1	VBAC Vaginal Birth After Previous C-Section
94	1	PRIMAC Primary C-Section
95	1	REPEAC Repeat C-Section
96	1	FORCEP Forceps

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item		Variable Name, Code Outline		
97	1		VACUUM Vacuum		
98	1	-	<u>R3</u> Reserved Positio	<u>on</u>	
99	1		<u>DELMETH5</u> Method of Delive	ery Reco	o <u>de</u>
			1 2 3 4 5		Vaginal (excludes Vaginal after previous C-section) Vaginal birth after previous C section Primary C-section Repeat C-Section Not stated
100-117 18		MEDRIS	<u>SK</u> Medical Risk Fa	<u>ctors</u>	
			Each risk factor each risk factor		ned a separate position, and the code structure for a) is:
			1 2 8 9		Factor reported Factor not reported Factor not on certificate Factor not classifiable
100	1	-	<u>MRFLAG</u> No Medical Risk	Factors	s Reported Flag
			Blank 2		One or more medical risk factors coded, one, eight, or nine No medical risk factors reported. Each factor is coded a two.
101	1		<u>ANEMIA</u> Anemia (Hct.<30	<u> </u>	10)
102	1		<u>CARDIAC</u> Cardiac disease		
103	1		<u>LUNG</u> Acute or chronic	e lung di	<u>sease</u>
104	1		DIABETES Diabetes		
105	1		<u>HERPES</u> Genital herpes		
106	1		<u>HYDRA</u> Hydramnios/Oli	gohydra	<u>mnios</u>

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item 1	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
107	1	HEMO Hemoglobinopathy
108	1	CHYPER Hypertension, chronic
109	1	PHYPER Hypertension, pregnancy-associated
110	1	ECLAMP Eclampsia
111	1	INCERVIX Incompetent cervix
112	1	PRE4000 Previous infant 4000+ grams
113	1	PRETERM Previous preterm or small-for-gestational-age infant
114	1	RENAL Renal disease
115	1	RH Rh sensitization
116	1	UTERINE Uterine bleeding
117	1	OTHERMR Other Medical Risk Factors
118-128	11	OTHERRSK Other Risk Factors for this Pregnancy
118-121	4	TOBACRSK Tobacco Risks
118	1	TOBACCO Tobacco Use During Pregnancy
		1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown or not stated
119-120	2	CIGAR Average Number of Cigarettes Per Day
		00-97 As stated 98 98 or more cigarettes per day 99 Unknown or not stated

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item LocationLength	Item		Variable Name, Code Outline		
121	1		CIGAR6 Average Numbe	r of Ciga	arettes Per Day Recode
			0 1 2 3 4 5		Nonsmoker 1-5 cigarettes per day 6-10 cigarettes per day 11-20 cigarettes per day 21-40 cigarettes per day 41 or more cigarettes per day Unknown or not stated
122-125	4		ALCOHRSK Alcohol		
122	1		ALCOHOL Alcohol Use Dur	ring Preg	<u>gnancy</u>
			1 2 9		Yes No Unknown or not stated
123-124	2		<u>DRINK</u> Average Numbe	r of Drii	nks Per Week
			00-97 98 99		As stated 98 or more drinks per week Unknown or not stated
125	1	_	<u> DRINK5</u> Average Numbe	r of Drii	ıks Per Week Recode
			0 1 2 3 4 5		Non drinker 1 drink per week 2 drinks per week 3-4 drinks per week 5 or more drinks per week Unknown or not stated
126-128	3	_	<u>VTGANRSK</u> Veight Gain Du	ring Pre	egnancy
126-127	2		<u>VTGAIN</u> Veight Gain		
			00-97 98 99		Stated number of pounds 98 pounds or more Unknown or not stated

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>		
128	1	<u>WTGAIN9</u> <u>Weight Gain Re</u>	ecode	
		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Less than 16 pounds 16-20 pounds 21-25 pounds 26-30 pounds 31-35 pounds 36-40 pounds 41-45 pounds 40 or more pounds Unknown or not stated
129-136	8	OBSTETRC Obstetric Proces	<u>dures</u>	
		Each procedure each procedure		ned a separate position, and the code structure for n) is:
		1 2 8 9		Procedure reported Procedure not reported Procedure not on certificate Procedure not classifiable
129	1	OBFLAG Obstetric Flag		
		Blank 2		One or more obstetric procedures coded, one, eight, or nine No obstetric procedures reported. Each factor is coded a two.
130	1	AMNIO Amniocentesis		
131	1	MONITOR Electronic fetal	monitor	ing
132	1	INDUCT Induction of lab	<u>oor</u>	
133	1	<u>STIMULA</u> Stimulation of la	abor	
134	1	TOCOL Tocolysis		
135	1	<u>ULTRAS</u> <u>Ultrasound</u>		
136	1	OTHEROB Other Obstetric	Proced	<u>ures</u>

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Item and	Variable Name, d Code Outline
137-153	17		LABOR Complications of Labor and/or Delivery
			Each complication is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each complication (position) is:
			Complication reported Complication not reported Complication not on certificate Complication not classifiable
137	1		FBFLAG Labor Flag
			Blank One or more labor and/or delivery complications coded, one, eight, or nine  No labor and/or delivery complication reported. Each factor is coded a two.
138	1		FEBRILE Febrile (>100 degrees F. or 38 degrees C.)
139	1		MECONIUM Meconium, moderate/heavy
140	1		RUPTURE Premature rupture of membrane (>12 hours)
141	1		ABRUPTIO Abruptio placenta
142	1		PREPLACE Placenta previa
143	1		EXCEBLD Other excessive bleeding
144	1		SEIZURE Seizures during labor
145	1		PRECIP Precipitous labor (<3 hours)
146	1		PROLONG Prolonged labor (>20 hours)
147	1		DYSFUNC Dysfunctional labor
148	1		BREECH Breech/Malpresentation

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
149	1	CEPHALO Cephalopelvic disproportion
150	1	CORD Cord prolapse
151	1	ANESTHE Anesthetic complications
152	1	DISTRESS Fetal distress
153	1	OTHERLB Other Complications of Labor and/or Delivery
154-163	10	NEWBORN Abnormal conditions of the Newborn  Each condition is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each condition (position)is:
		Condition reported  Condition not reported  Condition not on certificate  Condition not classifiable
154	1	<u>NBFLAG</u> <u>Newborn Flag</u>
		Blank One or more abnormal conditions of the newborn coded, one, eight, or nine  2 No abnormal condition of the newborn reported. Each factor is coded a two.
155	1	NANEMIA Anemia Hct.>39/Hgb.<13)
156	1	INJURY Birth injury
157	1	ALCOSYN Fetal alcohol syndrome
158	1	HYALINE Hyaline membrane disease
159	1	MECONSYN Meconium aspiration syndrome
160	1	VENL30 Assisted ventilation, less than 30 minutes

#### 1999

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
161	1	<u>VEN30M</u> <u>Assisted ventilation, 30 minutes or more</u>
162	1	NSEIZ Seizures
163	1	OTHERAB Other Abnormal Conditions of the Newborn
164-186	23	CONGENIT Congenital Anomalies
		Each anomaly is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each anomaly (position) is:
		1 Anomaly reported 2 Anomaly not reported 8 Anomaly not on certificate 9 Anomaly not classifiable
164	1	CGFLAG Congenital Flag
		Blank One or more congenital anomalies coded, one, eight, or nine  2 No congenital anomaly is reported. Each factor is coded a two.
165	1	ANEN Anencephalus
166	1	SPINA Spina bifida/Meningocele
167	1	HYDRO Hydrocephalus
168	1	MICROCE Microcephalus
169	1	NERVOUS Other central nervous system anomalies
170	1	HEART Heart malformations
171	1	CIRCUL Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies
172	1	RECTAL Rectal atresia/stenosis

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
173	1	TRACHEO Tracheo-esophageal fistula/Esophageal atresia
174	1	OMPHALO Omphalocele/Gastroschisis
175	1	GASTRO Other gastrointestinal anomalies
176	1	GENITAL Malformed genitalia
177	1	RENALAGE Renal agenesis
178	1	<u>UROGEN</u> Other urogenital anomalies
179	1	CLEFTLP Cleft lip/palate
180	1	ADACTYLY Polydactyly/Syndactyly/Adactyly
181	1	CLUBFOOT Club foot
182	1	HERNIA Diaphragmatic hernia
183	1	MUSCULO Other musculoskeletal/integumental anomalies
184	1	DOWNS Down's syndrome
185	1	CHROMO Other chromosomal anomalies
186	1	OTHERCON Other congenital anomalies
187-203	17	FLRES Reporting Flags for Place of Residence

These positions contain flags to indicate whether or not the specified item is included on the birth certificate of the State of residence or of the SMSA of residence. The code structure of each flag (position) is:

0 ... The item is not reported

1 ... The item is reported or partially reported.

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable <u>Item and Code Ou</u>	
187	1	ORIGM Origin o	
188	1	ORIGF Origin o	f <u>father</u>
189	1	EDUCM Education	n of mother
190	1	R4 Reserved	l Position
191	1	GESTE Clinical	estimate of gestation
192	1	<u>R5</u> Reserved	l position
193	1	FMAPSI 5-minute	RF Apgar score
194	1	DELME Method	<u>TRF</u> of deliver <u>y</u>
195	1	MEDRS Medical	<u>K</u> risk factors
196	1	TOBUSI Tobacco	
197	1	ALCUSI Alcohol	
198	1	WTGN Weight s	<u>ain</u>
199	1	OBSTRO Obstetric	<u>e procedures</u>
200	1	CLABO Complic	<u>R</u> ations of labor and/or delivery
201	1	ABNML Abnorm	al conditions of newborn
202	1	CONGA Congenie	<u>N</u> tal anomalies
203	1	API flag Race cod	les 18-68 reported (beginning with 1992 data)

1999 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item <u>I</u>	Variable Name, tem and Code Outline		
204	1	CDOBMIMP Month of Birth	of Chile	d Imputation Flag
		Blank 1		Month is not imputed Month is imputed
205-206	2	<u>BIRMON</u> <u>Month of Birth</u>	<u>.</u>	
		01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		January February March April May June July August September October November December
207-208	2	<u>R6</u> <u>Reserved Posit</u>	<u>ion</u>	
209	1	WEEKDAYB Day of Week C	hild Bor	<u>n</u>
		1 2 3 4 5 6 7		Sunday Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday
210	1	Files  This variable is	included	in the denominator file only, and identifies a record the numerator file. Please note that not all infant

1 Record also included in numerator file Blank Record not included in numerator file

some of the infants who died in 1999 were born in 1998.

deaths in the numerator file are represented in the denominator file, because

Here ends the Denominator file. Documentation for the Mortality Section of the Numerator (Linked) file begins on the next page.

Locations 211-535 contain data from the Death Certificate. Residence items in the Denominator Record and in the natality section of the Numerator (Linked) Record refer to the usual place of residence of the Mother; whereas in the mortality section of the Numerator (Linked) Record, these items refer to the place of residence of the Decedent.

Item	Item	Variable Name,		
<u>LocationLength</u>		Item and Code Outline		
211 212	3	ACED		
211-213	3	AGED	Dama	
		Age at Death in	Days	
		death certificate reported age of	e minus the death is l	th in days is calculated from the date of death on the ne date of birth on the birth certificate unless the less than 2 days, then the reported age is used. If the r death is unknown, the age is imputed.
		000-364		Number of days
214	1	AGER5		
		Infant Age Reco	<u>ode 5</u>	
		1		Under 1 hour
		2		1-23 hours
		3		1-6 days
		4		7-27 days (late neonatal)
		5		28 days and over (postneonatal)
215	1	ACCIDPL Place of Acciden	nt for Ca	uses W00-Y34, except Y06 and Y07
		Blank		Causes other than W00-Y34, except Y06 and Y07
		0		Home
		1		Residential institution
		2	•••	School, other institution and public administrative area
		3		Sports and athletics area
		4		Street and highway
		5		Trade and service area
		6		Industrial and construction area
		7	•••	Farm
		8	•••	Other specified places
		9	•••	Unspecified place
216-219	4	UCOD ICD Code (10th	Revision	<u>1</u> )

See the International Classification of Diseases, 1992 Revision, Volume 1.

#### 1999

Mortality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

•••

Item Item LocationLength

Variable Name, Item and Code Outline

220-222 3

#### UCODR130

#### 130 Infant Cause Recode

A recode of the ICD cause code into 130 groups for NCHS publications. Further back in this document is a complete list of recodes and the causes included.

001-158

Code range (not inclusive)

223-230 8

#### RECWT Record weight

Beginning in 1995, a record weight was added to the linked file to adjust for the approximately 2-3% of records each year which cannot be linked to their corresponding birth certificates (see introduction to this tape documentation for further details). These weights are used to produce all NCHS linked file tables, including Documentation tables 1-5 included in this tape documentation. The general format for this record weight is the number one followed by a decimal point and six decimal places as follows:

1.XXXXXXX

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Item and	Variable Name, d Code Outline		
261-504	244		MULTCOND Multiple Condit	tions	
				axis and	lassification of Diseases", 1992 Revision, Volume 1. record-axis conditions are coded according to this
261-262	2		EANUM Number of Enti	ty-Axis (	<u>Conditions</u>
			00-20	•••	Code range
263-402	140		ENTITY ENTITY - AXIS	S COND	<u>ITIONS</u>
			takes 7 positions	in the re	I for a maximum of 20 conditions. Each condition cord. <b>The 7<sup>th</sup> position will be blank.</b> Records that are blank in the unused area.
			Position 1:	Part/lin	e number on certificate
			1		Part I, line 1 (a)
			2 3	•••	Part I, line 2 (b) Part I, line 3 (c)
			4		Part I, line 4 (d)
			5		Part I, line 5 (e)
			6		Part II,
			Position 2:	Sequen	ce of condition within part/line
			1-7	•••	Code range
			Position 3 - 6:	Conditi	on code (ICD 10th Revision)
			Position 7:	Nature	of Injury Flag
			1		Indicates that the code in positions 3-6 is a Nature of
			0		Injury code All other codes
263-269	7		1st Condition		
270-276	7		2nd Condition		
277-283	7		3rd Condition		
284-290	7		4th Condition		
291-297	7		5th Condition		

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
298-304	7	6th Condition
305-311	7	7th Condition
312-318	7	8th Condition
319-325	7	9th Condition
326-332	7	10th Condition
333-339	7	11th Condition
340-346	7	12th Condition
347-353	7	13th Condition
354-360	7	14th Condition
361-367	7	15th Condition
368-374	7	16th Condition
375-381	7	17th Condition
382-388	7	18th Condition
389-395	7	19th Condition
396-402	7	20th Condition
403-404	2	RANUM Number of Record-Axis Conditions
		00-20 Code range
405-504	100	RECORD - AXIS CONDITIONS

Space has been provided for a maximum of 20 conditions. Each condition takes 5 positions in the record. **The 5<sup>th</sup> position will be blank.** Records that do not have 20 conditions are blank in the unused area.

Positions 1-4: Condition code (ICD 10th Revision)

Position 5:	Natu	re of Injury Flag
1		Indicates that the code in positions 1-4 is a Nature of Injury code
0		All other codes

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
405-409	5	1st Condition
410-414	5	2nd Condition
415-419	5	3rd Condition
420-424	5	4th Condition
425-429	5	5th Condition
430-434	5	6th Condition
435-439	5	7th Condition
440-444	5	8th Condition
445-449	5	9th Condition
450-454	5	10th Condition
455-459	5	11th Condition
460-464	5	12th Condition
465-469	5	13th Condition
470-474	5	14th Condition
475-479	5	15th Condition
480-484	5	16th Condition
485-489	5	17th Condition
490-494	5	18th Condition
495-499	5	19th Condition
500-504	5	20th Condition
505	1	RESSTATD

KESS	IAID	
Resid	ent Statu	s - Death
<u>Unite</u>	d States	<u>Occurrence</u>
1		RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and residence
		are the same.
2		INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and
		residence are the same, but county is different.
3		INTERSTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and
		residence are different, but both are in the 50 States and D.C.
4		FOREIGN RESIDENTS: State of occurrence is one of the
		50 States or the District of Columbia, but place of residence
		is outside of the 50 States and D.C.

-35-

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Varia Item and Code	able Name, e Outline	
505	1		STATD dent Status	s - Death (Cont'd)
		Puer	to Rico Oc	renrence
		1		RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and residence are the same.
		2		INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and
		4		residence are the same, but county is different. FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in Puerto Rico to a resident of any other place.
		<u>Virg</u>	in Islands	Occurrence Occurrence
		1		RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and residence are the same.
		2		INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and residence are the same, but county is different.
		4		FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in the Virgin Islands to a resident of any other place.
		Gua	m Occurre	ence
		1	in occurre	RESIDENTS: Occurred in Guam to a resident of Guam or to a resident of the U.S.

# **DRSTATE**

4

506-507

### **Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Deaths**

This item is designed to separately identify New York City records from other New York State records.

FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in Guam to a resident of any place other than Guam or the U.S.

## **United States Occurrence**

01	•••	Alabama
02		Alaska
03		Arizona
04		Arkansas
05		California
06		Colorado
07		Connecticut
08		Delaware
09		District of Columbia
10		Florida
11		Georgia
12		Hawaii
13		Idaho
14		Illinois
15		Indiana
16		Iowa
17		Kansas
18		Kentucky
19		Louisiana
20		Maine
	26	

-36-

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<u>LocationLength</u>		<u>Item and Code Outline</u>

506-507 2 **DRSTATE** 

### Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Deaths (Cont'd)

<b>United States</b>	Occurre	<u>nce</u>
21	•••	Maryland
22		Massachusetts
23		Michigan
24	•••	Minnesota
25		Mississippi
26		Missouri
27		Montana
28		Nebraska
29		Nevada
30	•••	New Hampshire
31	•••	New Jersey
32	•••	New Mexico
33		New York
34		New York City
35		North Carolina
36		North Dakota
37		Ohio
38		Oklahoma
39		Oregon
40		Pennsylvania
41		Rhode Island
42		South Carolina
43		South Dakota
44	•••	Tennessee
45	•••	Texas
46		Utah
47		Vermont
48	•••	Virginia
49	•••	Washington
50		West Virginia
51	•••	Wisconsin
52	•••	Wyoming
53-58,60	•••	Foreign Residents
53	•••	Puerto Rico
54	•••	Virgin Islands
55	•••	Guam
56		Canada
57	•••	Cuba
58	•••	Mexico
60		Remainder of the World

#### **Puerto Rico Occurrence**

53 Puerto Rico
01-52,54-58,60 ... Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure.

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<u>LocationLeng</u>	<u>gth</u>	Item and Code Outline

#### 506-507 2 **DRSTATE**

#### **Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Deaths (Cont'd)**

#### **Virgin Islands Occurrence**

54 ... Virgin Islands

01-53,55-58,60 ... Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code

structure.

**Guam Occurrence** 

55 ... Guam

01-52 ... U.S. resident is also considered a resident of Guam.

53,54,58,60 ... Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code

structure.

#### 508-512 5 **<u>FIPSOCCD</u>**

#### <u>Federal Information Processing Standards</u> (FIPS) Geographic Codes (Occurrence) - Death

Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.

#### 508-509 2 **STOCCFIPD**

#### State of Occurrence (FIPS) - Death

#### **United States** 01 Alabama ... 02 Alaska 04 Arizona ... 05 Arkansas ... 06 California ... 08 Colorado 09 Connecticut 10 Delaware ... District of Columbia 11 ... 12 Florida ••• Georgia 13 ... 15 Hawaii Idaho 16 ... 17 Illinois ... 18 Indiana 19 Iowa ... 20 Kansas 21 Kentucky 22 Louisiana ... 23 Maine Maryland 24 ... 25 Massachusetts 26 Michigan ... 27 Minnesota ... 28 Mississippi 29 Missouri ... 30 Montana ...

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
508-509	2	STOCCFIPD State of Occurre	ence (FI	PS) - Death (Cont'd)
		<u>United States</u>		
		31		Nebraska
		32		Nevada
		33		New Hampshire
		34		New Jersey
		35	•••	New Mexico
		36	•••	New York
		37		North Carolina
		38		North Dakota
		39	•••	Ohio
		40	•••	Oklahoma
		41		Oregon
		42		Pennsylvania
		44	•••	Rhode Island
		45	•••	South Carolina
		46	•••	South Dakota
		47	•••	Tennessee
		48	•••	Texas
		49	•••	Utah
		50	•••	Vermont
		51	•••	Virginia
		53	•••	Washington
		54	•••	West Virginia
		55	•••	Wisconsin
		56	•••	Wyoming
		Puerto Rico 72		Puerto Rico
		<u>Virgin Islands</u>		
		78	•••	Virgin Islands
		<u>Guam</u> 66		Guam
510-512	3	CNTOCFIPD County of Occur	rrence (I	FIPS) - Death
		001-nnn		Counties and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State. (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be used.)
		999		County with less than 250,000 population

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Item} & \text{Item} & \text{Variable Name,} \\ \underline{\text{LocationLength}} & \underline{\text{Item and Code Outline}} \end{array}$ 

513-517 5 **FIPSRESD** 

#### <u>Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Geographic Codes</u> (Residence) - Death

Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.

513-514 2 <u>STRESFIPD</u> State of Residence (FIPS) - Death

### **United States Occurrence**

Cilita States	Occurre	<u>ncc</u>
00	•••	Foreign residents
01		Alabama
02		Alaska
04		Arizona
05		Arkansas
06		California
08		Colorado
09	•••	Connecticut
10	•••	Delaware
11	•••	District of Columbia
12		Florida
13		Georgia
15		Hawaii
16	•••	Idaho
17	•••	Illinois
18	•••	Indiana
19	•••	Iowa
20		Kansas
21		Kentucky
22		Louisiana
23		Maine
24		Maryland
25	•••	Massachusetts
26	•••	Michigan
27	•••	Minnesota
28		Mississippi
29		Missouri
30		Montana
31		Nebraska
32		Nevada
33		New Hampshire
34	•••	New Jersey
35	•••	New Mexico
36	•••	New York
37		North Carolina
38		North Dakota
39		Ohio
40	•••	Oklahoma

Item	Item	Variable Name,		
<u>Location</u> Lengt	<u>h</u>	Item and Code Outline		
513-514	2	STRESFIPD State of Residence	ce (FIPS	S) - Death (Cont'd)
		United States O	)ccurre	<u>nce</u>
		41		Oregon
		42		Pennsylvania
		44		Rhode Island
		45		South Carolina
		46		South Dakota
		47	•••	Tennessee
		48		Texas
		49		Utah
		50		Vermont
		51		Virginia
		53		Washington
		54		West Virginia
		55		Wisconsin
		56	•••	Wyoming
		Puerto Rico Oc	ourrone	200
		72		Puerto Rico
		00-56,	•••	Tuesto Rico
		((70		Foreign resident: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure.
		<u>Virgin Islands (</u>	Occurre	<u>ence</u>
		78		Virgin Islands
		00-56,		
		66,72		Foreign resident: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure.
		Guam Occurren	nce	
				Guam
		00,72,78		Foreign resident: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure.
515-517	3	CNTYRFPD County of Reside	ence (Fl	(PS) - Death
		000		Foreign modificate
		000 001-nnn	•••	Foreign residents Counties and county equivalents (independent and
		001-mm		countes and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be used.) A complete list of counties is shown in the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document.
		999		County with less than 250,000 population
		777	•••	County with 1000 than 200,000 population

1999 Mortality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	,	
518-522	5	PLRES Place (City) of	Residen	ce (FIPS)
		A complete lis in this docume		s is shown in the Geographic code outline further back
		00000 00001-nnnnn 99999		Foreign residents Code range Balance of county; or city less than 250,000 population
523	1	<u>HOSPD</u> <u>Hospital and F</u>	atient St	atus
		1		Harried Clinia and Harl Control Landing
		1 2		Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Inpatient Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Outpatient or admitted to Emergency Room
		3		Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Dead on arrival
		4		Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Patient status
		_		unknown
		5		Nursing home
		6	•••	Residence
		7 9	•••	Other Place of death unknown
		9	•••	riace of death ulikhown
524-527	4	<u>DTHYR</u> <u>Year of Death</u>		
		1998		Death occurred in 1998
528-529	2	<u>DTHMON</u> <u>Month of Deat</u>	<u>h</u>	
		01		January
		02		February
		03		March
		04		April
		05		May
		06		June
		07		July
		08		August
		09	•••	September
		10		October
		11		November
		12	•••	December
530-531	2	<u>R9</u> <u>Reserved Posit</u>	<u>iion</u>	

#### 1999 Period Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set

### Geographic Code Outline

The following pages show the geographic codes used by the Division of Vital Statistics in the processing of vital event data occurring in the United States. For the linked data set, counties and cities with a population of 250,000 or more are identified.

<u>Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) State, County, and City/Place Codes:</u> For the 1999 linked file, the county and city/place codes and the State code immediately preceding them are FIPS codes. These codes were effective with the 1994 data year and are based on the results of the 1990 Census. County and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State. When an event occurs to a nonresident of the United States, residence data are coded only to the "State" level, or to the remainder of the world. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Bureau of Standards (NBS) publications.

# Listings of Counties Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1999 Data

State 01	County	State and County Name Alabama
01	073	Jefferson
	073	Mobile
	071	Widone
02	I	Alaska
04		Arizona
	013	Maricopa
	019	Pima
05	I	Arkansas
	119	Pulaski
0.5		2.112
06		California
	001	Alameda
	013	Contra Costa
	019	Fresno
	029	Kern
	037	Los Angeles
	053	Monterey
	059	Orange
	065	Riverside
	067	Sacramento
	071	San Bernardino
	073	San Diego
077	075	San Francisco, coext. with San Francisco city
077	081	oaquin San Mateo
	083	Santa Barbara
	085	Santa Clara
	095	Solano
	097	Sonoma
	099	Stanislaus
	107	Tulare
	111	Ventura
08		Colorado
	001Ada	
	005Arap	
	ver, coext. with Denver city	
	041El P	
	059Jeffe	erson

# Listings of Counties Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1999 Data

State	Count	y State and County Name
09		Connecticut
	001	Fairfield
	003	Hartford
	009	New Haven
	011	New London
10		Delaware
10	003	New Castle
	003	New Castle
11		District of Columbia
	001	District of Columbia
12		Florida
12	009	Brevard
	011	Broward
	025	Dade
	031	Duval
	033	Escambia
	057	Hillsborough
	071	Lee
	095	Orange
	099	Palm Beach
	101	Pasco
	103	Pinellas
	105	Polk
	115	Sarasota
	117	Seminole
	127	Volusia
	127	Volusia
13		Georgia
	067	Cobb
	089	De Kalb
	121	Fulton
	135	Gwinnett
15		Hawaii
	003	Honolulu
16		Idaho

State	County	State and County Name
17	031	Illinois
	043	Cook
	043	Du Page Kane
	089	Lake
	163	St. Clair
	103	Will
	201	Winnebago
	201	Williebago
18		Indiana
	003	Allen
	089	Lake
	097	Marion
19		Iowa
1)	153	Polk
	133	TOIK
20		Kansas
	091	Johnson
	173	Sedgwick
21		Vantualar
21	111	Kentucky Jefferson
	111	Jefferson
22		Louisiana
	033	East Baton Rouge
	051	Jefferson
	071	Orleans, coext. with New Orleans city
23		Maine
24		Maryland
2-	003	Anne Arundel
	005	Baltimore
	510	Baltimore city
	031	Montgomery
	033	Prince George's
~~		
25		Massachusetts
	005	Bristol
	009	Essex
	013	Hampden
	017	Middlesex
	021	Norfolk
	023	Plymouth
	025	Suffolk

	027	Worcester
State	County	State and County Name
26		Michigan
	049	Genesee
	065	Ingham
	081	Kent
	099	Macomb
	125	Oakland
	161	Washtenaw
	163	Wayne
27		Minnesota
	037	Dakota
	053	Hennepin
	123	Ramsey
28		Mississippi
	049	Hinds
29		Missouri
	095	Jackson
	189	St. Louis
	510	St. Louis city
30		Montana
31		Nebraska
	055	Douglas
32		Nevada
	003	Clark
	031	Washoe
33		New Hampshire
	011	Hillsborough
34		New Jersey
	003	Bergen
	005	Burlington
	007	Camden
	013	Essex
	017	Hudson
	021	Mercer
	023	Middlesex

	025 027 029	Monmouth Morris Ocean
State	County	State and County Name
34	New Jer	· · ·
	031	Passaic
	039	Union
35		New Mexico
	001	Bernalillo
36		New York
	001	Albany
	027	Dutchess
	029	Erie
	055	Monroe
	059	Nassau
	085	Staten Island borough, Richmond county
	081	Queens borough, Queens county
	061	Manhattan borough, New York county
	047	Brooklyn borough, Kings county
	005	Bronx borough, Bronx county
	065	<del>-</del>
	067	Onondaga
	071	Orange
	087	Rockland
	103	Suffolk
	119	Westchester
	117	Westellester
37		North Carolina
	051	Cumberland
	067	Forsyth
	081	Guilford
	119	Mecklenburg
	183	Wake
38		North Dakota
39		Ohio
	017	Butler
	035	Cuyahoga
	049	Franklin
	061	Hamilton
	093	Lorain
	095	Lucas

	099	Mahoning
	113	Montgomery
	151	Stark
	153	Summit
State	County	State and County Name
40		Oklahoma
	109	Oklahoma
	143	Tulsa
41		Oregon
	005	Clackamas
	039	Lane
	051	Multnomah
	067	Washington
42		Pennsylvania
	003	Allegheny
	011	Berks
	017	Bucks
	029	Chester
	045	Delaware
	049	Erie
	071	Lancaster
	077	Lehigh
	079	Luzerne
	091	Montgomery
	101	Philadelphia, coext. with Philadelphia city
	129	Westmoreland
	133	York
44		Rhode Island
44	007	Providence
	007	Flovidence
45		South Carolina
	019	Charleston
	045	Greenville
	079	Richland
46		South Dakota
47		Tennessee
	037	Davidson
	065	Hamilton
	093	Knox

	157	Shelby
48		Texas
	029	Bexar
	061	Cameron
	085	Collin
State	County	State and County Name
48		Texas
	113	Dallas
	121	Denton
	141	El Paso
	201	Harris
	215	Hidalgo
	355	Nueces
	439	Tarrant
	453	Travis
49		Utah
	035	Salt Lake
	049	Utah
50		Vermont
51		Virginia
	059	Fairfax
	710	Norfolk city
	810	Virginia Beach city
53		Washington
	033	King
	053	Pierce
	061	Snohomish
	063	Spokane
54		West Virginia
55		Wisconsin
	025	Dane
	079	Milwaukee
	133	Waukesha
56		Wyoming

State 72	County 127	State and County Name Puerto Rico San Juan
78		Virgin Islands
66	010	Guam
00	000	Canada
00	000	Cuba
00	000	Mexico
00	000	Remainder of World

# Listing of Cities/Places Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1999 Data Page 1

FIPS Codes			
State	City/Place		
	State and City/Place Name		
01	Alabama		
	07000		Birmingham
02		Alaska	
04		Arizona	
	46000		Mesa
	55000		Phoenix
	77000		Tucson
05	Arkansas		
06	California		
	02000		Anaheim
	27000		Fresno
	43000		Long Beach
	44000		Los Angeles
	53000		Oakland
	64000		Sacramento
	66000		San Diego San Francisco
	67000 68000		San Jose
	69000		San Jose Santa Ana
	07000		Santa Ana
08		Colorado	
	16000	Colorac	lo Springs
	20000		Denver
09		Connecticut	
10		Delaware	
11	50000	District of Co	lumbia Washington
12		Florida	
	35000		Jacksonville
	45000		Miami
	71000		Tampa
13		Georgia	
	04000	-	Atlanta

FIPS Codes

# Listing of Cities/Places Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1999 Data Page 2

State	City/Place State and City/Place Name		
15	17000	Hawaii Honolulu	
16		Idaho	
17	14000	Illinois Chicago	
18	36000	Indiana Indianapolis	
19		Iowa	
20	79000	Kansas Wichita	
21	48000	Kentucky Louisville	
22	55000	Louisiana New Orleans	
23		Maine	
24	04000	Maryland Baltimore	
25	07000	Massachusetts Boston	
26	22000	Michigan Detroit	
27	43000 58000	Minnesota Minneapolis St. Paul	
28		Mississippi	
29	38000 65000	Missouri Kansas City St. Louis	
State	FIPS Codes City/Place		

# Listing of Cities/Places Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1999 Data Page 3

# State and City/Place Name

30		Montana
31	37000	Nebraska Omaha
32	4000	Nevada Las Vegas
33		New Hampshire
34	51000	New Jersey Newark
35	02000	New Mexico Albuquerque
36	51000 11000 51000 51000 51000	New York  Bronx borough, Bronx county Buffalo Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county
37	12000	North Carolina Charlotte
38		North Dakota
39	15000 16000 18000 77000	Ohio Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Toledo
40	55000 75000	Oklahoma Oklahoma City Tulsa
41	59000	Oregon Portland

FIPS Codes

State City/Place

State and City/Place Name

# Listing of Cities/Places Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1999 Data Page 4

42	60000 61000	Pennsylvania Philadelphia Pittsburgh
44		Rhode Island
45		South Carolina
46		South Dakota
47	48000 52010	Tennessee Memphis Nashville-Davidson
48	04000 05000 17000 19000 24000 27000 35000 65000	Texas Arlington Austin Corpus Christ Dallas El Paso Fort Worth Houston San Antonio
49		Utah
50		Vermont
51	57000 82000	Virginia Norfolk Virginia Beach
53	63000	Washington Seattle
54		West Virginia
55	53000	Wisconsin Milwaukee
56		Wyoming
State	FIPS Codes City/Place State and Ci	ty/Place Name

# Listing of Cities/Places Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1999 Data Page 5

72	00000	Puerto Rico
78	00000	Virgin Islands
66	00000	Guam
00	00000	Canada
00	00000	Cuba
00	00000	Mexico
00	00000	Remainder of the World

```
Limited: Sex: 1 = Males; 2 = Females
Age: 1 = 5 and over; 2 = 10-54; 3 = 28 days and over
ST: 1 = Subtotal
                    ***** Cause Subtotals are not identified in this file *****
130
        S Limited
Recode T Sex Age Cause Title and ICD-10 Codes Included
001
                   Certain infectious and parasitic diseases (A00-B99)
002
                     Certain intestinal infectious diseases (A00-A08)
                     Diarrhea and gastroenteritis of infectious origin (A09)
003
                     Tuberculosis (A16-A19)
004
 005
                     Tetanus (A33, A35)
006
                     Diphtheria (A36)
                     Whooping cough (A37)
 007
                     Meningococcal infection (A39)
 008
                     Septicemia (A40-A41)
               3
 009
                     Congenital syphilis (A50)
 010
                     Gonococcal infection (A54)
 011
                     Viral diseases (A80-B34)
 012
        1
                       Acute poliomyelitis (A80)
 013
                       Varicella (chickenpox) (B01)
 014
 015
                       Measles (B05)
                       Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease (B20-B24)
 016
 017
                       Mumps (B26)
                       Other and unspecified viral diseases (A81-B00, B02-B04, B06-B19, B25, B27-B34)
 018
 019
                     Candidiasis (B37)
                     Malaria (B50-B54)
 020
                     Pneumocystosis (B59)
 021
 022
                     All other and unspecified infectious and parasitic diseases
                            (A20-A32, A38, A42-A49, A51-A53, A55-A79, B35-B36, B38-B49, B55-B58, B60-B99)
 023
                   Neoplasms (C00-D48)
                     Malignant neoplasms (C00-C97)
 024
                       Hodgkin's disease and non-Hodgkin's lymphomas (C81-C85)
 025
                       Leukemia (C91-C95)
 026
                       Other and unspecified malignant neoplasms (COO-C80, C88, C90, C96-C97)
 027
                     In situ neoplasms, benign neoplasms and neoplasms of uncertain or unknown
 028
                          behavior (D00-D48)
                   Diseases of the blood and blood-forming organs and certain disorders involving
        1
 029
                        the immune mechanism (D50-D89)
                     Anemias (D50-D64)
 030
                     Hemorrhagic conditions and other diseases of blood and blood-forming organs
 031
                           (D65-D76)
 032
                     Certain disorders involving the immune mechanism (D80-D89)
                   Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases (E00-E88)
 033
                     Short stature, not elsewhere classified (E34.3)
 034
                     Nutritional deficiencies (E40-E64)
 035
                     Cystic fibrosis (E84)
 036
                     Volume depletion, disorders of fluid, electrolyte and acid-base balance
 037
                          (E86-E87)
 038
                     All other endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases
                           (E00-E32, E34.0-E34.2, E34.4-E34.9, E65-E83, E85, E88)
                   Diseases of the nervous system (G00-G98)
 039
                     Meningitis (G00,G03)
 040
                      Infantile spinal muscular atrophy, type I (Werdnig-Hoffman) (G12.0)
 041
                     Infantile cerebral palsy (G80)
 042
                     Anoxic brain damage, not elsewhere classified (G93.1)
 043
 044
                     Other diseases of nervous system
                           (G04,G06-G11,G12.1-G12.9,G20-G72,G81-G92,G93.0,G93.2-G93.9,G95-G98)
                   Diseases of the ear and mastoid process (H60-H93)
 045
                   Diseases of the circulatory system (IOO-I99)
 046
                     Pulmonary heart disease and diseases of pulmonary circulation (I26-I28)
 047
 048
                     Pericarditis, endocarditis and myocarditis (I30,I33,I40)
                      Cardiomyopathy (I42)
 049
                     Cardiac arrest (I46)
 050
                      Cerebrovascular diseases (I60-I69)
 051
                     All other diseases of circulatory system (100-125,131,134-138,144-145,147-151,170-199)
 052
                   Diseases of the respiratory system (J00-J98)
 053
                     Acute upper respiratory infections (J00-J06)
 054
                     Influenza and pneumonia (J10-J18)
 055
         1
                        Influenza (J10-J11)
 056
                        Pneumonia (J12-J18)
 057
```

```
ST: 1 = Subtotal
                     Limited: Sex: 1 = Males; 2 = Females
                               Age: 1 = 5 and over; 2 = 10-54; 3 = 28 days and over
                    ***** Cause Subtotals are not identified in this file *****
130
        S Limited
Recode T Sex Age Cause Title and ICD-10 Codes Included
 058
                    Acute bronchitis and acute bronchiolitis (J20-J21)
 059
                    Bronchitis, chronic and unspecified (J40-J42)
 060
                    Asthma (J45-J46)
 061
                    Pneumonitis due to solids and liquids (J69)
                    Other and unspecified diseases of respiratory system
 062
                         (J22, J30-J39, J43-J44, J47-J68, J70-J98)
 063
                  Diseases of the digestive system (K00-K92)
 064
                    Gastritis, duodenitis, and noninfective enteritis and colitis (K29,K50-K55)
 065
                    Hernia of abdominal cavity and intestinal obstruction without hernia
                          (K40-K46, K56)
 066
                    All other and unspecified diseases of digestive system
                         (K00-K28, K30-K38, K57-K92)
 0.67
                  Diseases of the genitourinary system (NOO-N98)
                    Renal failure and other disorders of kidney (N17-N19, N25, N27)
 068
 069
                    Other and unspecified diseases of genitourinary system
                          (NOO-N15, N2O-N23, N26, N28-N98)
 070
                  Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period (P00-P96)
 071
        1
                    Newborn affected by maternal factors and by complications of pregnancy, labor
                         and delivery (P00-P04)
 072
                      Newborn affected by maternal hypertensive disorders (P00.0)
                      Newborn affected by other maternal conditions which may be unrelated to
 073
                            present pregnancy (P00.1-P00.9)
 074
                      Newborn affected by maternal complications of pregnancy (PO1)
 075
                        Newborn affected by incompetent cervix (P01.0)
                        Newborn affected by premature rupture of membranes (P01.1)
 076
 077
                        Newborn affected by multiple pregnancy (P01.5)
                        Newborn affected by other maternal complications of pregnancy
 078
                              (P01.2-P01.4, P01.6-P01.9)
                      Newborn affected by complications of placenta, cord and membranes (PO2)
 079
                        Newborn affected by complications involving placenta (P02.0-P02.3)
Newborn affected by complications involving cord (P02.4-P02.6)
Newborn affected by chroicamnionitis (P02.7)
 080
 081
 082
                        Newborn affected by other and unspecified abnormalities of membranes
 083
                              (P02.8-P02.9)
 084
                      Newborn affected by other complications of labor and delivery (P03)
                       Newborn affected by noxious influences transmitted via placenta or breast
 085
                            milk (P04)
 086
                     Disorders related to length of gestation and fetal malnutrition (P05-P08)
                       Slow fetal growth and fetal malnutrition (PO5)
 087
                       Disorders related to short gestation and low birth weight, not elsewhere
 088
                            classified (P07)
                         Extremely low birth weight or extreme immaturity (P07.0, P07.2)
 089
 090
                         Other low birth weight or preterm (PO7.1, PO7.3)
                       Disorders related to long gestation and high birth weight (PO8)
 091
                    Birth trauma (P10-P15)
 092
                    Intrauterine hypoxia and birth asphyxia (P20-P21)
 093
 094
                       Intrauterine hypoxia (P20)
 095
                       Birth asphyxia (P21)
                     Respiratory distress of newborn (P22)
 096
                    Other respiratory conditions originating in the perinatal period (P23-P28)
 097
        1
 098
                       Congenital pneumonia (P23)
 099
                       Neonatal aspiration syndromes (P24)
                      Interstitial emphysema and related conditions originating in the perinatal
 100
                           period (P25)
 101
                       Pulmonary hemorrhage originating in the perinatal period (P26)
                       Chronic respiratory disease originating in the perinatal period (P27)
 102
                       Atelectasis (P28.0-P28.1)
 103
                      All other respiratory conditions originating in the perinatal period
 104
                            (P28.2-P28.9)
 105
                    Infections specific to the perinatal period (P35-P39)
                       Bacterial sepsis of newborn (P36)
 106
 107
                       Omphalitis of newborn with or without mild hemorrhage (P38)
                       All other infections specific to the perinatal period (P35, P37, P39)
 108
                    Hemorrhagic and hematological disorders of newborn (P50-P61)
 109
        1
                       Neonatal hemorrhage (P50-P52, P54)
 110
```

```
Limited: Sex: 1 = Males; 2 = Females
Age: 1 = 5 and over; 2 = 10-54; 3 = 28 days and over
ST: 1 = Subtotal
                   ***** Cause Subtotals are not identified in this file *****
130
        S Limited
Recode T Sex Age Cause Title and ICD-10 Codes Included
                      Hemorrhagic disease of newborn (P53)
 112
                      Hemolytic disease of newborn due to isoimmunization and other perinatal
                           jaundice (P55-P59)
 113
                      Hematological disorders (P60-P61)
                    Syndrome of infant of a diabetic mother and neonatal diabetes mellitus
 114
                         (P70.0-P70.2)
 115
                    Necrotizing enterocolitis of newborn (P77)
                    Hydrops fetalis not due to hemolytic disease (P83.2)
 116
                    Other perinatal conditions
 117
                          (P29, P70.3-P70.9, P71-P76, P78-P81, P83.0-P83.1, P83.3-P83.9, P90-P96)
                  Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalities (Q00-Q99)
 118
 119
                    Anencephaly and similar malformations (Q00)
 120
                    Congenital hydrocephalus (Q03)
 121
                    Spina bifida (Q05)
 122
                    Other congenital malformations of nervous system (Q01-Q02,Q04,Q06-Q07)
 123
                    Congenital malformations of heart (Q20-Q24)
 124
                    Other congenital malformations of circulatory system (Q25-Q28)
 125
                    Congenital malformations of respiratory system (Q30-Q34)
                    Congenital malformations of digestive system (Q35-Q45)
 126
                    Congenital malformations of genitourinary system (Q50-Q64)
 127
                    Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal system, limbs
 128
                         and integument (Q65-Q85)
 129
                    Down's syndrome (Q90)
                    Edward's syndrome (Q91.0-Q91.3)
 130
                    Patau's syndrome (Q91.4-Q91.7)
 131
                    Other congenital malformations and deformations (Q10-Q18,Q86-Q89)
 132
                    Other chromosomal abnormalities, not elsewhere classified (Q92-Q99)
 133
                  Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere
        1
 134
                       classified (R00-R99)
                    Sudden infant death syndrome (R95)
 135
                    Other symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not
 136
                         elsewhere classified (R00-R53, R55-R94, R96-R99)
                  All other diseases (Residual) (F01-F99,H00-H57,L00-M99)
 137
       1
                  External causes of mortality (V01-Y84)
 138
 139
                    Accidents (unintentional injuries) (V01-X59)
                      Transport accidents (V01-V99)
 140
                        Motor vehicle accidents (V02-V04, V09.0, V09.2, V12-V14, V19.0-V19.2,
 141
                              V19.4-V19.6, V20-V79, V80.3-V80.5, V81.0-V81.1, V82.0-V82.1, V83-V86,
                              V87.0-V87.8, V88.0-V88.8, V89.0, V89.2)
                        Other and unspecified transport accidents (V01, V05-V06, V09.1, V09.3-
 142
                              V09.9, V10-V11, V15-V18, V19.3, V19.8-V19.9, V80.0-V80.2, V80.6-V80.9,
                              V81.2-V81.9, V82.2-V82.9, V87.9, V88.9, V89.1, V89.3, V89.9, V90-V99)
                      Falls (W00-W19)
 143
                       Accidental discharge of firearms (W32-W34)
 144
                       Accidental drowning and submersion (W65-W74)
 145
                      Accidental suffocation and strangulation in bed (W75)
 146
                       Other accidental suffocation and strangulation (W76-W77, W81-W84)
 147
                      Accidental inhalation and ingestion of food or other objects causing
 148
                            obstruction of respiratory tract (W78-W80)
                      Accidents caused by exposure to smoke, fire and flames (X00-X09)
 149
                       Accidental poisoning and exposure to noxious substances (X40-X49)
 150
 151
                       Other and unspecified accidents
                            (W20-W31, W35-W64, W85-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86)
                    Assault (homicide) (X85-Y09)
 152
 153
                      Assault (homicide) by hanging, strangulation and suffocation (X91)
                       Assault (homicide) by discharge of firearms (X93-X95)
 154
```

Neglect, abandonment and other maltreatment syndromes (Y06-Y07) Assault (homicide) by other and unspecified means

(x85-x90,x92,x96-x99,y00-y05,y08-y09)Complications of medical and surgical care (y40-y84)

Other external causes (X60-X84,Y10-Y36)

155 156

157

158

# DOCUMENTATION TABLE 1 LIVE BIRTHS AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM - 1999 PERIOD DATA

(RESIDENCE OF BIRTH IS OF THE MOTHER)

		DTUG			DEATUS	
-	LIVE B	IKIH2	Unweigh		DEATHS Weigh	ted 1/
State	Occurrence	Residence	Occurrence		Occurrence	Residence
UNITED STATES 2/	3,963,465	3,959,417	27,281	27,261	27,914	27,865
ALABAMA	61,337	62,122	605	602	27,914 605	602
ALASKA	9,843	9,950	56	59	57	60
ARIZONA	81,208	81,145	540	540	549	543
ARKANSAS	35,629	36,729	251	289	255	293
CALIFORNIA	519,102	518,508	2,717	2,709	2,800	2,796
	,	,	_,	_,	_,	_,
COLORADO	62,387	62,167	413	408	417	411
CONNECTICUT	43,253	43,310	261	258	261	260
DELAWARE	11,306	10,676	90	77	91	80
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	14,655	7,522	174	111	187	126
FLORIDA	197,153	197,023	1,447	1,431	1,459	1,436
GEORGIA	127,581	126,717	1,036	1,037	1,037	1,039
HAWAII	17,096	17,038	119	119	123	121
IDAHO	19,413	19,872	112	130	113	133
ILLINOIS	179,094	182,068	1,472	1,521	1,509	1,553
INDIANA	86,211	86,031	659	676	668	694
IOWA	37,701	37,558	209	213	209	213
KANSAS	38,231	38,782	209	286	278	286
KENTUCKY	52,829	54,403	360	392	365	404
LOUISIANA	67,419	67,136	615	607	631	623
MAINE	13,393	13,616	63	66	65	67
MADVLAND	67 605	71 067	F26	503	F20	F00
MARYLAND MASSACHUSETTS	67,605 81,767	71,967	526 411	593 408	529 424	599 431
MICHIGAN	81,767 132,307	80,939 133,607	1,043	1,050	1,072	421 1,074
MINNESOTA	65,787	65,970	405	408	405	408
MISSISSIPPI	41,747	42,684	400	427	400	431
MISSOURI	77,371	75,432	633	570	642	580
11133001(11	77,371	73,432	033	370	0.12	300
MONTANA	10,747	10,785	69	69	70	71
NEBRASKA	24,210	23,907	163	157	164	158
NEVADA	28,892	29,362	192	190	197	192
NEW HAMPSHIRE	13,684	14,041	68	82	_68	82
NEW JERSEY	110,992	114,105	710	739	737	761
NEW MEXICO	26,870	27,191	169	172	185	186
NEW YORK STATE	133,425	136,276	771	813	805	829
NEW YORK CITY	123,713	119,336	788	758	811	795
NORTH CAROLINA	114,885	113,795	1,048	1,032	1,050	1,035
NORTH DAKOTA	8,879	7,639	65	52	66	52
OHIO	153,257	152,584	1,180	1,153	1,296	1,245
OKLAHOMA	47.908	49.010	374	383	410	420
OREGON	46,106	45,204	271	258	272	258
PENNSYLVANIA	145,882	145,347	1,054	1,034	1,079	1,068
RHODE ISLAND	13,223	12,366	95	71	95	71
SOUTH CAROLINA	52,594	54,948	536	562	537	562
SOUTH DAKOTA	10,673	10,524	99	93	99	93
TENNESSEE		77,803				
TEXAS	82,963 352,970	349,245	699 2,086	602 2,083	699 2,145	603 2,143
UTAH	47,261	46,290	2,000	2,003	2,143	226
VERMONT	6,220	6,567	37	36	37	36
VIRGINIA	93,293	95,469	654	675	664	680
WASHINGTON	79,062	79,586	385	394	389	395
WEST VIRGINIA	21,376	20,728	172	152	174	154
WISCONSIN	67,192	68,208	442	455	442	455
WYOMING	5,763	6,129	28	42	28	42
FOREIGN RESIDENTS	-	3,873	-	20	-	20
PUERTO RICO 3/	59,684	59,563	627	623		
VIRGIN ISLANDS 3/	1,772	1,671	16	16	-	-
GUAM 3/	4,037	4,021	35	35	_	_
	.,	.,021	23	33		

<sup>1/</sup> FIGURES ARE BASED ON WEIGHTED DATA ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST INFANT, SO CATEGORIES MAY NOT ADD TO TOTALS.
2/ EXCLUDES DATA FOR PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM OCCURRENCES.
3/ DATA FROM THE PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM FILE.

# LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY RACE OF MOTHER, SEX AND BIRTHWEIGHT OF CHILD: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

RACE OF MOTHER AND   SEX	TOTAL   	<500   GRAMS   	500-749   GRAMS   	750-999 GRAMS	1000-1249   GRAMS	1250-1499   GRAMS 	1500-1999   GRAMS 	2000-2499   GRAMS 	2500 GRAMS    OR MORE   	NOT STATED
ALL RACES 1/										
BOTH SEXES										
LIVE BIRTHS		6,318	11,344	11,738	13,314	15,513			3,654,764	2,540
INFANT DEATHS	27,864	5,408	5,507	1,779	930	756			9,197	395
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	7.0	856.0	485.5	151.6	69.9	48.7	28.8	11.8	2.5	155.4
MALE										
LIVE BIRTHS		3,194	5,743	6,049	6,879	7,848				1,337
INFANT DEATHS	15,596	2,786	3,171	1,117	574	422			5,280	246
INF.MORT.RATE FEMALE	7.7	872.1	552.2	184.6	83.5	53.8	30.8	13.0	2.8	184.1
LIVE BIRTHS	1 932 563	3.124	5.601	5.689	6.435	7.665	30.536	99 439	1.772.871	1.203
INFANT DEATHS	12.268	2.623	2,336	663	356	334				148
INF.MORT.RATE	6.3	839.5	417.1	116.5	55.3	43.5		,	- ,	123.4
WHITE BOTH SEXES										
LIVE BIRTHS	3,132,501	3,495	6,597	7,297	8,674	10,398			2,924,576	1,790
INFANT DEATHS	18,136	2,997	3,292	1,143	632	541	1,191	1,507	6,622	216
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	5.8	857.5	499.0	156.7	72.8	52.0	29.0	11.7	2.3	117.6
MALE										
LIVE BIRTHS		1,789	3,356	3,814	4,517	5,366			1,505,807	951
INFANT DEATHS	10,238	1,564	1,887	727	401	303			- , -	126
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	6.4	874.1	562.4	190.5	88.7	56.5	31.3	13.0	2.5	126.2
FEMALE										
LIVE BIRTHS		1,706	3,241	3,483	4,157	5,032			1,418,769	839
INFANT DEATHS	7,898	1,433	1,404	417	231	238				96
INF.MORT.RATE	5.2	840.1	433.3	119.6	55.6	47.3	26.7	10.6	2.0	107.8
BLACK										
BOTH SEXES	605 070	2 500	4 200	2 071	4 045	4 202	15 543	44 005	F3F 000	427
LIVE BIRTHS	605,970	2,590	4,300	3,971	4,045	4,382				437
INFANT DEATHS	8,480	2,214	1,998	557	268	186			2,104	159
INF.MORT.RATE	14.0	855.0	464.6	140.3	66.2	42.4	27.6	12.6	4.0	364.6
MALE LIVE BIRTHS	307.670	1.291	2.145	1.984	2.036	2.102	7.261	20.013	270.586	252
	4,689	1,291	, -	340	2,036	103				116
INFANT DEATHS	4,689		1,158		75.8	49.2				460.4
INF.MORT.RATE FEMALE	15.2	873.7	539.7	171.2	/5.8	49.2	30.3	14.0	4.4	400.4
LIVE BIRTHS	298,300	1,299	2,155	1.987	2.009	2,280	8,281	24,792	255,312	185
INFANT DEATHS	3.790	1,299	2,155	217	2,009	2,280				43
INF.MORT.RATE	12.7	836.3	389.8	109.4	56.5	36.1			3.6	234.1
INF. HUNT. NATE	12.7	030.3	303.0	109.4	د. ه د	30.1	23.2	11.5	3.0	234.1

<sup>1/</sup> INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

-

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTHWEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

# (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED) (RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

					GESTA	ATION				
BIRTHWEIGHT	TOTAL	<28   WEEKS	28-31   WEEKS	32-35   WEEKS	36   WEEKS	37-39   WEEKS	40   WEEKS	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS   OR MORE	NOT STATED
ALL RACES 1/										
_										
TOTAL										
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	3,959,417 27,864 7.0	28,959 11,808 407.8	47,938 2,284 47.7	215,529 2,533 11.8	168,427 978 5.8	1,899,742 5,546 2.9	841,824 1,929 2.3	429,214 1,004 2.3	284,844 826 2.9	42,940 956 22.3
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS	302,113	27,943	36,403	100,712	34,331	76,016	11,099	5,214	6,293	4,102
INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	18,273 60.5	11,797 422.2	2,177 59.8	1,826 18.1	468 13.6	1,125 14.8	200 18.0	122 23.4	138 22.0	420 102.4
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS	6,318	5,846	233	23	2	8	1	1		203
INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	5,408 856.0	5,103 872.8	143 614.6	17 759.0	1 515.4	2 254.1	1 1008.3	1 1035.4	-	140 688.8
500-749 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS	11,344	9,528	1,405	136	9	23	5	4	6	228
INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	5,507 485.5	4,955 520.0	386 274.8	40 291.8	4 455.9	8 352.2	1 200.0	776.0	2 346.5	108 473.3
750-999 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS	11,738	6,990	3,839	457	40	108	45	17	23	219
INFANT DEATHS	1,779	1,251	386	71	1	11	4	-	5	49
INF. MORT. RATE	151.6	179.0	100.7	156.1	26.2	103.5	91.6	-	222.5	222.1
1,000-1,249 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS	13,314	3,063	7,176	2,110	138	347	101	54	94	231
INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	930 69.9	287 93.8	416 57.9	146 69.1	14 104.1	25 70.8	9 89.5	3 56.9	5 54.6	25 108.5
1,250-1,499 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS	15,513	916	8,166	4,755	384	698	140	70	123	261
INFANT DEATHS	756	105	345	190	27	53	7	5	4	20
INF. MORT. RATE	48.7	114.8	42.2	40.0	69.2	76.2	50.6	73.1	32.8	75.1
1,500-1,999 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS	59,599	928	11,357	31,912	5,004	7,248	961	509	852	828
INFANT DEATHS	1,714	63	371	676	144	301	47	29	42	42
INF. MORT. RATE	28.8	68.3	32.6	21.2	28.8	41.5	48.8	56.2	48.9	50.2

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTHWEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

# (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED) (RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

 		GESTATION												
BIRTHWEIGHT        - 	TOTAL	<28   WEEKS   	28-31   WEEKS	32-35   WEEKS	36   WEEKS   	37-39   WEEKS	40   WEEKS   	41 WEEKS	   42 WEEKS   OR MORE	NOT STATED				
ALL RACES 1/														
2,000-2,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	184,287	672	4,227	61,319	28,754	67,584	9,846	4,559	5,194	2,132				
	2,179	32	130	685	277	725	131	81	80	37				
	11.8	47.4	30.8	11.2	9.6	10.7	13.3	17.8	15.4	17.5				
2,500-2,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	653,618	1,016	4,141	54,506	61,417	366,971	87,083	37,983	33,784	6,717				
	3,010	11	68	415	276	1,504	377	157	162	40				
	4.6	11.2	16.4	7.6	4.5	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.8	5.9				
3,000-3,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	1,470,522	-	4,835	38,362	49,654	791,738	318,143	147,760	105,591	14,439				
	3,585	-	26	189	168	1,786	738	340	289	50				
	2.4	-	5.3	4.9	3.4	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	3.5				
3,500-3,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	1,137,786	-	2,559	17,385	18,378	515,360	309,743	164,754	98,450	11,157				
	1,916	-	14	75	51	863	438	275	167	34				
	1.7	-	5.5	4.3	2.8	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.7	3.0				
4,000-4,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	332,995	-	-	3,866	3,916	128,202	98,737	61,097	33,866	3,311				
	536	-	-	21	12	214	136	87	60	5				
	1.6	-	-	5.5	3.1	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.6				
4,500-4,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	53,773	-	-	600	638	19,150	15,494	11,177	6,131	583				
	103	-	-	4	2	36	33	18	6	4				
	1.9	-	-	6.9	3.2	1.9	2.1	1.6	1.0	7.2				
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	6,070	-	-	98	93	2,305	1,525	1,229	729	91				
	47	-	-	3	1	19	7	5	4	8				
	7.7	-	-	30.8	10.8	8.0	4.7	4.2	5.5	89.4				
NOT STATED LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	2,540	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,540				
	395	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	395				
	155.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	155.4				

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTHWEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

# (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED) (RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

 					GESTA	ATION				
BIRTHWEIGHT        - 	TOTAL	<28   WEEKS	28-31   WEEKS	32-35   WEEKS	36   WEEKS	37-39   WEEKS	40   WEEKS	41 WEEKS	   42 WEEKS   OR MORE	NOT STATED
WHITE										
TOTAL										
TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	3,132,501 18,136 5.8	16,805 6,882 409.5	31,869 1,490 46.8	155,999 1,828 11.7	127,394 682 5.4	1,504,419 3,993 2.7	682,583 1,367 2.0	351,794 729 2.1	228,098 590 2.6	33,540 574 17.1
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	206,135 11,303 54.8	16,236 6,874 423.4	24,210 1,425 58.8	71,684 1,311 18.3	24,236 316 13.0	51,773 789 15.2	7,385 135 18.3	3,554 84 23.7	4,184 87 20.9	2,873 281 97.9
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	3,495 2,997 857.5	3,197 2,803 876.9	138 92 670.0	16 12 767.9	2 1 515.4	4 1 258.3	- - -	- - -	- - -	138 87 629.3
500-749 GRAMS	037.3	0,0.5	0,0.0	707.5	313.4	230.3				023.3
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	6,597 3,292 499.0	5,452 2,946 540.3	855 225 263.4	93 30 318.7	7 4 586.1	20 6 303.5	5 1 200.0	- - -	5 2 415.8	160 78 485.9
750-999 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	7,297 1,143 156.7	4,249 807 189.9	2,438 239 97.9	314 53 169.0	26 1 40.2	77 6 79.5	32 3 96.6	12 - -	14 2 146.8	135 32 238.6
1,000-1,249 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	8,674 632 72.8	1,937 186 96.1	4,698 281 59.8	1,397 105 75.3	86 10 119.8	237 17 72.8	59 7 119.2	35 3 87.7	61 2 34.0	164 20 120.9
1,250-1,499 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	10,398 541 52.0	549 80 145.2	5,507 238 43.2	3,233 141 43.8	255 19 76.2	448 38 84.7	95 6 63.8	45 4 90.9	83 1 12.2	183 13 73.4
1,500-1,999 GRAMS										
LIVE BIRTHSINFANT DEATHSINFANT DEATHS	41,091 1,191 29.0	493 35 70.4	7,839 259 33.0	22,354 484 21.7	3,380 100 29.7	4,880 216 44.3	638 29 44.8	341 22 63.1	565 22 39.6	601 24 40.2

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTHWEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

# (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED) (RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

					GESTA	TION				
BIRTHWEIGHT   	TOTAL	<28   WEEKS	28-31   WEEKS	32-35   WEEKS	36   WEEKS	37-39   WEEKS	40   WEEKS   	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS   OR MORE	NOT STATED
WHITE										
2,000-2,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	128,583	359	2,735	44,277	20,480	46,107	6,556	3,121	3,456	1,492
	1,507	18	91	485	179	504	89	56	58	27
	11.7	49.2	33.1	11.0	8.8	10.9	13.7	17.8	16.7	18.1
2,500-2,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	468,901	569	2,557	39,787	45,886	262,990	61,150	27,210	23,913	4,839
	2,052	7	41	300	197	1,029	250	102	103	24
	4.4	12.8	16.1	7.5	4.3	3.9	4.1	3.7	4.3	4.9
3,000-3,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	1,151,179	-	3,191	27,607	38,670	622,788	249,376	116,358	81,859	11,330
	2,586	-	13	138	119	1,313	518	238	215	31
	2.2	-	4.2	5.0	3.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.6	2.7
3,500-3,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	959,764	-	1,911	13,223	14,768	436,245	262,352	139,509	82,455	9,301
	1,441	-	11	57	39	643	323	219	132	18
	1.5	-	5.8	4.3	2.6	1.5	1.2	1.6	1.6	2.0
4,000-4,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	291,909	-	-	3,117	3,244	111,914	87,181	54,010	29,617	2,826
	428	-	-	17	9	182	104	68	43	4
	1.5	-	-	5.6	2.8	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5
4,500-4,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	47,584	-	-	505	520	16,765	13,798	10,061	5,427	508
	83	-	-	4	2	23	31	13	6	3
	1.7	-	-	8.2	3.9	1.4	2.2	1.3	1.1	6.1
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	5,239 34 6.4	- - -	- - -	76 1 13.2	70 1 14.3	1,944 14 7.4	1,341 6 4.6	1,092 5 4.7	643 4 6.3	73 2 27.7
NOT STATED LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	1,790 210 117.6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	1,790 210 117.6

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTHWEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

# (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED) (RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

	GESTATION												
BIRTHWEIGHT	TOTAL	<28   WEEKS	28-31   WEEKS	32-35   WEEKS	36   WEEKS	37-39   WEEKS	40   WEEKS	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS OR MORE	NOT STATED			
BLACK													
TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	605,970	10,977	13,840	48,511	31,882	284,513	112,664	55,929	42,690	4,964			
	8,480	4,477	701	611	246	1,243	450	237	200	316			
	14.0	407.8	50.7	12.6	7.7	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.7	63.6			
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	79,635	10,605	10,628	24,043	8,122	19,248	2,982	1,390	1,769	848			
	6,217	4,472	669	443	125	270	51	31	46	111			
	78.1	421.7	62.9	18.4	15.4	14.0	17.0	22.0	25.8	130.3			
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	2,590	2,433	86	6	-	4	1	1	1	58			
	2,214	2,109	49	4	-	1	1	1	-	50			
	855.0	866.7	566.4	683.4	-	250.0	1008.3	1035.4	-	857.2			
500-749 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,300 1,998 464.6	3,712 1,820 490.4	489 142 291.4	38 8 211.3	1 -	3 2 676.6	- - -	1 1 1035.4	1 -	55 24 432.8			
750-999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	3,971 557 140.3	2,456 391 159.2	1,271 128 101.0	119 17 145.0	12 - -	27 5 187.4	12 1 86.0	4 -	9 3 340.2	61 11 184.9			
1,000-1,249 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,045	985	2,175	618	40	95	35	19	32	46			
	268	92	124	35	1	7	2	-	3	3			
	66.2	93.6	57.2	55.9	25.3	76.8	57.4	-	95.7	67.9			
1,250-1,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,382	333	2,316	1,263	113	211	36	20	39	51			
	186	22	96	42	5	11	1	1	2	5			
	42.4	67.1	41.6	33.0	45.1	52.8	28.5	51.5	51.8	101.2			
1,500-1,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	15,542	395	2,985	8,045	1,349	1,948	270	147	242	161			
	429	25	96	164	34	65	13	6	16	10			
	27.6	62.2	32.1	20.4	24.9	33.4	49.1	41.0	67.1	63.7			

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTHWEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

# (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED) (RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

					GESTA	TION				
BIRTHWEIGHT         	TOTAL	<28   WEEKS	28-31   WEEKS	32-35   WEEKS	36   WEEKS	37-39   WEEKS	40   WEEKS   	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS OR MORE	NOT STATED
BLACK										
2,000-2,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	44,805	291	1,306	13,954	6,607	16,960	2,628	1,198	1,445	416
	565	13	32	173	86	178	32	21	21	7
	12.6	45.4	24.9	12.4	13.0	10.5	12.3	17.9	14.8	17.3
2,500-2,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	139,324	372	1,361	11,977	11,991	77,047	19,467	8,216	7,858	1,035
	801	4	22	98	66	387	110	49	53	12
	5.8	10.9	16.5	8.2	5.5	5.0	5.6	6.0	6.7	11.8
3,000-3,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	229,856	-	1,368	8,572	8,431	120,134	48,790	22,906	18,105	1,550
	823	-	8	47	41	393	170	89	59	16
	3.6	-	5.9	5.4	4.8	3.3	3.5	3.9	3.3	10.5
3,500-3,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	124,040	-	483	3,269	2,743	54,984	32,453	17,761	11,503	844
	374	-	2	17	11	164	93	48	29	10
	3.0	-	4.2	5.3	4.1	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.6	12.0
4,000-4,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	27,918 76 2.7	- - -	- - -	557 4 7.3	496 3 6.1	11,196 17 1.5	7,749 23 3.0	4,795 16 3.4	2,925 12 4.2	200
4,500-4,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,206	-	-	78	83	1,659	1,110	766	474	36
	16	-	-	-	-	9	2	4	-	1
	3.9	-	-	-	-	5.5	1.8	5.3	-	30.5
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	554	-	-	15	16	245	113	95	56	14
	12	-	-	2	-	3	1	-	-	6
	22.1	-	-	134.1	-	12.6	8.9	-	-	436.9
NOT STATED LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	437	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	437
	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	159
	364.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	364.6

<sup>1/</sup> INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

<sup>-</sup> DATA NOT AVAILABLE.

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH:

UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER	  LIVE BIRTHS   	     INFANT 	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	LATE NEONATAL	   POST-   NEONATAL
ALL RACES1/						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS)NUMBER	3,959,417	27,864	18,701	14,874	3,827	9,164
RATE		7.0	4.7	3.8	1.0	2.3
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	302,113	18,280 60.5	14,966 49.5	12,531 41.5	2,435 8.1	3,314 11.0
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER	6,318	5,444	5,352	5,170	182	92
RATE		861.7	847.1	818.3	28.8	14.6
500-749 GRAMSNUMBER	11,344	5,500	4,806	3,903	903	694
RATE		484.9	423.7	344.1	79.6	61.2
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER	11,738	1,771	1,324	902	422	447
RATE		150.8	112.8	76.8	35.9	38.1
1,000-1,249 GRAMSNUMBER	13,314	920	678	481	197	241
RATE		69.1	51.0	36.2	14.8	18.1
1,250-1,499 GRAMSNUMBER	15,513	748	527	402	125	221
RATE		48.2	34.0	25.9	8.1	14.3
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER	59,599	1,718	1,116	859	257	602
RATE		28.8	18.7	14.4	4.3	10.1
2,000-2,499 GRAMSNUMBER	184,287	2,180	1,163	814	349	1,017
RATE		11.8	6.3	4.4	1.9	5.5
2,500-2,999 GRAMSNUMBER	653,618	3,004	1,205	722	483	1,799
RATE		4.6	1.8	1.1	. 7	2.8
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER	1,470,522	3,584	1,197	687	510	2,387
RATE		2.4	.8	. 5	.3	1.6
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER	1,137,786	1,918	659	374	285	1,259
RATE		1.7	.6	.3	.3	1.1
4,000-4,499 GRAMSNUMBER	332,995	533	224	139	85	309
RATE		1.6	.7	.4	. 3	. 9
4,500-4,999 GRAMSNUMBER	53,773	103	44	31	13	59
RATE		1.9	.8	.6	. 2	1.1
5,000 GRAMS OR MORENUMBER RATE	6,070	47 7.7	31 5.1	27 4.4	. 7	16 2.7
NOT STATEDNUMBER RATE	2,540	395 155.3	374 147.3	363 142.9	11 4.4	20 8.0

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH:

UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)-Continued

BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER	  LIVE BIRTHS   	INFANT	TOTAL   NEONATAL   I	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE     NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
WHITE						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS)NUMBER RATE	3,132,501	18,135 5.8	12,186 3.9	9,635 3.1	2,551 .8	5,949 1.9
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	206,135	11,305 54.8	9,362 45.4	7,868 38.2	1,494 7.2	1,943 9.4
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER	3,495	3,012	2,966	2,873	93	46
RATE		861.7	848.6	822.0	26.6	13.1
500-749 GRAMSNUMBER	6,597	3,290	2,945	2,435	510	346
RATE		498.8	446.4	369.1	77.2	52.4
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER	7,297	1,141	902	638	264	239
RATE		156.3	123.6	87.5	36.1	32.7
1,000-1,249 GRAMSNUMBER	8,674	625	477	352	125	148
RATE		72.0	55.0	40.6	14.4	17.0
1,250-1,499 GRAMSNUMBER	10,398	536	401	321	80	135
RATE		51.5	38.5	30.9	7.7	13.0
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER	41,091	1,195	817	637	180	377
RATE		29.1	19.9	15.5	4.4	9.2
2,000-2,499 GRAMSNUMBER	128,583	1,507	854	612	243	653
RATE		11.7	6.6	4.8	1.9	5.1
2,500-2,999 GRAMSNUMBER	468,901	2,050	916	552	364	1,134
RATE		4.4	2.0	1.2	.8	2.4
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER	1,151,179	2,586	940	554	386	1,646
RATE		2.2	.8	.5	. 3	1.4
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER	959,764	1,443	527	310	217	916
RATE		1.5	.5	.3	. 2	1.0
4,000-4,499 GRAMSNUMBER	291,909	424	188	117	71	237
RATE		1.5	.6	.4	. 2	.8
4,500-4,999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	47,584	83 1.7	34 .7	25 .5	9.2	49 1.0
5,000 GRAMS OR MORENUMBER RATE	5,239	34 6.4	20 3.9	16 3.1	. 8	13 2.5
NOT STATEDNUMBER	1,790	210 117.5	199 111.3	192 107.3	7 4.0	11 6.3

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH:

UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)-Continued

BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER	  LIVE BIRTHS     	INFANT   	TOTAL   NEONATAL   I	EARLY   NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
BLACK						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS)NUMBER	605,970	8,480	5,739	4,617	1,122	2,741
RATE		14.0	9.5	7.6	1.9	4.5
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	79,635	6,222 78.1	4,994 62.7	4,143 52.0	851 10.7	1,228 15.4
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	2,590	2,233 862.1	2,186 844.1	2,102 811.7	84 32.4	47 18.0
500-749 GRAMSNUMBER	4,300	1,995	1,669	1,311	358	327
RATE		464.0	388.0	304.8	83.3	76.0
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER	3,971	551	361	221	140	190
RATE		138.7	91.0	55.7	35.3	47.7
1,000-1,249 GRAMSNUMBER	4,045	264	175	110	65	90
RATE		65.4	43.2	27.2	16.0	22.2
1,250-1,499 GRAMSNUMBER	4,382	184	111	65	45	73
RATE		41.9	25.3	14.9	10.3	16.7
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER	15,542	429	241	177	64	188
RATE		27.6	15.5	11.4	4.1	12.1
2,000-2,499 GRAMSNUMBER	44,805	566	252	157	95	314
RATE		12.6	5.6	3.5	2.1	7.0
2,500-2,999 GRAMSNUMBER	139,324	797	221	124	97	576
RATE		5.7	1.6	.9	. 7	4.1
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER	229,856	822	209	113	96	613
RATE		3.6	. 9	.5	. 4	2.7
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER	124,040	375	112	5 2	60	263
RATE		3.0	.9	. 4	. 5	2.1
4,000-4,499 GRAMSNUMBER	27,918	76	29	18	11	47
RATE		2.7	1.1	.7	. 4	1.7
4,500-4,999 GRAMSNUMBER	4,206	16	9	6	3	7
RATE		3.9	2.2	1.5	.7	1.7
5,000 GRAMS OR MORENUMBER RATE	554	12 22.1	10 18.4	10 18.4	- -	2 3.7
NOT STATEDNUMBER	437	159 364.5	154 352.7	150 343.4	4 9.3	5 11.8

<sup>1/</sup> INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

\_

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	   LIVE   BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
ALL RACES 1/,						
ALL BIRTHWEIGHTS						
ALL CAUSES	- , ,	27,864 703.7	18,700 472.3	14,874 375.6	3,827 96.7	9,164 231.4
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		5,480 138.4	3,903 98.6	2,976 75.2	927 23.4	1,577 39.8
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		4,377 110.5	4,304 108.7	4,186 105.7	118 3.0	73 1.9
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER RATE		2,643 66.8	203 5.1	28 . 7	175 4.4	2,440 61.6
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER RATE		1,387 35.0	1,379 34.8	1,369 34.6	10 .3	. 2
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER RATE		1,127 28.5	1,068 27.0	857 21.7	210 5.3	59 1.5
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		1,017 25.7	1,002 25.3	955 24.1	47 1.2	15 .4
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		839 21.2	104 2.6	37 .9	67 1.7	735 18.6
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER RATE		694 17.5	662 16.7	276 7.0	386 9.7	31 .8
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		667 16.9	273 6.9	161 4.1	112 2.8	394 10.0
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER		659 16.6	650 16.4	595 15.0	55 1.4	9.2
ALL OTHER CAUSES		8,974 226.6	5,153 130.1	3,433 86.7	1,720 43.4	3,821 96.5

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER     	LIVE   BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
ALL RACES 1/,						
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS						
ALL CAUSES	302,113	18,273 6,048.4	14,960 4,951.9	12,527 4,146.6	2,433 805.3	3,313 1,096.5
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		3,047 1,008.6	2,412 798.4	2,021 669.1	391 129.3	635 210.2
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		4,185 1,385.1	4,113 1,361.5	3,999 1,323.8	114 37.8	71 23.6
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER RATE		539 178.3	31 10.4	3 1.0	28 9.3	507 167.9
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER RATE		1,308 432.9	1,302 430.8	1,292 427.8	9 3.0	6 2.1
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER		1,070 354.2	1,019 337.4	822 272.1	197 65.3	51 16.8
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		872 288.7	863 285.7	831 275.2	32 10.5	9 3.0
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		133 44.1	22 7.1	14 4.8	7 2.3	112 37.0
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		587 194.4	560 185.3	225 74.3	335 111.0	27 9.1
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		282 93.5	123 40.6	85 28.2	37 12.4	160 52.9
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)		611 202.4	603 199.7	552 182.6	52 17.1	8 2.7
ALL OTHER CAUSES		5,638 1,866.3	3,912 1,295.0	2,682 887.7	1,230 407.3	1,726 571.3

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE     BIRTHS   	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
ALL RACES 1/,						
2,500 GRAMS $\overline{O}R$ MORE						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	, ,	9,197 251.6	3,366 92.1	1,983 54.3	1,383 37.8	5,831 159.5
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER RATE		2,396 65.5	1,460 40.0	926 25.3	534 14.6	935 25.6
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		51 1.4	50 1.4	46 1.3	. 1	.0
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER RATE		2,103 57.5	172 4.7	25 . 7	147 4.0	1,931 52.8
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER RATE		28 .8	26 . 7	25 . 7	.0	2.1
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER RATE		45 1.2	37 1.0	24 .6	13 .4	8 . 2
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		101 2.8	94 2.6	79 2.2	15 . 4	6.2
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		704 19.3	80 2.2	21 .6	60 1.6	623 17.1
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER RATE		104 2.9	100 2.7	51 1.4	50 1.4	.1
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		380 10.4	146 4.0	74 2.0	73 2.0	233 6.4
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER RATE		40 1.1	39 1.1	36 1.0	3 .1	.0
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE		3,245 88.8	1,160 31.8	677 18.5	483 13.2	2,085 57.0

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	   LIVE     BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
ALL RACES 1/,						
NOT STATED BIRTHWEIGHT						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	. ,	395 15,535.4	374 14,733.4	363 14,292.0	11 441.4	20 802.0
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		38 1,480.2	30 1,200.4	28 1,121.2	2 79.2	7 279.8
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		141 5,570.9	140 5,529.8	140 5,529.8	-	1 41.0
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER		1 39.4	- -	-	-	1 39.4
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER RATE		52 2,035.4	52 2,035.4	52 2,035.4	-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER		11 449.5	11 449.5	11 449.5	-	-
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		45 1,754.3	45 1,754.3	45 1,754.3	-	-
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		2 80.0	2 80.0	2 80.0	-	-
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		2 83.2	2 83.2	1 41.0	1 42.2	-
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBERRATE		5 204.1	4 164.3	2 85.6	2 78.7	1 39.8
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER		7 290.0	7 290.0	7 290.0	-	-
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER		90 3,548.5	80 3,146.5	74 2,905.2	6 241.3	10 402.0

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE     BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
WHITE, ALL BIRTHWEIGHTS						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER		18,136 579.0	12,186 389.0	9,635 307.6	2,551 81.4	5,950 189.9
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		4,204 134.2	3,071 98.0	2,363 75.4	708 22.6	1,133 36.2
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER		2,366 75.5	2,329 74.3	2,264 72.3	65 2.1	38 1.2
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER		1,741 55.6	141 4.5	22 .7	119 3.8	1,601 51.1
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER		871 27.8	868 27.7	862 27.5	6 . 2	3.1
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER		716 22.9	677 21.6	558 17.8	119 3.8	39 1.2
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER		627 20.0	621 19.8	592 18.9	29 . 9	6
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		571 18.2	83 2.6	33 1.1	50 1.6	489 15.6
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		419 13.4	402 12.8	169 5.4	233 7.5	16 .5
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		457 14.6	195 6.2	108 3.4	87 2.8	262 8.4
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER		459 14.6	453 14.4	420 13.4	32 1.0	6.2
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER	•	5,704 182.1	3,347 106.8	2,244 71.6	1,103 35.2	2,357 75.3

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	   LIVE     BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
WHITE, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	,	11,303 5,483.2	9,361 4,541.0	7,868 3,816.9	1,493 724.1	1,942 942.2
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		2,272 1,102.1	1,855 899.7	1,571 762.2	283 137.5	417 202.4
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		2,259 1,095.8	2,221 1,077.5	2,161 1,048.2	61 29.4	38 18.2
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER RATE		313 151.7	19 9.3	2 1.0	17 8.3	293 142.3
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER RATE		824 399.6	823 399.1	818 396.7	5 2.4	. 5
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER RATE		677 328.4	645 313.1	534 259.2	111 53.9	32 15.3
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		536 260.0	532 258.0	513 248.7	19 9.3	4 2.0
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		91 44.0	18 8.5	13 6.5	4 2.0	73 35.5
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		342 165.8	329 159.4	131 63.4	198 96.0	13 6.4
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		189 91.5	83 40.2	57 27.5	26 12.8	106 51.3
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER		421 204.4	416 202.0	387 187.8	29 14.2	5 2.4
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE		3,380 1,639.8	2,420 1,174.0	1,681 815.7	739 358.3	960 465.9

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	   LIVE     BIRTHS   	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
WHITE, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE		6,622 226.4	2,626 89.8	1,575 53.9	1,051 35.9	3,996 136.6
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		1,904 65.1	1,193 40.8	771 26.4	422 14.4	711 24.3
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		38 1.3	38 1.3	34 1.1	. 1	-
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER		1,428 48.8	121 4.1	19 .7	102 3.5	1,306 44.7
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER RATE		19 .7	17 .6	16 .6	. 0	. 1
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER RATE		31 1.0	24 .8	15 .5	8.3	. 7 . 2
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		72 2.5	70 2.4	60 2.0	10 .3	. 1
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		479 16.4	63 2.2	18 .6	46 1.6	416 14.2
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		76 2.6	73 2.5	37 1.3	36 1.2	3 .1
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		266 9.1	111 3.8	51 1.8	60 2.0	155 5.3
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER		33 1.1	32 1.1	29 1.0	3 .1	.0
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE		2,278 77.9	885 30.3	525 17.9	360 12.3	1,393 47.6

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE     BIRTHS   	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
WHITE, NOT STATED BIRTHWEIGHT						
ALL CAUSES		210 11,756.8	199 11,129.3	192 10,730.5	7 398.9	11 627.5
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		28 1,583.2	23 1,298.5	21 1,186.1	2 112.4	5 284.7
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		70 3,903.5	70 3,903.5	70 3,903.5	-	-
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER		1 55.9	-	-	-	1 55.9
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER		28 1,564.3	28 1,564.3	28 1,564.3	-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER RATE		8 464.0	8 464.0	8 464.0	-	-
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		20 1,098.2	20 1,098.2	20 1,098.2	-	-
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		2 113.5	2 113.5	2 113.5	-	-
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		1 58.2	1 58.2	1 58.2	-	-
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (I00-I99)NUMBER RATE		2 112.3	1 55.9		1 55.9	1 56.5
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER		4 229.2	4 229.2	4 229.2	-	-
ALL OTHER CAUSES		46 2,574.7	42 2,344.2	38 2,113.6	4 230.6	4 230.5

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	   LIVE    BIRTHS   	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
BLACK, ALL BIRTHWEIGHTS						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	605,970	8,480 1,399.4	5,739 947.0	4,616 761.8	1,122 185.2	2,741 452.4
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		1,002 165.3	645 106.5	472 77.9	173 28.5	357 58.9
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		1,842 304.0	1,810 298.6	1,763 291.0	47 7.7	33 5.4
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER		787 129.9	55 9.0	. 9	49 8.1	732 120.9
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER RATE		465 76.7	460 75.8	455 75.2	. 7	. 9
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER RATE		373 61.5	354 58.5	268 44.3	86 14.2	18 3.0
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		344 56.7	335 55.2	319 52.7	16 2.6	9 1.5
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		235 38.8	19 3.2	. 7	15 2.5	216 35.7
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		255 42.0	241 39.7	97 16.0	143 23.7	14 2.3
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		173 28.5	65 10.7	44 7.2	21 3.5	108 17.8
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER		185 30.5	182 30.0	162 26.7	20 3.3	3 . 5
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE		2,820 465.3	1,574 259.7	1,026 169.3	548 90.4	1,246 205.6

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	   LIVE   BIRTHS 	   INFANT   DEATHS 	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
BLACK, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS						
ALL CAUSES	ER 79,635	6,217 7,806.6	4,990 6,265.7	4,140 5,198.5	850 1,067.2	1,227 1,540.8
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMB	ER	617 774.2	436 547.0	346 433.9	90 113.0	181 227.3
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMB RATE	ER	1,762 2,212.1	1,730 2,172.3	1,683 2,113.9	47 58.4	32 39.8
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMB	ER	215 269.9	12 15.1	1 1.3	11 13.9	203 254.8
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMB	ER	438 550.0	433 543.5	429 538.3	4 5.2	5 6.5
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMB	ER	357 448.6	340 426.9	259 325.3	81 101.6	17 21.7
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMB RATE	ER	296 371.9	291 365.6	280 351.2	11 14.4	5 6.3
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMB RATE	ER	40 49.7	4 5.1	1 1.3	3 3.8	36 44.6
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMB	ER	229 287.8	216 271.3	86 107.6	130 163.7	13 16.5
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMB RATE	ER	83 104.8	31 39.5	22 28.1	9 11.4	52 65.2
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMB	ER	178 223.1	175 219.2	154 193.7	20 25.5	3 3.9
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMB	ER	2,002 2,514.4	1,322 1,660.2	879 1,103.9	443 556.3	680 854.1

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE     BIRTHS   	INFANT DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
BLACK, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	,	2,104 400.0	595 113.1	326 62.0	268 51.0	1,509 286.9
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		381 72.5	206 39.3	124 23.5	83 15.8	175 33.2
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		12 2.3	12 2.3	12 2.3	-	-
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER		572 108.8	43 8.1	. 8	38 7.3	529 100.7
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER RATE		8 1.6	8 1.6	8 1.6	-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER		12 2.3	11 2.1	6 1.2	5 1.0	. 2
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		26 4.9	22 4.1	18 3.4	. 8	. 8
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE		196 37.2	15 2.9	. 6	12 2.3	181 34.3
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		24 4.6	23 4.5	11 2.2	12 2.3	. 2
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		87 16.6	32 6.0	21 3.9	11 2.1	56 10.6
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)NUMBER		5 1.0	5 1.0	5 1.0		-
ALL OTHER CAUSES		779 148.2	217 41.3	114 21.7	103 19.6	562 106.9

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTHWEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1999 PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTHWEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	   LIVE     BIRTHS   	   INFANT   DEATHS	   TOTAL   NEONATAL 	   EARLY   NEONATAL 	   LATE   NEONATAL 	   POST-   NEONATAL 
BLACK, NOT STATED BIRTHWEIGHT						
ALL CAUSES		159 36,457.7	154 35,282.0	150 34,350.3	4 931.7	5 1,175.7
CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS (Q00-Q99)NUMBER		4 929.1	3 697.8	3 697.8	-	1 231.2
SHORT GESTATION AND LOW BIRTHWEIGHT NEC (P07)NUMBER RATE		68 15,653.1	67 15,414.6	67 15,414.6	-	1 238.5
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (R95)NUMBER		-	-	-	-	-
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY (P01)NUMBER		19 4,246.9	19 4,246.9	19 4,246.9	-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS OF NEWBORN (P22)NUMBER RATE		3 711.9	3 711.9	3 711.9	-	-
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, CORD, MEMBRANES (P02).NUMBER RATE		22 5,010.1	22 5,010.1	22 5,010.1	-	-
ACCIDENTS (UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES) (V01-X59)NUMBER RATE			-			-
BACTERIAL SEPSIS OF NEWBORN (P36)NUMBER		1 245.2	1 245.2		1 245.2	- -
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (100-199)NUMBER RATE		2 457.7	2 457.7	1 228.8	1 228.8	-
ATELECTASIS (P28.0-P28.1)		2 507.8	2 507.8	2 507.8		- -
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER		38 8,696.0	35 7,990.1	33 7,532.4	2 457.7	3 706.0

<sup>1/</sup> INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1999 BIRTH PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1999 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION.

RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

Area and Race of Child 1/	Infant	Total NeoNatal	Early NeoNatal	Late NeoNatal	Post- NeoNatal
United States 2/	627	469	428	41	158
WHITE	374	267	245	22	107
BLACK	222	177	164	13	45
Alabama	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	-	-	_	_	_
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska	1	-	-	-	1
WHITE	1	-	-	-	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Arizona	8	3	2	1	5
WHITE	5	2	1	1	3
BLACK	1	-	-	-	1
Arkansas	4	2	2	-	2
WHITE	3	1	1	-	2
BLACK	1	1	1	-	-
California	86	75	70	5	11
WHITE	60	52	49	3	8
BLACK	12	9	8	1	3
Colorado	5	3	2	1	2
WHITE	5	3	2	1	2
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Connecticut	2	-	-	-	2
WHITE	2	-	-	-	2
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
District of Columbia	6	6	6	-	-
WHITE	1	1	1	-	-
BLACK	5	5	5	-	-
Florida	9	1	1	-	8
WHITE	5	-	-	-	5
BLACK	4	1	1	-	3
Georgia	1	1	1	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	1	1	1	-	-
Hawaii	2	2	1	1	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	3	3	2	1	-
WHITE	1	1	1	-	-
BLACK	1	1	-	1	-
Illinois	35	28	27	1	7
WHITE	15	11	11	<del>-</del>	4
BLACK	19	17	16	1	2
Indiana	13	9	9	-	4
WHITE	9	6	6	-	3
BLACK	4	3	3	-	1
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1999 BIRTH PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1999 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

Area and Race of Child 1/	Infant	Total NeoNatal	Early NeoNatal	Late NeoNatal	Post- NeoNatal
KansasWHITE.BLACK.	4 4 -	- - -	- - - -	- - -	4 4 -
KentuckyWHITE.BLACK.	14	8	6	2	6
	10	5	3	2	5
	4	3	3	-	1
LouisianaWHITEBLACK	14	8	8	-	6
	5	2	2	-	3
	8	6	6	-	2
Maine	2	1	1	-	1
WHITE	2	1	1	-	1
BLACK.	-	-	-	-	-
MarylandWHITEBLACK.	8	3	2	1	5
	3	1	-	1	2
	5	2	2	-	3
MassachusettsWHITEBLACK.	12	11	11	-	1
	9	8	8	-	1
	3	3	3	-	-
MichiganWHITEBLACK.	28	24	22	2	4
	15	12	11	1	3
	13	12	11	1	1
MinnesotaWHITEBLACK.	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -
MississippiWHITEBLACK.	3	1	-	1	2
	2	-	-	-	2
	1	1	-	1	-
MissouriWHITEBLACK.	8	7	6	1	1
	6	5	4	1	1
	2	2	2	-	-
MontanaWHITEBLACK.	1	-	-	-	1
	1	-	-	-	1
	-	-	-	-	-
NebraskaWHITEBLACK.	1	1	1	-	-
	1	1	1	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
NevadaWHITEBLACK.	4 3 1	1 1 -	-	1 1 -	3 2 1
New HampshireWHITEBLACK.	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -
New JerseyWHITEBLACK.	27	23	22	1	4
	12	8	8	-	4
	15	15	14	1	-
New MexicoWHITEBLACK.	15	12	12	-	3
	13	11	11	-	2
	-	-	-	-	-

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1999 BIRTH PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1999 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION.

RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

Area and Race of Child 1/	Infant	Total NeoNatal	Early NeoNatal	Late NeoNatal	Post- NeoNatal
New York State	57	36	32	4	21
WHITE	27	20	20	-	7
BLACK	26	12	12	-	14
New York City	_	-	_	_	_
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
North Carolina	2	1	1	_	1
WHITE	1	-	-	-	1
BLACK	1	1	1	-	-
North Dakota	1	1	1	_	_
WHITE	1	1	1	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	101	79	74	5	22
WHITE	51	37	34	3	14
BLACK	50	42	40	2	8
Oklahoma	36	30	25	5	6
WHITE	24	21	19	2	3
BLACK	10	8	5	3	2
Oregon	1	-	-	-	1
WHITE	1	-	-	-	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	26	20	20	_	6
WHITE	12	8	8	-	4
BLACK	14	12	12	-	2
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
South Carolina	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	1	1	-	1	-
WHITE	1	1	-	1	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	60	50	45	5	10
WHITE	42	33	30	3	9
BLACK	18	17	15	2	1
Utah	6	4	4	-	2
WHITE	5	3	3	-	2
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	14	11	9	2	3
WHITE	10	8	6	2	2
BLACK	3	3	3	-	-
Washington	4	1	1	-	3
WHITE	4	1	1	-	3
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1999 BIRTH PERIOD DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1999 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION.

RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

Area and Race of Child 1/	Infant	Total NeoNatal	Early NeoNatal	Late NeoNatal	Post- NeoNatal
West Virginia WHITEBLACK.	2 2 -	2 2 -	2 2	-	-
WisconsinWHITEBLACK	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Wyoming WHITE BLACK	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Foreign Residents WHITEBLACK.	6 2 2	4 1 2	1 - 1	3 1 1	2 1 -
Puerto Rico 3/	2 2 -	1 1 -	1 1 -	- - -	1 1 -
Virgin Islands 3/ WHITE BLACK	2 2 -	1 1	- - -	1 1 -	1 1 -
Guam 3/WHITE.BLACK.	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -

<sup>/1</sup> TOTALS FOR GEOGRAPHIC AREAS INCLUDE RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK.

<sup>/2</sup> EXCLUDES DATA FOR FORIEGN RESIDENTS, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM.

<sup>/3</sup> DATA FROM THE PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM FILE.

# National Vital Statistics Reports





Volume 50, Number 4 January 30, 2002

# Infant Mortality Statistics from the 1999 Period Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set

by T.J. Mathews, M.S., Marian F. MacDorman, Ph.D., and Fay Menacker, Dr.P.H., Division of Vital Statistics

# **Abstract**

Objectives—This report presents 1999 period infant mortality statistics from the linked birth/infant death data set (linked file) by a variety of maternal and infant characteristics.

Methods—Descriptive tabulations of data are presented.

Results—In general, mortality rates were lowest for infants born to Chinese and Japanese mothers (2.9 and 3.4 per 1,000, respectively). Infants of Cuban, Central and South American, Mexican, and non-Hispanic white mothers had low rates, while rates were higher for infants of Puerto Rican and highest for non-Hispanic black mothers

(13.9). Filipino mothers also had low rates. Rates were high for infants of Hawaiian and American Indian mothers. Infant mortality rates were higher for those infants whose mothers had no prenatal care, were teenagers, had 9–11 years of education, were unmarried, or smoked during pregnancy. Infant mortality was also higher for male infants, multiple births, and infants born preterm or at low birthweight. The three leading causes of infant death—Congenital malformations, low birthweight, and Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS)–taken together accounted for 45 percent all infant deaths in the United States in 1999. Cause-specific mortality rates varied considerably by race and Hispanic origin. For infants of black mothers, the infant mortality rate for low




Figure 1. Infant mortality rates by race and ethnicity, 1999

birthweight was four times that for white mothers. For infants of American Indian mothers, the SIDS rate was 2.4 times that for non-Hispanic white mothers. SIDS rates for infants of Hispanic and Asian or Pacific Islander mothers, were 40-50 percent lower than those for non-Hispanic white mothers.

Keywords: infant mortality • infant health • birthweight • maternal characteristics

# Introduction

This report presents infant mortality data from the 1999 period linked file. In the linked file the information from the death certificate is linked to information from the birth certificate for each infant under 1 year of age who died in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, or Guam during 1999. Linked birth-infant death data are not available for American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas. The purpose of the linkage is to use the many additional variables available from the birth certificate to conduct more detailed analyses of infant mortality patterns. This report presents infant mortality data by race and Hispanic origin of the mother, birthweight, period of gestation, sex of infant, plurality, trimester of pregnancy prenatal care began, maternal age, maternal educational attainment, live-birth order, marital status, mother's place of birth, maternal smoking during pregnancy, age at death, and underlying cause of death (tables 1-7). Other variables that are available in the linked file data set (1), but which are not discussed in this report, include: father's age, race, and Hispanic origin; birth attendant; place of delivery; weight gain during pregnancy; and many medical and health measurements. Another report, based on data from the vital statistics mortality file, provides more detailed information on trends in infant mortality and on causes of infant death (2).

# **Methods**

Data shown in this report are based on birth and infant death certificates registered in all States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam. As part of the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP), each State provided to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), matching birth and death certificate numbers for each infant under 1 year of age who died in the State during 1999. When the birth and death occurred in different States, the State of death was responsible for contacting the State of birth identified on the death certificate to obtain the original birth certificate number. NCHS used the matching birth and death certificate numbers provided by the States to extract final edited data from the NCHS natality and mortality statistical files. These data were linked to form a single statistical record, thereby establishing a national linked record file.

After the initial linkage, NCHS returned computer lists of unlinked infant death records and records with inconsistent data between the birth and death certificates to each State. State additions and corrections were incorporated, and a final national linked file was produced. In 1999, 97.7 percent of all infant death records were successfully matched to their corresponding birth records. This is lower than in 1998 (98.4) but nearly the same as 1997 (97.9). A record weight was added to the linked file in 1999 to compensate for the 2.2 percent of infant death records that were not linked to their corresponding birth certificates. See the Technical notes for more information on the weighting of the linked file.

Cause-of-death statistics in this publication are classified in accordance with the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) (3). Previous issues of this report included causes of death classified according to the-Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) (4).

# Data by maternal and infant characteristics

This report presents descriptive tabulations of infant mortality data by a variety of maternal and infant characteristics. These tabulations are useful for understanding the basic relationships between risk factors and infant mortality, unadjusted for the possible effects of other variables. In reality, women with one risk factor often have other risk factors as well. For example, teenage mothers are more likely to also be unmarried and of a low-income status, and mothers who do not receive prenatal care are more likely to be of a low-income status and uninsured. The preferred method for disentangling the multiple interrelationships among risk factors is multivariate analysis; however, an understanding of the basic relationships between risk factors and infant mortality is a necessary precursor to more sophisticated types of analyses, and is the aim of this publication.

Race and Hispanic origin data-Infant mortality rates are presented for detailed race of mother and for mothers of Hispanic origin groups. The linked file is particularly useful for computing accurate infant mortality rates for this purpose because the race of the mother from the birth certificate is used in both the numerator and denominator of the infant mortality rate. In contrast, for the vital statistics mortality data—the more "traditional" source of infant mortality data—race information for the denominator is the race of the mother as reported on the birth certificate, whereas the race information for the numerator is the race of the decedent as reported on the death certificate (1,5). Race information reported on the birth certificate is considered to be more accurate than that on the death certificate. On the birth certificate, the race of each parent is usually reported by the mother at the time of delivery, whereas on the death certificate, race of the deceased infant is reported by the funeral director based on information provided by an informant or on observation. This difference in the method of reporting race data and using only the race of the mother in the numerator and the denominator has a larger impact for races other than white and black and can lead to differences in race-specific infant mortality rates between the two data sources (5,6).

Rates for total Asian or Pacific Islander and for Chinese, Japanese, Filipino, and other Asian or Pacific Islander mothers are reported for all 50 States and the District of Columbia. In addition, infant mortality rates for five detailed Asian or Pacific Islander groups, including Vietnamese, Asian Indian, Korean, Samoan, and Guamanian mothers, are presented for an 11-State reporting area: California, Hawaii, Illinois, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia. In 1990, more than 66 percent of the U.S. population for each of these additional Asian or Pacific Islander groups lived in the 11-State reporting area: Asian Indian, Korean, and Vietnamese, 67-72 percent; Guamanian, 77 percent; and Samoan, 87 percent (7,8).

Race and Hispanic origin of mother are reported as separate items on the birth certificate; thus, a mother of Hispanic origin may be of any race. Although the overwhelming majority of Hispanic-origin births are to white women (9), there are notable differences in infant mortality trends between Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women. Therefore, race-specific data for non-Hispanic mothers are presented for comparison in tables showing data for Hispanic mothers. Data for infants of Asian or Pacific Islanders and American Indian mothers are not shown separately by Hispanic origin because the vast majority of these populations are non-Hispanic. Race and ethnic differentials in infant mortality rates may reflect differences in income, educational levels, access to health care, health insurance, and other factors.

Statistical significance—Text statements have been tested for statistical significance, and a statement that a given infant mortality rate is higher or lower than another rate indicates that the rates are significantly different. Information on the methods used to test for statistical significance, as well as information on differences between period and cohort data, the weighting of the linked file, and a comparison of infant mortality data between the linked file and the vital statistics mortality file are presented in the Technical notes. Additional information on maternal age, marital status, period of gestation, birthweight, and cause-of-death classification is also presented in the Technical notes.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Infant mortality by race and Hispanic origin of mother

The overall 1999 infant mortality rate from the linked file was 7.0 infant deaths per 1,000 live births, 3 percent lower than the 1998 level (7.2) (10). The rate as reported from the linked file differs slightly from the 1999 vital statistics mortality file, 7.1 (7.2 in 1998); see Technical notes (2). In 1990 the infant mortality rate was 8.9. There was wide variation in infant mortality rates by the race of the mother with the highest rate, 14.0 for infants of black mothers, more than four times higher than the groups with the lowest rates, 2.9 for infants of Chinese mothers and 3.4 for infants of Japanese mothers. Rates were intermediate for infants of non-Hispanic white (5.8) and Filipino

mothers (5.8), but higher for American Indian (9.3) and Hawaiian mothers (7.1) (tables A and B and figure 1).

The neonatal mortality rate (less than 28 days) for infants of black mothers (9.5) was significantly higher than for all other racial groups. Infants of black and American Indian mothers had the highest postneonatal rates (28 days to 1 year) of any group, 4.5 and 4.3, respectively. In general, the neonatal mortality rates were about twice the postneonatal rates for nearly all groups in which both rates could be reliably computed. The exception was infants of American Indian mothers whose neonatal mortality rate was not significantly different from the postneonatal rate (5.0 versus 4.3). Figure 1 shows the infant mortality rate by race and ethnicity for selected race/Hispanic groups.

Data for the expanded Asian or Pacific Islander subgroups in the 11-State reporting area show infant mortality rates of 4.6 for infants of Korean, 4.4 for Asian Indian, and 4.1 for Vietnamese mothers (table C). Reliable infant mortality rates for Samoan and Guamanian mothers could not be computed due to the small numbers of infant deaths for these groups (14 and 1 infant deaths, respectively).

There was wide variation in infant mortality rates for Hispanic subgroups with the rates high for infants of Puerto Rican mothers (8.3) and low for Cuban as well as Central and South American mothers (4.7). Rates were intermediate for infants of Mexican mothers (5.5) (table B). Neonatal mortality rates followed a similar pattern.

# Infant mortality by State

Infant mortality rates for 1997–99 varied widely both by State and within States by race and Hispanic origin of mother (table 1). Rates are computed for a 3-year average to improve reliability and stability. Rates were generally highest for States in the South and lowest for States in the West and Northeast. Infant mortality rates ranged from 10.3 for Mississippi to 4.8 for New Hampshire. Although the highest rate (14.1) was noted for the District of Columbia, it is more appropriately compared with rates for other large U.S. cities because of the high concentrations of high-risk women in these areas.

Mortality rates for infants of non-Hispanic black mothers were the highest, generally more than twice those for non-Hispanic white mothers. Mortality rates for infants of non-Hispanic black mothers

Table A. Infant, neonatal, and postneonatal deaths and mortality rates by specified race or national origin of mother: United States, 1999 linked file

Race of mother	Live	Number of deaths			Mortality rate per 1,000 live births		
	births	Infant	Neonatal	Postneonatal	Infant	Neonatal	Postneonatal
All races	3,959,417	27,864	18,700	9,164	7.0	4.7	2.3
White	3,132,501	18,136	12,186	5,950	5.8	3.9	1.9
Black	605,970	8,480	5,739	2,741	14.0	9.5	4.5
American Indian <sup>1</sup>	40,170	373	202	171	9.3	5.0	4.3
Asian or Pacific Islander	180,776	876	574	302	4.8	3.2	1.7
Chinese	28,853	85	51	34	2.9	1.8	1.2
Japanese	8,722	30	24	6	3.4	2.8	*
Hawaiian	6,093	43	30	13	7.1	4.9	*
Filipino	30,677	179	120	59	5.8	3.9	1.9
Other Asian or Pacific Islander	106,431	539	348	190	5.1	3.3	1.8

Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 deaths in the numerator.

Includes Aleuts and Eskimos.

Table B. Infant, neonatal, and postneonatal deaths and mortality rates by Hispanic origin of mother and by race of mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 1999 linked file

	Live	Nu	mber of deat	hs	Mortality	rate per 1,000	) live births
Hispanic origin and race of mother	births	Infant	Infant Neonatal Postneon		Infant	Neonatal	Postneonatal
All origins <sup>1</sup>	3,959,417	27,864	18,700	9,164	7.0	4.7	2.3
Total Hispanic	764,339	4,362	2,982	1,380	5.7	3.9	1.8
Mexican	540,674	2,979	2,015	963	5.5	3.7	1.8
Puerto Rican	57,138	477	339	138	8.3	5.9	2.4
Cuban	13,088	61	46	15	4.7	3.5	*
Central and South American	103,307	483	342	140	4.7	3.3	1.4
Other and unknown Hispanic	50.132	363	240	123	7.2	4.8	2.5
Non-Hispanic total <sup>2</sup>	3,147,580	23,022	15,349	7,673	7.3	4.9	2.4
Non-Hispanic white	2.346.450	13.522	8,987	4.535	5.8	3.8	1.9
Non-Hispanic black	588,981	8,327	5,634	2,693	14.1	9.6	4.6
Not stated	47,498	480	369	111			

Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 deaths in the numerator.

Table C. Infant, neonatal, and postneonatal deaths and mortality rates by race or national origin of mother: Total of 11 States, 1999 linked file

Door of mother	Live	Nu	ımber of Deat	Mortality rate per 1,000 live births				
Race of mother	births	Infant	Neonatal	Postneonatal	Infant	Neonatal	Postneonata	
All races	1,773,761	11,217	7,533	3,684	6.3	4.2	2.1	
Total Asian or Pacific Islander	129,729	612	396	216	4.7	3.1	1.7	
Chinese	23,291	71	47	25	3.0	2.0	1.1	
Japanese	6,982	22	17	5	3.2	*	*	
Filipino	25,533	148	99	49	5.8	3.9	1.9	
Vietnamese	14,271	59	29	30	4.1	2.0	2.1	
Asian Indian	22,060	98	71	27	4.4	3.2	1.2	
Korean	8,906	41	26	15	4.6	2.9	*	
Hawaiian	5.562	40	27	13	7.2	4.9	*	
Samoan	1,660	14	7	7	*	*	*	
Guamanian	502	1	-	1	*	*	*	
Remaining Asian or Pacific Islander	20.962	117	73	44	5.6	3.5	2.1	
White	1.408.897	7.550	5,085	2,465	5.4	3.6	1.7	
Black	226.258	2,982	2.013	,	13.2	8.9	4.3	
American Indian <sup>1</sup>	8.877	73	39	34	8.2	4.4	3.8	

<sup>\*</sup> Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 deaths in the numerator.

NOTE: States included are California, Hawaii, Illinois, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia.

ranged from 17.4 in the District of Columbia to 8.8 in Oregon. West Virginia had the highest infant mortality rate for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers and New Jersey had the lowest rate (8.2 and 4.3, respectively).

Mortality rates for infants of American Indian and Asian or Pacific Islander mothers could be reliably computed for only 15 and 27 States, respectively. Mortality rates for infants of American Indian mothers were highest in South Dakota (15.2) and lowest in New Mexico (7.7). Overall, infant mortality rates for infants of Asian or Pacific Islander mothers were the lowest, ranging from 3.5 for Massachusetts to 7.4 for Hawaii.

# Sex of infant

In 1999 the overall infant mortality rate for male infants was 7.7 per 1,000, 22 percent higher than the rate for female infants (6.3) (tables 2 and 3). With the exception of infants of Cuban mothers,

infant mortality rates were higher for male than female infants in each racial and Hispanic origin group. Differences were not statistically significant for infants of American Indian and Asian or Pacific Islander mothers.

#### Multiple births

For plural births, the infant mortality rate was 32.9, more than five times the rate of 6.2 for single births (table 2 and figure 2). Infant mortality rates for plural births were higher than rates for single births for all race and Hispanic-origin groups. The risk of infant death increases with the increasing number of infants in the pregnancy (11). In 1999, the infant mortality rate for triplet and higher order births (69.8) was more than twice the rate for twin births (30.5), and more than 10 times the rate for single births (6.2) (tabular data not shown).

<sup>...</sup> Category not applicable.

Origin of mother not stated included in "All origins" but not distributed among origins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes races other than white or black.

Quantity zero.

<sup>1</sup> Includes Aleuts and Eskimos.




Figure 2. Infant mortality rates by plurality and race and ethnicity, 1999

The number of multiple births has skyrocketed in recent years—up nearly a third in the decade since 1989 (9). Factors associated with the rapid increase in multiple births include an increase in births to older women (older women are more likely to have a multiple birth even without the use of fertility therapy), and the more widespread use of fertility-enhancing therapies (fertility drugs and techniques such as in vitro fertilization) (11–13).

# Birthweight and period of gestation

Birthweight and period of gestation are the two most important predictors of an infant's subsequent health and survival. Infants born too small or too soon have a much greater risk of death and both short-term and long-term disability than those born at term (37–41 weeks of gestation) or with birthweights of 2,500 grams or more (14–16). The percent of infants born at low birthweight ranged from a low of 5.2 percent for births to Chinese mothers to a high of 13.2 percent for births to black mothers (tables 4 and 5). The percent of preterm births (those born before 37 completed weeks of gestation) ranged from a low of 7.6 percent for births to Chinese mothers to a high of 17.5 percent for births to black mothers.

Infant mortality rates were much higher for low birthweight infants than for infants with birthweights of 2,500 grams or more for all race and ethnic groups studied. Overall, the infant mortality rate for very low birthweight infants (those with birthweights of less than 1,500 grams) was 247.0, over nearly 100 times the rate of 2.5 for infants with birthweights of 2,500 grams or more. The rate for moderately low birthweight infants (those with birthweights of 1,500–2,499 grams) was 16.0, more than six times the rate for infants with birthweights of 2,500 grams or more.

Similarly, the infant mortality rate for very preterm infants (those born at less than 32 weeks of gestation) was 183.3, nearly 68 times the rate of 2.7 for infants born at term (37–41 weeks of gestation). The infant mortality rate for moderately preterm infants (those born at 32–36 weeks of gestation) was 9.1, more than three times the rate for term births (tables 2 and 3).

Infant mortality rates for more detailed birthweight categories are presented in table 6. Eighty-six percent of infants with birthweights of less than 500 grams died within the first year of life—most within the first few days of life. An infant's chances of survival increase rapidly with increasing birthweight. At birthweights of 1,250–1,499 grams, about 95 out of 100 infants survive the first year of life. Infant mortality rates are lowest at birthweights of 3,500–4,999 grams.

From 1995 to 1999, infants weighing 1,000–1,249 grams had the largest decline, 18.2 percent, in the infant mortality rate by specified birthweight (from 85.5 to 69.9). The only nonsignificant declines were for infants weighing 4,500–4,999, and 5,000 grams or more. For infants of white mothers, the largest significant decline was for infants weighing 1,000–1,249 grams (19.8 percent). The largest significant decline by specified birthweight for infants of black mothers was for those 4,000–4,499 grams (37.2 percent).

#### Prenatal care

Improvements in the timing and quality of prenatal care are often the focus of efforts to decrease infant mortality, especially among women with risk factors for a poor outcome (17,18). This includes programs that focus on prenatal management of specific maternal risk factors (e.g., diabetes) (19). In 1999, infants of mothers who began prenatal care after the first trimester of pregnancy or not at all had an infant mortality rate of 8.9 per 1,000, which was 44 percent higher than the rate for those whose care began in the first trimester (6.2). With the exception of infants of Mexican mothers, for each race and Hispanic-origin group, infant mortality rates were higher for mothers who began prenatal care after the first trimester or received no care than for those who received early care (tables 2 and 3). These differences were significant for all but infants of Puerto Rican, and Central and South American mothers. Because of an insufficient number of infant deaths, rates could not be calculated for infants of Cuban mothers.

Overall, the infant mortality rate for infants whose mothers began care in the third trimester (6.2) was lower than for those who began care in the second trimester (7.2). This is because women who began prenatal care in the third trimester had to have a period of gestation of at least 7 months, thus reducing the probability that the infant would be born preterm or of low birthweight. The relationship between month of initiation of prenatal care and length of gestation is complex. Therefore, prenatal care data are often grouped into two categories: mothers who began care in the first trimester and those who began care after the first trimester or not at all (20).

#### Maternal age

Infant mortality rates are highest for infants of teenage mothers, lowest for mothers in their late twenties and early thirties, and again higher for mothers in their forties and over (tables 2 and 3). Among teen births, rates were higher for the younger teens. In 1999, the mortality rate for infants of mothers 15-17 years of age was 17.6, compared with a rate of 11.2 for mothers 18-19 years of age (tabular data not shown). A mortality rate for infants of mothers less than 15 years of age could not be computed because of insufficient numbers of infant deaths.

For all infants, and for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers, mortality rates were higher for teenage mothers than for mothers 40-54 years of age. For infants of non-Hispanic black, Mexican, and Central and South American mothers, mortality rates were higher for infants of mothers 40-54 years of age than for teenagers, although differences were not statistically significant.

Studies suggest that the higher mortality risk for infants of younger mothers may be related to the preponderance of teenage mothers who are from disadvantaged backgrounds, while for older mothers, both biological and sociological factors may play a role (21-25).

#### Maternal education

The percent of births to mothers who had completed high school or more was 45 percent for Mexican mothers. Ninety-eight percent of Japanese mothers completed high school or more (tables 4 and 5). Infant mortality rates generally decreased with increasing educational level (tables 2 and 3). This pattern may reflect not only the education itself but also socioeconomic differences because women with more education tend to have higher family income levels (26).

Among infants of non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Puerto Rican mothers, infant mortality rates declined steadily with increasing educational level with the highest mortality rates occurring among infants of mothers with 0-8 years of education (statistically significant for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers only). In contrast, for infants of Central and South American mothers, mortality rates were lower for infants of mothers with 0-8 years of education than for those with 9-11 years of education, although the difference was not statistically significant. This may be due in part to the very different population composition of women with 0-8 years of education, most of whom were born outside the 50 States and the District of Columbia (27) (see section on "Nativity"). This comparison could not be made for Cuban mothers due to small numbers of infant deaths in each education subgroup.

#### Live-birth order

Infant mortality rates were generally higher for first births than for second births, and then increased as birth order increased (tables 2 and 3). Overall, the infant mortality rate for first births (6.9) was 11 percent higher than for second births (6.2). The rate for fifth and higher order births (11.1) was almost 80 percent higher than the rate for second births.

#### Marital status

Marital status has been associated with health effects for both the mother and infant, and is seen as a proxy measure of the availability of social and economic support (28,29). The infant mortality rate for infants of married mothers was 5.5 per 1,000 in 1999, this rate was 5.7 in 1998. The infant mortality rate for infants of unmarried mothers was the same as in 1998, 10.2, almost 80 percent higher than the rate for infants of married mothers. Infant mortality rates were significantly higher for infants of unmarried mothers in each race and Hispanic origin group. A similar comparison could not be made for infants of Cuban mothers due to an insufficient number of infant deaths.

#### **Nativity**

In 1999 the infant mortality rate for mothers born in the 50 States and the District of Columbia (7.3) was 38 percent higher than the rate for mothers born outside of the 50 States and the District of Columbia (5.3). For each race and Hispanic-origin group, infant mortality rates were higher for infants of mothers born in the 50 States and the District of Columbia than for those born elsewhere, although the differences were not statistically significant for infants of Puerto Rican, Cuban, and Central and South American mothers. A similar comparison could not be made for infants of American Indian mothers due to insufficient number of mothers born outside the 50 States and the District of Columbia.

A variety of different hypotheses have been advanced to account for the lower infant mortality rate among infants of mothers born outside the 50 States and the District of Columbia, including possible differences in the level of familial integration and social support for new mothers (30-33). Also, women born outside the 50 States and the District of Columbia have been shown to have different characteristics than women born within the 50 States and the District of Columbia with regard to socioeconomic and educational status, and risk behaviors such as smoking and alcohol use (33,34).

# Maternal smoking

The infant mortality rate for infants of smokers was 10.5 in 1999, 59 percent higher than the rate of 6.6 for nonsmokers. The percentage of women who smoked during pregnancy ranged from a low of 0.5 percent for Chinese mothers to a high of 20.2 percent for American Indian mothers (tables 4 and 5). For each race and Hispanic-origin group for which these rates could be computed, the infant mortality rate for smokers was higher than for nonsmokers, although the difference was not statistically significant for Asian or Pacific Islander mothers (tables 2 and 3).

Tobacco use during pregnancy causes the passage of substances such as nicotine, hydrogen cyanide, and carbon monoxide from the placenta into the fetal blood supply. These substances restrict the growing infant's access to oxygen and can lead to adverse pregnancy and birth outcomes such as low birthweight, preterm delivery, intrauterine growth retardation, and infant mortality (35–38).

# Leading causes of infant death

Infant mortality rates for the five leading causes of infant death are presented in table 7 by race and Hispanic origin of mother. Beginning with 1999 data, cause-of-death data in the United States are coded according to the 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) (3). From 1979–98 causes of death were classified according to the Ninth Revision (ICD-9) (4). This change in classification has created discontinuities in analyzing trend data by cause of death; see Technical notes (2).

The leading cause of infant death in the United States in 1999 was Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalities (congenital malformations), accounting for 20 percent of all infant deaths. Disorders related to short gestation and low birthweight, not elsewhere classified (low birthweight) was second, accounting for 16 percent of all infant deaths, followed by Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) accounting for 9 percent of infant deaths. The fourth and fifth leading causes—Newborn affected by maternal complications of pregnancy (maternal complications), and Respiratory distress of newborn, accounted for 5 and 4 percent, respectively, of all infant deaths in 1999. Together the five leading causes accounted for 54 percent of all infant deaths in the United States in 1999.

The rank order of leading causes of infant death varied substantially by race and Hispanic origin of the mother. Congenital malformations was the leading cause of infant death for all groups except for infants of black and Puerto Rican mothers, for whom low birthweight was the leading cause.

Infant mortality rates for Congenital malformations were 26 percent higher for infants of black mothers than for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers. The rate was also higher for infants of American Indian mothers, although the difference was not statistically significant. Infants of Asian or Pacific Islander mothers had rates of congenital malformations that were 14 percent lower than the rate of infants of non-Hispanic white mothers.

Infants of black mothers had the highest mortality rates from low birthweight, with a rate that was four times the rate for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers. Infant mortality rates from low birthweight were also elevated for infants of Puerto Rican mothers. American Indian mothers also had high rates. The rate for infants of Puerto Rican mothers was 2.4 times the rate for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers, while the rate for infants of American Indian mothers was 1.5 times the rate for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers.

For SIDS, infant mortality rates were highest among infants of American Indian mothers, and were also elevated for infants of black mothers, compared with those for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers. SIDS rates for infants of American Indian mothers were 2.6 times and those for infants of black mothers were 2.4 times those for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers. As most SIDS deaths occur during the postneonatal period, the high SIDS rates for infants of American Indian and black mothers account for much of their elevated risk of postneonatal mortality. For infants of Asian or Pacific Islander mothers the SIDS rate of 31.0 was about one-half the non-Hispanic white rate of 62.1. For infants of Mexican mothers, the SIDS rate of 33.3 was 46 percent lower than the rate of 62.1 for infants of non-Hispanic white mothers.

Infants of black and Puerto Rican mothers had the highest mortality rates from Respiratory distress of newborn and maternal complications, which are both causes of death primarily found among low birthweight infants.

An examination of cause-specific differences in infant mortality rates between race and Hispanic-origin groups can help the researcher to understand overall differences in infant mortality rates between these groups. For example, 28 percent of the elevated infant mortality rate for infants of black mothers, when compared with infants of non-Hispanic white mothers, can be accounted for by their higher infant mortality rate due to low birthweight, and a further 8 percent can be accounted for by differences in SIDS. In other words, if black infant mortality rates for low birthweight and SIDS could be reduced to non-Hispanic white levels, the difference in the infant mortality rate between black and non-Hispanic white mothers would be reduced by 36 percent.

For infants of American Indian mothers, nearly one-fourth (24 percent) of their elevated infant mortality rate, when compared with infants of non-Hispanic white mothers, can be accounted for by their higher SIDS rates, 13 percent by higher rates for Congenital malformations, and 11 percent by low birthweight. If American Indian infant mortality for these three causes could be reduced to non-Hispanic white levels, the difference in the infant mortality rate between American Indian and non-Hispanic white mothers would be reduced by almost half.

Similarly, 39 percent of the difference between Puerto Rican and non-Hispanic white infant mortality rates can be accounted for by differences in low birthweight, and 12 percent by respiratory distress of newborn. If Puerto Rican infant mortality for these two causes could be reduced to non-Hispanic white levels, the difference in the infant mortality rate between infants of Puerto Rican and non-Hispanic white mothers would be reduced by one-half (51 percent). In addition to helping to explain differences in infant mortality rates between various groups, comparisons such as these can be helpful in targeting prevention efforts.

# References

- National Center for Health Statistics. Public Use Data File Documentation: 1999 period linked birth/infant death data set. National Center for Health Statistics, Hyattsville, Maryland. Forthcoming.
- Hoyert DL, Arias E, Smith BL, Murphy SL, Kochanek KD. Deaths: Final data for 1999. National vital statistics reports: vol 49 no 8. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001.
- World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1992.

- World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, Ninth Revision. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1977.
- Rosenberg HM, Maurer JD, Sorlie PD, Johnson NJ, et al. Quality of death rates by race and Hispanic origin: A summary of current research, 1999. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(128). 1999.
- Prager K. Infant mortality by birthweight and other characteristics: United States, 1985 birth cohort. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(24). 1994.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1990 census of population. General population characteristics (1990 CP1–1). Washington: Department of Commerce. 1992.
- Martin JA. Birth characteristics for Asian or Pacific Islander subgroups, 1992. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 43 no 10, suppl. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1995.
- 9. Ventura SJ, Martin JA, Curtin SC, Menacker F, Hamilton BE. Births: Final data for 1999. National vital statistics report; vol 49 no 1. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001.
- Mathews TJ, Curtin SC, MacDorman MF. Infant mortality statistics from the 1998 period linked birth/infant death data set. National vital statistics report; vol 48 no 12. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2000.
- Martin JA, MacDorman MF, Mathews TJ. Triplet births: Trends and outcomes 1971–94. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 21(55). 1997.
- Kiely JL, Kleinman JC, Kiely M. Triplets and higher-order multiple births: Time trends and infant mortality. AJDC 146:862.8. 1992.
- Wilcox LS, Kiely JL, Melvin CL, Martin MC. Assisted reproductive technologies: Estimates of their contribution to multiple births and newborn hospital days in the United States. Fertility and Sterility 65(2):361–6. 1996.
- Xu B, Rantakallio P, Marjo-Riita J. Mortality and hospitalizations of 24-year-old members of the low-birthweight cohort in Northern Finland. Epidemiol 9:662–5. 1998.
- Botting N, Powls A, Cooke RWI, Marlow N. Cognitive and educational outcome of very-low-birthweight children in early adolescence. Dev Med Child Neurol 40:652–60. 1998.
- Ericson A, Kallen B. Very low birthweight boys at the age of 19. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 78:F171–4. 1998.
- 17. Pagnini DL, Reichman NE. Physchosocial factors and the timing of prenatal care among women in New Jersey's HealthStart program. Fam Plann Perspect Mar–Apr; 32(2):56–64. 2000.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Early entry into prenatal care. MMWR 49(18): 393–98. 2000.
- McElvy SS, Miodovnik M, Rosenn B, Khoury JC, Siddiqi T, Dignan PS, Tsang RC. A focused preconceptional and early pregnancy program in women with type 1 diabetes reduces perinatal mortality and malformation rates to general population levels. J Matern Fetal Med Jan–Feb; 9(1):14–20. 2000.
- Pastore LM, MacDorman MF. Infant mortality by Hispanic origin of mother: 20 states, 1985–87 birth cohorts. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(27). 1995.
- Geronimus AT, Korenman S. Maternal youth or family background? On the health disadvantages of infants with teenage mothers. Am J Epidemiol 137:213–25. 1993.
- Strobino DM, Ensminger ME, Kim YJ, Nanda J. Mechanisms for maternal age differences in birthweight. Am J Epidemiol 142:504–14. 1995.
- Geronimus AT. The weathering hypothesis and the relationship of maternal age to birth outcome: Evidence and speculations. Ethnic Dis 2:207–21, 1992.

- Seidman DS, Samueloff S, Mor-Yosef S, Schenker JG. The effect of maternal age and socioeconomical background on neonatal outcome. Int J Gynecol Obstet 33:7–12. 1990.
- Reichman NE, Pagnini DL. Maternal age and birth outcomes: Data from New Jersey. Fam Plann Perspect 268–72, 295. 1997.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Table 8. Income in 1999 by Educational Attainment for People 18 Years Old and Over, by Age, Sex, Race, And Hispanic Origin: March 2000. Available at: http://www.census.gov/ population/www/socdemo/education/p20–536.html
- Mathews TJ, Ventura SJ. Birth and fertility rates by educational attainment: United States, 1994. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 45 no 10, supp. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1997.
- Gaudino JA, Jenkins B, Rochat RW. No fathers' names: A risk factor for infant mortality in the State of Georgia, USA. Soc Sci Med 48:253–65. 1999.
- Bennett T, Braverman P, Egerter S, Kiely JL. Maternal marital status as a risk factor for infant mortality. Fam Plann Perspect 26:252–6, 271. 1994.
- English PB, Kharrazi M, Guendelman S. Pregnancy outcomes and risk factors in Mexican Americans: The effect of language use and mother's birthplace. Ethnicity Dis 7(3):229–40. 1997.
- Scribner R, Dwyer JH. Acculturation and low birthweight among Latinos in the Hispanic HANES. Am J Public Health 79: 1263–76. 1989.
- Engel T, Alexander GR, Leland NL. Pregnancy outcomes of U.S.-born Puerto Ricans: The role of maternal nativity status. Amer J Prev Med 11(1):34–9. 1995.
- Singh GK, Yu SM. Adverse pregnancy outcomes: Differences between U.S.- and foreign-born women in major U.S. racial and ethnic groups. Am J Public Health 86:837–43. 1996.
- David RJ, Collins JW. Differing birthweight among infants of U.S.-born blacks, African-born blacks, and U.S.-born whites. N Engl J Med 337:1209–14. 1997.
- 35. Wilcox AJ. Birthweight and perinatal mortality: The effect of maternal smoking. Am J Epidemiol 137:1098–1104. 1993.
- 36. English PB, Eskenazi B. Reinterpreting the effects of maternal smoking on infant birthweight and perinatal mortality: A multivariate approach to birthweight standardization. Int J Epidemiol 21:1097–1105. 1992.
- 37. Floyd RL, Zahniser SC, Gunter EP, Kendrick JS. Smoking during pregnancy: Prevalence, effects, and intervention strategies. Birth 18(1):48–53. 1991.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Women and smoking—A report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 2001.
- 39. Buehler JW, Prager K, Hogue CJR. The role of linked birth and infant death certificates in maternal and child health epidemiology in the United States. Am J Prev Med 19(1S):3–11. 2000.
- Alexander GR, Allen MC. Conceptualization, measurement, and use of gestational age. I. Clinical and Public Health Practice. J Perinatol 16(1):53-9. 1996.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Computer edits for natality data, effective 1993. Instruction manual, part 12. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1995.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, instructions for classifying the underlying cause of death. NCHS instruction manual; part 2a. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, instructions for classifying multiple causes of death. NCHS instruction manual; part 2b. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- Israel RA, Rosenberg HM, Curtin LR. Analytical potential for multiple cause-of-death data. Am J Epidemiol 124(2): 161–79. 1986.

- National Center for Health Statistics. Public use data file documentation: Multiple cause of death for ICD-10, 1999 data. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 2001. (forthcoming).
- 46. Anderson RN, Miniño AM, Hoyert DL, Rosenberg HM. Comparability of cause of death between ICD-9 and ICD-10: Preliminary estimates. National vital statistics reports; vol 49 no 2. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001.
- National Center for Health Statistics. ICD–10 cause-of-death lists for tabulating mortality statistics, effective 1999. NCHS instruction manual; part 9. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1999.
- 48. Chiang CL. Standard error of the age-adjusted death rate. Vital statistics-Special report. Vol 47 no 9. National Center for Health Statistics. Washington: Public Health Service. 1961.

# List of detailed tables

1.	Intant mortality rates by race and Hispanic origin of mother:	
	United States and each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and	
	Guam, 1997–99 linked files	10
2.	Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected	
	characteristics and specified race of mother: United States, 1999	
	linked file	11
3.	Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected	
	characteristics and Hispanic origin of mother and by race of	
	mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 1999	
	linked file	15
4.	Percent of live births with selected maternal and infant character-	
	istics by specified race of mother: United States, 1999 linked	
	file	19
5.	Percent of live births with selected maternal and infant character-	
	istics by Hispanic origin of mother and race of mother for mothers	
	of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 1999 linked file	19
6.	Live births, infant, neonatal, and postneonatal deaths and mor-	
	tality rates by race of mother and birthweight: United States, 1999	
	linked file, and percent change in birthweight-specific infant	
	mortality, 1995–99 linked file	20
7.	Infant deaths and mortality rates for the five leading causes of	
	infant death by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States,	
	1999 linked file	21

Table 1. Infant mortality rates by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States and each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam, 1997-99 linked files

[By place of residence]

				Race and	d Hispanic origin o	of mother		
State	Total <sup>1</sup>		R	ace			Hispanic origin	
		White	Black	American Indian <sup>2</sup>	Asian/Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black
United States <sup>3</sup>	7.1	5.9	13.8	9.1	5.1	5.8	5.9	13.9
Alabama	9.8	7.4	14.8	*	*	7.5	7.3	14.8
Alaska	6.5	5.5	*	9.1	*	*	5.5	*
Arizona	7.1	6.7	13.9	8.6	6.1	7.1	6.5	13.7
Arkansas	8.5	7.4	12.8	*	*	6.2	7.5	12.8
California	5.7	5.2	12.2	8.9	4.9	5.3	5.0	12.2
Colorado	6.8	6.4	13.9	*	5.9	7.0	6.3	13.7
Connecticut	6.7	5.8	13.9	*	*	8.9	4.8	13.4
Delaware	8.3	5.8	15.9	*	*	*	6.0	16.1
District of Columbia	14.1	5.2	17.5	*	*	*	*	17.4
Florida	7.2	5.7	12.5	8.5	4.5	4.7	6.0	12.5
Georgia	8.4	5.9	13.3	*	5.0	4.9	6.0	13.3
Hawaii	6.9	5.6	*	*	7.4	7.0	5.8	*
ldaho	6.8	6.7	*	*	*	7.0	6.6	*
Illinois	8.5	6.4	17.1	*	6.3	6.9	6.2	17.1
Indiana	7.9	7.1	15.2	*	6.4	7.4	7.0	15.2
lowa	6.1	5.8	16.4	*	*	5.6	5.7	17.2
Kansas	7.3	6.9	12.1	*	6.8	5.8	7.1	12.0
Kentucky	7.4	6.9	12.2	*	*	*	6.9	12.2
Louisiana	9.3	6.3	13.6	*	*	*	6.4	13.7
Maine	5.5	5.5	*	*	*	*	5.6	*
Maryland	8.6	5.5	14.8	*	5.2	5.4	5.5	14.8
Massachusetts	5.2	4.7	10.0	*	3.5	6.3	4.4	10.8
Michigan	8.1	6.4	16.2	8.8	6.0	7.0	6.1	16.1
Minnesota	6.0	5.4	12.7	10.9	7.0	7.0	5.4	12.5
Mississippi	10.3	6.6	14.5	*	*	*	6.7	14.5
Missouri	7.6	6.1	16.4	*	5.7	5.6	6.1	16.4
Montana	7.0	6.4	*	12.0	*	*	6.2	*
Nebraska	7.2	6.5	17.1	*	*	8.7	6.3	17.0
Nevada	6.8	6.4	12.2	*	4.7	5.6	6.8	11.8
New Hampshire	4.8	4.8	*	*	*	*	4.4	*
New Jersey	6.5	4.9	13.5	*	4.4	6.4	4.3	13.9
New Mexico	6.7	6.6	* -	7.7	*	6.5	6.7	*
New York	6.4	5.2	11.5		4.0	5.9	4.6	11.9
North Carolina	9.2	6.8	15.9	13.7	5.8	6.7	6.9	15.9
North Dakota	7.3	6.7	*	13.8	*	*	6.7	*
Ohio	8.0	6.9	14.4		4.9	8.8	6.8	14.5
Oklahoma	8.2	7.7	13.1	8.0		5.1	7.9	13.4
Oregon	5.6	5.5	9.0	*	5.2	6.2	5.4	8.8
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	7.4 6.7	6.0 5.8	15.9 14.7	*	4.7 *	8.2 8.3	5.8 4.8	16.0 12.4
Tillode Island		5.0						12.4
South Carolina	9.8	6.6	15.8	*	*	7.5	6.5	15.8
South Dakota	8.5	7.1	*	15.2	*	*	7.1	*
Tennessee	8.2	6.3	15.0	*	5.8	7.0	6.2	15.0
Texas	6.3	5.7	11.0	8.6	4.4	5.5	5.8	11.1
Utah	5.4	5.4	*	*	6.5	5.9	5.3	*
Vermont	6.2	6.1	*	*	*	*	6.0	*
Virginia	7.5	5.8	13.3	*	5.2	5.0	5.8	13.3
Washington	5.4	5.0	12.4	9.6	4.9	5.0	4.9	11.4
West Virginia	8.3	8.2	12.7	*	*	*	8.2	12.7
Wisconsin	6.8	5.8	15.7	9.2	5.7	9.2	5.6	15.7
Wyoming	6.7	6.6	*	*	*	*	6.3	*
Puerto Rico	10.7	10.8	10.0				*	
Virgin Islands	10.6	*	12.2	*	*	*	*	12.4
Guam	8.1	*	*	*	8.9	*	*	*

Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 deaths in the numerator.
Data not available.
Includes non-Hispanic births of other races and births with origin not stated; not shown separately.
Includes Aleuts and Eskimos.
Excludes data for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam.

Table 2. Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected characteristics and specified race of mother: United States, 1999 linked file

	AII		Race o	of mother	
Characteristics	All races	White	Black	American Indian <sup>1</sup>	Asian/ Pacific Islander
		Infant mortality rate	es per 1,000 live birt	hs in specified group	)
Total	7.0	5.8	14.0	9.3	4.8
Age at death:					
Total neonatal	4.7	3.9	9.5	5.0	3.2
Early neonatal (< 7 days)	3.8	3.1	7.6	3.6	2.6
Late neonatal (7-27 days)	1.0 2.3	0.8 1.9	1.9 4.5	1.5 4.3	0.5 1.7
Sex: Male	7.7	6.4	15.2	10.2	5.0
Female	6.3	5.2	12.7	8.4	4.7
Plurality:					
Single births	6.2	5.1	12.4	8.8	4.4
Plural births	32.9	27.7	59.7	30.5	25.1
Birthweight:	0.47.0	000.0	070.0	c== =	222.2
Less than 1,500 grams	247.0	236.0	270.8	276.5	209.3
1,500-2,499 grams	16.0 2.5	15.9 2.3	16.5 4.0	22.4 4.6	12.9 1.8
-	2.0	2.0	7.0	7.0	1.0
Period of gestation:	102.0	170.0	000.6	100 1	157.0
Less than 32 weeks	183.3 9.1	172.0 8.9	208.6 10.7	166.1 9.6	157.3 6.5
37-41 weeks	2.7	2.4	4.3	5.2	2.0
42 weeks or more	2.9	2.6	4.7	*	*
rimester of pregnancy prenatal care began:					
First trimester	6.2	5.2	12.7	7.8	4.3
After first trimester or no care	8.9	7.1	14.7	10.9	5.9
Second trimester	7.2	6.0	11.2	10.3	5.2
Third trimester  No prenatal care	6.2 34.6	5.4 26.4	8.7 51.7	9.4	* 24.8
No prenatal care	34.0	20.4	51.7		24.0
Age of mother:	10.3	8.6	15.2	10.0	6.7
Under 20 years 20-24 years	7.8	6.3	13.5	9.0	6.1
25-29 years	6.0	4.9	13.4	8.0	4.4
30-34 years	5.8	4.9	14.0	9.6	4.3
35-39 years	6.5	5.7	13.9	11.6	4.7
40-54 years	8.7	7.7	17.2	*	6.5
Educational attainment of mother:					
0-8 years	7.5	6.8	16.5	13.9	6.7
9-11 years	9.5	8.0	15.1	11.0	5.4
12 years	7.6 5.9	6.1 4.8	13.9 12.0	8.5 8.5	5.6 4.4
16 years and over	4.4	3.9	10.2	*	3.8
_ive-birth order:					
1	6.9	5.8	14.0	8.6	4.6
2	6.2	5.1	12.5	8.6	4.4
3	7.0	5.8	13.4	9.3	4.6
4	8.6	6.9	15.3	9.0	7.0
5 or more	11.1	8.5	18.4	12.8	9.5
Marital status:	F	5.0	44.0	0.0	4.5
Married Unmarried	5.5 10.2	5.0 7.9	11.8 15.0	8.2 10.1	4.5 7.0
Nother's place of birth: Born in the 50 States and D.C	7.3	5.8	14.2	9.4	6.5
Born elsewhere	5.3	5.0	9.2	*	4.4
Maternal smoking during pregnancy: <sup>2</sup>					
Smoker	10.5	9.2	19.9	12.6	6.4
Nonsmoker	6.6	5.2	13.2	7.7	4.9

Table 2. Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected characteristics and specified race of mother: United States, 1999 linked file--Con.

	All		Race of	mother	
Characteristics	races	White	Black	American Indian <sup>1</sup>	Asian/ Pacific Islande
			Live births		
Total	3,959,417	3,132,501	605,970	40,170	180,776
Sex:					
Male	2,026,854	1,605,603	307,670	20,370	93,211
Female	1,932,563	1,526,898	298,300	19,800	87,565
Plurality:	0.007.700	0.005.757	500 007	00.005	170 700
Single births	3,837,789 121,628	3,035,757 96,744	586,027 19,943	39,285 885	176,720 4,056
Birthweight:					
Less than 1,500 grams	58.227	36,461	19,288	510	1,968
1,500-2,499 grams	243,886	169,674	60,347	2,370	11,495
2,500 grams or more	3,654,764	2,924,576	525,898	37,243	167,047
Not stated	2,540	1,790	437	47	266
Period of gestation:					
Less than 32 weeks	76,897	48,674	24,817	813	2,593
32-36 weeks	383,956	283,393	80,393	4,280	15,890
37-41 weeks	3,170,780	2,538,796	453,106	30,874	148,004
42 weeks or more	284,844 42,940	228,098 33,540	42,690 4,964	3,644 559	10,412 3,877
Trimester of pregnancy prenatal care began:					
First trimester	3,198,714	2,597,095	429,639	26,901	145,079
After first trimester or no care	646,377	456,073	150,171	11,794	28,339
Second trimester	499,928	357,303	111,678	8,606	22,341
Third trimester	102,202	71,262	23,943	2,452	4,545
No prenatal care	44,247	27,508	14,550	736	1,453
Not stated	114,326	79,333	26,160	1,475	7,358
Age of mother:					
Under 20 years	485,104	342,627	125,143	8,113	9,221
20-24 years	981,929	748,371	193,211	13,225	27,122
25-29 years	1,078,252	873,654	138,868	9,641	56,089
30-34 years	892,400	739,948	91,486	5,701	55,265
35-39 years	434,294 87,438	356,959 70,942	47,277 9,985	2,844 646	27,214 5,865
•	- ,	-,-	-,		-,
Educational attainment of mother: 0-8 years	222,661	197,659	15,345	1,727	7,930
9-11 years	623,934	460,112	139,104	10,924	13,794
12 years	1,254,283	960,003	234,181	15,493	44.606
13-15 years	858,068	674,961	137,265	8,260	37,582
16 years and over	937,071	794,890	67,430	2,838	71,913
Not stated	63,400	44,876	12,645	928	4,951
Live-birth order:					
1	1,588,639	1,262,603	228,027	14,369	83,640
2	1,285,592	1,034,524	179,502	10,931	60,635
34	653,070	517,012	106,259	7,063	22,736
5 or more	250,404 163,562	190,472 113,329	48,822 40,798	3,778 3,841	7,332 5,594
Not stated	18,150	14,561	2,562	188	839
Marital status:					
Married	2,650,857	2,292,949	188,494	16,493	152,921
Unmarried	1,308,560	839,552	417,476	23,677	27,855
Mother's place of birth:					
Born in the 50 States and D.C.	3,148,902	2,546,383	534,134	38,460	29,925
Born elsewhere	797,279	577,700	68,452	1,596	149,531
Not stated	13,236	8,418	3,384	114	1,320
•					
Maternal smoking during pregnancy: <sup>2</sup>					
Smoker	426,036	363,374	52,418	6,804	3,440
	426,036 2,957,167 47,182	363,374 2,301,012 37,903	52,418 512,215 5,845	6,804 26,940 1,520	3,440 117,000 1,914

Table 2. Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected characteristics and specified race of mother: United States, 1999 linked file--Con.

Characteristics	All				
	races	White	Black	American Indian <sup>1</sup>	Asian/ Pacific Islander
			Infant deaths		
Total	27,864	18,136	8,480	373	876
Age at death:					
Total neonatal	18,700	12,186	5,739	202	574
Early neonatal (< 7 days)	14,874	9,635	4,616	143	479
Late neonatal (7-27 days)	3,827	2,551	1,122	59	95
Postneonatal	9,164	5,950	2,741	171	302
Sex:					
Male	15,596	10,238	4,689	208	462
Female	12,268	7,898	3,790	166	414
Plurality:					
Single births	23,864	15,456	7,288	346	774
Plural births	4,000	2,680	1,191	27	102
Birthweight:					
Less than 1,500 grams	14,380	8,605	5,223	141	412
1,500-2,499 grams	3,893	2,698	994	53	148
2,500 grams or more	9,197	6,622	2,104	172	299
Not stated	395	210	159	8	17
Period of gestation:					
Less than 32 weeks	14,092	8,372	5,178	135	408
32-36 weeks	3,511	2,510	857	41	103
37-41 weeks	8,479	6,090	1,930	162	297
42 weeks or more	826	590	200	19	18
Not stated	956	574	316	17	49
Trimester of pregnancy prenatal care began:					
First trimester	19,809	13,522	5,458	209	620
After first trimester or no care	5,763	3,256	2,212	128	166
Second trimester	3,602	2,147	1,251	89	116
Third trimester	630	383	209	23	14
No prenatal care	1,530	727	752	16	36
Not stated	2,292	1,358	809	36	89
Age of mother:					
Under 20 years	5,003	2,955	1,904	81	62
20-24 years	7,625	4,737	2,604	1 <u>19</u>	165
25-29 years	6,460	4,275	1,862	77	247
30-34 years	5,177	3,606	1,280	55	237
35-39 years40-54 years	2,836 763	2,018 545	659 172	33 8	127 38
•					
Educational attainment of mother:	4.000	4.050	050	0.4	F0
0-8 years	1,680	1,350	253	24	53
9-11 years	5,946	3,658	2,094	120 132	74 251
12 years	9,542 5,091	5,895 3,209	3,265 1,646	70	166
13-15 years 16 years and over	4,107	3,136	688	12	270
Not stated	1,499	888	534	15	63
Live-birth order:					
Live-birth order: 1	11,016	7,315	3,192	124	385
2	7,923	5,318	2,244	94	267
3	4,587	2,990	1,426	66	104
4	2.142	1,313	745	34	51
5 or more	1,811	959	750	49	53
Not stated	386	241	123	6	15
Marital atatus					
Marital status:					
Married	14,547	11,501	2,229	135	681

Table 2. Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected characteristics and specified race of mother: United States, 1999 linked file--Con.

	All		Race o	of mother	
Characteristics	All races	White	Black	American Indian <sup>1</sup>	Asian/ Pacific Islander
			Infant deaths		
Mother's place of birth:					
Born in the 50 States and D.C.	22,962	14,823	7,584	361	194
Born elsewhere	4,190	2,891	631	11	657
Not stated	713	423	264	1	25
Maternal smoking during pregnancy: <sup>2</sup>					
Smoker	4,481	3,329	1,044	86	22
Nonsmoker	19,571	12,028	6,758	208	576
Not stated	923	627	241	28	28

Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 deaths in the numerator.
 Includes Aleuts and Eskimos.
 Excludes data for California and South Dakota, which do not report tobacco use on the birth certificate.

NOTE: Infant deaths are weighted so numbers may not exactly add to totals due to rounding. Not stated responses were included in totals but not distributed among groups for rate

Table 3. Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected characteristics and Hispanic origin of mother and by race of mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 1999 linked file

		Hispanic							lon-Hispani	С	_
Characteristics	All origins <sup>1</sup>	Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Central and South American	Other and unknown Hispanic	Total <sup>2</sup>	White	Black	Not state
	_		ļ	Infant morta	ality rates p	er 1,000 liv	e births in sp	ecified gro	up		
otal	7.0	5.7	5.5	8.3	4.7	4.7	7.2	7.3	5.8	14.1	
ge at death:											
Total neonatal Early neonatal (< 7 days)	4.7 3.8	3.9 3.1	3.7 2.9	5.9 5.0	3.5 2.3	3.3 2.5	4.8 3.8	4.9 3.9	3.8 3.0	9.6 7.7	
Late neonatal (7-27 days)	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	*	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.9	
Postneonatal	2.3	1.8	1.8	2.4	*	1.4	2.5	2.4	1.9	4.6	
ex:											
Male Female	7.7 6.3	6.2 5.2	6.0 5.0	9.3 7.4	4.5 4.7	5.2 4.1	7.8 6.6	8.0 6.6	6.4 5.1	15.4 12.8	
	0.0	0.2	0.0	•••			0.0	0.0	0		•••
Plurality: Single births	6.2	5.2	5.0	7.3	4.0	4.2	6.6	6.4	5.0	12.6	
Plural births	32.9	31.2	30.2	44.9	*	25.4	38.2	32.9	26.7	60.1	
Birthweight:	0.47.0	0.42.2	0/0 =	07:-	46	0.1= 5	0=0.0	0.47	000 =	070 -	
Less than 1,500 grams	247.0	246.9 15.4	248.7 16.1	271.5	164.1	215.3	272.9	245.1	229.7	270.5 16.5	
2,500 grams or more	16.0 2.5	15.4 2.1	16.1 2.2	13.6 2.3	*	15.0 1.5	12.0 2.9	16.0 2.6	16.0 2.3	16.5 4.0	
eriod of gestation:											
Less than 32 weeks	183.3	163.4	158.3	198.4	133.0	148.2	189.6	185.8	172.7	208.8	
32-36 weeks	9.1	7.9	7.9	9.5	*	6.7	6.9	9.4	9.2	10.6	
37-41 weeks42 weeks or more	2.7 2.9	2.2 2.5	2.3 2.5	2.5	*	1.6	2.9	2.8 3.0	2.4 2.6	4.3 4.6	
rimester of pregnancy prenatal care began:											
First trimester	6.2	5.3	5.3	7.7	4.4	4.2	5.9	6.4	5.2	12.9	
After first trimester or no care	8.9	5.6	5.3	8.1	*	5.0	7.4	10.3	8.2	14.9	
Second trimester Third trimester	7.2 6.2	4.7 3.8	4.5 3.4	6.6 *	*	4.4	5.4 *	8.2 7.3	6.9 6.7	11.3 8.9	
No prenatal care	34.6	21.0	19.1	33.5	*	*	38.0	40.0	30.7	51.9	
ge of mother:											
Under 20 years	10.3	6.9	6.3	9.8	*	6.1	9.7	11.5	9.6	15.4	
20-24 years25-29 years	7.8 6.0	5.6 4.9	5.5 4.6	7.7 7.1	*	4.5 4.1	6.4 6.6	8.4 6.2	6.6 4.9	13.6 13.6	
30-34 years	5.8	5.4	5.3	7.7	*	4.5	7.5	5.8	4.7	14.2	
35-39 years	6.5	6.4	7.0	9.8	*	4.5	5.9	6.5	5.4	14.0	
40-54 years	8.7	9.6	8.5	*	*	8.6	*	8.6	7.4	16.6	
ducational attainment of mother: 0-8 years	7.5	5.7	5.7	13.3	*	4.6	6.6	11.9	10.9	17.5	
9-11 years	7.5 9.5	6.1	5.7 5.7	9.6	*	4.8	9.0	11.3	9.5	17.3	
12 years	7.6	5.4	5.1	7.7	*	4.8	6.6	8.1	6.4	14.1	
13-15 years15 years and over	5.9 4.4	5.1 4.1	5.0 4.2	7.1 4.2	*	4.3 3.6	4.7 3.9	6.1 4.4	4.7 3.9	12.1 10.3	
ive-birth order:											
1	6.9	5.8	5.7	8.1	4.0	4.9	6.7	7.1	5.7	14.2	
2	6.2	5.0	4.8	7.6	*	3.8	6.5	6.4	5.2	12.6	
3	7.0	5.2	5.0	7.9	*	4.3	6.7	7.5	6.0	13.6	
45 or more	8.6 11.1	6.8 7.9	6.1 7.7	10.9 11.8	*	7.5 5.6	8.4 10.6	9.2 12.2	7.0 8.7	15.3 18.5	
arital status:											
Married	5.5	5.1	5.0	7.0	5.1	4.0	6.4	5.5	5.0	11.9	
Unmarried	10.2	6.6	6.2	9.3	*	5.5	8.3	11.3	8.6	15.1	
other's place of birth:											
Born in the 50 States and D.C	7.3	6.5	6.1	8.3	5.0	6.1	7.2	7.4	5.7	14.3	
	5.3	5.0	5.0	8.2	4.2	4.5	4.6	5.5	4.9	9.8	
Born elsewhere	0.0										
aternal smoking during pregnancy: <sup>3</sup>	10.5	9.8	8.8	11.8	*	*	9.8	10.5	9.1	19.8	

Table 3. Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected characteristics and Hispanic origin of mother and by race of mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 1999 linked file--Con.

				Hispa	anic			1	Non-Hispanio		
Characteristics	All origins <sup>1</sup>	Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Central and South American	Other and unknown Hispanic	Total <sup>2</sup>	White	Black	Not stated
						Live birth	s				
Total	3,959,417	764,339	540,674	57,138	13,088	103,307	50,132	3,147,580	2,346,450	588,981	47,498
Sex:											
Male		389,881	275,680	29,001	6,666	53,014		1,612,665		299,090	24,308
Female	1,932,563	374,458	264,994	28,137	6,422	50,293	24,612	1,534,915	1,141,961	289,891	23,190
Plurality:		=	=00.440				40.000				4= 040
Single birthsPlural births		748,368 15,971	530,148 10,526	55,600 1,538	12,612 476	100,948 2,359		3,043,503 104,077	2,266,577 79,873	569,500 19,481	45,918 1,580
Pirthuroight:											
Birthweight: Less than 1,500 grams	58,227	8,812	5,682	1,068	195	1,189	678	48,674	27,334	18,989	741
1,500-2,499 grams	243,886	40,009	26,503	4,251	696	5,400		201,122		59,141	2,755
2,500 grams or more  Not stated		715,221 297	508,281 208	51,793 26	12,194 3	96,697 21	46,256 39	2,896,115 1,669		510,522 329	43,428 574
	_,0 .0				· ·		30	.,550	.,002	020	J. 1
Period of gestation: Less than 32 weeks	76,897	12,536	8,299	1,386	218	1,673	960	63.435	35.809	24,401	926
32-36 weeks		72,827	50,256	6,416	1,279	9,969	4,907	307,246	209,350	78,633	3,883
37-41 weeks		603,348	425,397	44,715	10,769	82,784		2,529,793	1,917,885	439,816	37,639
42 weeks or more  Not stated		58,360 17,268	41,905 14,817	4,337 284	777 45	7,392 1,489		223,272 23,834	168,364 15,042	41,462 4,669	3,212 1,838
		,	,			,		,	,	,	,
Trimester of pregnancy prenatal care begar First trimester		548,580	383,902	41,523	11,839	75,690	35,626	2,614,456	2,030,575	418,140	35,678
After first trimester or no care	646,377	188,323	141,507	11,908	1,108	21,819	11,981	451,311	267,167	145,934	6,743
Second trimester		142,091	106,198	9,235	927	16,736		352,885		108,654	4,952
Third trimester		33,598 12,634	25,430 9,879	1,836 837	129 52	3,980 1,103		67,581 30,845	37,826 14,609	23,105 14,175	1,023 768
No prenatal care Not stated		27,436	15,265	3,707	141	5,798		81,813		24,907	5,077
Age of mother:											
Under 20 years	485,104	127,402	94,235	12,078	1,005	10,294	9,790	352,938	214,971	122,175	4,764
20-24 years	981,929	231,475	169,899	18,289	2,420	25,850		740,611	514,386	188,247	9,843
25-29 years		203,985	146,115 86,834	13,616 8,607	3,659 3,629	28,472	12,123 8,540	861,802 748,604		134,784 88,403	12,465
30-34 years35-39 years		131,369 58,146	36,182	3,765	2,027	23,759 12,259		369,586	600,830 294,590	45,746	12,427 6,562
40-54 years		11,962	7,409	783	348	2,673		74,039		9,626	1,437
Educational attainment of mother:											
0-8 years		158,351	131,992	2,778	182	19,620		63,398		14,069	912
9-11 years 12 years		208,350 223,122	159,900 151,187	16,533 18,815	1,422 4,311	18,467 31,566	12,028 17,243	410,474 1,018,788		135,306 228,332	5,110 12,373
13-15 years		102,507	59,774	12,223	3,213	17,590		746,793		133,984	8,768
16 years and over	937,071	55,076	26,277	5,769	3,897	13,303		869,956		65,779	12,039
Not stated	63,400	16,933	11,544	1,020	63	2,761	1,545	38,171	21,592	11,511	8,296
Live-birth order:											
1 2	1,588,639	283,116 232,784	195,036 161,317	22,359 17,783	5,723 4,828	39,477 33,300		1,287,491 1,037,940	971,745 794,532	221,337 174,340	18,032 14,868
3		141,471	101,317	9,722	1,830	18,681	8,551	504,463		103,413	7,136
4		61,448	46,439	4,023	468	7,194		186,191	127,988	47,666	2,765
5 or more	,	41,056	31,825	2,706	221	4,317	1,987	120,309		39,971	2,197
Not stated	18,150	4,464	3,370	545	18	338	193	11,186	8,127	2,254	2,500
Marital status:						==					
Married Unmarried		442,028 322,311	324,024 216,650	23,080 34,058	9,632 3,456	58,131 45,176		2,174,803 972,777		182,179 406,802	34,026 13,472
	1,000,000	022,011	210,000	0-7,000	5,450	70,170	<i>LL</i> ,311	512,111	010,201	+00,002	10,472
Mother's place of birth:	3 1/19 000	202 200	202 077	36 806	E 111	10.750	36 <u>961</u>	2 808 755	2 210 624	526 660	41,338
Born in the 50 States and D.C Born elsewhere		298,808 463,329	208,977 330,739	36,806 20,135	5,414 7,668	10,750 92,205			2,219,624 121,376	526,660 59,249	5,003
Not stated		2,202	958	197	6	352		9,877	5,450	3,072	1,157
Maternal smoking during pregnancy:3											
Smoker		19,058	8,388	5,686	406	1,124				51,402	6,300
Nonsmoker		489,930	310,667	48,703	11,952	76,959			1,796,892	497,821	34,372
Not stated	47,182	5,808	4,050	723	41	480	514	37,460	28,993	5,420	3,914

Table 3. Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected characteristics and Hispanic origin of mother and by race of mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 1999 linked file--Con.

				Hisp	anic			1	Non-Hispani	c	
Characteristics	All origins <sup>1</sup>	Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Central and South American	Other and unknown Hispanic	Total <sup>2</sup>	White	Black	Not stated
						Infant deat	ths				
Total	27,864	4,363	2,979	477	61	483	363	23,023	13,522	8,327	480
Age at death:	10.700	0.000	0.045	000	40	040	040	15.050	0.007	5.004	000
Total neonatal Early neonatal (< 7 days)	18,700 14,874	2,982 2,357	2,015 1,592	339 287	46 30	342 258	240 190	15,350 12,177	8,987 7,059	5,634 4,535	369 339
Late neonatal (7-27 days)	3,827	625	424	53	15	84	49	3,172	1,927	1,099	30
Postneonatal	9,164	1,379	963	138	15	140	123	7,673	4,535	2,693	111
Sex:	45 500	0.400	1.050	070	00	070	000	10.000	7.005	4.000	077
Male Female	15,596 12,268	2,430 1,932	1,652 1,327	270 207	30 30	278 205	200 163	12,890 10,132	7,665 5,857	4,603 3,724	277 204
	12,200	1,502	1,027	207	00	200	100	10,102	5,007	0,724	204
Plurality: Single births	23,864	3,863	2,660	408	51	422	322	19,603	11,392	7,156	397
Plural births	4,000	498	318	69	10	60	41	3,420	2,130	1,171	83
Birthweight:											
Less than 1,500 grams		2,176	1,413	290	32	256	185	11,932	6,278	5,136	271
1,500-2,499 grams 2,500 grams or more	3,893 9,197	615 1,514	427 1,101	58 121	11 16	81 144	38 132	3,219 7,560	2,056 5,055	975 2,062	58 123
Not stated	395	55	38	7	1	1	8	312	133	154	27
Period of gestation:											
Less than 32 weeks	14,092	2,048	1,314	275	29	248	182	11,789	6,186	5,096	256
32-36 weeks	3,511 8,479	574 1,351	399 975	61 112	13 14	67 134	34 116	2,897 7,017	1,927 4,683	837 1,895	41 110
42 weeks or more	826	1,331	105	12	2	15	14	661	434	1,033	16
Not stated	956	242	186	18	2	19	17	657	292	305	57
Trimester of pregnancy prenatal care began											
After first trimester or no sero	19,809 5,763	2,927	2,031	318	52 5	315	211	16,630	10,467	5,375 2,170	252 74
After first trimester or no care	3,602	1,058 664	757 480	97 61	5 1	110 73	89 49	4,631 2,901	2,186 1,484	1,228	74 37
Third trimester	630	128	87	8	4	18	11	495	254	205	6
No prenatal care	1,530	265	189	28	4	19	29 63	1,234	448	736	31
Not stated	2,292	378	191	62	4	58	63	1,761	869	782	155
Age of mother: Under 20 years	5,003	873	591	118	6	63	95	4,067	2,053	1,887	62
20-24 years	7,625	1,298	933	141	11	117	96	6,210	3,391	2,554	118
25-29 years	6,460	993	679	97	19	118	80	5,366	3,224	1,833	100
30-34 years	5,177 2,836	712 373	460 253	66 37	14 5	108 55	64 23	4,354 2,390	2,828 1,598	1,251 641	111 75
40-54 years	763	115	63	19	5	23	5	634	428	160	13
Educational attainment of mother:											
0-8 years	1,680	909	754	37	2	91	25	757	440	246	12
9-11 years	5,946	1,273	913	158	6	88	108	4,626	2,382	2,064	47
12 years 13-15 years	9,542 5,091	1,202 521	774 299	145 87	18 13	151 76	114 46	8,255 4,529	4,678 2,681	3,221 1,625	86 41
16 years and over	4,107	224	110	24	19	48	23	3,822	2,868	676	61
Not stated	1,499	234	130	26	2	29	47	1,033	473	495	233
Live-birth order:											
1	11,016	1,655	1,121	180	23 18	193	138	9,188	5,566 4,092	3,143	173 137
3	7,923 4,587	1,157 734	775 509	135 77	10	128 81	101 57	6,628 3,795	4,092 2,231	2,198 1,404	57
4	2,142	415	285	44	4	54	28	1,709	899	727	18
5 or more	1,811	325	244	32	4	24	21	1,462	624	739	25
Not stated	386	75	44	9	1	3	18	239	110	114	70
Marital status:	14 5 47	0.040	1.600	101	40	000	170	10.004	0.000	0 171	070
MarriedUnmarried	14,547 13,318	2,249 2,113	1,633 1,345	161 316	49 12	233 250	173 190	12,024 10,999	9,069 4,453	2,171 6,156	276 205
	. 5,5 . 6	_,	.,010	3.0		200	100	. 5,555	., 100	5,100	200

Table 3. Infant mortality rates, live births, and infant deaths by selected characteristics and Hispanic origin of mother and by race of mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 1999 linked file--Con.

				Hisp	anic				Non-Hispani	С	
Characteristics	All origins <sup>1</sup>	Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Central and South American	Other and unknown Hispanic	Total <sup>2</sup>	White	Black	Not stated
						Infant dea	ths				
Mother's place of birth:											
Born in the 50 States and D.C	22,962	1,941	1,276	307	27	66	265	20,695	12,688	7,506	324
Born elsewhere	4,190	2,330	1,660	165	32	415	58	1,822	589	580	38
Not stated	713	89	42	5	1	2	39	505	245	240	118
Maternal smoking during pregnancy: <sup>3</sup>											
Smoker	4,481	187	74	67	4	8	34	4,219	3,098	1,020	75
Nonsmoker	19,571	2,826	1,750	388	51	348	289	16,473	9,079	6,655	272
Not stated	923	73	43	10	1	7	12	757	476	230	92

NOTE: Infant deaths are weighted so numbers may not exactly add to totals due to rounding. Not stated responses were included in totals but not distributed among groups for rate computations.

Category not applicable.
 Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 deaths in the numerator.
 Quantity zero.
 Origin of mother not stated included in "All origins" but not distributed among origins.
 Includes races other than black or white.
 Excludes data for California and South Dakota, which do not report tobacco use on the birth certificate.

Table 4. Percent of live births with selected maternal and infant characteristics by specified race of mother: United States, 1999 linked file

	All	1A# 11	DI. I	American	Asian or Pacific Islander					
Characteristic	races White		Black	Indian <sup>1</sup>	Total	Chinese	Japanese	Hawaiian	Filipino	Other
Birthweight:										
Less than 1,500 grams	1.5	1.2	3.2	1.3	1.1	0.7	0.9	1.4	1.4	1.1
Less than 2,500 grams	7.6	6.6	13.2	7.2	7.5	5.2	8.0	7.7	8.3	7.8
Preterm births <sup>2</sup>	11.8	10.7	17.5	12.9	10.4	7.6	9.3	12.3	12.4	10.7
Prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	83.2	85.1	74.1	69.5	83.7	88.5	90.7	79.6	84.2	81.8
Births to mothers under 20 years	12.3	10.9	20.7	20.2	5.1	0.9	2.1	18.2	5.9	5.5
Fourth and higher order births	10.5	9.7	14.9	19.1	7.2	2.3	3.9	14.3	7.2	8.4
Births to unmarried mothers	33.0	26.8	68.9	58.9	15.4	6.9	9.9	50.4	21.1	14.5
Mothers completing 12 or more years of school	78.3	78.7	74.0	67.8	87.6	88.0	98.0	83.2	93.7	85.2
Mothers born in the 50 States and D.C	79.8	81.5	88.6	96.0	16.7	9.7	41.3	97.8	20.1	10.9
Mother smoked during pregnancy <sup>3</sup>	12.6	13.6	9.3	20.2	2.9	0.5	4.5	14.7	3.3	2.3

Table 5. Percent of live births with selected maternal and infant characteristics by Hispanic origin of mother and race of mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin: United States, 1999 linked file

		Hispanic							Non-Hispanic			
Characteristic	All origins <sup>1</sup>	Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Central and South American	Other and unknown Hispanic	Total <sup>2</sup>	White	Black		
Birthweight:												
Less than 1,500 grams	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.9	1.5	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.2	3.2		
Less than 2,500 grams	7.6	6.4	6.0	9.3	6.8	6.4	7.7	7.9	6.7	13.3		
Preterm births <sup>3</sup>	11.8	11.4	11.1	13.7	11.5	11.4	11.9	11.9	10.5	17.6		
Prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	83.2	74.4	73.1	77.7	91.4	77.6	74.8	85.3	88.4	74.1		
Births to mothers under 20 years	12.3	16.7	17.4	21.1	7.7	10.0	19.5	11.2	9.2	20.7		
Fourth and higher order births	10.5	13.5	14.6	11.9	5.3	11.2	10.6	9.8	8.5	14.9		
Births to unmarried mothers	33.0	42.2	40.1	59.6	26.4	43.7	45.8	30.9	22.1	69.1		
Mothers completing 12 or more years of school	78.3	50.9	44.8	65.6	87.7	62.1	67.5	84.8	87.4	74.1		
Mothers born in the 50 States and D.C.	79.8	39.2	38.7	64.6	41.4	10.4	74.6	89.5	94.8	89.9		
Mother smoked during pregnancy <sup>4</sup>		3.7	2.6	10.5	3.3	1.4	7.7	14.1	15.9	9.4		

Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
 Born prior to 37 completed weeks of gestation.
 Excludes data for California and South Dakota, which do not report tobacco use on the birth certificate.

Includes origin not stated.
Includes races other than black or white.
Born prior to 37 completed weeks of gestation.
Excludes data for California and South Dakota, which do not report tobacco use on the birth certificate.

Table 6. Live births, infant, neonatal, and postneonatal deaths and mortality rates by race of mother and birthweight: United States, 1999 linked file, and percent change in birthweight-specific infant mortality, 1995-99 linked file

_		Number i	า 1999		Mortality ra	te per 1,000 live	births in 1999	Percent change in infant
Race and birthweight	Live births	Infant deaths	Neonatal deaths	Postneonatal deaths	Infant	Neonatal	Postneonatal	mortality rate 1995-99
All races 1	3,959,417	27,864	18,700	9,164	7.0	4.7	2.3	-7.9
Less than 2,500 grams	302,113	18,273	14,960	3,313	60.5	49.5	11.0	-6.3
Less than 1,500 grams	58,227	14,380	12,684	1,696	247.0	217.8	29.1	-8.0
Less than 500 grams	6,318	5,408	5,316	92	856.0	841.4	14.6	-5.3
500-749 grams	11,344	5,507	4,814	693	485.5	424.4	61.1	-8.1
750-999 grams	11,738	1,779	1,332	447	151.6	113.5	38.1	-16.7
1,000-1,249 grams	13,314	930	688	242	69.9	51.7	18.2	-18.2
1,250-1,499 grams	15,513	756	534	221	48.7	34.4	14.2	-10.8
1,500-1,999 grams	59,599	1,714	1,114	600	28.8	18.7	10.1	-13.3
2,000-2,499 grams	184,287	2,179	1,162	1,017	11.8	6.3	5.5	-12.6
2,500 grams or more	3,654,764	9,197	3,366	5,831	2.5	0.9	1.6	-16.7
2,500-2,999 grams	653,618	3,010	1,211	1,798	4.6	1.9	2.8	-14.8
3,000-3,499 grams	1,470,522	3,585	1,197	2,387	2.4	0.8	1.6	-17.2
3,500-3,999 grams	1,137,786	1,916	656	1,260	1.7	0.6	1.1	-15.0
4,000-4,499 grams	332,995	536	226	310	1.6	0.7	0.9	-11.1
4,500-4,999 grams	53,773	103	44	59	1.9	0.8	1,1	-13.6
5,000 grams or more	6,070	47	31	16	7.7	5.1	*	-8.3
Not stated	2,540	395	374	20		•••	•••	•••
White	3,132,501	18,136	12,186	5,950	5.8	3.9	1.9	-7.9
Less than 2,500 grams	206,135	11,303	9,361	1,942	54.8	45.4	9.4	-8.2
Less than 1,500 grams	36,461	8,605	7,692	913	236.0	211.0	25.0	-9.4
Less than 500 grams	3,495	2,997	2,951	46	857.5	844.3	13.2	-5.9
500-749 grams	6,597	3,292	2,945	347	499.0	446.4	52.6	-8.6
750-999 grams	7,297	1,143	905	238	156.6	124.0	32.6	-18.8
1,000-1,249 grams	8,674	632	484	148	72.9	55.8	17.1	-19.8
1,250-1,499 grams	10,398	541	406	135	52.0	39.0	13.0	-6.3
1,500-1,999 grams	41,091	1,191	814	376	29.0	19.8	9.2	-12.7
2,000-2,499 grams	128,583	1,507	854	653	11.7	6.6	5.1	-14.6
2,500 grams or more	2,924,576	6,622	2,626	3,996	2.3	0.9	1.4	-14.8
2,500-2,999 grams	468,901	2,052	918	1,134	4.4	2.0	2.4	-17.0
3,000-3,499 grams	1,151,179	2,586	939	1,647	2.2	0.8	1.4	-18.5
3,500-3,999 grams	959,764	1,441 428	525	916 238	1.5	0.5	1.0	-16.7
4,000-4,499 grams	291,909 47.584	428 83	190 34	238 49	1.5 1.7	0.7 0.7	0.8 1.0	-6.3 -15.0
4,500-4,999 grams	5,239	34	20	13	6.5		1.0	-15.0
5,000 grams or more  Not stated	5,239 1,790	210	199	13		3.8		
Not stated	1,790	210	199	11			•••	***
Black	605,970	8,480	5,739	2,741	14.0	9.5	4.5	-4.1
Less than 2,500 grams	79,635	6,217	4,990	1,227	78.1	62.7	15.4	-1.4
Less than 1,500 grams	19,288	5,223	4,497	726	270.8	233.2	37.6	-5.2
Less than 500 grams	2,590	2,214	2,168	47	854.8	837.1	18.1	-4.5
500-749 grams	4,300	1,998	1,673	325	464.7	389.1	75.6	-6.9
750-999 grams	3,971	557	366	191	140.3	92.2	48.1	-13.9
1,000-1,249 grams	4,045	268	177	91	66.3	43.8	22.5	-11.0
1,250-1,499 grams	4,382	186	113	73	42.4	25.8	16.7	-12.8
1,500-1,999 grams	15,542	429	242	187	27.6	15.6	12.0	-14.8
2,000-2,499 grams	44,805	565	251	314	12.6	5.6	7.0	-6.7
2,500 grams or more	525,898	2,104	595	1,509	4.0	1.1	2.9	-11.1
2,500-2,999 grams	139,324	801	225	576	5.7	1.6	4.1	-8.1
3,000-3,499 grams	229,856	823	210	614	3.6	0.9	2.7	-12.2
3,500-3,999 grams	124,040	374	111	263	3.0	0.9	2.1	-14.3
4,000-4,499 grams	27,918	76	29	47	2.7	1.0	1.7	-37.2
4,500-4,999 grams	4,206	16	9	7	*	*	*	*
5,000 grams or more	554	12	10	2	•	^	^	
Not stated	437	159	154	5				

<sup>\*</sup> Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 deaths in the numerator.

Category not apllicable.

Includes races other than white or black.

NOTE: Infant deaths are weighted so numbers may not exactly add to totals due to rounding.

Table 7. Infant deaths and mortality rates for the five leading causes of infant death by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1999 linked file [Rates per 100,000 live births in specified group]

Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision,		All races			White			Black		Ame	erican India	n <sup>1</sup> , <sup>2</sup>	Asian ar	nd Pacific Is	lander <sup>3</sup>
International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Rank	Number	Rate	Rank	Number	Rate	Rank	Number	Rate	Rank	Number	Rate	Rank	Number	Rate
All causesCongenital malformations,		27,864	703.7		18,136	579.0		8,480	1399.4		373	928.6		876	484.6
deformations and chromosomal abnormalities (Q00-Q99) Disorders related to short gestation and low birth weight, not	1	5,480	138.4	1	4,204	134.2	2	1,002	165.4	1	71	176.7	1	204	112.8
elsewhere classified (P07) Sudden infant death syndrome	2	4,377	110.5	2	2,366	75.5	1	1,842	304.0	3	44	109.5	2	124	68.6
(R95)	3	2,643	66.8	3	1,741	55.6	3	787	129.9	2	59	146.9	3	56	31.0
(P01)	4	1,387	35.0	4	871	27.8	4	465	76.7	4	12	*	4	39	21.6
Respiratory distress of newborn (P22)	5	1,127	28.5	5	716	22.9	5	373	61.6	7	9	*	7	29	16.0

Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision	То	otal Hispani	c <sup>4</sup>		Mexican		Puerto Rican		Central and South American <sup>5</sup>			Non-Hispanic White			
International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Rank	Number	Rate	Rank	Number	Rate	Rank	Number	Rate	Rank	Number	Rate	Rank	Number	Rate
All causesCongenital malformations,		4,363	570.8		2,979	551.0		477	834.8		483	467.5		13,522	576.3
abnormalities (Q00-Q99)  Disorders related to short gestation and low birth weight, not	1	1,073	140.4	1	793	146.7	2	77	134.8	1	130	125.8	1	3,082	131.3
elsewhere classified (P07) Sudden infant death syndrome	2	643	84.1	2	421	77.9	1	98	171.5	2	54	52.3	2	1,666	71.0
(R95)	3	284	37.2	3	180	33.3	3	38	66.5	4	19	*	3	1,457	62.1
(P01)Respiratory distress of newborn	5	172	22.5	5	109	20.2	4	30	52.5	7	12	*	4	682	29.1
(P22)	4	195	25.5	4	112	20.7	4	30	52.5	3	32	31.0	5	511	21.8

<sup>...,</sup> Category not applicable.

NOTE: Reliable cause-specific infant mortality rates cannot be computed for Cubans because of the small number of infant deaths (61).

Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 deaths in the numerator.

<sup>1</sup> Includes Aleuts and Eskimos.
2 For American Indians, Necrotizing enterocolotis of newborn (P77) and Accidents (unintentional injuries) (V01-X59) were tied for the fifth leading cause of death; however with only 11 deaths each, reliable infant mortality rates could not be computed.

For Asian and Pacific Islanders, Newborn affected by complications of placenta, cord and membranes (P02) was the fifth leading cause of death, with 38 deaths and a rate of 21.1.

Includes Cuban and other and unknown Hispanic.

For Central and South Americans, Intrauterine hypoxia and birth asphyxia (P20-P21) was the fifth leading cause of death, however with only 16 deaths, a reliable infant mortality rate could not be computed.

# **Technical notes**

#### Differences between period and cohort data

From 1983-91, NCHS produced linked files in a birth cohort format (39). Beginning with 1995 data, linked files are produced first using a period format and then subsequently using a birth cohort format. Thus, the 1999 period linked file contains a numerator file that consists of all infant deaths occurring in 1999 that have been linked to their corresponding birth certificates, whether the birth occurred in 1999 or in 1998. This cross-sectional approach is used to improve timeliness of the file release. In contrast, the 1999 birth cohort linked file will contain a numerator file that consists of all infant deaths to babies born in 1999 whether the death occurred in 1999 or 2000. In both the cohort and the period file, the denominator file is the 1999 natality file, which contains all births occurring in 1999. In practice, there is very little difference in rates between the period and the cohort files.

The release of linked file data in two different formats allows NCHS to meet demands for more timely linked files while still meeting the needs of data users who prefer the birth cohort format. While the birth cohort format has methodological advantages, it creates substantial delays in data availability, since it is necessary to wait until the close of the following data year to include all infant deaths to the birth cohort. Beginning with 1995 data, the period linked file is the basis for all official NCHS linked file statistics (except for special cohort studies).

# Weighting

A record weight is added to the linked file to compensate for the 2.3 percent (in 1999) of infant death records that could not be linked to their corresponding birth certificates. This procedure was initiated in 1995. Records for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam are not weighted. The percent of records linked varied by registration area (from 91.0-100.0 percent with all but eight areas-the District of Columbia, Hawaii, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Utah at 97 percent or higher) (table I). The percent linked also varied by age at death, from 97.1 percent for infants who died during the early neonatal period (within the first 7 days of life), to 98.3 percent for infants who died during the postneonatal period (28 days-11 months of age). The number of infant deaths in the linked file for the 50 States and the District of Columbia was weighted to equal the sum of the linked plus unlinked infant deaths by State of residence at birth and age at death (less than 1 day, 1-27 days, and 28 days-11 months). The addition of the weight greatly reduced the potential for bias in comparing infant mortality rates by characteristics.

The 1999 linked file includes 27,281 unweighted infant death records. An additional 633 records could not be linked to their corresponding birth certificates because the birth certificate could not be identified. Thus, the linked file was weighted to match the total of 27,914 linked plus unlinked records. Since the data included in this report are tabulated by place of residence of the mother, 50 infant deaths to mothers whose usual place of residence is outside of the United States were excluded from tables shown in this report, leading to a weighted total of 27,864 infant deaths.

Table I. Percent of infant death records which were linked to their corresponding birth records: United States and each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam, 1999 linked file

State	Percent linked by State of occurrence of death
United States <sup>1</sup>	97.7
Alabama	100.0
Alaska	98.0
Arizona	98.4
Arkansas	98.5
California	97.1
Colorado	99.1
Connecticut	100.0
Delaware	99.1
District of Columbia	94.3
Florida	99.2
Georgia	99.9
Hawaii	96.7
Idaho	99.1
Illinois	97.5
Indiana	98.6
lowa	100.0
Kansas	98.3
Kentucky	98.6
Louisiana	97.6
Maine	96.9
Maryland	99.4
Massachusetts	97.1
Michigan	97.3
Minnesota	100.0
Mississippi	100.0
Missouri	98.7
Montana	98.5
Nebraska	99.4
Nevada	97.4
New Hampshire	100.0
New Jersey	96.2
New Mexico	91.8
New York	96.5
North Carolina	99.8
North Dakota	98.3
Ohio	90.9
Oklahoma	91.0
Oregon	99.6
Pennsylvania	97.7
Rhode Island	100.0
South Carolina	99.8
South Dakota	100.0
Tennessee	100.0
Texas	97.2
Utah	96.3
Vermont	100.0
Virginia	98.5
Washington	99.0
West Virginia	98.8
Wisconsin	100.0
Wyoming	100.0
Puerto Rico	99.4
Virgin Islands	100.0
	100.0

<sup>1</sup> Excludes data for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam.

# Comparison of infant mortality data between the linked file and the vital statistics mortality file

The overall infant mortality rate from the 1999 period linked file of 7.0 is 0.1 lower than from the 1999 vital statistics mortality file (7.1) (2). The number of infant deaths also differs slightly (2). Differences in numbers of infant deaths between the two data sources can be traced to three different causes:

- 1. geographic coverage differences
- 2. additional quality control
- 3. weighting

Differences in geographic coverage are due to the fact that for the vital statistics mortality file all deaths occurring in the 50 States and the District of Columbia are included regardless of the place of birth of the infant. In contrast, to be included in the linked file, both the birth and death must occur in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Also, the linkage process subjects infant death records to an additional round of quality control review. Every year, a few records are voided from the file at this stage because they are found to be fetal deaths, deaths at ages greater than 1 year, or duplicate death certificates. Finally, although every effort has been made to design weights that will accurately reflect the distribution of deaths by characteristics, weighting may contribute to small differences in numbers and rates by specific variables between these two data sets.

#### Age of mother

Age of mother is computed in most cases from the mother's and infant's dates of birth as reported on the birth certificate. The mother's age is directly reported by five States (Kentucky, Nevada, North Dakota, Virginia, and Wyoming). From 1964–96, births reported to occur to mothers younger than age 10 or older than age 49 years had age imputed according to the age of mother from the previous record with the same race and total birth order (total of live births and fetal deaths). Beginning in 1997, age of mother is imputed if less than 10 or greater than 54 years. A review and verification of unedited birth data for 1996 showed that the vast majority of births reported as occurring to women aged 50 years and over were to women aged 50–54 years. The numbers of births and infant deaths to women aged 50–54 years are too small for computing age-specific infant mortality rates.

#### Marital status

National estimates of births to unmarried women are based on two methods of determining marital status. For 1994 through 1996, birth certificates in 45 States and the District of Columbia included a question about the mother's marital status. Beginning in 1997, California added a direct question to their birth certificate; thus by 1997, all but four States (Connecticut, Michigan, Nevada, and New York) included a direct question on their birth certificates. Beginning in 1997, the marital status of women giving birth in California and Nevada is determined by a direct question in the birth registration process. Beginning June 15, 1998, Connecticut discontinued inferring the mother's marital status and added a direct question on mother's marital status to the State's birth certificate.

In the two States (Michigan and New York), which used inferential procedures to compile birth statistics by marital status in 1999, a birth is inferred as nonmarital if either of these factors, listed in priority-of-use order, is present: a paternity acknowledgment was received or the father's name is missing. For more information on the inferential procedures and on the changes in reporting, see Technical notes in *Births: Final Data for 1999* (9).

# Period of gestation and birthweight

The primary measure used to determine the gestational age of the newborn is the interval between the first day of the mother's last normal menstrual period (LMP) and the date of birth. It is subject to error for several reasons, including imperfect maternal recall or misidentification of the LMP because of postconception bleeding, delayed ovulation, or intervening early miscarriage. These data are edited for LMP-based gestational ages that are clearly inconsistent with the infant's plurality and birthweight (see below), but reporting problems for this item persist and many occur more frequently among some subpopulations and among births with shorter gestations (40–41).

The U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth contains an item, "clinical estimate of gestation," which is being compared with length of gestation computed from the date the LMP began when the latter appears to be inconsistent with birthweight. This is done for normal weight births of apparently short gestations and very low birthweight births reported to be full term. The clinical estimate was also used if the LMP date was not reported. The period of gestation for 5.1 percent of the births in 1999 was based on the clinical estimate of gestation. For 97 percent of these records, the clinical estimate was used because the LMP date was not reported. For the remaining 3 percent, the clinical estimate was used because it was consistent with the reported birthweight, whereas the LMP-based gestation was not. In cases where the reported birthweight was inconsistent with both the LMP-computed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation, the LMP-computed gestation was used and birthweight was reclassified as "not stated." This was necessary for about 350 births or less than 0.01 percent of all birth records in 1999 (9).

For the linked file not stated birthweight was imputed for 2,276 records, or 0.06 percent of the birth records in 1999 when birthweight was not stated and the period of gestation was known. In this case, birthweight was assigned the value from the previous record with the same period of gestation, maternal race, sex, and plurality. If birthweight and period of gestation were both unknown (2,540 records in 1999) the not stated value for birthweight was retained. This imputation was done to improve the accuracy of birthweight-specific infant mortality rates, since the percent of records with not stated birthweight was higher for infant deaths (3.82 percent before imputation) than for live births (0.12 percent before imputation). The imputation reduced the percent of not stated records to 1.42 percent for infant deaths, and 0.06 percent for births. The not stated birthweight cases in the natality/birth file, as distinct from the linked file, are not imputed (9).

#### Cause-of-death classification

The mortality statistics presented in this report were compiled in accordance with the World Health Organization (WHO) regulations, which specify that member nations classify and code causes of death in accordance with the current revision of the *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems*. The ICD provides the basic guidance used in virtually all countries to code and classify causes of death. The ICD not only details disease classifications but also provides definitions, tabulation lists, the format of the death certificate, and the rules for coding cause of death. Cause-of-death data presented in this report were coded by procedures outlined in the 1999 issue of the *NCHS Instruction Manual* (42–43).

In this report, tabulations of cause-of-death statistics are based solely on the underlying cause of death. The underlying cause is defined by WHO as "the disease or injury which initiated the train of events leading directly to death, or the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury" (3). It is selected from the conditions entered by the physician in the cause-of-death section of the death certificate. When more than one cause or condition is entered by the physician, the underlying cause is determined by the sequence of conditions on the certificate, provisions of the ICD, and associated selection rules and modifications. Generally, more medical information is reported on death certificates than is directly reflected in the underlying cause of death. This is captured in NCHS multiple cause-of-death statistics (44-45).

#### Changes in Cause-of-Death Classification

About every 10-20 years, the International Classification of Diseases is revised to take into account advances in medical knowledge. Effective with deaths occurring in 1999, the United States began using the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) (3); during the period 1979-98, causes were coded and classified according to the Ninth Revision (ICD-9) (4). ICD-10 has many changes from ICD-9(3). As a result, it can be difficult to compare cause-of-death data for 1999 with that for previous years. To assist in this comparison, **comparability ratios** are computed, and are shown in table II for causes of death shown in this report. Comparability ratios measure the net effect of the new revision on statistics for a particular cause of death and can be used as a factor to adjust mortality statistics for causes of death classified by a previous revision to be comparable to those for the same cause classified by the new revision. A comparability ratio near 1.0 indicates little or no net change in cause-of-death classification for the category. Ratios divergent from 1.0 indicate a greater discontinuity. For more information on the computation and uses of comparability ratios, see Comparability of cause of death between ICD-9 and ICD-10: Preliminary estimates (46).

# Tabulation lists and cause-of-death ranking

Tabulation lists for ICD-10 were developed to maximize continuity with ICD-9. This continuity is especially useful in trend analysis and in identifying causes of death, which are of public health and medical importance. The tabulation lists and rules for ranking leading causes of death are published in the NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 9, ICD-10 "Cause-of-Death Lists for Tabulating Mortality Statistics, Effective 1999" (47). Briefly, ranking of causes of infant death is based on the List of 130 Selected Causes of Infant Death. Category titles that begin with the words "Other" and "All other" are not ranked to determine the leading causes of death. When one of the titles that represents a subtotal is ranked (for example, Influenza and pneumonia (J10-J18)), its component parts are not ranked (in this case, Influenza (J10-J11) and Pneumonia (J12-18)).

# Computation of rates

Infant mortality rates are the most commonly used index for measuring the risk of dying during the first year of life. They are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths in a calendar year by the number of live births registered for the same period and are presented as rates per 1,000 or per 100,000 live births. Infant mortality rates use the number of live births in the denominator to approximate the population at risk of dying before the first birthday. For all variables, not stated responses were shown in tables of frequencies, but were dropped before rates were computed.

As stated previously, infant death records for the 50 States and the District of Columbia in the linked file are weighted so that the infant mortality rates are not underestimated for those areas that did not successfully link all records. For the Virgin Islands and Guam, the infant death records are not weighted due to the small number of cases for the Virgin Islands and Guam. However, the percent of records linked has been very high (greater than 99 percent) for Puerto Rico as well as the Virgin Islands and Guam, and thus the effect of not weighting the data have been minimal. The infant death records are also not weighted for Puerto Rico. There is no linked file data for American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas.

#### Random variation in infant mortality rates

The number of infant deaths and live births reported for an area represent complete counts of such events. As such, they are not subject to sampling error, although they are subject to nonsampling error in the registration process. However, when the figures are used for analytic purposes, such as the comparison of rates over time, for different areas, or among different subgroups, the number of events that actually occurred may be considered as one of a large series of possible results that could have arisen under the same circumstances (48). As a result, numbers of births, deaths, and infant mortality rates

Table II. List of ICD-10 five leading causes of infant death for 1999, comparable ICD-9 causes of infant death, and estimated comparability ratios

ICD-10 cause of death	ICD-9 cause of death	Estimated comparability ratio
Congenital malformations, deformations and		
chromosomal abnormalities (Q00-Q99)	Congenital anomalies	0.9064
Disorders related to short gestation and low	Disorders relating to short gestation and	
birthweight, not elsewhere classified (P07)	unspecified low birthweight	1.1060
Sudden infant death syndrome		1.0362
ewborn affected by maternal complications	Newborn affected by maternal complications	
of pregnancy	of pregnancy	1.0295
espiratory distress of newborn (P22)	Respiratory distress syndrome	1.0257

25

are subject to random variation. The probable range of values may be estimated from the actual figures according to certain statistical assumptions.

In general, distributions of vital events may be assumed to follow the binomial distribution. When the number of events is large, the relative standard error is usually small. When the number of events is small (perhaps less than 100) and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the data. Such infrequent events may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. Estimates of relative standard errors (RSE's) and 95-percent confidence intervals are shown below.

The formula for the RSE of infant deaths and live births is:

$$RSE(D) = 100 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{D}}$$

where D is the number of deaths and

RSE (B) = 100 • 
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{B}}$$

where B is the number of births.

For example, let us say that for group A the number of infant deaths was 104 while the number of live births was 27,380 yielding an infant mortality rate of 3.8 infant deaths per 1,000 live births.

The RSE of the deaths = 100 • 
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{104}}$$
 = 9.81,

while the RSE of the births = 100 • 
$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{27,830}}$$
 = 0.60

The formula for the RSE of the infant mortality rate (IMR) is:

$$RSE(IMR) = 100 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{B}}$$

The RSE of the IMR = 
$$100 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{104} + \frac{1}{27,380}} = 9.82$$

Binomial distribution—When the number of events is greater than 100, the binomial distribution is used to estimate the 95-percent confidence intervals as follows:

Lower: 
$$R_1 - 1.96 \cdot R_1 \cdot \frac{\mathsf{RSE}(R_1)}{100}$$

Upper: 
$$R_1 + 1.96 \cdot R_1 \cdot \frac{RSE(R_1)}{100}$$

Thus, for Group A:

Lower: 
$$3.8 - 1.96 \cdot 3.8 \cdot \frac{9.82}{100} = 3.1$$

Upper: 
$$3.8 + 1.96 \cdot 3.8 \cdot \frac{9.82}{100} = 4.5$$

Thus the chances are 95 out of 100 that the true infant mortality rate for Group A lies somewhere in the 3.1–4.5 interval.

Poisson distribution—When the number of events in the numerator is less than 100 the confidence interval for the rate can be estimated based on the Poisson distribution using the values in table III.

Upper: IMR • 
$$U$$
 (.95,  $D_{adi}$ )

where  $D_{\rm adj}$  is the adjusted number of infant deaths (rounded to the nearest integer) used to take into account the RSE of the number of infant deaths and live births, and is computed as follows:

$$D_{\text{adj}} = \frac{D \cdot B}{D + B}$$

L (.95,  $D_{\rm adj}$ ) and U (.95,  $D_{\rm adj}$ ) refer to the values in table III corresponding to the value of  $D_{\rm adj}$ .

For example, let us say that for Group B the number of infant deaths was 47, the number of live births was 8,901, and the infant mortality rate was 5.3.

$$D_{\text{adj}} = \frac{(47 \cdot 8,901)}{(47 + 8,901)} = 47$$

Therefore the 95-percent confidence interval (using the formula for 1-99 infant deaths) =

Lower: 5.3 • 0.73476 = 3.9

Upper:  $5.3 \cdot 1.32979 = 7.0$ 

Comparison of two infant mortality rates—If either of the two rates to be compared is based on less than 100 deaths, compute the confidence intervals for both rates and check to see if they overlap. If so, the difference is not statistically significant at the 95-percent level. If they do not overlap, the difference is statistically significant. If both of the two rates ( $R_1$  and  $R_2$ ) to be compared are based on 100 or more deaths, the following z-test may be used to define a significance test statistic:

$$z = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\sqrt{R_1^2 \left(\frac{\text{RSE}(R_1)}{100}\right)^2 + R_2^2 \left(\frac{\text{RSE}(R_2)}{100}\right)^2}}$$

If  $z \ge 1.96$ , then the difference is statistically significant at the 0.05 level and if  $z \le 1.96$ , the difference is not significant.

# Availability of linked file data

Linked file data are available on CD-ROM from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) and the Government Printing Office (GPO). Data are also available in selected issues of the *Vital and Health Statistics*, Series 20 reports, the *Monthly Vital Statistics Reports* and the *National Vital Statistics Report* through NCHS. Additional unpublished tabulations are available from NCHS or through our Internet site at <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nchswww">http://www.cdc.gov/nchswww</a>. Selected variables from the linked file are also available for tabulation on CDC WONDER at <a href="http://wonder.cdc.gov/lbdj.shtml">http://wonder.cdc.gov/lbdj.shtml</a>.

Table III. Values of L and U for calculating 95-percent confidence limits for numbers of events and rates when the number of events is less than 100

N	L	U	N	L	U
	0.02532	5.57164	51	0.74457	1.31482
	0.12110	3.61234		0.74685	1.31137
	****		52		
	0.20622	2.92242	53	0.74907	1.30802
	0.27247	2.56040	54	0.75123	1.30478
	0.32470	2.33367	55	0.75334	1.30164
	0.36698	2.17658	56	0.75539	1.29858
	0.40205	2.06038	57	0.75739	1.29562
	0.43173	1.97040	58	0.75934	1.29273
	0.45726	1.89831		0.76125	1.28993
			59		
	0.47954	1.83904	60	0.76311	1.28720
	0.49920	1.78928	61	0.76492	1.28454
	0.51671	1.74680	62	0.76669	1.2819
	0.53246	1.71003	63	0.76843	1.27943
	0.54671	1.67783	64	0.77012	1.27698
	0.55969	1.64935	65	0.77178	1.27458
		1.62394			1.27225
	0.57159		66	0.77340	
	0.58254	1.60110	67	0.77499	1.26996
	0.59266	1.58043	68	0.77654	1.2677
	0.60207	1.56162	69	0.77806	1.26556
	0.61083	1.54442	70	0.77955	1.26344
	0.61902	1.52861	71	0.78101	1.26136
	0.62669	1.51401		0.78244	1.25933
			72		
	0.63391	1.50049	73	0.78384	1.2573
	0.64072	1.48792	74	0.78522	1.2554
	0.64715	1.47620	75	0.78656	1.2535
	0.65323	1.46523	76	0.78789	1.2516
	0.65901	1.45495	77	0.78918	1.24983
	0.66449	1.44528	78	0.79046	1.24805
	0.66972	1.43617	79	0.79171	1.24630
	0.67470	1.42756	80	0.79294	1.24459
	0.67945	1.41942	81	0.79414	1.2429°
	0.68400	1.41170	82	0.79533	1.24126
	0.68835	1.40437	83	0.79649	1.2396
	0.69253	1.39740	84	0.79764	1.23807
	0.69654	1.39076			
			85	0.79876	1.23652
	0.70039	1.38442	86	0.79987	1.23499
	0.70409	1.37837	87	0.80096	1.23350
	0.70766	1.37258	88	0.80203	1.23203
	0.71110	1.36703	89	0.80308	1.23059
	0.71441	1.36172	90	0.80412	1.2291
	0.71762	1.35661		0.80514	1.22778
	****		91		
	0.72071	1.35171	92	0.80614	1.2264
	0.72370	1.34699	93	0.80713	1.22507
	0.72660	1.34245	94	0.80810	1.2237
	0.72941	1.33808	95	0.80906	1.2224
	0.73213	1.33386	96	0.81000	1.22117
	0.73476	1.32979	97	0.81093	1.21992
	0.73732	1.32585	98	0.81185	1.21868
	0.73981	1.32205	99	0.81275	1.21746
	0.74222	1.31838			

# Contents

# Acknowledgments

This report was prepared in the Division of Vital Statistics under the general direction of Stephanie J. Ventura, Chief of the Reproductive Statistics Branch (RSB). Steve Steimel, Gail Parr, Manju Sharma, Jordan Sachs, and Jaleh Mousavi of the Systems, Programming, and Statistical Resources Branch (SPSRB) provided computer programming support and statistical tables. Melissa Park and Yashu Patel of RSB provided assistance with content review. Van L. Parsons of the Office of Research and Methodology provided information on the statistical methodology. The Registration Methods staff and the Data Acquisition and Evaluation Branch provided consultation to State vital statistics offices regarding collection of the birth and death certificate data on which this report is based. This report was edited by Gail V. Johnson and typeset by Jacqueline M. Davis; graphics were produced by Jarmila Ogburn of the Publications Branch, Division of Data Services.

#### Suggested citation

Mathews TJ, MacDorman MF, Menacker F. Infant mortality statistics from the 1999 period linked birth/infant death data set. National vital statistics reports; vol 50 no 4. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2002.

#### **National Center for Health Statistics**

Director, Edward J. Sondik, Ph.D. Deputy Director, Jack R. Anderson

#### **Division of Vital Statistics**

Director, Mary Anne Freedman

To receive this publication regularly, contact the National Center for Health Statistics by calling 301-458-4636. E-mail: nchsquery@cdc.gov Internet: www.cdc.gov/nchs

#### Copyright information

All material appearing in this report is in the public domain and may be reproduced or copied without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

# **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES**

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, Maryland 20782-2003

DHHS Publication No. (PHS) 2002-1120 PRS 02-0108 (1/2002)

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

FIRST CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID CDC/NCHS PERMIT NO. G-284

# TECHNICAL APPENDIX FROM

# VITAL STATISTICS OF THE UNITED STATES

1999

**NATALITY** 

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

Hyattsville, Maryland: March 2001

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The technical appendix preparation was coordinated by Melissa M. Park and Brady E. Hamilton in the Division of Vital Statistics under the general direction of Stephanie J. Ventura, Chief of the Reproductive Statistics Branch. The vital statistics computer file on which it is based were prepared by staff from the Division of Vital Statistics.

The Division of Vital Statistics, Mary Anne Freedman, Director, and James A. Weed, Deputy Director, managed the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program, through which the vital registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, New York City, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands provided the data to the National Center for Health Statistics. This Division also processed computer edits, designed and programmed the tabulations, reviewed the data, prepared documentation for this publication, and was responsible for receipt and processing of the basic data file. The following management staff provided overall direction: Ronald F. Chamblee, James A. Weed and Nicholas F. Pace. Important contributors were: Robert N. Anderson, Joyce Arbertha, Judy M. Barnes, Brenda L. Brown, Faye Cavalchire, Linda P. Currin, Sally C. Curtin, Celia Dickens, Thomas D. Dunn, Connie M. Gentry, Brenda A. Green, Vanetta Harrington, Christina K. Jarman, Millie B. Johnson, David W. Justice, Virginia J. Justice, Julia L. Kowaleski, Joyce A. Martin, T.J. Mathews, Jeff Maurer, Susan L. McBroom, Fay Menacker, Jaleh Mousavi, Gail Parr, Phyllis Powell-Hobgood, Seth J. Preslar, Adrienne L. Rouse, Jordan Sacks, Manju Sharma, Steve Steimel, George C. Tolson, Mary M. Trotter, Teresa M. Watkins, Faye L. Webster, Mary Whitley, Dora B. Wilkerson, James G. Williams, and Francine D. Winter.

The Division of Data Services, Phillip R. Beattie, Director, and Linda Bean, Chief of the Publications Branch were responsible for publication management and editorial review. The following management staff provided overall direction: Stephen L. Sloan and Rolfe W. Larson. Important contributors were Demarius V. Miller, Margaret Avery, and Patty Wilson.

The Office of Research and Methodology was responsible for the application of mathematical statistics methods to the development and implementation of quality assurance procedures. Important contributions in this area were made by Kenneth Harris.

The National Center for Health Statistics acknowledges the essential role of the vital registration offices of all States and territories in maintaining the system through which vital statistics data are obtained and for their cooperation in providing the information on which this publication is based.

A copy of the technical appendix may be obtained by contacting the National Center for Health Statistics, Reproductive Statistics Branch at 301-458-4111.

For a list of reports published by the National Center for Health Statistics contact:

Data Dissemination Branch National Center for Health Statistics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 1064 Hyattsville, MD 20782-2003 (301) 458-4636

Internet:www.cdc.gov/nchs/

Defin	ition of live birth	1
Histor	ry of birth-registration area	1
Sourc	es of data	2
	Natality statistics	2
	Standard Certificate of Live Birth	2
Classi	ification of data	3
	Classification by occurrence and residence	3
	Geographic classification	4
	Race or national origin	5
	Age of mother	6
	Age of father	7
	Live-birth order and parity	8
	Date of last live birth	8
	Educational attainment	8
	Marital status	9
	Place of delivery and attendant at birth	9
	Birthweight	10
	Period of gestation	11
	Month of pregnancy prenatal care began	12
	Number of prenatal visits	12
	Apgar score	12
	Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy	12
	Weight gained during pregnancy	12
	Medical risk factors for this pregnancy	13
	Obstetric procedures	13

Complications of labor and/or delivery	14
Abnormal conditions of the newborn	
Congenital anomalies of child	
Method of delivery	
Hispanic parentage	
Quality of data	17
Completeness of registration	
Completeness of reporting	
Quality control procedures	
Random variation and significance testing for natality data	
Computation of rates and other measures	
Population bases	
Net census undercounts and overcounts	
Cohort fertility tables	32
Total fertility rate	32
Seasonal adjustment of rates	32
Computation of percents, percent distributions, and medians	32
References	34

Figu	re
4-A.	U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth: 1989 Revision
Text	tables
A.	Percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated: United States, each State, and Territory, 1999
B.	Births by State of Occurrence and Residence for Births Occurring in the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 1999
C.	Lower and upper 95 and 96 percent confidence limit factors for a birth rate based on a Poisson variable of 1-99 births
D.	Sources for the resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900-32, and United States, 1900-99
E. by ag	Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount ge, sex, and race: United States, April 1, 1990
Popu	ulation tables
4-1.	Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900-32, and United States, 1900-99
4-2.	Estimated population of the United States, by age, race, and sex: July 1, 1999
4-3.	Estimated total population by specified Hispanic origin and estimated female population by age and specified Hispanic origin and by race for women of non-Hispanic origin: United States, July 1, 1999
4-4.	Estimated total population and female population aged 15-44 years: United States, each division, State, and Territory: July 1, 1999

# Introduction

This document provides detailed information on the variables and the quality and completeness of the data on the public-use file for 1999 births, published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Center for Health Statistics (1). This report supplements the Technical notes of "Births: Final Data for 1999" (2) and provides a thorough discussion of the definitions, coding, quality and completeness of the 1999 birth data (1). In addition, this report is recommended for use with the public-use file for 1999 births, available on CD-ROM from the National Center for Health Statistics, and the tabulated data of "Vital Statistics of the United States, 1999, Volume I, Natality" (in preparation).

# **Definition of live birth**

Every product of conception that gives a sign of life after birth, regardless of the length of the pregnancy, is considered a live birth. This concept is included in the definition set forth by the World Health Organization in 1950 and revised in 1988 by a working group formed by the American Academy of Pediatrics and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (3,4,5):

Live birth is the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of pregnancy, which, after such separation, breathes or shows any other evidence of life, such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, whether or not the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached; each product of such a birth is considered liveborn.

This definition distinguishes in precise terms a live birth from a fetal death (see the section on fetal deaths in the Technical Appendix of volume II, *Vital Statistics of the United States*). In the interest of comparable natality statistics, both the Statistical Commission of the United Nations and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) have adopted this definition (6,7).

# History of birth-registration area

The national birth-registration area was proposed in 1850 and established in 1915. By 1933 all 48 States and the District of Columbia were participating in the registration system. The organized territories of Hawaii and Alaska were admitted in 1929 and 1950, respectively; data from these areas were prepared separately until they became States--Alaska in 1959 and Hawaii in 1960. Currently the birth-registration system of the United States covers the 50 States, the District of Columbia, the independent registration area of New York City, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. However, in the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia.

The original birth-registration area of 1915 consisted of 10 States and the District of Columbia. The growth of this area is indicated in table 4-1. This table also presents for each year through 1932 the estimated midyear population of the United States and of those States included in the registration system.

Because of the growth of the area for which data have been collected and tabulated, a national series of geographically comparable data before 1933 can be obtained only by estimation. Annual estimates of births were prepared by P. K. Whelpton for 1909-34 (8). These estimates include adjustments for underregistration and for States that were not part of the birth-registration area before 1933.

# Sources of data

# **Natality statistics**

Since 1985 natality statistics for all States and the District of Columbia have been based on information from the total file of records. The information is received on electronic files of individual records processed by the States and provided to NCHS through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. NCHS receives these files from the registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, and New York City. Information for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands is also received through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. Information for Guam is obtained from microfilm copies of original birth certificates and is based on the total file of records for all years. Data from American Samoa first became available in 1997. Data from the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (referred to as Northern Marianas) first became available in 1998. Similar to data from Guam, the data are obtained from microfilm copies of original birth certificates and are based on the total file of records.

Birth statistics for years prior to 1951 and for 1955 are based on the total file of birth records. Statistics for 1951-54, 1956-66, and 1968-71 are based on 50-percent samples except for data for Guam and the Virgin Islands, which are based on all records filed. During the processing of the 1967 data the sampling rate was reduced from 50 percent to 20 percent. For details of this procedure and its consequences for the 1967 data see pages 3-9 to 3-11 in volume I of *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1967. From 1972 to 1984 statistics are based on all records filed in the States submitting computer tapes and on a 50-percent sample of records in all other States.

Information for years prior to 1970 for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam is published in the annual vital statistics reports of the Department of Health of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Department of Public Health of the Virgin Islands, the Department of Public Health and Social Services of the Government of Guam, and in selected *Vital Statistics of the United States* annual reports.

U.S. natality data are limited to births occurring within the United States, including those occurring to U.S. residents and nonresidents. Births to nonresidents of the United States have been excluded from all tabulations by place of residence beginning in 1970 (for further discussion see "Classification by occurrence and residence"). Births occurring to U.S. citizens outside the United States are not included in any tabulations in this report. Similarly the data for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas are limited to births registered in these areas.

#### Standard certificate of live birth

The U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, issued by the Public Health Service, has served for many years as the principal means of attaining uniformity in the content of the documents used to collect information on births in the United States. It has been modified in each State to the extent required by the particular State's needs or by special provisions of the State's vital statistics law. However, most State certificates conform closely in content to the standard certificate.

The first standard certificate of birth was developed in 1900. Since then, it has been revised periodically by the national vital statistics agency through consultation with State health officers and registrars; Federal agencies concerned with vital statistics; national, State, and county medical societies; and others working in public health, social welfare, demography, and insurance. This procedure has assured careful evaluation of each item for its current and future usefulness for legal, medical, demographic, and research purposes. New items have been added when necessary, and old items have been modified to ensure better reporting or, in some cases, dropped when their usefulness appeared to be limited.

1989 revision--Effective January 1, 1989, a revised U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth (figure 4-A) replaced the 1978 revision. This revision provided a wide variety of new information on maternal and infant health characteristics, representing a significant departure from previous versions in both content and format. The most significant format change was the use of check boxes to obtain detailed medical and health information about the mother and child. It has been demonstrated that this format produces higher quality and more complete information than do open-ended items.

The reformatted items included "Medical Risk Factors for This Pregnancy," which combines the former items "Complications of Pregnancy" and "Concurrent Illnesses or Conditions Affecting the Pregnancy." "Complications of Labor and/or Delivery" and "Congenital Anomalies of Child" also have been revised from the open-ended format. For each of these items at least 15 specific conditions have been identified.

Several new items were added to the revised certificate. Included are items to obtain information on tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy, weight gain during pregnancy, obstetric procedures, method of delivery, and abnormal conditions of the newborn. These items can be used to monitor the health practices of the mother that can affect pregnancy and the use of technology in childbirth, and to identify babies with specific abnormal conditions. When combined with other socioeconomic and health data, these items provide a wealth of information relevant to the etiology of low birth weight and other adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Another modification was the addition of a Hispanic identifier for the mother and father. Although NCHS had recommended that States add items to identify the Hispanic or ethnic origin of the newborn's parents, concurrent with the 1978 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth and reported data from the cooperating States since that year, the items were new to the U.S. Standard Certificate for 1989.

The 1989 revised certificate also provided more detail than previously requested on the birth attendant and place of birth. This permits a more in-depth analysis of the number and characteristics of births by attendant and type of facility and a comparison of differences in outcome. For further discussion see individual sections for each item.

# Classification of data

One of the principal values of vital statistics data is realized through the presentation of rates that are computed by relating the vital events of a class to the population of a similarly defined class. Vital statistics and population statistics, therefore, must be classified according to similarly defined systems and tabulated in comparable groups. Even when the variables common to both, such as geographic area, age, race, and sex, have been similarly classified and tabulated, differences between the enumeration method of obtaining population data and the registration method of obtaining vital statistics data may result in significant discrepancies.

The general rules used to classify geographic and personal items for live births are set forth in "Vital Statistics Classification and Coding Instructions for Live Birth Records, 1999," *NCHS Instruction Manual*, Part 3a (9). This material is incorporated in the basic file layout on the CD-ROM. The instruction materials are for States to use in coding the data items; they do not include any NCHS recodes. So, the file layout is a better source of information, since it provides the exact codes and recodes that are available. The classification of certain important items is discussed in the following pages. See table A for a listing of items and the percent of records that were not stated for each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas.

# Classification by occurrence and residence

Births to U.S. residents occurring outside this country are not reallocated to the United States. In tabulations by place of residence, births occurring within the United States to U.S. citizens and to resident aliens are allocated to the usual place of residence of the mother in the United States, as reported on the birth certificate. Beginning in 1970 births to nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States are excluded from these tabulations. From 1966 to 1969 births occurring in the United States to mothers who were nonresidents of the United States were considered as births to residents of the exact place of occurrence; in 1964 and 1965 all such births were allocated to "balance of county" of occurrence even if the birth occurred in a city. The change in coding beginning in 1970 to exclude births to nonresidents of the United States from residence data significantly affects the comparability of data with years before 1970 only for Texas.

For the total United States the tabulations by place of residence and by place of occurrence are not identical. Births to nonresidents of the United States are included in data by place of occurrence but excluded from data by place of residence, as previously indicated. See table B for the number of births by residence and occurrence for the 50 States and the District of Columbia for 1999.

Residence error--A nationwide test of birth-registration completeness in 1950 provided measures of residence error for natality statistics. According to this test, errors in residence reporting for the country as a whole tend to overstate the number of births to residents of urban areas and to understate the number of births to residents of other areas. This tendency has assumed special importance because of a concomitant development--the increased utilization of hospitals in cities by residents of nearby places--with the result that a number of births are erroneously reported as having occurred to residents of urban areas. Another factor that contributes to this overstatement of urban births is the customary practice of using "city" addresses for persons living outside the city limits. Residence error should be taken into consideration in interpreting data for small areas and for cities. Both birth and infant mortality patterns can be affected.

*Incomplete residence*--Beginning in 1973 where only the State of residence is reported with no city or county specified and the State named is different from the State of occurrence, the birth is allocated to the largest city of the State of residence. Before 1973 such births were allocated to the exact place of occurrence.

# Geographic classification

The rules followed in the classification of geographic areas for live births are contained in the instruction manual mentioned previously. The geographic code structure for 1999 is given in another manual, "Vital Records Geographic Classification, 1995," *NCHS Instruction Manual*, Part 8 is included with the documentation file on CD-ROM (1). The geographic code structure in use is based on results of the 1990 Census of Population.

*United States*—In the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Alaska has been included in the U.S. tabulations since 1959 and Hawaii since 1960.

*Metropolitan statistical areas*--The metropolitan statistical areas and primary metropolitan statistical areas (MSA's and PMSA's) used in this report are those established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget as of April 1, 1990, and used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (10) except in the New England States.

Except in the New England States, an MSA has either a city with a population of at least 50,000, or a Bureau of the Census urbanized area of at least 50,000 and a total MSA population of at least 100,000. A PMSA consists of a large urbanized county, or cluster of counties, that demonstrates very strong internal economic and social links and has a population over 1 million. When PMSA's are defined, the large area of which they are component parts is designated a Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) (11).

In the New England States the U.S. Office of Management and Budget uses towns and cities rather than counties as geographic components of MSA's and PMSA's. NCHS cannot, however, use this classification for these States because its data are not coded to identify all towns. Instead, the New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's) are used. These areas are established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (12) and are made up of county units.

*Metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties*— Independent cities and counties included in MSA's and PMSA's or NECMA's are included in data for metropolitan counties; all other counties are classified as nonmetropolitan.

Population-size groups--Beginning in 1994 vital statistics data for cities and certain other urban places have been classified according to the population enumerated in the 1990 Census of Population. Data are available for individual cities and other urban places of 100,000 or more population. Data for the remaining areas not separately identified are shown in the tables under the heading "Balance of area" or "Balance of county." Classification of areas for 1982-93 was determined by the population enumerated in the 1980 Census of Population. As a result of changes in the enumerated population between 1980 and 1990, some urban places identified in previous reports are no longer included, and a number of other urban places have been added.

Urban places other than incorporated cities for which vital statistics data are shown in the tabulated data in "Vital Statistics of the United States, Natality" include the following:

- Each town in New England, New York, and Wisconsin and each township in Michigan, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that had no incorporated municipality as a subdivision and had either 25,000 inhabitants or more, or a population of 10,000 to 25,000 and a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile.
- Each county in States other than those indicated above that had no incorporated municipality within its boundary and had a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile. (Arlington County, Virginia, is the only county classified as urban under this rule.)
- Each place in Hawaii with 10,000 or more population. (There are no incorporated cities in Hawaii.)

Places of less than 100,000 population are not separately identified on the public-use file because of confidentiality limitations.

# Race or national origin

Beginning with the 1989 data year, birth data are tabulated primarily by race of mother. In 1988 and prior years the race or national origin shown in tabulations was that of the newborn child. The race of the child was determined for statistical purposes by an algorithm based on the race of the mother and father as reported on the birth certificate. When the parents were of the same race, the race of the child was the same as the race of the parents. When the parents were of different races and one parent was white, the child was assigned to the race of the other parent. When the parents were of different races and neither parent was white, the child was assigned to the race of the father, with one exception--if either parent was Hawaiian, the child was assigned to Hawaiian. If race was missing for one parent, the child was assigned the race of the parent for whom it was reported. When information on race was missing for both parents, the race of the child was considered not stated and the birth was allocated according to rules discussed on page 4 of the Technical Appendix, volume I, *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1988. In 1989 the criteria for reporting the race of the parents did not change and continues to reflect the response of the informant (usually the mother). Beginning with the 1992 issue of *Vital Statistics of the United States, Volume I, Natality*, trend data for years beginning with 1980 have been retabulated by race of mother.

The most important factor influencing the decision to tabulate births by race of the mother was the decennial revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1989. This revision included many more health questions that are directly associated with the mother, including alcohol and tobacco use, weight gain during pregnancy, medical risk factors, obstetric procedures, complications of labor and/or delivery, and method of delivery. Additionally, many of the other items that have been on the birth certificate for more than two decades also relate directly to the mother, for example, marital status, education level, and receipt of prenatal care. It is more appropriate to use the race of the mother than the race of the child in tabulating these items.

A second factor has been the increasing incidence of interracial parentage. When race is aggregated into the four categories mandated in 1977 by the Office of Management and Budget, the proportion of children born to parents of different races is 5.1 percent, more than double the percent in 1977 (2.0 percent). More than half of these births were to white mothers and fathers of another race (55 percent in 1999). There have been two major consequences of the increasing interracial parentage. One is the effect on birth rates by race. The number of white births under the former procedures has been arbitrarily limited to infants whose parents were both white (or one parent if the race of only one parent was reported). At the same time, the number of births of other races has been arbitrarily increased to include all births to white mothers and fathers of other races. Thus, prior to 1989, if race of mother had been used, birth rates per 1,000 white women in a given age group would have been higher, while comparable rates for black women and women of other races would have been lower. The other consequence of increasing interracial parentage is the impact on the racial differential in various characteristics of births, particularly in cases where there is generally a large racial disparity, such as the incidence of low birthweight. In this instance, the racial differential is larger when the data are tabulated by race of mother rather than by race of child. The same effect has been noted for characteristics such as nonmarital childbearing, preterm births, late or no prenatal care, and low educational attainment of mother.

The third factor influencing the change is the growing proportion of births with race of father not stated, 14 percent in 1999. Although this proportion has stabilized and declined slightly in the 1990's, it is still higher than in 1979, 11 percent. The high proportion of records with the father's race not reported reflects the increase in the proportion of births to unmarried women; in many cases no information is reported on the father. These births were already assigned the race of the mother because there is no alternative. Tabulating births by race of mother provides a more uniform approach, rather than a necessarily arbitrary combination of parental races.

The change in the tabulation of births by race presents some problems when analyzing birth data by race, particularly trend data. The problem is likely to be acute for races other than white and black.

The categories for race or national origin are "White," "Black," "American Indian" (including Aleuts and Eskimos), "Chinese," "Japanese," "Hawaiian," "Filipino," and "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" (including Asian Indian). Before 1992 there was also an "other" category, which is now combined with the "Not stated" category. Before 1978 the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" was not identified separately but included with "Other" races. The separation of this category from "other" allows identification of the category "Asian or Pacific Islander" by combining the new category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" with Chinese, Japanese, Hawaiian, and Filipino.

Beginning in 1992, NCHS contracted with seven States with the highest API populations to code births to additional API subgroups. The API subgroups include births to Vietnamese, Asian Indian, Korean, Samoan, Guamanian, and other API women. The seven States included in this reporting area are: California, Hawaii, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Texas, and Washington. At least two-thirds of the U.S. population of each of these additional API groups lived in the seven-State reporting area (13). The data are available on the detailed natality tapes and CD-ROMs beginning with the 1992 data year. An analytic report based on the 1992 data year is also available upon request (14). Minnesota began reporting additional API subgroups in 1996 and Virginia began reporting in 1998. Missouri and West Virginia started reporting in 1999 for a total of 11 reporting states.

The category "White" comprises births reported as white and births where race, as distinguished from Hispanic origin, is reported as Hispanic. Before 1964 all births for which race or national origin was not stated were classified as white. Beginning in 1964 changes in the procedures for allocating race when race or national origin is not stated have changed the composition of this category. (See discussion on "Race or national origin not stated.")

If the race or national origin of an Asian parent is ill-defined or not clearly identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification (for example, if "Oriental" is entered), an attempt is made to determine the specific race or national origin from the entry for place of birth. If the birthplace is China, Japan, or the Philippines, the race of the parent is assigned to that category. When race cannot be determined from birthplace, it is assigned to the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander."

Race or national origin not stated--If the race of the mother is not defined or not identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification (0.6 percent of births in 1999) and the race of the father is known, the race of the father is assigned to the mother. Where information for both parents is missing, the race of the mother is allocated electronically according to the specific race of the mother on the preceding record with a known race of mother. Data for both parents were missing for only 0.4 percent of birth certificates for 1999. Nearly all statistics by race or national origin for the United States as a whole in 1962 and 1963 are affected by a lack of information for New Jersey, which did not report the race of the parents in those years. Birth rates by race for those years are computed on a population base that excluded New Jersey. For the method of estimating the U.S. population by age, sex, and race excluding New Jersey in 1962 and 1963, see page 4-8 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1963.

#### Age of mother

Beginning in 1989 an item on the birth certificate asks for "Date of Birth." In previous years, "Age (at time of this birth)" was requested. Not all States revised this item and therefore the age of mother either is derived from the reported month and year of birth or coded as stated on the certificate. In 1999, the mother's age was reported directly by five States (Kentucky, Nevada, North Dakota, Virginia, and Wyoming) and American Samoa. From 1964 to 1996, the age of mother was edited for 10-49 years. When the age of mother was computed to be under 10 years or

50 years or over, it was considered not stated and was assigned as described below. Beginning in 1997, age of mother is edited for ages 10-54 years. When the age of mother is computed to be under 10 years or 55 years or over, it is considered not stated and was assigned as described below. A review and verification of unedited birth data for 1996 showed that the vast majority of births reported as occurring to women aged 50 years and older were to women aged 50-54 years. The number of births to women 50-54 years is too small for computing age-specific birth rates. These births have been included with births to women 45-49 for computing birth rates.

Age-specific birth rates are based on populations of women by age, prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. In census years the decennial census counts are used. In intercensal years, estimates of the population of women by age are published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*.

The 1990 Census of Population derived age in completed years as of April 1, 1990, from the responses to questions on age at last birthday and month and year of birth, with the latter given preference. In the 1960, 1970, and the 1980 Census of Population, age was also derived from month and year of birth. "Age in completed years" was asked in censuses before 1960. This was nearly the equivalent of the former birth certificate question, which the 1950 test of matched birth and census records confirms by showing a high degree of consistency in reporting age in these two sources (15). More recently, reporting of maternal age on the birth certificate was compared with reporting of age in a survey of women who had recently given birth. Reporting of age was very consistent between the two sources (16).

Median age of mother--Median age is the value that divides an age distribution into two equal parts, one-half of the values being less and one-half being greater. Median ages of mothers for 1960 to the present have been computed from birth rates for 5-year age groups rather than from birth frequencies. This method eliminates the effects of changes in the age composition of the childbearing population over time. Changes in the median ages from year to year can thus be attributed solely to changes in the age-specific birth rates. Trend data on the median age is shown in table 1-5 of "Vital Statistics of the United States, volume 1, natality (at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/datawh/statab/unpubd/natality/natab97.htm).

Not stated date of birth of mother—In 1999, age of mother was not reported on 0.02% of the records. Beginning in 1964 birth records with date of birth of mother and/or age of mother not stated have had age imputed according to the age of mother from the previous birth record of the same race and total-birth order (total of fetal deaths and live births). (See "Computer Edits for Natality Data, Effective 1993" NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 12, page 9; available on request from the Division of Vital Statistics.) In 1963 birth records with age not stated were allocated according to the age appearing on the record previously processed for a mother of identical race and parity (number of live births). For 1960-62 not stated ages were distributed in proportion to the known ages for each racial group. Before 1960 this was done for age-specific birth rates but not for the birth frequency tables, which showed a separate category for age not stated.

## Age of father

Age of father is derived from the reported date of birth or coded as stated on the birth certificate. If the age is under 10 years, it is considered not stated and grouped with those cases for which age is not stated on the certificate. Information on age of father is often missing on birth certificates of children born to unmarried mothers, greatly inflating the number of "not stated" in all tabulations by age of father. In computing birth rates by age of father, births tabulated as age of father not stated are distributed in the same proportions as births with known age within each 5-year-age classification of the mother. This procedure is followed because, while father's age is missing in 14 percent of the birth certificates in 1999, one third of these were on records where the mother is a teenager. This distribution procedure is done separately by race. The resulting distributions are summed to form a composite frequency distribution that is the basis for computing birth rates by age of father. This procedure avoids the distortion in rates that would result if the relationship between age of mother and age of father were disregarded. Births with age of father not stated are distributed only for rates and means, not for frequency tabulations (2).

## **Live-birth order and parity**

Live-birth order and parity classifications refer to the total number of live births the mother has had including the 1999 birth. Fetal deaths are excluded.

Live-birth order indicates what number the present birth represents; for example, a baby born to a mother who has had two previous live births (even if one or both are not now living) has a live-birth order of three. Parity indicates how many live births a mother has had. Before delivery a mother having her first baby has a parity of zero and a mother having her third baby has a parity of two. After delivery the mother of a baby who is a first live birth has a parity of one and the mother of a baby who is a third live birth has a parity of three.

Live-birth order and parity are determined from two items on the birth certificate, "Live births now living" and "Live births now dead."

Not stated birth order--Before 1969 if both of these items were blank, the birth was considered a first birth. Beginning in 1969, births for which the pregnancy history items were not completed have been tabulated as live-birth order not stated. As a result of this revised procedure, 22,686 births in 1969 that would have been assigned to the "First birth order" category under the old rules were assigned to the "Not stated" category.

All births tabulated in the "Not stated birth order" category are excluded from the computation of percents. In computing birth rates by live-birth order, births tabulated as birth order not stated are distributed in the same proportion as births of known live-birth order.

#### Date of last live birth

The date of last live birth was added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1968 for the purpose of providing information on child spacing. The interval since the last live birth is the difference between the date of last live birth and the date of present birth.

Beginning in 1995, NCHS ceased to collect information on the date of last live birth and thus the information on interval is only available from birth certificate data from 1968-94.

#### **Educational attainment**

Data on the educational attainment of both parents were collected beginning in 1968 and tabulated for publication in 1969 for the first time. Data on educational attainment is currently available only for the mother. Beginning in 1995, NCHS ceased to collect information on the educational attainment of the father and thus the information is available from birth certificate data only for 1969-94.

The educational attainment of the mother is defined as "the number of years of school completed." Only those years completed in "regular" schools are counted, that is, a formal educational system of public schools or the equivalent in accredited private or parochial schools. Business or trade schools, such as beauty and barber schools, are not considered "regular" schools for the purposes of this item. No attempt has been made to convert years of school completed in foreign school systems, ungraded school systems, and so forth, to equivalent grades in the American school system. Such entries are included in the category "not stated."

Women who have completed only a partial year in high school or college are tabulated as having completed the highest preceding grade. For those certificates on which a specific degree is stated, years of school completed is coded to the level at which the degree is most commonly attained; for example, women reporting B.A., A.B., or B.S. degrees are considered to have completed 16 years of school.

Education not stated--The category "Not stated" includes all records in reporting areas for which there is no information on years of school completed as well as all records for which the information provided is not compatible with coding specifications.

Births tabulated as education not stated are excluded from the computations of percents.

## **Marital status**

National estimates of births to unmarried women are based on two methods of determining marital status. For 1994 through 1996, birth certificates in 45 states and the District of Columbia included a question about the mother's marital status. Beginning in 1997, the marital status of women giving birth in California and Nevada is determined by a direct question in the birth registration process. Beginning June 15, 1998, Connecticut discontinued inferring the mother's marital status and added a direct question on mother's marital status to the State's birth certificate.

In the two States (Michigan and New York) which used inferential procedures to compile birth statistics by marital status in 1999, a birth is inferred as nonmarital if either of these factors is present: a paternity acknowledgment was received or the father's name is missing. In recent years, a number of States have extended their efforts to identify the fathers when the parents are not married in order to enforce child support obligations. The presence of a paternity acknowledgment therefore is the most reliable indicator that the birth is nonmarital in the States not reporting this information directly; this is now the key indicator in the nonreporting States. The inferential procedures in current use represent a substantial departure from the method used before 1980 as well as those used during the 1980's to prepare national estimates of births to unmarried women, before 1980 the incidence of births to unmarried women in States with no direct question on marital status was assumed to be the same as the incidence in reporting States in the same geographic division (17). Inferential procedures in use during the 1980's relied heavily on a comparison of the surnames of the parents and the child to infer the mother's marital status. The procedures now in use depend, as noted above, on very reliable indicators, namely a paternity affidavit or missing information on the father.

The procedures for reporting marital status in California, Nevada, New York City changed beginning January 1, 1997. The methods used to determine marital status and the impact of the procedures on the data were discussed in detail in a previous report (17).

The use of inferential marital status data together with information from a direct question represents an attempt to use related information on the birth certificate to improve the quality of national data as well as to provide data for the individual nonreporting States. An evaluation of this method and its validity for California (the largest nonreporting State until 1997) has been published (18). Because of the continued substantial increases in nonmarital childbearing throughout the 1980's, the data have been intensively evaluated by the Division of Vital Statistics, NCHS (17).

The mother's marital status was not reported in 1999 on 0.03 percent of the birth records in States reporting this information from a direct question. Marital status was imputed as "married" for these records.

When births to unmarried women are reported as second or higher order births, it is not known whether the mother was married or unmarried when the previous deliveries occurred, because her marital status at the time of these earlier births is not available from the birth record.

Rates for 1940 and 1950 are based on decennial census counts. Rates for 1955-97 are based on a smoothed series of population estimates (17,19). Because of sampling error, the original U.S. Bureau of the Census population estimates by marital status fluctuate erratically from year to year; therefore, they have been smoothed so that the rates do not show similar variations. These rates differ from those published in volumes of *Vital Statistics of the United States* before 1969, which were based on the original estimates provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Birth rates by marital status for 1971-79 have been revised and differ from rates published before 1980 in volumes of *Vital Statistics of the United States* (see "Computation of rates and other measures").

#### Place of delivery and attendant at birth

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth included separate categories for freestanding birthing centers, the mother's residence, and clinic or doctor's office as the place of birth. Prior to 1989, place of birth was classified simply as either "In hospital" or "Not in hospital." Births occurring in hospitals, institutions, clinics, centers, or homes were included in the category "In hospital." In this context the word "homes" does not refer to the mother's residence but to an institution, such as a home for unmarried women. Birthing centers were included in either category, depending on each State's assessment of the facility. Beginning in 1989 births occurring in clinics

and in birthing centers not attached to a hospital are classified as "Not in hospital." This change in classification may account in part for the lower proportion of "In hospital" births compared with previous years. (The change in classification of clinics should have minor impact because comparatively few births occur in these facilities, but the effect of any change in classification of freestanding birthing centers is unknown.)

Beginning in 1975 the attendant at birth and place of delivery items were coded independently, primarily to permit the identification of the person in attendance at hospital deliveries. The 1989 certificate includes separate classifications for doctor of medicine (MD), doctor of osteopathy (DO), certified nurse midwife (CNM), other midwife, and other attendants. In earlier certificates births attended by certified nurse midwives were grouped with those attended by lay midwives. The 1989 certificate also facilitated the identification of home births, births in freestanding birthing centers, and births in clinics or physician offices.

Data for the "In hospital" category for 1975-88 include all births in clinics or maternity centers, regardless of the attendant. Data for 1975-77 published before 1980 included clinic and center births in the category "In hospital" only when the attendant was a physician. Therefore, data shown for 1975-77 published after 1980 differ from data published before 1980. As a result of this change, for 1975 an additional 12,352 births were classified as occurring in hospitals, raising the percent of births occurring in hospitals from 98.7 to 99.1. Similarly, for 1976 the number of births occurring in hospitals increased by 14,133 and the percent in hospitals raised from 98.6 to 99.1; for 1977 the increase is 15,937 and the percent in hospitals raised from 98.5 to 99.0. For 1974 and earlier the "In hospital" category includes all births in hospitals or institutions and births in clinics, centers, or maternity homes only when attended by physicians.

The "Not in hospital" category includes births for which no information is reported on place of birth. Before 1975 births for which the stated place of birth was a "doctor's office" and delivery was by a physician were included in the category "In hospital." Beginning in 1975 these births were tabulated as "Not in hospital" and included with births delivered by physicians in this category. Although the actual number of such births is unknown, the effect of the change is minimal. In 1974, 0.3 percent of all births were delivered by physicians outside of hospitals; in 1975 this proportion was 0.4 percent.

Babies born on the way to or on arrival at the hospital are classified as having been born in the hospital. This may account for some of the hospital births not delivered by physicians or midwives.

Beginning in 1993, all in-hospital births occurring in Illinois where the attendant was classified as an "other" midwife were changed to certified nurse-midwife. This was necessary because almost all of these births were delivered by midwives certified by the American College of Nurse Midwives but because Illinois does not certify midwives, many of these births were classified as "other" midwives.

Procedures in some hospitals may require that a physician be listed as the attendant for every birth and that a physician sign each birth certificate, even if the birth is attended by a midwife and no physician is physically present. Therefore, the number of live births attended by midwives may be understated in some areas.

## **Birthweight**

Birthweight is reported in some areas in pounds and ounces rather than in grams. However, the metric system has been used in tabulating and presenting the statistics to facilitate comparison with data published by other groups. The categories for birthweight were changed in 1979 to be consistent with the recommendations in the *Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-9) and remain the same for the Tenth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) (4). The categories in gram intervals and their equivalents in pounds and ounces are as follows:

Less than 500 grams = 1 lb 1 oz or less 500-999 grams = 1 lb 2 oz-2 lb 3 oz 1,000-1,499 grams = 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz 1,500-1,999 grams = 3 lb 5 oz-4 lb 6 oz 2,000-2,499 grams = 4 lb 7 oz-5 lb 8 oz

```
2,500-2,999 grams = 5 lb 9 oz-6 lb 9 oz
3,000-3,499 grams = 6 lb 10 oz-7 lb 11 oz
3,500-3,999 grams = 7 lb 12 oz-8 lb 13 oz
4,000-4,499 grams = 8 lb 14 oz-9 lb 14 oz
4,500-4,999 grams = 9 lb 15 oz-11 lb 0 oz
5,000 grams or more = 11 lb 1 oz or more
```

The ICD-9 defines low birthweight as less than 2,500 grams. This is a shift of 1 gram from the previous criterion of 2,500 grams or less, which was recommended by the American Academy of Pediatrics in 1935 and adopted in 1948 by the World Health Organization in the *Sixth Revision of the International Lists of Diseases and Causes of Death*.

After data classified by pounds and ounces are converted to grams, median weights are computed and rounded before publication. To establish the continuity of class intervals needed to convert pounds and ounces to grams, the end points of these intervals are assumed to be half an ounce less at the lower end and half an ounce more at the upper end. For example, 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz is interpreted as 2 lb 3 ½ oz-3 lb 4 ½ oz.

Births for which birthweight is not reported are excluded from the computation of percents and medians.

## Period of gestation

The period of gestation is defined as beginning with the first day of the last normal menstrual period (LMP) and ending with the day of the birth. The LMP is used as the initial date because it can be more accurately determined than the date of conception, which usually occurs 2 weeks after the LMP.

Births occurring before 37 completed weeks of gestation are considered to be "preterm" or "premature" for purposes of classification. At 37-41 weeks gestation, births are considered to be "term," and at 42 completed weeks and over, "postterm." These distinctions are according to the ICD-9 and ICD-10 (4) definitions.

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth included a new item, "clinical estimate of gestation," that is being compared with length of gestation computed from the LMP date when the latter appears to be inconsistent with birthweight. This is done for normal weight births of apparently short gestations and very low birthweight births reported to be full term. The clinical estimate also was used if the date of the LMP was not reported. The period of gestation for 5.1 percent of the births in 1999 was based on the clinical estimate of gestation. For 97 percent of these records the clinical estimate was used because the LMP date was not reported. For the remaining 3 percent the clinical estimate was used because it was compatible with the reported birth weight, whereas the LMP-computed gestation was not. In cases where the reported birthweight was inconsistent with both the LMP-computed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation, the LMP-computed gestation was used if it was within 5 weeks of the clinical estimate and birth weight was reclassified as "not stated." This was necessary for 336 births, less than 0.01 percent of all birth records in 1999. If the reported birthweight was inconsistent with both the LMP-computed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation, gestation and birthweight were classified as "not stated" if the LMP-computed gestation was not within 5 weeks of the clinical estimate. These changes result in only a very small discontinuity in the data.

Before 1981 the period of gestation was computed only when there was a valid month, day, and year of LMP. However, length of gestation could not be determined from a substantial number of live-birth certificates each year because the day of LMP was missing. Beginning in 1981 weeks of gestation have been imputed for records with missing day of LMP when there is a valid month and year. Each such record is assigned the gestational period in weeks of the preceding record that has a complete LMP date with the same computed months of gestation and the same 500-gram birthweight interval. The effect of the imputation procedure is to increase slightly the proportion of preterm births and to lower the proportion of births at 39, 40, 41, and 42 weeks of gestation. A more complete discussion of this procedure and its implications is presented in a previous report (20).

Because of postconception bleeding or menstrual irregularities, the presumed date of LMP may be in error. In these instances the computed gestational period may be longer or shorter than the true gestational period, but the extent of such errors is unknown.

## Month of pregnancy prenatal care began

For those records in which the name of the month is entered for this item, instead of first, second, third, and so forth, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is determined from the month named and the month last normal menses began. For these births, if the item "Date last normal menses began" is not stated, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is tabulated as not stated.

#### **Number of prenatal visits**

Tabulations of the number of prenatal visits were presented for the first time in 1972. Beginning in 1989 these data were collected from the birth certificates of all States. Percent distributions and the median number of prenatal visits exclude births to mothers who had no prenatal care.

## Apgar score

The 1- and 5-minute Apgar scores were added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1978 to evaluate the condition of the newborn infant at 1 and 5 minutes after birth. The Apgar score is a useful measure of the need for resuscitation and a predictor of the infant's chances of surviving the first year of life. It is a summary measure of the infant's condition based on heart rate, respiratory effort, muscle tone, reflex irritability, and color. Each of these factors is given a score of 0, 1, or 2; the sum of these 5 values is the Apgar score, which ranges from 0 to 10. A score of 10 is optimum, and a low score raises some doubts about the survival and subsequent health of the infant. Beginning in 1995, NCHS only collected information on the 5-minute Apgar score. Since 1991, the reporting area for the 5-minute Apgar score has been comprised of 48 States and the District of Columbia, accounting for 78 percent of all births in the United States in 1999. California and Texas did not have information on Apgar scores on their birth certificate.

#### Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy

The checkbox format allows for classification of a mother as a smoker or drinker during pregnancy and for reporting the average number of cigarettes smoked per day or drinks consumed per week. When smoking and/or drinking status is not reported or is inconsistent with the quantity of cigarettes or drinks reported, the status is changed to be consistent with the amount reported. For example, if the drinking status is reported as "no" but one or more average drinks a week are reported, the mother is classified as a drinker. If the number of cigarettes smoked per day is reported as one or more, the mother is considered a smoker. When one (or a fraction of one) drink a week is recorded, the mother is classified as a drinker. For records on which the number of drinks or number of cigarettes is reported as a span, for example, 10-15, the lower number is used. The number of drinkers and number of drinks reported on birth certificates are believed to underestimate actual alcohol use.

For 1999, information on number of cigarettes smoked per day was reported in a consistent manner for 46 States, the District of Columbia, and New York City (figure 4-A). Indiana and New York State (except for New York City) reported this information but in a format that was inconsistent with the NCHS standards. This reporting area accounted for 87 percent of all births in the U.S. in 1999. Information was not available for California and South Dakota.

## Weight gained during pregnancy

Weight gain is reported in pounds. A loss of weight is reported as zero gain. Computations of median weight gain were based on ungrouped data. This item was included on the certificates of 49 States and the District of Columbia; California did not report this information. This reporting area excluding California accounted for 87 percent of all births in the United States in 1999.

## Medical risk factors for this pregnancy

An item on medical risk factors was included on the 1989 birth certificate, but 2 States did not report all of the 16 risk factors in 1999. Texas did not report genital herpes or uterine bleeding, and Kansas did not report Rh sensitization.

The format allows for the designation of more than one risk factor and includes a choice of "None." Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "Not stated."

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (21).

#### **Definitions of medical terms:**

*Anemia*--Hemoglobin level of less than 10.0 g/dL during pregnancy or a hematocrit of less than 30 percent during pregnancy.

Cardiac disease--Disease of the heart.

Acute or chronic lung disease--Disease of the lungs during pregnancy.

*Diabetes*--Metabolic disorder characterized by excessive discharge of urine and persistent thirst; includes juvenile onset, adult onset, and gestational diabetes during pregnancy.

Genital herpes--Infection of the skin of the genital area by herpes simplex virus.

*Hydramnios/oligohydramnios*--Any noticeable excess (hydramnios) or lack (oligohydramnios) of amniotic fluid.

*Hemoglobinopathy*--A blood disorder caused by alteration in the genetically determined molecular structure of hemoglobin (for example, sickle cell anemia).

*Hypertension, chronic-*-Blood pressure persistently greater than 140/90, diagnosed prior to onset of pregnancy or before the 20th week of gestation.

*Hypertension, pregnancy-associated*--An increase in blood pressure of at least 30 mm Hg systolic or 15 mm Hg diastolic on two measurements taken 6 hours apart after the 20th week of gestation.

*Eclampsia*--The occurrence of convulsions and/or coma unrelated to other cerebral conditions in women with signs and symptoms of pre-eclampsia.

*Incompetent cervix*--Characterized by painless dilation of the cervix in the second trimester or early in the third trimester of pregnancy, with prolapse of membranes through the cervix and ballooning of the membranes into the vagina, followed by rupture of membranes and subsequent expulsion of the fetus.

*Previous infant 4,000+ grams*--The birthweight of a previous live-born child was over 4,000 grams (8 lbs 13 oz).

*Previous preterm or small-for-gestational-age infant--*Previous birth of an infant prior to term (before 37 completed weeks of gestation) or of an infant weighing less than the 10th percentile for gestational age using a standard weight-for-age chart.

Renal disease--Kidney disease.

*Rh sensitization*--The process or state of becoming sensitized to the Rh factor as when an Rh-negative woman is pregnant with an Rh-positive fetus.

*Uterine bleeding*--Any clinically significant bleeding during the pregnancy, taking into consideration the stage of pregnancy; any second or third trimester bleeding of the uterus prior to the onset of labor.

## **Obstetric procedures**

This item includes six specific obstetric procedures. Birth records with "Obstetric procedures" left blank are considered "not stated." Data on obstetric procedures were reported by all States and the District of Columbia in 1999.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems (NAPHSIS), formerly the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (21).

#### **Definitions of medical terms:**

*Amniocentesis*--Surgical transabdominal perforation of the uterus to obtain amniotic fluid to be used in the detection of genetic disorders, fetal abnormalities, and fetal lung maturity.

*Electronic fetal monitoring*—Monitoring with external devices applied to the maternal abdomen or with internal devices with an electrode attached to the fetal scalp and a catheter through the cervix into the uterus, to detect and record fetal heart tones and uterine contractions.

*Induction of labor*--The initiation of uterine contractions before the spontaneous onset of labor by medical and/or surgical means for the purpose of delivery.

Stimulation of labor--Augmentation of previously established labor by use of oxytocin.

*Tocolysis*--Use of medications to inhibit preterm uterine contractions to extend the length of pregnancy and therefore avoid a preterm birth.

Ultrasound--Visualization of the fetus and placenta by means of sound waves.

## Complications of labor and/or delivery

The checkbox format allows for the selection of 15 specific complications and for the designation of more than 1 complication where appropriate. A choice of "None" is also included. Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "not stated."

All States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates in 1999. However, Texas did not report all of the complications. Texas did not report anesthetic complications or fetal distress.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials (21).

## **Definitions of medical terms:**

Febrile--A fever greater than 100 degrees F. or 38 C. occurring during labor and/or delivery.

*Meconium, moderate/heavy--*Meconium consists of undigested debris from swallowed amniotic fluid, various products of secretion, excretion, and shedding by the gastrointestinal tract; moderate to heavy amounts of meconium in the amniotic fluid noted during labor and/or delivery.

*Premature rupture of membranes (more than 12 hours)*--Rupture of the membranes at any time during pregnancy and more than 12 hours before the onset of labor.

Abruptio placenta--Premature separation of a normally implanted placenta from the uterus.

Placenta previa--Implantation of the placenta over or near the internal opening of the cervix.

Other excessive bleeding--The loss of a significant amount of blood from conditions other than abruptio placenta or placenta previa.

Seizures during labor--Maternal seizures occurring during labor from any cause.

Precipitous labor (less than 3 hours)--Extremely rapid labor and delivery lasting less than 3 hours.

Prolonged labor (more than 20 hours)--Abnormally slow progress of labor lasting more than 20 hours.

Dysfunctional labor--Failure to progress in a normal pattern of labor.

*Breech/malpresentation--*At birth, the presentation of the fetal buttocks rather than the head, or other malpresentation.

*Cephalopelvic disproportion*--The relationship of the size, presentation, and position of the fetal head to the maternal pelvis prevents dilation of the cervix and/or descent of the fetal head.

Cord prolapse--Premature expulsion of the umbilical cord in labor before the fetus is delivered.

Anesthetic complications--Any complication during labor and/or delivery brought on by an anesthetic agent or agents.

Fetal distress--Signs indicating fetal hypoxia (deficiency in amount of oxygen reaching fetal tissues).

#### Abnormal conditions of the newborn

This item provides information on eight specific abnormal conditions. More than one abnormal condition may be reported for a given birth or "None" may be selected. If the item is not completed it is tabulated as "not stated." This item was included on the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia in 1999. However, four areas did not include all conditions. Nebraska and Texas did not report birth injury, New York City did not report assisted ventilation less than 30 minutes or assisted ventilation of 30 minutes or more, and Wisconsin did not report fetal alcohol syndrome.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics (21).

#### **Definitions of medical terms:**

Anemia--Hemoglobin level of less than 13.0 g/dL or a hematocrit of less than 39 percent.

*Birth injury*--Impairment of the infant's body function or structure due to adverse influences that occurred at birth.

Fetal alcohol syndrome--A syndrome of altered prenatal growth and development occurring in infants born of women who consumed excessive amounts of alcohol during pregnancy.

*Hyaline membrane disease/RDS*--A disorder primarily of prematurity, manifested clinically by respiratory distress and pathologically by pulmonary hyaline membranes and incomplete expansion of the lungs at birth.

*Meconium aspiration syndrome*--Aspiration of meconium by the fetus or newborn, affecting the lower respiratory system.

Assisted ventilation (less than 30 minutes)--A mechanical method of assisting respiration for newborns with respiratory failure.

Assisted ventilation (30 minutes or more)--Newborn placed on assisted ventilation for 30 minutes or longer. Seizures--A seizure of any etiology.

## Congenital anomalies of child

The data provided in this item relate to 21 specific anomalies or anomaly groups. It is well documented that congenital anomalies, except for the most visible and most severe, are incompletely reported on birth certificates (22). The completeness of reporting specific anomalies depends on how easily they are recognized in the short time between birth and birth-registration. Forty-nine States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates (New Mexico did not). This reporting area included 99 percent of all births in the United States in 1999. The format allows for the identification of more than one anomaly including a choice of "None" should no anomalies be evident. The category "not stated" includes birth records for which the item is not completed.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials (21).

#### **Definitions of medical terms:**

Anencephalus--Absence of the cerebral hemispheres.

*Spina bifida/meningocele*--Developmental anomaly characterized by defective closure of the bony encasement of the spinal cord, through which the cord and meninges may or may not protrude.

*Hydrocephalus*--Excessive accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid within the ventricles of the brain with consequent enlargement of the cranium.

Microcephalus--A significantly small head.

Other central nervous system anomalies--Other specified anomalies of the brain, spinal cord, and nervous system.

Heart malformations--Congenital anomalies of the heart.

Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies--Other specified anomalies of the circulatory and respiratory systems. Rectal atresia/stenosis--Congenital absence, closure, or narrowing of the rectum.

*Tracheo-esophageal fistula/Esophageal atresia*--An abnormal passage between the trachea and the esophagus; esophageal atresia is the congenital absence or closure of the esophagus.

*Omphalocele/gastroschisis--*An omphalocele is a protrusion of variable amounts of abdominal viscera from a midline defect at the base of the umbilicus. In gastroschisis, the abdominal viscera protrude through an abdominal wall defect, usually on the right side of the umbilical cord insertion.

Other gastrointestinal anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the gastrointestinal system.

Malformed genitalia--Congenital anomalies of the reproductive organs.

Renal agenesis--One or both kidneys are completely absent.

Other urogenital anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the organs concerned in the production and excretion of urine, together with organs of reproduction.

*Cleft lip/palate*--Cleft lip is a fissure of elongated opening of the lip; cleft palate is a fissure in the roof of the mouth. These are failures of embryonic development.

*Polydactyly/syndactyly/adactyly-*-Polydactyly is the presence of more than five digits on either hands and/or feet; syndactyly is having fused or webbed fingers and/or toes; adactyly is the absence of fingers and/or toes.

Club foot--Deformities of the foot, which is twisted out of shape or position.

*Diaphragmatic hernia*-- Herniation of the abdominal contents through the diaphragm into the thoracic cavity usually resulting in respiratory distress.

Other musculoskeletal/integumental anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the muscles, skeleton, or skin.

*Down's syndrome*--The most common chromosomal defect with most cases resulting from an extra chromosome (trisomy 21).

Other chromosomal anomalies--All other chromosomal aberrations.

#### Method of delivery

The birth certificate contains a checkbox item on method of delivery. The choices include vaginal delivery, with the additional options of forceps, vacuum, and vaginal birth after previous cesarean section (VBAC), as well as a choice of primary or repeat cesarean. When only forceps, vacuum, or VBAC is checked, a vaginal birth is assumed. In 1999 this information was collected from the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia.

Several rates are computed for method of delivery. The overall cesarean section rate or total cesarean rate is computed as the proportion of all births that were delivered by cesarean section. The primary cesarean rate is a measure that relates the number of women having a primary cesarean birth to all women giving birth who have never had a cesarean delivery. The denominator for this rate is the sum of women with a vaginal birth excluding VBACs and women with a primary cesarean birth. The rate for vaginal birth after previous cesarean (VBAC) delivery is computed by relating all VBAC deliveries to the sum of VBAC and repeat cesarean deliveries, that is, to women with a previous cesarean section. VBAC rates for first births exist because the rates are computed on the basis of previous pregnancies, not just live births.

#### Hispanic parentage

Concurrent with the 1978 revision of the U.S. Certificate of Live Birth, NCHS recommended that items to identify the Hispanic or ethnic origin of the newborn's parents be included on birth certificates and has tabulated and evaluated these data from the reporting States. The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Births includes items to identify the Hispanic origin of the parents. All 50 States and the District of Columbia reported Hispanic origin of the parents for 1999. In 1989 Louisiana, New Hampshire, and Oklahoma did not report this information; in 1990 New Hampshire and Oklahoma did not report, and in 1991-92 New Hampshire did not report Hispanic origin.

In computing birth and fertility rates for the Hispanic population, births with origin of mother not stated are included with non-Hispanic births rather than being distributed. Thus, rates for the Hispanic population are underestimates of the true rates to the extent that the births with origin of mother not stated (1.2 percent in 1999) were actually to Hispanic mothers. The population with origin not stated was imputed. The effect on the rates is believed to be small.

## Quality of data

Although vital statistics data are useful for a variety of administrative and scientific purposes, they cannot be correctly interpreted unless various qualifying factors and methods of classification are taken into account. The factors to be considered depend on the specific purposes for which the data are to be used. It is not feasible to discuss all the pertinent factors in the use of vital statistics tabulations, but some of the more important ones should be mentioned.

Most of the factors limiting the use of data arise from imperfections in the original records or from the impracticability of tabulating these data in very detailed categories. These limitations should not be ignored, but their existence does not lessen the value of the data for most general purposes.

## **Completeness of registration**

An estimated 99 percent of all births occurring in the United States in 1999 were registered; for white births registration was 99.4 percent complete and for all other births, 98.6 percent complete. These estimates are based on the results of the 1964-68 test of birth-registration completeness according to place of delivery (in or out of hospital) and race and on the 1999 proportions of births in these categories. The primary purpose of the test was to obtain current measures of registration completeness for births in and out of hospital by race on a national basis. Data for States were not available as they had been from the previous birth-registration tests in 1940 and 1950. A detailed discussion of the method and results of the 1964-68 birth-registration test is available (23). A more recent test has not been conducted.

The 1964-68 test has provided an opportunity to revise the estimates of birth-registration completeness for the years since the previous test in 1950 to reflect the improvement in registration. This has been done using registration completeness figures from the two tests by place of delivery and race. Estimates of registration completeness for four groups (based on place of delivery and race) for 1951-65 were computed by interpolation between the test results. (It was assumed that the data from the more recent test are for 1966, the midpoint of the test period.) The results of the 1964-68 test are assumed to prevail for 1966 and later years. These estimates were used with the proportions of births registered in these categories to obtain revised numbers of births adjusted for underregistration for each year. The overall percent of birth-registration completeness by race was then computed. Data adjusted for underregistration for 1951-59 have been revised to be consistent with the 1964-68 test results and differ slightly from data shown in annual reports for years before 1969. For these years the published number of births and birth rates for both racial groups have been revised slightly downward because the 1964-68 test indicated that previous adjustments to registered births were slightly inflated. Because registration completeness figures by age of mother and by live-birth order are not available from the 1964-68 test, it must be assumed that the relationships among these variables have not changed since 1950.

Discontinuation of adjustment for underregistration, 1960--Adjustment for underregistration of births was discontinued in 1960 when birth registration for the United States was estimated to be 99.1 percent complete. This removed a bias introduced into age-specific rates when adjusted births classified by age were used. Age-specific rates are calculated by dividing the number of births to an age group of mothers by the population of women in that age group. Tests have shown that population figures are likely to be understated through census undercounts; these errors compensate for underregistration of births. Adjustment for underregistration of births, therefore, removes the compensating effect of under enumeration, biasing the age-specific rates more than when uncorrected birth and

population data are used. (For further details see page 4-11 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1963.)

The age-specific rates used in the cohort fertility tables are an exception to the above statement. These rates are computed from births corrected for underregistration and population estimates adjusted for under enumeration and misstatement of age. Adjusted birth and population estimates are used for the cohort rates because they are an integral part of a series of rates, estimated with a consistent methodology. It was considered desirable to maintain consistency with respect to the cohort rates, even though it means that they will not be precisely comparable with other rates shown for 5-year age groups.

## **Completeness of reporting**

Interpretation of these data must include evaluation of item completeness. The percent "not stated" is one measure of the quality of the data. Completeness of reporting varies among items and States. See table A for the percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated.

## **Quality control procedures**

As electronic files are received at NCHS, they are automatically checked for completeness, individual item code validity, and unacceptable inconsistencies between data items. The registration area is notified of any problems. In addition, NCHS staff review the files on an ongoing basis to detect problems in overall quality such as inadequate reporting for certain items, failure to follow NCHS coding rules, and systems and software errors. Traditionally, quality assurance procedures were limited to review and analysis of differences between the NCHS and registration area code assignments for a small sample of records. In recent years, as electronic birth registration became prevalent, this procedure was augmented by analyses of year to year and area to area variations in the data. These analyses are based on preliminary tabulations of the data that are cumulated by state on a year to date basis each month. All differences that are judged to have consequences for quality and completeness are investigated by NCHS. In the review process, statistical tests are used to call initial attention to differences for possible follow-up. As necessary, registration areas are informed of differences encountered in the tables and asked to verify the counts or to determine the nature of the differences. Missing records (except those permanently voided) and other problems detected by NCHS are resolved and corrections transmitted to NCHS in the same manner as for those corrections identified by the registration area.

## Random variation and significance testing for natality data

The number of births reported for an area is essentially a complete count, since more than 99 percent of all births are registered. While this number is not subject to sampling error, it may be affected by nonsampling errors such as mistakes in recording the mother's residence or age during the registration process.

When the number of births is used for analytic purposes the number of events that <u>actually</u> occurred can be thought of as one in a large series of possible results that <u>could have</u> occurred under the same circumstances. When considered in this way, the number of births is subject to random variation. The probable range of values may be estimated from the actual figures according to certain statistical assumptions.

The **confidence interval** (CI) is the range of values for the number of births, birth rates, or percent of births that you could expect in 95 out of 100 cases. The **confidence limits** are the end points of this range of values (the highest and lowest values). Confidence limits tell you how much the number of events or rates could vary under similar circumstances.

Confidence limits for numbers, rates, and percents can be estimated from the actual number of events. Procedures differ for rates and percents and also differ depending on the number of births on which these statistics are based. Below are detailed procedures and examples for each type of case.

## 95 percent Confidence Interval: 100 or more births

When the number of events is greater than 100, the data are assumed to be approximately normally distributed. Formulas for 95-percent confidence limits are:

```
Lower limit = B ! (1.96 x /B)

Upper limit = B + (1.96 x /B)

where:

B = the number of births
```

## Example

Suppose the number of first births to white women 40-44 years of age was 14,108. The 95-percent confidence limits for this number would be:

```
Lower limit = 14,108 - [1.96 \times /14,108]
= 14,108 - 233
= 13,875
Upper limit = 14,108 + [1.96 \times /14,108]
= 14,108 + 233
= 14,341
```

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual number of first births to white women 40-44 years of age would lie between 13,875 and 14,341.

## 95 percent Confidence Interval: 1-99 births

When the number of births is less than 100 and the rate is small, the data are assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. Confidence limits are estimated using the following formulas:

```
Lower limit = B \times L
Upper limit = B \times U
where:

B = \text{the number of births}
L = \text{the value in Table C that corresponds to the number B, using the 95 percent CI column}
U = \text{the value in Table C that corresponds to the number B, using the 95 percent CI column}
```

## Example

Suppose the number of first births to American Indian women 40-44 years of age was 47. The confidence limits for this number would be:

Lower limit = 
$$B \times L$$
  
= 47 x 0.73476  
= 35

```
Upper limit = B \times U
= 47 \times 1.32979
= 63
```

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual number of first births to American Indian women 40-44 years of age would lie between 35 and 63.

#### **Computing confidence intervals for rates**

The same statistical assumptions can be used to estimate the variability in birth rates. Again, one formula is used for rates based on numbers of events less than 100, and another formula for rates based on numbers of 100 or greater. For our purposes, assume that the denominators of these rates (the population estimates) have no error. While this assumption is technically correct <u>only</u> for denominators based on the census which occurs every 10 years, the error in intercensal population estimates is usually small, difficult to measure, and therefore not considered.

## 95 percent Confidence Interval: 100 or more births

In this case, use the following formula for the birth rate R based on the number of births B:

```
Lower limit = R ! [1.96 x R //B)]

Upper limit = R + [1.96 x R //B)]

where:

R = \text{rate (births per 1,000 population)}

B = \text{the number of births}
```

## Example

Suppose the first birth rate for white women 40-44 years of age was 1.55 per thousand, based on 14,108 births in the numerator. Therefore, the 95-percent confidence interval would be:

```
Lower limit = 1.55 - [1.96 \times (1.55 / /14,108)]
= 1.55 - .026
= 1.52
Upper limit = 1.55 + [1.96 \times (1.55 / /14,108)]
= 1.55 + .026
= 1.58
```

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual first birth rate for white women 40-44 years of age lies between 1.52 and 1.58.

## 95 percent Confidence Interval: 1-99 births

When the number of events in the numerator is less than 20, an asterisk is shown in place of the rate because there were too few births to compute a statistically reliable rate. When the number of events in the numerator is greater than 20 but less than 100, the confidence interval for a rate can be estimated using the two formulas which follow and the values in the 95 percent CI column of Table C.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} Lower\ limit & = & R\ x\ L \\ Upper\ limit & = & R\ x\ U \end{array}$ 

where:

R = rate (births per 1,000 population)

L = the value in Table C that corresponds to the number B in the numerator of the rate

U = the value in Table C that corresponds to the number B in the numerator of the rate

## Example

Suppose that the first birth rate for American Indian women 40-44 years of age was 0.54 per thousand, based on 47 births in the numerator. Using Table C:

Lower limit =  $0.54 \times 0.73476 = .40$ Upper limit =  $0.54 \times 1.32979 = .72$ 

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual first birth rate for American Indian women 40-44 year of age lies between .40 and .72.

## Computing confidence intervals for Hispanic subgroups

Tables 6, 8, 9, and 14 in "Births: Final Data for 1999" and tables 1-4 and 1-12 in Vital Statistics of the United States, part 1 Natality show birth and fertility rates for Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, and "Other" Hispanics. Population estimates are derived from the U.S. Bureau of the Census' Current Population Survey and adjusted to resident population control totals. As a result, the rates are subject to the variability of the denominator as well as the numerator. For these Hispanic subgroups only (not for all origin, total Hispanic, total non-Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, or non-Hispanic black populations), the formulas above would be substituted by the following formulas:

## Approximate 95 percent Confidence Interval: 100 or more births

When the number of events in the numerator is greater than 100, the confidence interval for the birth rate can be estimated from the following formulas:

For crude and age-specific birth rates,

Lower limit: 
$$R \& 1.96$$
 (  $R$  (  $\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{B}\right) \% f\left(a \% \frac{b}{P}\right)}$ 

Upper limit: 
$$R \% 1.96$$
 (  $R$  (  $\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{B}\right) \% f\left(a \% \frac{b}{P}\right)}$ 

where

R = rate (births per 1,000 population).

B = total number of births upon which rate is based

f= factor that depends on whether the population estimate is based on demographic analysis or CPS and the number of years used, equals 0.670 for single year.

a and b are single year averages of the 1998 and 1999 CPS standard error parameters; a equals -0.000238 and b equals 7,486 (24,25).

P = total estimated population upon which rate is based

## Example

Suppose that the fertility rate of Cuban American women 15-44 years of age was 51.2 per thousand based on 13,088 births in the numerator and an estimated resident population of 255,399 in the denominator. The 95 percent confidence interval would be:

Lower limit = 
$$51.2 - 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{\frac{1}{13,088}} + 0.670 \left[ -0.000238 + \left( \frac{7,486}{255,399} \right) \right]$$
  
=  $51.2 - 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.000076405 + (0.670 * 0.029073)}$   
=  $51.2 - 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.019555}$   
=  $51.2 - 1.96 * 51.2 * 0.13984$   
=  $37.17$ 

Upper limit = 
$$51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{\frac{1}{13,088}} + 0.670 \left[ -0.000238 + \left( \frac{7,486}{255,399} \right) \right]$$
  
=  $51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.000076405 + (0.670 * 0.029073)}$   
=  $51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * \sqrt{0.019555}$   
=  $51.2 + 1.96 * 51.2 * 0.13984$   
=  $65.23$ 

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual fertility rate of Cuban American women 15-44 years of age lies between 37.17 and 65.23.

## Approximate 95 percent Confidence Interval: 1-99 births

When the number of events in the numerator is less than 20, an asterisk is shown in place of the rate. When the number of events in the numerator is greater than 20 but less than 100, the confidence interval for the birth rate can be estimated using the formulas which follow and the values in Table C.

For crude and age-specific birth rates,

Lower: R ( L (1&a' .96, B) ( 
$$\left(1 \& 2.576 \sqrt{f\left(a \% \frac{b}{P}\right)}\right)$$

*Upper:* R ( U (1&a' .96, B) ( 
$$\left(1\%2.576\sqrt{f\left(a\%\frac{b}{P}\right)}\right)$$

where

R = rate (births per 1,000 population).

B = total number of births upon which rate is based.

L = the value in Table C that corresponds to the number B, using the 96 percent CI column

U = the value in Table C that corresponds to the number B, using the 96 percent CI column

f= factor that depends on whether the population estimate is based on demographic analysis or CPS and the number of years used, equals 0.670 for single year.

a and b factors are CPS standard error parameters. (see previous section on 95 percent confidence interval for 100 or more births for description and specific values)

P = total estimated population upon which rate is based.

## Example

Suppose that the birth rate of Puerto Rican American women 45-49 years of age was 0.4 per thousand, based on 35 births in the numerator and an estimated resident population of 87,892 in the denominator. Using Table C, the 95 percent confidence interval would be:

Lower limit = 
$$0.4 * 0.68419 * \left(1 - 2.576\sqrt{0.670\left(-0.000238 + \left(\frac{7,486}{87,892}\right)\right)}\right)$$
  
= $0.4*0.68419*(1-2.576 \angle 0.056906)$   
=  $0.4 * 0.68419*(1-2.576*0.23855)$   
=  $0.4 * 0.68419 * 0.38549$   
=  $0.1$ 

Upper limit = 
$$0.4*1.41047*\left(1+2.576\sqrt{0.670\left(-0.000238+\left(\frac{7,486}{87,892}\right)\right)}\right)$$
  
= $0.4*1.41047*(1+2.576\cancel{/}.056906)$   
= $0.4*1.41047*(1+2.576*0.23855)$ 

$$= 0.4 * 1.41047 * 1.61451$$
  
= 0.9

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual birth rate of Puerto Rican American women 45-49 years of age lies between 0.1 and 0.9.

Note: In the formulas above, the confidence limits are estimated from the nonsampling error in the number of births, the numerator, and the sampling error in the population estimate, the denominator. A 96 percent standard error is computed for the numerator and a 99 percent standard error is computed for the denominator in order to compute a 95 percent confidence interval for the rate.

## Computing 95 percent Confidence Intervals for percents

In many instances we need to compute the confidence intervals for percents. Percents derive from a binomial distribution. As with birth rates, an asterisk will be shown for any percent which is based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator. We easily compute a 95-percent confidence interval for a percent when the following conditions are met:

$$B \times p \$5$$
 and  $B \times q \$5$ 

where:

B = number of births in the denominator

p = percent divided by 100

q = 1 - p

For natality data, these conditions will be met except for very rare events in small subgroups. If the conditions are *not* met, the variation in the percent will be so large as to render the confidence intervals meaningless. When these conditions are met the 95-percent confidence interval can be computed using the normal approximation of the binomial. The 95-percent confidence intervals are computed by the following formulas:

Lower limit = 
$$p - \left(1.96 * \sqrt{p * \frac{q}{B}}\right)$$

Upper limit = p + 
$$\left(1.96 * \sqrt{p * \frac{q}{B}}\right)$$

where:

B = number of births in the denominator

p = percent divided by 100

q = 1-p

## Example

Suppose the percent of births to Hispanic women in Alabama that were to unmarried women was 23.0 percent. This was based on 310 births in the numerator and 1,345 births in the denominator. First we test to make sure we can use the normal approximation of the binomial:

$$1,345 \times .230 = 309$$
  
 $1,345 \times (1 - .230)$   
 $1,345 \times .770 = 1,036$ 

Both 309 and 1,036 are greater than 5 so we can proceed. The 95-percent confidence interval would be:

Lower limit = 
$$0.23 - \left(1.96 * \sqrt{0.23 * \frac{0.77}{1,345}}\right)$$
  
=  $0.23 - 0.022$   
=  $0.208$  or  $20.8$  percent  
Upper limit =  $0.23 + \left(1.96 * \sqrt{0.23 * \frac{0.77}{1,345}}\right)$   
=  $0.23 + 0.022$   
=  $0.252$  or  $25.2$  percent

This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the actual percent of births in Alabama to Hispanic women that are to unmarried women lies between 20.8 and 25.2 percent.

## Significance testing

## Both rates are based on 100 or more events

When both rates are based on 100 or more events, the difference between the two rates is considered statistically significant if it exceeds the statistic in the formula below. This statistic equals 1.96 times the standard error for the difference between two rates.

$$1.96 \sqrt{\frac{R_1^2}{N_1} \% \frac{R_2^2}{N_2}}$$

where:

 $R_1$  = the first rate  $R_2$  = the second rate

 $N_1$  = the first number of births  $N_2$  = the second number of births

If the difference is **greater** than this statistic, then the difference would occur by chance less than 5 times out of 100. If the difference is **less** than this statistic, the difference might occur by chance more than 5 times out of 100. We say that the difference is not statistically significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

## **Example**

Is the first birth rate for black women 40-44 years of age (1.08 per 1,000) significantly lower than the comparable rate for white women (1.55)? Both rates are based on more than 100 births (1,535 for black women and 14,108 for white women). The difference between the rates is 1.55 - 1.08 = .47. The statistic is then calculated as follows:

$$1.96 \sqrt{\frac{1.08^2}{1,535} \% \frac{1.55^2}{14,108}}$$

=  $1.96 \times /[(1.166/1,535 + 2.403/14,108)]$ 

= 1.96 x / (.00076 + 0.00017)

= 1.96 x / .00093

 $= 1.96 \times .03$ 

= .06

The difference between the rates (.47) is greater than this statistic (.06). Therefore, the difference is statistically significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

## **Significance Testing for Hispanic Subgroups**

<u>Tables 6, 8, 9, and 14 in "Births: Final Data for 1999" and tables 1-4 and 1-12 in "Vital Statistics United States, volume 1 natality"</u> showing birth and fertility rates based on population estimates derived from the U.S. Bureau of the Census' Current Population Survey and adjusted to resident population control totals, the formula above would be substituted by the formula which follows.

When both rates are based on 100 or more events, the difference between the two rates is considered statistically significant if it exceeds the statistic in the formula below. This statistic equals 1.96 times the standard error for the difference between two rates.

$$1.96 * \sqrt{R_1^2 * \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B_1} \right) + f \left( a + \frac{b}{P_1} \right) \right] + R_2^2 * \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B_2} \right) + f \left( a + \frac{b}{P_2} \right) \right]}$$

If the difference is greater than this statistic, then the difference would occur by chance less than 5 times out of 100. If the difference is less than this statistic, the difference might occur by chance more than 5 times out of 100. We say that the difference is not statistically significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

## Example

Suppose the birth rate for Puerto Rican mothers 15-19 years of age  $(R_1)$  is 80.6, based on 11,978 births and an estimated population of 148,673, and the birth rate for Cuban mothers 15-19 years of age  $(R_2)$  is 27.1, based on 997 births and an estimated population of 36,782. Using the above formula, the z score is computed as follows:

$$1.96*\sqrt{80.6^{2}*\left[\left(\frac{1}{11,978}\right)+0.670\left(-0.000238+\frac{7,486}{148,673}\right)\right]}+27.1^{2}*\left[\left(\frac{1}{997}\right)+0.670\left(-0.000238+\frac{7,486}{36,782}\right)\right]$$

$$1.96 * \sqrt{6,496.36 * [0.000083486 + 0.670(-0.000238 + 0.050352)]} + 734.41 * [0.0010030 + 0.670(-0.000238 + 0.20352)]$$

$$1.96 * \sqrt{(6,496.36 * 0.033660) + (734.41 * 0.13720)}$$

$$1.96 * \sqrt{218.67 + 100.76}$$

$$1.96 * 17.87$$

$$= 35.03$$

Since the difference between the two rates of 53.5 is greater than the value above, the two rates are statistically significant at the 0.05 level of significance.

## One of the rates is based on fewer than 100 cases

To compare two rates, when one or both of those rates are based on less than 100 cases, you first compute the confidence intervals for both rates. Then you check to see if those intervals overlap. If they **do** overlap, the difference is not statistically significant at the 95-percent level. If they **do not** overlap, the difference is indeed "statistically significant."

## Example

Is the first birth rate for American Indian women 40-44 years of age (.54 per 1,000) significantly lower than the comparable rate for white women (1.55)? The rate for American Indian women is based on 47 events whereas the rate for white women is based on 14,108 events. The rate for American Indian women is based on less than 100 events; therefore, the first step is to compute the confidence intervals for both rates.

	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
American Indian women	0.40	0.72
White women	1.52	1.58

These two confidence intervals do not overlap. Therefore, the first birth rate for American women 40-44 is significantly lower (at the 95-percent confidence level) than the comparable rate for white women.

## Testing differences between two percents

When testing the difference between two percents, both percents must meet the following conditions:

$$B \times p \$5$$
 and  $B \times q \$5$ 

where:

B = number of births in the denominator

p = percent divided by 100

q = 1 - p

When both percents meet these conditions then the difference between the two percents is considered statistically significant if it exceeds the statistic in the formula below. This statistic equals 1.96 times the standard error for the difference between two percents.

1.96 
$$\sqrt{p \ (1\&p) \ (\frac{1}{B_1} \% \ \frac{1}{B_2})}$$

$$p = \frac{B_1 \ p_1 \% B_2 \ p_2}{B_1 \% B_2}$$

where:

 $B_1$  = the number of births in the denominator for the first percent

 $B_2$  = the number of births in the denominator for the second percent

 $p_1$  = the first percent divided by 100

 $p_2$  = the second percent divided by 100

## Example

Is the percent of births to Hispanic women that were to unmarried women higher in Alaska (28.8 percent) than in Alabama (23.0). The number in the denominator was 593 in Alaska and 1,345 in Alabama. The necessary conditions are met for both percents (calculations not shown). The difference between the two percents is .288 - .230 = .058. The statistic is then calculated as follows:

 $1.96 \sqrt{(.2477) (.7523) (.0024)}$ 

= 1.96 x /.000447

 $= 1.96 \times .021$ 

= .042

The difference between the percents (.058) is greater than this statistic (.042). Therefore, the difference is statistically significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

## **Computation of rates and other measures**

## **Population bases**

The rates shown in this report were computed on the basis of population statistics prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rates for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the censuses of those years. Rates for all other years are based on the estimated midyear (July 1) population for the respective years. Birth rates for the United States, individual States, and metropolitan areas are based on the total resident populations of the respective areas. Except as noted these populations exclude the Armed Forces abroad but include the Armed Forces stationed in each area. The resident population of the birth- and death-registration States for 1900-32 and for the United States for 1900-99 is shown in table 4-1. In addition, the population including Armed Forces abroad is shown for the United States. Table D shows the sources for these populations.

In both the 1980 and 1990 censuses, a substantial number of persons did not specify a racial group that could be classified as any of the White, Black, American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut, Asian, or Pacific Islander categories on the census form (26). In 1980 the number of persons of "other" race was 6,758,319; in 1990 it was 9,804,847. In both censuses, the large majority of these persons were of Hispanic origin (based on response to a separate question on the form), and many wrote in their Hispanic origin, or Hispanic origin type (for example, Mexican, Puerto Rican) as their race. In both 1980 and 1990, persons of unspecified race were allocated to one of the four tabulated racial groups (white, black, American Indian, Asian or Pacific Islander), based on their response to the Hispanic origin question. These four race categories conform with the 1979 edition of OMB Directive 15 which mandates that race data must contain at least these 4 categories. These categories are also more consistent with the race categories in vital statistics.

In the allocation of unspecified race was carried out using cross-tabulations of age, sex, race, type of Hispanic origin, and county of residence. Persons of Hispanic origin and unspecified race were allocated to either white or black, based on their Hispanic origin type. Persons of "other" race and Mexican origin were categorically assumed to be white, while persons in other Hispanic categories were distributed to white and black *pro rata* within the county-age-sex group. For "other-not-specified" persons who were not Hispanic, race was allocated to white, black, or Asian and Pacific Islander, based on proportions gleaned from sample data. The 20-percent sample (respondents who were enumerated on the longer census form) provided a highly detailed coding of race, which allowed identification of otherwise unidentifiable responses with a specified race category. Allocation proportions were thus established at the State level, which were used to distribute the non-Hispanic persons of "other" race in the 100-percent tabulations.

In 1990 the race modification procedure was carried out using individual census records. Persons whose race could not be specified were assigned to a racial category using a pool of "race donors," which was derived from persons of specified race and the identical response to the Hispanic origin question within the auspices of the same Census District Office. As in 1980, the underlying assumption was that the Hispanic origin response was the major criterion for allocating race. Unlike 1980, persons of Hispanic origin, including Mexican, could be assigned to any racial group, rather than white or black only, and the non-Hispanic component of "other" race was allocated primarily on the basis of geography (District Office), rather than detailed characteristic.

The means by which respondent's age was determined were fundamentally different in the two censuses; therefore, the problems that necessitated the modification were different. In 1980 respondents reported year of birth and quarter of birth (within year) on the census form. When census results were tabulated, persons born in the first quarter of the year (before April 1) had age equal to 1980 minus year of birth, while persons born in the last 3 quarters had age equal to 1979 minus year of birth.

In 1990 the quarter year of birth was not reported on the census form, so that direct determination of age from year of birth was impossible. In 1990 census publications age is based on respondents' direct reports of age at last birthday. This definition proved inadequate for postcensal estimates, because it was apparent that many respondents had reported their age at time of either completion of the census form or interview by an enumerator, which could occur several months after the April 1 reference data. As a result, age was biased upward. Modification was based

on a respecification of age, for most individual respondents, by year of birth, with allocation to first quarter (persons aged 1990 minus year of birth) and last three quarters (aged 1989 minus year of birth) based on a historical series of registered births by month. This process partially restored the 1980 logic for assignment of age. It was not considered necessary to correct for age overstatement and heaping in 1990, because the availability of age and year of birth on the census form provided elimination of spurious year-of-birth reports in the census data before modification occurred.

Populations for 1999--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1999. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau. Internet release, April 11, 2000.

http://www.census.gov/population/estimates/nat\_90s\_1.html.

Populations for 1998--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1998. Washington, DC: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Internet release, June 4, 1999.

Http://www.census.gov/population/www/estimates/uspop.html.

Populations for 1997--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1997. PPL-91R.U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rounded populations are consistent with U.S. Bureau of the Census file NESTV97. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1998.

Populations for 1996--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report, United States population estimates by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1996. U.S. Bureau of the Census. PPL-57. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1997.

Populations for 1995--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report, United States population estimates by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1995. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Census file RESDO795, PPL-41. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1996.

Populations for 1994--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin is shown in the Census Bureau report, United States population estimates by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1994. U.S. Bureau of the Census. PPL-21. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.

*Populations for 1993*--The population of the United States by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin is tabulated from Census file RESO793.

*Populations for 1992*--The population of the United States by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin is tabulated from census file RESPO792.

Populations for 1991--The population of the United States by age, race, and sex is shown in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1095. Monthly population figures were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1097.

*Populations for 1990*--The population of the United States by age, race, and sex, and the population for each State is shown *in Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1095. The figures have been modified as described above. Monthly population figures were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1094.

Population estimates for 1981-89-. Birth rates for 1981-89 (except those for cohorts of women) have been revised, based on revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1990 census levels, and thus may differ from rates published in volumes of Vital Statistics of the United States for these years. The 1990 census counted approximately 1.5 million fewer persons than had earlier been estimated for April 1, 1990. The revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex were published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 1095. Population estimates by month are based on data published in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 1094 and unpublished data. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Populations for 1980--The population of the United States by age, race, and sex, and the population for each State are shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3 of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1980. The figures by race have

been modified as described above. Monthly population figures were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 899.

Population estimates for 1971-79-Birth rates for 1971-79 (except those for cohorts of women) have been revised, based on revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1980 census levels, and thus may differ from rates published in volumes of *Vital Statistics of the United States* for these years. The 1980 census counted approximately 5.5 million more persons than had earlier been estimated for April 1, 1980 (27). The revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex were published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 917. Population estimates by month are based on data published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 899. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Population estimates for 1961-69--Birth rates for 1961-69 are based on revised estimates of the population and thus may differ slightly from rates published before 1976. The revised estimates used in computing these rates were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 519. The rates for 1961-64 are based on revised estimates of the population published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Numbers 321 and 324 and may differ slightly from rates published in those years.

Population estimates for 1951-59-Final intercensal estimates of the population by age, race, and sex and total population by State for 1951-59 are shown in tables 4-4 and 4-5 of volume I, *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1966. Beginning with 1963 these final estimates have been used to compute birth rates for 1951-59 in all issues of *Vital Statistics of the United States*.

#### **Net census undercounts and overcounts**

The U.S. Bureau of the Census has conducted extensive research to evaluate the coverage of the U.S. population (including undercount, overcount, and misstatement of age, race, and sex) in the last five decennial censuses 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990. These studies provide estimates of the national population, that were not enumerated or over enumerated in the respective censuses, by age, race, and sex (27-29). The report for 1990 (30) includes estimates of net under enumeration and over enumeration for age, sex, and racial subgroups of the national population, modified for race consistency with previous population counts as described in the section "Population bases."

These studies indicate that there are differential coverages in the censuses among the population subgroups; that is, some age, race, and sex groups are more completely enumerated than others. To the extent that these estimates of overcounts or undercounts are valid, that they are substantial, and that they vary among subgroups and geographic areas, census miscounts can have consequences for vital statistics measures (28). However, the effects of undercounts in the census are reduced to the extent that there is underregistration of births. If these two factors are of equal magnitude, rates based on unadjusted populations are more accurate than those based on adjusted populations because the births have not been adjusted for underregistration.

The impact of net census miscounts on vital statistics measures includes the effects on levels of the rates and effects on differentials among groups.

If adjustments were made for persons who were not counted in the census of population, the size of the denominators would generally increase and the rates would be smaller than without an adjustment. Adjusted rates for 1990 can be computed by multiplying the reported rates by ratios of the 1990 census-level population adjusted for the estimated net census miscounts, which are shown in table E. A ratio of less than 1.0 indicates a net census undercount and would result in a corresponding decrease in the rate. A ratio in excess of 1.0 indicates a net census overcount and would result in a corresponding increase in the rate.

Enumeration of white females in the childbearing ages was at least 97 percent complete for all ages. Among black women, the undercount ranged up to 5 percent. Generally, females in the childbearing ages were more completely enumerated than males for similar race-age groups.

If vital statistics measures were calculated with adjustments for net census miscounts for each of these subgroups, the resulting rates would have been differentially changed from their original levels; that is, rates for

those groups with the greatest estimated overcounts or undercounts would show the greatest relative changes due to these adjustments. Thus the racial differential in fertility between the white and the ``All other" population can be affected by such adjustments.

## **Cohort fertility tables**

The various fertility measures shown for cohorts of women are computed from births adjusted for underregistration and population estimates corrected for under enumeration and misstatement of age. Data published after 1974 use revised population estimates prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and have been expanded to include data for the two major racial groups. Heuser has prepared a detailed description of the methods used in deriving these measures as well as more detailed data for earlier years (31). These tables for current years are available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/datawh/statab/unpubd/natality/natab97.htm.

Parity distribution--The percent distribution of women by parity (number of children ever born alive to mother) is derived from cumulative birth rates by order of birth. The percent of zero-parity women is found by subtracting the cumulative first birth rate from 1,000 and dividing by 10. The proportions of women at parities one through six are found from the following formula:

Percent at N parity = (cum. rate, order N) - (cum. rate, order N + 1))/10

The percent of women at seventh and higher parities is found by dividing the cumulative rate for seventh-order births by 10.

*Birth probabilities*--birth probabilities indicate the likelihood that a woman of a certain parity and age at the beginning of the year will have a child during the year. Birth probabilities differ from central birth rates in that the denominator for birth probabilities is specific for parity as well as for age.

## Total fertility rate

The total fertility rate is the sum of the birth rates by age of mother (in 5-year age groups) multiplied by 5. It is an age-adjusted rate because it is based on the assumption that there are the same number of women in each age group. The rate of 2,075.0 in 1999, for example, means that if a hypothetical group of 1,000 women were to have the same birth rates in each age group that were observed in the actual childbearing population in 1999, they would have a total of 2,075.0 children by the time they reached the end of the reproductive period (taken here to be age 55 years), assuming that all of the women survived to that age.

#### Seasonal adjustment of rates

The seasonally adjusted birth and fertility rates are computed from the X-11 variant of Census Method II (32). This method of seasonal adjustment used since 1964 differs slightly from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Seasonal Factor Method, which was used for Vital Statistics of the United States, 1964. The fundamental technique is the same in that it is an adaptation of the ratio-to-moving-average method. Before 1964 the method of seasonal adjustment was based on the X-9 variant and other variants of Census Method II. A comparison of the Census Method II with the BLS Seasonal Factor Method shows the differences in the seasonal patterns of births to be negligible.

## Computations of percents, percent distributions, and medians

Births for which a particular characteristic is unknown were subtracted from the figures for total births that were used as denominators before percents, percent distributions, and medians were computed. The percent of records with missing information for each item is shown by State in table A. The median number of prenatal visits also excludes births to mothers who had no prenatal care. Computations of the median years of school completed and the median number of prenatal visits were based on ungrouped data. The median age of mother is computed

from birth rates in 5-year age groups which eliminates the effects of changes in the age composition of the childbearing population over time. The procedures for distributing not stated age of father in order to compute mean ages are described in the section "age of father." An asterisk is shown in place of any derived statistic based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator or denominator.

## References

- 1. National Center for Health Statistics. natality public use tape and CD-ROM. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. Annual products.
- 2. Ventura SJ, Martin JA, Curtin SA, Menacker F, Hamilton BE. Births: Final data for 1999. National vital statistics reports; vol 49 no 1. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001.
- 3. Department of Health and Human Services. Model State Vital Statistics Act and Regulations, 1992 Revision. Publication no. (PHS). 94-1115.
- 4. World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, based on the recommendations of the Tenth Revision Conference, 1987. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1992.
- 5. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Guidelines for Perinatal Care, ed. 2, Washington, DC. 308-324. 1988.
- 6. Kowaleski J. State definitions and reporting requirements for live births, fetal deaths, and induced terminations of pregnancy (1997 revision). Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1997.
- 7. Statistical Office of the United Nations. Handbook of vital statistics systems and methods/Department of International Economic and Social Affairs. Studies in methods. Series F, no. 35, ST/ESA/STAT/SER.F35. New York: United Nations. 1985.
- 8. National Office of Vital Statistics. Births and birth rates in the entire United States, 1909 to 1948. Vital Statistics--Special reports; vol 33 no 8. Washington: Public Health Service. 1950.
- 9. Division of Vital Statistics. Instruction manual part 3a: Classification and coding instructions for birth records, 1999. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/3amanual/pdf.
- 10. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population of metropolitan areas and component geography: 1990 and 1980 (6-30-90 definitions). 1990 CPH-L-10. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1991.
- 11. U.S. Department of Commerce. Metropolitan statistical area classification. Federal Register; vol 45 no 2. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 956-62. 1980.
- 12. U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical areas. Rev. ed. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 89-90. 1975.
- 13. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1990 Census of Population. General population characteristics; (1990 CP-1-1). Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1992.
- 14. Martin JA. Birth characteristics for Asian or Pacific Islander subgroups, 1992. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 43 no 10, suppl. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1995.
- 15. Schachter J. Matched record comparison of birth certificate and census information in the United States, 1950. Vital statistics--Special Reports; vol 47 no12. Washington: Public Health Service. 1962.
- 16. Schoendorf KC, Parker JD, Batkhan LZ, Kiely JL. Comparability of the birth certificate and 1988 maternal and infant health survey. Vital and health statistics reports; series 2 no 116. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1993.
- 17. Ventura SJ, Bachrach CA. Nonmarital Childbearing in the United States, 1940-99. National vital statistics reports; vol 48 no 16. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2000.
- 18. Berkov B. An evaluation of California's inferred birth statistics for unmarried women. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(97). 1985.
- 19. Ventura SJ. Births to unmarried mothers, United States, 1980-92. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 21(53). 1995.
- 20. Taffel S, Johnson D, Heuser R. A method for imputing length of gestation on birth certificates. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(93). 1982.
- 21. Brockert JE, Stockbauer JW, Senner JW, et al. Recommended standard medical definitions for the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, 1989 revision. Paper presented at annual meeting of the Association for the Vital Record and Health Statistics. June 1990.

- 22. Watkins ML, Edmonds L, McClearn A, et al. The surveillance of birth defects: The usefulness of the revised U.S. standard birth certificate. Am J Public Health 86(5):731-34. 1996.
- 23. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Test of birth-registration completeness, 1964 to 1968. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC (E)-2. Evaluation and Research Program. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1973.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Money income in the United States: 1998 (with separate data on valuation of noncash benefits). Current population reports, consumer income. Series P60-206. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 1999.
- 25. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Money income in the United States: 1999 (with separate data on valuation of noncash benefits). Current population reports, consumer income. Series P60-209. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 2000.
- 26. U.S. Bureau of the Census. U.S. population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin, 1980-91. Current population reports; series P-25, no 1095. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1993.
- 27. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coverage of the national population in the 1980 census by age, sex, and race. Preliminary estimates by demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 115. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1982.
- 28. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of coverage of the population by sex, race, and age--Demographic analysis. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC (E)-4. Evaluation and Research Program. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1974.
- 29. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Developmental estimates of the coverage of the population of States in the 1970 census-demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 65. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1977.
- 30. Robinson JG, Ahmed B, Das Gupta P, et al. Estimation of population coverage in the 1990 United States census based on demographic analysis. JASA 88(423):1061-71. 1993.
- 31. Heuser R. Fertility tables for birth cohorts by color: United States, 1917-73. Washington: National Center for Health Statistics. 1976.
- 32. U.S. Bureau of the Census. The X-11 variant of the Census Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program. Technical paper; no 15, 1967 rev. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1967.

#### TYPE/PRINT U.S. STANDARD IN PERMANENT **CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH** LOCAL FILE NUMBER BLACK INK FOR INSTRUCTIONS 1. CHILD'S NAME (First, Middle, Last) 2. DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year) SEE HANDBOOK 4. SEX 5. CITY, TOWN, OR LOCATION OF BIRTH 6. COUNTY OF BIRTH CHILD 7. PLACE OF BIRTH: Hospital Freestanding Birthing Center 8. FACILITY NAME (If not institution, give street and number) ☐ Clinic/Doctor's Office ☐ Residence ☐ Other (Specify) I certify that this child was born alive at the 10. DATE SIGNED 11. ATTENDANT'S NAME AND TITLE (If other than certifier) (Type/Print) place and time and on the date stated. (Month, Day, Year) Name . □ M.D. □ D.O. □ C.N.M. ☐ Other Midwife ☐ Other (Specify) \_ Signature > 12. CERTIFIER'S NAME AND TITLE (Type/Print) 13. ATTENDANT'S MAILING ADDRESS (Street and Number or Rural Route Number, City or Town, State, Zip Code) DEATH UNDER Name ONE YEAR OF ☐ M.D. □ D.O. ☐ Hospital Admin. C.N.M. Other Midwife Enter State File Other (Specify) Number of death certificate for this child 15. DATE FILED BY REGISTRAR (Month, Day, Year) 14. REGISTRAR'S SIGNATURE 16a. MOTHER'S NAME (First, Middle, Last) 16b. MAIDEN SURNAME 17. DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year) MOTHER 18. BIRTHPLACE (State or Foreign Country) 19a. RESIDENCE - STATE 19c. CITY, TOWN, OR LOCATION 19b. COUNTY 19d. STREET AND NUMBER 19e. INSIDE CITY LIMITS? (Yes or no.) 20. MOTHER'S MAILING ADDRESS (If same as residence, enter Zip Code on 21. FATHER'S NAME (First, Middle, Last) 22. DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year) 23. BIRTHPLACE (State or Foreign Country) **FATHER** 24. I certify that the personal information provided on this certificate is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. INFORMANT Signature of Parent or Other Informant INFORMATION FOR MEDICAL AND HEALTH USE ONLY 27. EDUCATION OF HISPANIC ORIGIN? (Specify No or Yes-If yes, specify 26. RACE-American Indian, Black, White, etc. (Specify only highest grade completed) Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.) (Specify below) Elementary/Secondary (0-12) College (1-4 or 5+ 25a. □ No ☐ Yes 26a. 27a. MOTHER **FATHER**

MULTIPLE BIRTHS Enter State File Number for Mate(s) LIVE BIRTH(S)

FETAL DEATH(S)

Specify:						
<b>25b.</b> □ No	☐ Yes	26	b.	27b.		
Specify:				1		
	28. PREGNANO (Complete eac		29. MOTHER MARRIED? (At birth, conception, or any time between) (Yes or no)	30. DATE LAST NORMAL MENSES BEGAN (Month, Day, Year)		
	BIRTHS	OTHER TERMINATIONS	7			
(Do not inclu	de this child)	(Spontaneous and induced at any time after conception)	31. MONTH OF PREGNANCY PRENATAL CARE BEGAN – First, Second, Third, etc. (Specify)	32. PRENATAL VISITS—Total Number (If none, so state)		
28a. Now Living	28b. Now Dead	28d.	BEGAN - First, Second, Trind, etc. (Specify)	in none, so state,		
Number	Number	Number	33. BIRTH WEIGHT (Specify unit)	34. CLINICAL ESTIMATE OF GESTATION (Week		
☐ None	☐ None	☐ None				
28c. DATE OF LAS (Month, Year)	ST LIVE BIRTH	28e. DATE OF LAST OTHER TERMINATION (Month, Ye	35a. PLURALITY—Single, Twin, Triplet, etc. (Specify)	35b. IF NOT SINGLE BIRTH—Born First, Second, Third, etc. (Specify)		
<b>36</b> . APG	AR SCORE	37a. MOTHER TRANSFERRED	PRIOR TO DELIVERY?   No   Yes   If Yes, enter name	e of facility transferred from:		
36a. 1 Minute	36b. 5 Minutes					
		37b. INFANT TRANSFERRED	□ No □ Yes If Yes, enter name of facility transferred to	0:		

3. TIME OF BIRTH

38a. MEDICAL RISK FACTORS FOR THIS PREGNANCY (Check all that apply)	40. COMPLICATIONS OF LABOR AND/OR DELIVERY (Check all that apply)	43. CONGENITAL ANOMALIES OF CHILD (Check all that apply)
Anemia (Hct. < 30/Hgb. < 10)	Febrile ( > 100 °F. or 38 °C.)	Anencephalus  Spina bifida/Meningocele  Hydrocephalus  Other central nervous system anomalies  (Specify)  Heart malformations  Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies  (Specify)  Rectal atresia/stenosis  Tracheo-esophageal fistula/ Esophageal atresia  Omphalocele/ Gastroschisis  Other gastrointestinal anomalies  (Specify)  11
Uterine bleeding         16 □           None         00 □           Other         17 □           (Specify)         38b. OTHER RISK FACTORS FOR THIS PREGNANCY	Other	Malformed genitalia
(Complete all items)  Tobacco use during pregnancy Yes □ No □ Average number cigarettes per day Alcohol use during pregnancy Yes □ No □ Average number drinks per week	Vaginal       01 □         Vaginal birth after previous C-section       02 □         Primary C-section       03 □         Repeat C-section       04 □         Forceps       05 □         Vacuum       06 □	Cleft lip/palate
Weight gained during pregnancy lbs.	42. ABNORMAL CONDITIONS OF THE NEWBORN (Check all that apply)	(Specify)19
39. OBSTETRIC PROCEDURES (Check all that apply)	Anemia (Hct. < 39/Hgb. < 13)	Down's syndrome
Amniocentesis         01 □           Electronic fetal monitoring         02 □           Induction of labor         03 □           Stimulation of labor         04 □           Tocolysis         05 □           Ultrasound         06 □	Fetal alcohol syndrome       03         Hyaline membrane disease/RDS       04         Meconium aspiration syndrome       05         Assisted ventilation <30 min	None
None	None	

Table A. Percent of Birth Records on Which Specified Items Were Not Stated: United States and Each State and territory, 1999

[Page 1 of 2]

[By place of residence]

	[By place of residence]												
Area	All	Place	Attendant	Mother's	Father's	Father's		c Origin	Educational	Live-birth	Length of	Month	Number of
	births	of Birth	at Birth	Birthplace	Age	Race	Mother	Father	Attainment of Mother	Order	Gestation	Prenatal Care Began	Prenatal Visits
	2.050.417	0.0	0.0	0.2	14.0	146	1.0	140		0.5	1.1		
Total of reporting areas 1/	3,959,417	0.0	0.0	0.3	14.0	14.6	1.2	14.9	1.6	0.5	1.1	2.9	3.9
Alabama	62,122	_	0.0	0.1	22.7	22.8	0.1	22.7	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.7
Alaska	9,950	0.0	0.1	0.4	12.7	15.1	0.5	13.4	2.2	0.3	0.2	1.9	1.7
Arizona	81,145	0.0	0.0	0.2	19.7	21.7	1.3	21.7	2.4	0.3	0.2	2.5	4.7
Arkansas	36,729	0.0	0.0	0.1	19.6	21.8	0.1	20.9	1.0	0.1	0.2	2.4	2.6
California	518,508	0.0	0.0	0.3	7.2	6.8	0.6	6.2	1.5	0.1	2/5.7	1.6	3.1
Colorado	62,167	-	-	0.3	9.0	9.4	0.1	9.5	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.9
Connecticut	43,310	0.0	0.0	0.2	10.9	12.3	5.1	15.6	3.4	7.1	0.2	3.3	6.3
Delaware	10,676	-	0.5	0.2	31.6	32.3	0.1	31.5	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.0
District of Columbia	7,522	-	-	0.1	43.5	51.0	0.7	43.4	9.2	0.1	0.6	16.8	19.7
Florida	197,023	0.0	0.0	0.1	17.3	17.6	0.1	18.9	0.6	0.0		0.9	2.0
Georgia	126,717	0.0	0.0	0.2	17.6	18.1	1.2	18.4	2.3	0.5		3.7	3.4
Hawaii	17,038	-	0.0	0.1	8.9	9.1	0.1	9.2	0.7	0.0		3.8	4.4
Idaho	19,872	-	0.0	0.2	8.3	11.5	0.4	11.1	2.3	0.1	0.2	1.5	2.0
Illinois	182,068	0.0	0.0	0.1	14.4	15.8	0.1	15.9	1.0	0.1	0.2	2.1	2.5
Indiana	86,031	0.0	0.1	0.2	13.3	13.4	0.4	13.6	0.9	0.2	0.1	1.3	2.6
Iowa	37,558	0.0	0.0	0.4	11.9	13.7	1.0	14.6	1.5	0.1	0.1	1.1	3.2
Kansas	38,782	_	0.0	0.1	10.5	10.7 22.2	0.9	11.9	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.6 1.0	0.8 1.2
Kentucky	54,403	0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0	19.5		0.1	23.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	
Louisiana Maine	67,136 13,616	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.3 9.6	21.5 14.1	0.6 5.1	21.8 18.1	0.0 0.8	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6 0.5
Maryland	71,967	0.0	0.0	0.6	7.7	9.2	0.4	6.6	1.9	0.3		3.8	6.6
Massachusetts	80,939	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.6	0.4	6.8	0.4	0.4	0.3	1.2	0.5
Michigan	133,607	0.0	0.1	0.1	15.4	17.6	5.9	22.2	1.7	0.4	0.3	4.1	5.6
Minnesota	65,970	-	-	0.1	8.5	10.9	5.2	15.3	2.2	0.4	0.9	6.4	5.7
Mississippi	42,684	0.0	0.0	0.1	23.1	22.9	0.1	23.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.6	1.7
Missouri	75,432	_	-	0.2	17.9	18.5	0.1	18.3	0.7	0.4	0.1	1.8	2.8
Montana	10,785	0.0	0.1	0.0	9.4	10.5	1.4	11.5	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.3
Nebraska	23,907	-	0.0	0.0	11.8	12.8	2.4	13.9	0.1	-	0.0	0.3	0.6
Nevada	29,362	0.0	0.0	1.0	20.8	21.7	1.4	20.3	3.5	1.1	1.0	8.4	10.9
New Hampshire	14,041	-	-	0.0	6.3	8.8	3.9	13.2	1.1	2.4	0.3	1.5	1.6
New Jersey	114,105	0.0	0.0	0.2	9.0	11.3	0.4	9.4	2.6	0.1	0.1	5.4	6.8
New Mexico	27,191	-	-	2.7	28.6	28.0	0.0	28.0	4.5	0.5	0.5	7.3	5.8
New York	255,612	0.1	0.0	0.4	14.9	15.2	4.9	18.8	1.9	0.1	0.4	9.6	6.7
North Carolina	113,795	-	0.0	0.0	16.8	16.8	0.0	16.8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.7
North Dakota	7,639	0.0	0.0	-	8.4	9.0	3.4	12.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4
Ohio	152,584	0.0	0.0	2.0	15.1	17.0	0.4	12.3	0.7	0.3	0.1	1.2	2.4
Oklahoma	49,010	-	0.1	0.1	17.8	19.2	2.0	19.3	1.8	1.5		11.2	13.9
Oregon	45,204	-	-	0.2	11.3	5.1	0.8	6.0	1.8	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.7
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	145,347 12,366	0.0	0.0	0.9	5.5 13.6	4.2 14.4	0.5 13.8	3.6 24.2	2.7 2.9	0.5	0.3	3.8 4.9	6.0
South Carolina	54,948	0.0	0.0	0.5 0.3	28.0	28.0	0.1	28.0	4.5	1.6 0.1	0.2	1.5	5.6 1.7
South Dakota	10,524	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.7	12.8	0.1	13.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3
Tennessee	77,803	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.8	16.0		16.1	0.2	0.0		1.4	1.1
Texas	349,245	0.0	0.0	0.4	15.2	15.3		15.3	1.7	1.3		2.1	5.8
Utah	46,290	0.0	0.0	0.2	8.7	9.6		9.0	1.0	0.4		4.9	5.6
Vermont	6,567	-	-	0.1	9.1	14.8	2.2	16.1	2.6	0.5		4.1	2.0
Virginia	95,469	_	0.1	0.1	17.8	19.1	0.2	17.8	0.7	0.0		0.3	0.5
Washington	79,586	0.0	0.2	0.4	10.0	13.8		15.1	10.1	3.7		9.5	13.8
West Virginia	20,728	0.2	0.0	0.1	12.6	13.4	0.2	13.4	0.8	0.1	0.4	4.5	3.3
Wisconsin	68,208	-	0.0	0.1	28.8	28.8	0.0	28.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
Wyoming	6,129	-	-	0.0	14.2	14.8	0.0	14.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.1
Puerto Rico	59,563	_	0.1	_	3.0	3.8			0.4	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.1
Virgin Islands	1,671	-	0.1	-	24.8	26.5	4.4	27.5	2.6	0.6		0.7	2.9
Guam	4,021	0.0	1.0	0.4	22.9	23.9	1.2	25.4	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.9
American Samoa	1,736	0.1	-	36.6	35.5	35.8				-			
Commonwealth of the													
Northern Marianas Islands	1,381	0.1	0.2	0.1	6.4	8.5			15.1	14.4	12.5	15.5	13.3

Table A. Percent of Birth Records on Which Specified Items Were Not Stated: United States and Each State and territory, 1999

[Page 2 of 2]
[By place of residence]

	[By place of res	idence]										
Area	All births	Birth Weight	5-minute Apgar	Medical Risk	Tobacco Use	Alcohol Use	Weight Gain	Obstetric Procedures	Complications of Labor and/or	Method of	Abnormal Conditions	Congenital Anomalies
Total of reporting areas 1/	3,959,417	0.1	Score 0.5	Factors	1.4	1.7	8.4	1.1	Delivery 1.3	Delivery 0.8	of Newborn	1.9
Alabama	62,122	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	
Alaska	9,950	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.8	2.5	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.9
Arizona Arkansas	81,145 36,729	0.1 0.1	0.4 3.4	0.0 0.2	1.3 0.4	1.5 0.5	11.9 7.5	0.0	0.0 0.2	0.3 0.5	0.0	
California	518,508	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.4	0.5	7.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	1
Colorado	62,167	0.0		0.0	0.1	0.1	2.9	-	-	-	-	0.1
Connecticut	43,310	0.0	1.5	9.8	5.7	6.0	18.4	9.3	10.2	0.9	15.9	1
Delaware	10,676	0.0		0.0	0.3	0.3	1.6	0.0	0.0	-	0.1	0.0
District of Columbia	7,522	0.2	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.5	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Florida	197,023	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
Georgia	126,717	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
Hawaii	17,038	0.9	1.2	19.6	0.1	0.1	12.5	11.8	10.1	0.5	21.5	23.3
Idaho	19,872	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.6	7.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5	
Illinois	182,068	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.1	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	
Indiana	86,031	0.4	0.4	0.2	3/ 0.3	0.3	2.8	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.7
Iowa	37,558	0.0	0.3	0.1	2.2	2.6	6.7	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.1
Kansas	38,782	0.0		4/ 0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6		0.4	1.2	0.4	
Kentucky	54,403 67,136	0.1	0.4	5.3 0.1	3.8 0.1	4.4 0.1	8.5 5.9	4.0 0.1	6.1 0.1	4.2 0.1	13.0	11.9 0.1
Louisiana Maine	13,616	0.0	0.3	0.1	1.7	2.1	1.7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Maryland	71,967	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.6	6.6	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Massachusetts	80,939	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.3	1.3
Michigan	133,607	0.3	0.5	0.1	2.1	2.1	9.6	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.3
Minnesota	65,970	0.1	0.6	7.2	6.6	6.7	18.2	5.8	6.7	3.3	7.5	
Mississippi	42,684	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	5.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
Missouri	75,432	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.4	2.9	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.1
Montana	10,785	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.9	1.4	1.7	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2
Nebraska	23,907	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0
Nevada	29,362	0.1	1.4	9.4	2.0	2.2	11.8	1.2	6.4	0.8	11.2	
New Hampshire	14,041	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.3	4.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
New Jersey	114,105	0.1	0.3	1.2	0.6	0.8	7.2	0.1	0.8	0.4	24.8	1.7
New Mexico	27,191	0.3	3.3	0.1	2.4	2.4	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2	
New York	255,612	0.1	0.2	1.3	3/ 0.2	0.2	9.7	0.2	0.4	0.3	7/ 0.9	I I
North Carolina	113,795	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.2	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	I I
North Dakota Ohio	7,639 152,584	0.1 0.1	0.3 0.2	0.4 0.1	0.7 0.4	1.2 0.4	1.6 2.8	0.3	0.3 0.1	1.3 0.6	0.6	0.5 0.1
Oklahoma	49,010	0.6	6.1	37.9	28.7	29.0	37.9	33.9	37.0	28.9	40.9	I I
Oregon	45,204	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.9	1.0	3.3	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
Pennsylvania	145,347	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.7	9.3	0.0	0.0		0.6	
Rhode Island	12,366	0.5	0.4	6.8	2.8	2.9	12.3	6.5	6.6		14.7	14.7
South Carolina	54,948	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.2	2.6	0.0	0.0		0.0	
South Dakota	10,524	0.0	0.4	0.1			1.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Tennessee	77,803	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	5.5	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.1
Texas	349,245	0.1		5/ 1.4	1.4	1.4	18.5	0.0	8/ 0.0	0.9	6/ 0.3	0.4
Utah	46,290	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.4	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
Vermont	6,567	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2
Virginia	95,469	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.5	
Washington	79,586	1.2	0.9		6.5	16.1	26.1	12.8			20.3	
West Virginia	20,728	0.1	0.3	1.0	1.5	3.2	8.9	0.2	1.3	0.4	2.6	I I
Wisconsin	68,208	0.0			0.1	0.1	1.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	9/ 0.1	0.1
Wyoming	6,129	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.1	1.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
Puerto Rico	59,563	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
Virgin Islands	1,671	0.2	3.3		1.7	1.8	7.8	2.0			8.0	
Guam	4,021	0.2	1.6	2.8	0.8	0.9	5.1	1.1	3.5	0.6	2.9	2.8
American Samoa	1,736	-										
Commonwealth of the	1 201	50	10.4		10/ 16 0	10/ 16 1				9.8	]	
Northern Marianas Islands	1,381	5.8	10.4		10/ 16.0	10/ 16.1				9.8		

 $<sup>0.0 \ \</sup>mathrm{Quantity}$  more than zero but less than 0.05.

<sup>0.0</sup> Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05.

--Data not available.
--Quantity zero.

1/ Excludes data for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas.
2/ California reports date last normal menses began but does not report clinical estimate of gestation.

- 3/ Indiana and New York State report tobacco use but do not report the average number of cigarettes smoked smoked per day in standard categories; data for New York City are reported in standard categories. 4/ Kansas does not report Rh sensitization.

- 44 Kaisas does not report Ristributation.
  57 Texas does not report genital herpes and uterine bleeding.
  67 Nebraska and Texas do not report birth injury.
  77 New York city does not report assisted ventilation less than 30 minutes and assisted ventilation of 30 minutes or more.
  87 Texas does not report anesthetic complications and fetal distress.
- 9/ Wisconsin does not report fetal alcohol syndrome.
- 10/ The Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas reports tobacco and alcohol use, but does not report the average number of cigarettes smoked per day or the average number of drinks per day.

Table B. Births by State of Occurrence and Residence for Births Occurring in the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 1999

Area	Occurrence	Residence
United States	3,963,465	3,959,417
Alabama	61,337	62,122
Alaska	9,843	9,950
Arizona	81,208	81,145
Arkansas	35,629	36,729
California	519,102	518,508
Colorado	62,387	62,167
Connecticut	43,253	43,310
Delaware District of Columbia	11,306	10,676
Florida	14,655 197,153	7,522 197,023
Georgia	127,581	126,717
Hawaii	17,096	17,038
Idaho	19,413	19,872
Illinois	179,094	182,068
Indiana	86,211	86,031
Iowa Kansas	37,701 38,231	37,558
Kentucky	38,231 52,829	38,782 54,403
Louisiana	67,419	67,136
Maine	13,393	13,616
Maryland	67,605	71,967
Massachusetts	81,767	80,939
Michigan	132,307	133,607
Minnesota	65,787	65,970
Mississippi	41,747	42,684
Missouri	77,371	75,432
Montana	10,747	10,785
Nebraska Nevada	24,210 28,892	23,907 29,362
New Hampshire	13,684	14,041
New Jersey	110,992	114,105
New Mexico	26,870	27,191
New York State only	133,425	136,273
New York City only	123,713	119,339
North Carolina	114,885	113,795
North Dakota	8,879	7,639
Ohio Oklahoma	153,257 47,908	152,584 49,010
Oregon	46,106	45,204
Pennsylvania	145,882	145,347
Rhode Island	13,223	12,366
South Carolina	52,594	54,948
South Dakota	10,673	10,524
Tennessee Texas	82,963 352,970	77,803 349,245
Utah	47,261	46,290
Vermont	6,220	6,567
Virginia	93,293	95,469
Washington	79,062	79,586
West Virginia	21,376	20,728
Wisconsin Wyoming	67,192 5,763	68,208 6,129
Occurrence in U.S. Territories or Foreign Countries	_	4,048
	1	
Puerto Rico	-	19
Virgin Islands	-	19
Guam American Samoa	· ·	4
Northern Marianas		
Canada	-	175
Cuba		
Oubu		

- Quantity zero.

Table C. Lower and upper 95 percent and 96 percent confidence limit factors for a birth rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 births, B

	1			
В	L(1- a=.95, <i>B</i> )	U(1- a = .95,B)	L(1-a=.96,B)	U(1-a=.96,B)
1	0.02532	5.57164	0.02020	5.83392
2	0.12110	3.61234	0.10735	3.75830
3	0.20622	2.92242	0.18907	3.02804
4	0.27247	2.56040	0.25406	2.64510
5	0.32470	2.33367	0.30591	2.40540
6	0.36698	2.17658	0.34819	2.23940
7	0.40205	2.06038	0.38344	2.11666
8	0.43173	1.97040	0.41339	2.02164
9	0.45726	1.89831	0.43923	1.94553
10	0.47954	1.83904	0.46183	1.88297
11	0.49920	1.78928	0.48182	1.83047
12	0.51671	1.74680	0.49966	1.78566
13	0.53246	1.71003	0.51571	1.74688
14	0.54671	1.67783	0.53027	1.71292
15	0.55969	1.64935	0.54354	1.68289
16	0.57159	1.62394	0.55571	1.65610
17	0.58254	1.60110	0.56692	1.63203
18	0.59266	1.58043	0.57730	1.61024
19	0.60207	1.56162	0.58695	1.59042
20	0.61083	1.54442	0.59594	1.57230
21	0.61902	1.52861	0.60435	1.55563
22	0.62669	1.51401	0.61224	1.54026
23	0.63391	1.50049	0.61966	1.52602
24	0.64072	1.48792	0.62666	1.51278
25	0.64715	1.47620	0.63328	1.50043
26	0.65323	1.46523	0.63954	1.48888

Table C. Lower and upper 95 percent and 96 percent confidence limit factors for a birth rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 births, B

В	L(1- a=.95, <i>B</i> )	U(1- a =.95, <i>B</i> )	L(1- a =.96,B)	U(1- a =.96, <i>B</i> )	
27	0.65901	1.45495	0.64549	1.47805	
28	0.66449	1.44528	0.65114	1.46787	
29	0.66972	1.43617	0.65652	1.45827	
30	0.67470	1.42756	0.66166	1.44922	
31	0.67945	1.41942	0.66656	1.44064	
32	0.68400	1.41170	0.67125	1.43252	
33	0.68835	1.40437	0.67575	1.42480	
34	0.69253	1.39740	0.68005	1.41746	
35	0.69654	1.39076	0.68419	1.41047	
36	0.70039	1.38442	0.68817	1.40380	
37	0.70409	1.37837	0.69199	1.39743	
38	0.70766	1.37258	0.69568	1.39134	
39	0.71110	1.36703	0.69923	1.38550	
40	0.71441	1.36172	0.70266	1.37991	
41	0.71762	1.35661	0.70597	1.37454	
42	0.72071	1.35171	0.70917	1.36938	
43	0.72370	1.34699	0.71227	1.36442	
44	0.72660	1.34245	0.71526	1.35964	
45	0.72941	1.33808	0.71816	1.35504	
46	0.73213	1.33386	0.72098	1.35060	
47	0.73476	1.32979	0.72370	1.34632	
48	0.73732	1.32585	0.72635	1.34218	
49	0.73981	1.32205	0.72892	1.33818	
50	0.74222	1.31838	0.73142	1.33431	
51	0.74457	1.31482	0.73385	1.33057	
52	0.74685	1.31137	0.73621	1.32694	

Table C. Lower and upper 95 percent and 96 percent confidence limit factors for a birth rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 births, B

В	L(1- a=.95,B)	U(1- a = .95,B)	L(1- a =.96,B)	U(1-a=.96,B)	
53	0.74907	1.30802	0.73851	1.32342	
54	0.75123	1.30478	0.74075	1.32002	
55	0.75334	1.30164	0.74293	1.31671	
56	0.75539	1.29858	0.74506	1.31349	
57	0.75739	1.29562	0.74713	1.31037	
58	0.75934	1.29273	0.74916	1.30734	
59	0.76125	1.28993	0.75113	1.30439	
60	0.76311	1.28720	0.75306	1.30152	
61	0.76492	1.28454	0.75494	1.29873	
62	0.76669	1.28195	0.75678	1.29601	
63	0.76843	1.27943	0.75857	1.29336	
64	0.77012	1.27698	0.76033	1.29077	
65	0.77178	1.27458	0.76205	1.28826	
66	0.77340	1.27225	0.76373	1.28580	
67	0.77499	1.26996	0.76537	1.28340	
68	0.77654	1.26774	0.76698	1.28106	
69	0.77806	1.26556	0.76856	1.27877	
70	0.77955	1.26344	0.77011	1.27654	
71	0.78101	1.26136	0.77162	1.27436	
72	0.78244	1.25933	0.77310	1.27223	
73	0.78384	1.25735	0.77456	1.27014	
74	0.78522	1.25541	0.77598	1.26810	
75	0.78656	1.25351	0.77738	1.26610	
76	0.78789	1.25165	0.77876	1.26415	
77	0.78918	1.24983	0.78010	1.26223	
78	0.79046	1.24805	0.78143	1.26036	

Table C. Lower and upper 95 percent and 96 percent confidence limit factors for a birth rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 births, B

В	L(1- a=.95, <i>B</i> )	U(1-a=.95,B)	L(1- a =.96, <i>B</i> )	U(1-a=.96,B)
79	0.79171	1.24630	0.78272	1.25852
80	0.79294	1.24459	0.78400	1.25672
81	0.79414	1.24291	0.78525	1.25496
82	0.79533	1.24126	0.78648	1.25323
83	0.79649	1.23965	0.78769	1.25153
84	0.79764	1.23807	0.78888	1.24987
85	0.79876	1.23652	0.79005	1.24824
86	0.79987	1.23499	0.79120	1.24664
87	0.80096	1.23350	0.79233	1.24507
88	0.80203	1.23203	0.79344	1.24352
89	0.80308	1.23059	0.79453	1.24201
90	0.80412	1.22917	0.79561	1.24052
91	0.80514	1.22778	0.79667	1.23906
92	0.80614	1.22641	0.79771	1.23762
93	0.80713	1.22507	0.79874	1.23621
94	0.80810	1.22375	0.79975	1.23482
95	0.80906	1.22245	0.80074	1.23345
96	0.81000	1.22117	0.80172	1.23211
97	0.81093	1.21992	0.80269	1.23079
98	0.81185	1.21868	0.80364	1.22949
99	0.81275	1.21746	0.80458	1.22822

Table D. Sources for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-1999.

Year	Source
1999	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1999. Washington: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Internet release, Jan. 2, 2001.
1998	Http://www.census.gov/population/estimates/nation/intfile3-1.txt. U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1998. Washington: U.S. Bureau of the Census. Internet release, June 4, 1999.
1997	Http://www.census.gov/population/www/estimates/uspop.html. U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1997. PPL-91R. Rounded populations consistent with U.S. Bureau of the Census file NESTV97. Washington:U.S. Department of Commerce. 1998.
1996	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1996. PPL-57. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1997.
1995	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1995. Census file RESD0795, PPL-41. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1996.
1994	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1994. PPL-21. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.
1993	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1993. Census file RESO793. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.
1992	U.S. Bureau of the Census, United States population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1992. Census file RESPO792. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1994.
1991	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Unpublished data consistant with Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095, Feb. 1993.
1990	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Unpublished data from the 1990 census. 1990 CPH-L-74 and unpublished data consistent with
	Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095, Feb. 1993.
1989	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1057, Mar. 1990.
1988 1986-87	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1045, Jan. 1990.
1985	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1022, Mar. 1988.
1984	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1000, Feb. 1987. U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 985, Apr. 1986.
1983	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 965, Mar. 1986.  U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 965, Mar. 1985.
1982	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 949, May 1984.
1981	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 949, May 1984.
1980	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1980, Number of Inhabitants, PC80-1-A1, United States Summary,
1971-79	1983. U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 917, July 1982.
1970	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1970, Number of Inhabitants, Final Report PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1971.
1961-69	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 519, April 1974.
1960	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, Number of Inhabitants, PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1964.
1951-59	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 310, June 30, 1965.
1940-50	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973.
1930-39	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973, and National Office of Vital
	Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900-1940, 1947.
1920-29	National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900-1940, 1947.
1917-19	Same as for 1930-39.
1900-1916	Same as for 1920-29.

Table E. Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990

		Total			White		Black				
Age	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female		
All ages	0.9815	0.9721	0.9906	0.9802	0.9728	0.9873	0.9432	0.9151	0.9699		
10-14	0.9882	0.9891	0.9873	0.9830	0.9841	0.9818	0.9591	0.9586	0.9595		
15-19	1.0166	1.0198	1.0133	1.0094	1.0128	1.0059	0.9988	1.0016	0.9959		
20-24	1.0002	0.9987	1.0017	0.9975	0.9985	0.9966	0.9593	0.9432	0.9753		
25-29	0.9591	0.9439	0.9748	0.9558	0.9441	0.9681	0.9123	0.8732	0.9510		
30-34	0.9687	0.9487	0.9892	0.9669	0.9518	0.9828	0.9129	0.8599	0.9651		
35-39	0.9790	0.9628	0.9954	0.9764	0.9643	0.9888	0.9303	0.8808	0.9778		
40-44	0.9901	0.9758	1.0044	0.9875	0.9764	0.9988	0.9410	0.8943	0.9850		
45-49	0.9775	0.9633	0.9916	0.9762	0.9648	0.9877	0.9302	0.8807	0.9762		
50-54		0.9623			0.9651			0.8802			
55 years and over		0.9758			0.9783			0.9294			
15-44			0.9954			0.9890			0.9739		
15-54		0.9710			0.9710			0.9046			

<sup>...</sup> Category not applicable.

Table 4-1. Population of Birth- and Death-Registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-1999

[Population enumerated as of April 1 for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years]

			[F Opulation e	numerated as of					
		ed States/1		United 9	States/1	Birth-registr	ation States	Death-regist	ration States
	Population			Population					
Year	including	Population	Year	including	Population	Number	Population	Number	Population
	Armed Forces	residing		Armed Forces	residing	of	residing	of	residing
	abroad	in area		abroad	in area	States/2	in area	States/2	in area
1999	272,945,300	272,690,813	1949	149,188,000	148,665,000				
1998	270,509,187	270,298,524	1948	146,631,000	146,093,000				
1997	267,901,000	267,636,061	1947	144,126,000	143,446,000				
1996	265,556,890	265,283,783	1946	141,389,000	140,054,000				
1995	263,033,968	262,755,270	1945	139,928,000	132,481,000				
1994	260,650,690	260,340,990	1944	138,397,000	132,885,000				
1993	258,119,768	257,783,004	1943	136,739,000	134,245,000				
1992	255,457,501	255,077,536	1942	134,860,000	133,920,000				
1991	252,688,000	252,177,000	1941	133,402,000	133,121,000				
1990	249,225,000	248,709,873	1940	131,820,000	131,669,275				
1989	247,342,000	246,819,000	1939	131,028,000	130,879,718				
1988	245,021,000	244,499,000	1938	129,969,000	129,824,939				
1987	242,804,000	242,289,000	1937	128,961,000	128,824,829				
1986	240,651,000	240,133,000	1936	128,181,000	128,053,180				
1985	238,466,000	237,924,000	1935	127,362,000	127,250,232				
1984	236,348,000	235,825,000	1934	126,485,000	126,373,773				
1983	234,307,000	233,792,000	1933	125,690,000	125,578,763				
1982	232,188,000	231,664,000	1932	124,949,000	124,840,471	47	118,903,899	47	118,903,899
1981	229,966,000	229,466,000	1931	124,149,000	124,039,648	46	117,455,229	47	118,148,987
1980	227,061,000	226,545,805	1930	123,188,000	123,076,741	46	116,544,946	47	117,238,278
1979	225,055,000	224,567,000	1929		121,769,939	46	115,317,450	46	115,317,450
1978	222,585,000	222,095,000	1928		120,501,115	44	113,636,160	44	113,636,160
1977	220,239,000	219,760,000	1927		119,038,062	40	104,320,830	42	107,084,532
1976	218,035,000	217,563,000	1926		117,399,225	35	90,400,590	41	103,822,683
1975	215,973,000	215,465,000	1925		115,831,963	33	88,294,564	40	102,031,555
1974	213,854,000	213,342,000	1923		114,113,463	33	87,000,295	39	99,318,098
1973	213,854,000	213,342,000	1924		111,949,945	30	81,072,123	38	96,788,197
						30		37	
1972 1971	209,896,000	209,284,000	1922 1921		110,054,778	27	79,560,746	34	92,702,901
	207,661,000	206,827,000			108,541,489		70,807,090		87,814,447
1970	204,270,000	203,211,926	1920		106,466,420	23	63,597,307	34 33	86,079,263
1969	202,677,000	201,385,000	1919	105,063,000	104,512,110	22	61,212,076	33	83,157,982
1968	200,706,000	199,399,000	1918	104,550,000	103,202,801	20	55,153,782		79,008,412
1967	198,712,000	197,457,000	1917	103,414,000	103,265,913	20	55,197,952	27	70,234,775
1966	196,560,000	195,576,000	1916		101,965,984	11	32,944,013	26	66,971,177
1965	194,303,000	193,526,000	1915		100,549,013	10	31,096,697	24	61,894,847
1964	191,889,000	191,141,000	1914		99,117,567			24	60,963,309
1963	189,242,000	188,483,000	1913		97,226,814			23	58,156,740
1962	186,538,000	185,771,000	1912		95,331,300			22	54,847,700
1961	183,691,000	182,992,000	1911		93,867,814			22	53,929,644
1960	179,933,000	179,323,175	1910		92,406,536			20	47,470,437
1959	177,264,000	176,513,000	1909		90,491,525			18	44,223,513
1958	174,141,000	173,320,000	1908		88,708,976			17	38,634,759
1957	171,274,000	170,371,000	1907		87,000,271			15	34,552,837
1956	168,221,000	167,306,000	1906		85,436,556			15	33,782,288
1955	165,275,000	164,308,000	1905		83,819,666			10	21,767,980
1954	162,391,000	161,164,000	1904		82,164,974			10	21,332,076
1953	159,565,000	158,242,000	1903		80,632,152			10	20,943,222
1952	156,954,000	155,687,000	1902		79,160,196			10	20,582,907
1951	154,287,000	153,310,000	1901		77,585,128			10	20,237,453
1950	151,132,000	150,697,361	1900		76,094,134			10	19,965,446
Category not	annliaabla								

<sup>...</sup> Category not applicable.

<sup>- - -</sup> Data not available.

<sup>1/</sup>Alaska included beginning 1959 and Hawaii, 1960.

<sup>2/</sup>The District of Columbia is not included in "Number of States," but it is represented in all data shown for each year.

Table 4-2. Estimated Population of the United States, by Age, Race, and Sex: July 1, 1999
[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in the United States but exclude those stationed outside the United States.]

		All races	3		White			Black			American	Indian	Asian	and Pacific I	slander
Age	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female
All ages	272,690,813	133,276,559	139,414,254	224,610,797	110,336,291	114,274,506	34,862,169	16,557,186	18,304,983	2,397,426	1,186,745	1,210,681	10,820,421	5,196,337	5,624,084
Under 1	3,819,903	1,952,133	1,867,770	3,027,180	1,549,389	1,477,791	568,772	289,078	279,694	42,542	21,442	21,100	181,409	92,224	89,185
1-4 years	15,122,239	7,730,542	7,391,697	12,015,456	6,155,680	5,859,776	2,226,888	1,129,687	1,097,201	159,576	80,755	78,821	720,319	364,420	355,899
5-9 years	19,946,746	10,207,957	9,738,789	15,706,268	8,047,451	7,658,817	3,145,614	1,597,522	1,548,092	219,430	111,364	108,066	875,434	451,620	423,814
10-14 years	19,548,484	10,011,707	9,536,777	15,388,526	7,892,905	7,495,621	3,087,258	1,569,095	1,518,163	248,536	126,289	122,247	824,164	423,418	400,746
15-19 years	19,747,923	10,150,997	9,596,926	15,647,637	8,069,271	7,578,366	3,043,767	1,548,256	1,495,511	234,657	117,925	116,732	821,862	415,545	406,317
15-17 years	11,762,063	6,058,282	5,703,781	9,304,359	4,803,475	4,500,884	1,807,421	924,663	882,758	145,820	73,686	72,134	504,463	256,458	248,005
18-19 years	7,985,860	4,092,715	3,893,145	6,343,278	3,265,796	3,077,482	1,236,346	623,593	612,753	88,837	44,239	44,598	317,399	159,087	158,312
20-24 years	18,025,589	9,183,052	8,842,537	14,367,068	7,371,872	6,995,196	2,696,655	1,333,366	1,363,289	194,322	97,858	96,464	767,544	379,956	387,588
25-29 years	18,209,100	9,055,292	9,153,808	14,504,772	7,289,220	7,215,552	2,611,248	1,248,879	1,362,369	193,241	99,069	94,172	899,839	418,124	481,715
30-34 years	19,726,712	9,770,996	9,955,716	15,926,621	7,984,101	7,942,520	2,675,415	1,256,405	1,419,010	180,806	92,200	88,606	943,870	438,290	505,580
35-39 years	22,544,607	11,215,732	11,328,875	18,503,500	9,302,148	9,201,352	2,901,808	1,364,864	1,536,944	185,829	93,253	92,576	953,470	455,467	498,003
40-44 years	22,268,042	11,038,584	11,229,458	18,443,045	9,238,092	9,204,953	2,750,550	1,288,831	1,461,719	172,940	84,866	88,074	901,507	426,795	474,712
45-49 years	19,356,220	9,500,663	9,855,557	16,205,941	8,047,476	8,158,465	2,239,697	1,025,799	1,213,898	143,280	69,542	73,738	767,302	357,846	409,456
50-54 years	16,446,138	7,998,425	8,447,713	14,043,588	6,906,744	7,136,844	1,688,828	757,911	930,917	112,728	54,150	58,578	600,994	279,620	321,374
55-59 years	12,875,299	6,182,625	6,692,674	11,077,469	5,379,073	5,698,396	1,289,244	564,183	725,061	83,514	39,471	44,043	425,072	199,898	225,174
60-64 years	10,513,786	4,967,782	5,546,004	9,056,192	4,331,042	4,725,150	1,055,855	450,465	605,390	64,599	30,129	34,470	337,140	156,146	180,994
65-69 years	9,447,220	4,336,705	5,110,515	8,188,753	3,797,077	4,391,676	935,175	400,069	535,106	50,054	22,580	27,474	273,238	116,979	156,259
70-74 years	8,771,028	3,861,991	4,909,037	7,769,876	3,446,700	4,323,176	743,318	307,454	435,864	40,457	18,176	22,281	217,377	89,661	127,716
75-79 years	7,329,496	3,057,003	4,272,493	6,584,585	2,759,812	3,824,773	557,747	217,526	340,221	31,397	13,468	17,929	155,767	66,197	89,570
80-84 years	4,817,199	1,814,131	3,003,068	4,381,055	1,654,360	2,726,695	331,333	115,771	215,562	19,137	7,744	11,393	85,674	36,256	49,418
85 years +	4,175,082	1,240,242	2,934,840	3,773,265	1,113,878	2,659,387	312,997	92,025	220,972	20,381	6,464	13,917	68,439	27,875	40,564

SOURCE:Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

Table 4-3. Estimated Total Population and Female Population Aged 15-44 Years: United States, Each Division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and Northern Marianas: July 1, 1999

Division and State	Total	Female 15-44 years
United States	272,690,813	60,107,320
New England Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut	13,495,933 1,253,040 1,201,134 593,740 6,175,169 990,819 3,282,031	2,985,434 275,886 276,157 133,527 1,383,500 216,350 700,014
Middle Atlantic New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	38,334,029 18,196,601 8,143,412 11,994,016	8,324,400 4,021,959 1,768,142 2,534,299
East North Central Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	44,442,146 11,256,654 5,942,901 12,128,370 9,863,775 5,250,446	9,830,575 2,485,661 1,318,926 2,675,538 2,201,144 1,149,306
West North Central Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	18,800,138 4,775,508 2,869,413 5,468,338 633,666 733,133 1,666,028 2,654,052	4,077,775 1,054,543 603,102 1,197,857 133,290 155,395 358,971 574,617
South Atlantic Delaware Maryland District of Columbia Virginia West Virginia North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida	49,560,021 753,538 5,171,634 519,000 6,872,912 1,806,928 7,650,789 3,885,736 7,788,240 15,111,244	10,906,909 173,146 1,191,034 125,336 1,601,592 379,123 1,684,358 884,147 1,841,921 3,026,252
East South Central Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	16,582,841 3,960,825 5,483,535 4,369,862 2,768,619	3,720,245 884,631 1,225,260 981,570 628,784
West South Central Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	30,325,593 2,551,373 4,372,035 3,358,044 20,044,141	6,744,879 542,905 991,196 711,212 4,499,566
Mountain Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	17,127,479 882,779 1,251,700 479,602 4,056,133 1,739,844 4,778,332 2,129,836 1,809,253	3,693,701 180,369 271,323 100,851 891,205 376,584 1,001,135 497,103 375,131
Pacific Washington Oregon California Alaska Hawaii	44,022,633 5,756,361 3,316,154 33,145,121 619,500 1,185,497	9,823,402 1,281,159 697,905 7,462,555 133,877 247,906
Puerto Rico Virgin Islands Guam American Samoa Northern Marianas	3,889,507 119,615 151,968 63,781 69,216	911,825 25,990 31,111 13,873 23,435

Table 4-4. Estimated Total Population and Female Population Aged 15-44 Years: United States,
Each Division, State, and Territory: July 1, 1999
[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area and exclude those stationed outside the United States.]

	<b>T</b>	Female		<b>.</b>	Female
Area	Total	15-44 years	Area	Total	15-44 years
United States	272,690,813	60,107,320			
			South Atlantic	49,560,021	10,906,909
Geographic divisions:			Delaware	753,538	173,146
			Maryland	5,171,634	1,191,034
New England	13,495,933	2,985,434	District of Columbia	519,000	125,336
Middle Atlantic	38,334,029	8,324,400	Virginia	6,872,912	1,601,592
East North Central	44,442,146	9,830,575	West Virginia	1,806,928	379,123
West North Central	18,800,138	4,077,775	North Carolina	7,650,789	1,684,358
South Atlantic	49,560,021	10,906,909	South Carolina	3,885,736	884,147
East South Central	16,582,841	3,720,245	Georgia	7,788,240	1,841,921
West South Central	30,325,593	6,744,879	Florida	15,111,244	3,026,252
Mountain	17,127,479	3,693,701			
Pacific	44,022,633		East South Central	16,582,841	3,720,245
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,,	Kentucky	3,960,825	884,631
New England	13,495,933	2,985,434	Tennessee	5,483,535	1,225,260
Maine	1,253,040	275,886	Alabama	4,369,862	981,570
New Hampshire	1,201,134	276,157	Mississippi	2,768,619	628,784
Vermont	593,740	133,527		_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	020,.0.
Massachusetts	6,175,169	1,383,500	West South Central	30,325,593	6,744,879
Rhode Island	990,819	216,350	Arkansas	2,551,373	542,905
Connecticut	3,282,031	700,014	Louisiana	4,372,035	991,196
Connecticut	0,202,001	700,014	Oklahoma	3,358,044	711,212
Middle Atlantic	38,334,029	8,324,400	Texas	20,044,141	4,499,566
New York	18,196,601	4,021,959	Toxas	20,011,111	1, 100,000
New Jersey	8,143,412	1,768,142	Mountain	17,127,479	3,693,701
Pennsylvania	11,994,016	2,534,299	Montana	882,779	180,369
i ciiiisyivailia	11,554,010	2,004,200	Idaho	1,251,700	271.323
East North Central	44,442,146	9,830,575	Wyoming	479,602	100,851
Ohio	11,256,654	2,485,661	Colorado	4,056,133	891,205
Indiana	5,942,901	1,318,926	New Mexico	1,739,844	376,584
Illinois	12,128,370	2,675,538	Arizona	4,778,332	1,001,135
Michigan	9,863,775	2,201,144	Utah	2,129,836	497,103
Wisconsin	5,250,446	1,149,306	Nevada	1,809,253	375,131
VVISCOTISITI	3,230,440	1,149,300	Nevaua	1,009,233	373,131
West North Central	18,800,138	4,077,775	Pacific	44,022,633	9,823,402
Minnesota	4,775,508	1,054,543	Washington	5,756,361	1,281,159
lowa	2,869,413	603,102	Oregon	3,316,154	697,905
Missouri	5,468,338	1,197,857	California	33,145,121	7,462,555
North Dakota	' '	133,290	Alaska	619,500	133,877
	633,666	155,290	Hawaii	· ·	247,906
South Dakota	733,133		Паман	1,185,497	247,900
Nebraska	1,666,028	358,971	Tamitaniaa		
Kansas	2,654,052	574,617		2 000 507	044.005
			Puerto Rico	3,889,507	911,825
			Virgin Islands	119,615	25,990
			Guam	151,968	31,111
			American Samoa	63,781	13,873
			Northern Marianas	69,216	23,435

Source: Published and unpublished data from the Bureau of the Census; see text.

# National Vital Statistics Reports





Volume 49, Number 8 September 21, 2001

## **Deaths: Final Data for 1999 (Technical Notes and References)**

Donna L. Hoyert, Ph.D., Elizabeth Arias, Ph.D., Betty L. Smith, B.S. Ed., Sherry L. Murphy, Kenneth D. Kochanek, M.A., Division of Vital Statistics

## **Technical notes**

#### Nature and sources of data

Data in this report are based on information from all death certificates filed in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. The U.S. Standard Certificate of Death—which is used as a model by the States—was last revised in 1989; for additional details see the 1989 revision of the U.S. standard certificates and reports (21) and Technical Appendix of *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1989*, Volume II, Mortality, part A (22). Data for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas are included in tables showing data by State, but are not included in U.S. totals.

Mortality statistics are based on information coded by the States and provided to the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP) and from copies of the original certificates received by NCHS from the State registration offices. In 1999 all the States and the District of Columbia participated in this program and submitted part or all of the mortality data for 1999 in electronic data files to NCHS. All States provided precoded medical (cause-of-death) data to NCHS except Arizona, Illinois, Kentucky, Missouri, New Jersey, Ohio, and West Virginia, New York City, and the District of Columbia. For 1999 all States submitted precoded demographic data for all deaths.

Data for the entire United States refer to events occurring within the United States. Data shown for geographic areas are by place of residence. Beginning with 1970 mortality statistics for the United States exclude deaths of nonresidents of the United States. All data exclude fetal deaths.

Mortality statistics for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, American Samoa, and Northern Marianas exclude deaths of nonresidents of Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, American Samoa, and Northern Marianas, respectively. For Guam, however, mortality statistics exclude deaths that occurred to a resident of any place other than Guam or the United States.

#### Cause-of-death classification

The mortality statistics presented in this report were compiled in accordance with the World Health Organization (WHO) regulations, which specify that member nations classify and code causes of death in accordance with the current revision of the *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems* (ICD). The ICD provides the basic guidance used in virtually all countries to code and classify causes of death. Effective with deaths occurring in 1999, the United States began using the Tenth Revision of this classification, (ICD–10) (6); during the period 1979–98, causes were coded and classified according to the Ninth Revision (ICD–9) (8). For earlier years causes of death were classified according to the revisions then in use—1968–78, Eighth Revision, adapted for use in the United States; 1958–67, Seventh Revision; and 1949–57, Sixth Revision.

Changes in classification of causes of death due to these revisions may result in discontinuities in cause-of-death trends. Discontinuities between the Ninth and Tenth Revisions of the ICD for selected causes of death are measured using comparability ratios from a comparability study described in the section *Comparability between ICD–9 and ICD–10 for mortality*. Comparability ratios between the Eighth and Ninth

Revisions, between the Seventh and Eighth Revisions, and between the Sixth and Seventh Revisions may be found in other NCHS reports (23–25).

The ICD not only details disease classification but also provides definitions, tabulation lists, the format of the death certificate, and the rules for coding cause of death. Cause-of-death data presented in this publication were coded by procedures outlined in annual issues of the NCHS Instruction Manual (26–28). It includes rules for selecting the underlying cause of death for tabulation purposes, definitions, tabulation lists, and regulations on the use of the Classification.

Before data for 1968, mortality medical data were based on manual coding of an underlying cause of death for each certificate in accordance with WHO rules. Effective with data year 1968, NCHS converted to computerized coding of the underlying cause and manual coding of all causes (multiple causes) on the death certificate. In this system, called "Automated Classification of Medical Entities" (ACME) (29), multiple cause codes serve as inputs to the computer software that employs WHO rules to select the underlying cause. All cause-of-death data in this report are coded using ACME.

The ACME system is used to select the underlying cause of death for all death certificates in the United States. In addition, NCHS has developed two computer systems as inputs to ACME. Beginning with 1990 data, the Mortality Medical Indexing, Classification, and Retrieval system (MICAR) (30,31), was introduced to automate coding multiple causes of death. In addition, MICAR provides more detailed information on the conditions reported on death certificates than is available through the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) code structure. Beginning with data year 1993, SuperMICAR, an enhancement of the MICAR system, was introduced. SuperMICAR allows for literal entry of the multiple cause-of-death text as reported by the certifier. This information is then automatically processed by the MICAR and ACME computer systems. Records that cannot be automatically processed by MICAR or SuperMICAR are manually multiple-cause coded and then further processed through ACME.

For 1999 approximately 39 percent of the Nation's death records were multiple-cause coded using SuperMICAR, and 61 percent using MICAR only. This represents data from 27 States that were coded by SuperMICAR and data from 23 States, the District of Columbia, and New York City that were coded by MICAR.

In this report tabulations of cause-of-death statistics are based solely on the underlying cause of death. The underlying cause is defined by WHO as "the disease or injury which initiated the train of morbid events leading directly to death, or the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury" (6). It is selected from the conditions entered by the physician in the cause-of-death section of the death certificate. When more than one cause or condition is entered by the physician, the underlying cause is determined by the sequence of conditions on the certificate, provisions of the ICD, and associated selection rules and modifications. Generally, more medical information is reported on death certificates than is directly reflected in the underlying cause of death. This is captured in NCHS multiple cause-of-death statistics (32–34).

## Tabulation lists and cause-of-death ranking

Tabulation lists for ICD-10 were developed to maximize continuity with ICD-9. This continuity is especially useful in trend analysis and in identifying causes of death that are of public health and

medical importance. The lists are published in the NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 9, ICD-10 Cause-of-Death Lists for Tabulating Mortality Statistics, Effective 1999 (35). For this report two tabulation lists are used, namely, the List of 113 Selected Causes of Death used for deaths of all ages, and the List of 130 Selected Causes of Infant Death used for infants. These lists are also used to rank leading causes of death for the two population groups. For the List of 113 Selected Causes of Death, the group titles Major cardiovascular diseases (ICD-10 codes I00-I78) and Symptoms, signs, and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified (ICD-10 codes R00-R99), are not ranked. In addition, category titles that begin with the words "Other" and "All other" are not ranked to determine the leading causes of death. When one of the titles that represents a subtotal is ranked (for example, Tuberculosis (ICD-10 codes A16-A19)), its component parts are not ranked (in this case, Respiratory tuberculosis (ICD-10 code A16) and Other tuberculosis (ICD-10 codes A17-A19)). For the List of 130 Selected Causes of Infant Death, the same ranking procedures are used, except that the category Major cardiovascular diseases is not in the list.

Cause-of-death titles in ICD-10 differ in some cases from those in ICD-9. A comparison of cause-of-death titles for the 15 leading causes of death between ICD-9 and ICD-10 is shown in table I. For 7 of the 15 leading causes of death the titles between ICD-9 and ICD-10 are the same.

The 10 leading causes of infant death were affected by the introduction of ICD-10 as well. A comparison of cause-of-death titles for the 10 leading causes of infant death between ICD-9 and ICD-10 are shown in table II. For 4 of the 10 leading causes of infant death, the titles between ICD-9 and ICD-10 are the same.

The change in the tabulation lists and coding rules for selecting the underlying cause of death between ICD–9 and ICD–10 has implications for ranking leading causes of death (9). The top five causes of death and causes of infant death did not change in rank; however, changes in rank for causes ranked sixth and lower resulted from using ICD–10 instead of ICD–9.

## Race and Hispanic origin

Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the death certificate. Therefore, data shown by race include persons of Hispanic or non-Hispanic origin, and data for Hispanic origin include persons of any race. In this report, unless otherwise specified, deaths of Hispanic origin are included in the totals for each race group—white, black, American Indian, and Asian or Pacific Islander (API)—according to the decedent's race as reported on the death certificate. Data shown for Hispanic persons include all persons of Hispanic origin of any race.

Mortality data for the Hispanic-origin population are based on deaths to residents of all 50 States and the District of Columbia. Data year 1997 was the first year that mortality data for the Hispanic population were available for the entire United States.

Quality of race and Hispanic origin data—Death rates for Hispanic, American Indian, and API persons should be interpreted with caution because of inconsistencies in reporting Hispanic origin or race on the death certificate as compared with race on censuses, surveys, and birth certificates. Studies have shown underreporting on death certificates of American Indians, API, and Hispanic decedents; and undercounts of these groups in the censuses (14,36).

A number of studies have been conducted on the reliability of race reported on the death certificate by comparing race on the death certificate with that reported on another data collection instrument, such as the census or a survey. Differences may arise because of differences in who provides race information on the compared records. Race information on the death certificate is reported by the funeral director as provided by an informant or in the absence of an informant, on the basis of observation. In contrast, race on the census or on the Current Population Survey (CPS) is obtained while the individual is alive and is self-reported or reported by another member of the household familiar with the individual and, therefore, may be considered more valid. A high level of agreement between the death certificate and the census or survey report is essential to assure unbiased death rates by race.

Studies (36,37) show that a person self-reported as American Indian or Asian on census or survey records was sometimes reported as white on the death certificate. The net effect of misclassification is an underestimation of deaths and death rates for races other than white and black. In addition, undercoverage of minority groups in the census and resultant population estimates introduces biases into death rates by race (5,14,38). Estimates of the approximate effect of the combined bias due to race misclassification on death certificates and underenumeration on the 1990 census are as follows: white, –1.0 percent; black, –5.0; American Indian, +20.6; Asian or Pacific Islander, +10.7 (14).

The National Longitudinal Mortality Study (NLMS) examined the reliability of Hispanic origin reported on 43,520 death certificates with that reported on a total of 12 Current Population Surveys conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for the years 1979–85 (14). In this study, agreement—on a record-by-record basis— was 89.7 percent for any report of Hispanic origin. The ratio of deaths for CPS divided by deaths for death certificate was 1.07 indicating net underreporting of Hispanic origin on death certificates by 7 percent as compared with self-reports on the surveys. Death rates for the Hispanic-origin population are also affected by undercoverage of this population group in the census and resultant population estimates; the estimated net correction, taking into account both sources of bias, is 1.6 percent (14,38).

Other races and race not stated—Beginning in 1992 all records coded as "Other races" (0.02 percent of the total deaths in 1999) were assigned to the specified race of the previous record. Records for which race was unknown, not stated, or not classifiable (0.10 percent) were assigned the racial designation of the previous record.

Infant and maternal mortality rates—For 1989–99, as in previous years, infant and maternal deaths continue to be tabulated by the race of the decedent. However, beginning with the 1989 data year, the method of tabulating live births by race was changed from race of parents to race of mother as stated on the birth certificate. This change affects infant and maternal mortality rates because live births are the denominators of these rates (39,40). To improve continuity and ease of interpretation, trend data by race in this report have been retabulated by race of mother for all years beginning with the 1980 data year.

Quantitatively, the change in the basis for tabulating live births by race results in more white births and fewer black births and births of other races. Consequently, infant and maternal mortality rates under the new tabulating procedure tend to be about 2 percent lower for white infants and about 5 percent higher for black infants than when they are computed by the previous method of tabulating live births by race of

Table I. List of ICD-10 leading causes of death for 1999 and comparable ICD-9 causes of death

ICD-10	ICD-9				
Diseases of heart (I00–I09,I11,I13,I20–I51)	Diseases of heart (390–398,402,404,410–429)				
Malignant neoplasms (C00–C97).	Malignant neoplasms, including neoplasms of lymphatic and hematopoietic tissues (140–208)				
Cerebrovascular diseases (I60–I69)	Cerebrovascular diseases (430–434,436–438) <sup>1</sup>				
Chronic lower respiratory diseases (J40–J47)	Chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases and allied conditions (490-494,496) <sup>1</sup>				
Accidents (unintentional injuries) (V01–X59,Y85–Y86)	Accidents (E800–E869,E880–E929) <sup>1</sup>				
Diabetes mellitus (E10–E14)	Diabetes mellitus (250)				
Influenza and pneumonia (J10-J18)	Pneumonia and influenza (480–487)				
Alzheimer's disease (G30)	Alzheimer's disease (331.0)				
Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis (N00-N07,N17-N19,N25-N27)	Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis (580–589)				
Septicemia (A40-A41)	Septicemia (038)				
Intentional self-harm (suicide) (X60-X84,Y87.0)	Suicide (E950–É959)				
Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis (K70,K73–K74)	Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis (571)				
Essential (primary) hypertension and hypertensive renal disease (I10,I12)	Hypertension with or without renal disease (401,403)				
Assault (homicide) (X85-Y09,Y87.1)	Homicide (E960–E969) <sup>1</sup>				
Aortic aneurysm and dissection (I71)	Aortic aneurysm (441) <sup>2</sup>				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ICD-9 codes do not match those of the ICD-9 List of 72 Selected Causes of Death; see Technical notes.

Table II. List of ICD-10 leading causes of infant death for 1999 and comparable ICD-9 causes of infant death

ICD-10	ICD-9
Congenital malformations, deformations, and chromosomal abnormalities (Q00-Q99)	Congenital anomalies (740–759)
Classified (P07)	Disorders relating to short gestation and unspecified low birthweight (765) Sudden infant death syndrome (798.0)  Newborn affected by maternal complications of pregnancy (761)  Respiratory distress syndrome (769)  Newborn affected by complications of placenta, cord and membranes (762)  Accidents (E800–E869,E880–E929) <sup>1</sup>
Bacterial sepsis of newborn (P36) Diseases of the circulatory system (I00–I99) Atelectasis (P28.0–P28.1)	Other infection specific to the perinatal period (771.8) <sup>2</sup> Diseases of the circulatory system (390–434,436–459) <sup>2</sup> Primary, other, and unspecified atelectasis (770.4–770.5) <sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ICD-9 codes do not match those of the ICD-9 List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death; see Technical notes.

parents. Rates for most other minority races also are higher when computed by race of mother (22,40).

Infant mortality rates for the Hispanic-origin population are based on numbers of resident infant deaths reported to be of Hispanic origin and numbers of resident live births by Hispanic origin of mother for the United States. In computing infant mortality rates, deaths and live births of unknown origin are not distributed among the specified Hispanic and non-Hispanic groups. The percent of infant deaths of unknown origin was 1.4 and the percent of live births to mothers of unknown origin was 1.2 for the United States for 1999.

Small numbers of infant deaths for specific Hispanic-origin groups result in infant mortality rates subject to relatively large random variation (see "Random variation"). Infant mortality rates by Hispanic origin are less subject to reporting error when based on linked files of infant deaths and live births (20).

Infant mortality rates calculated from the general mortality file for specified race and/or Hispanic origin are in error because of reporting problems that affect the classification of race and Hispanic origin on the birth and death certificates for the same infant. Infant mortality rates by specified race and Hispanic origin are more accurate when based on the linked file of infant deaths and live births (20). The linked file

computes infant mortality rates using the race and/or Hispanic origin of the mother from the birth certificate in both the numerator and denominator of the rate. In addition, mother's race and/or Hispanic origin from the birth certificate is considered to be more accurately reported than infant's race and/or Hispanic origin from the death certificate because, on the birth certificate, race is generally reported by the mother at the time of delivery whereas, on the death certificate, infant's race and/or Hispanic origin is reported by an informant, usually the mother but sometimes by the funeral director. Estimates of reporting errors have been made by comparing rates based on the linked files with those in which the race of infant death is based on information from the death certificate (14,22).

#### Life tables

The life table provides a comprehensive measure of the effect of mortality on life expectancy. It is composed of sets of values showing the mortality experience of a hypothetical group of infants born at the same time and subject throughout their lifetime to the age-specific death rates of a particular time period, usually a given year. Beginning with final data reported for 1997, the life table methodology

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Not a rankable cause in ICD-9; see Technical notes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Not a rankable cause in ICD-9; see Technical notes.

was changed from previous annual reports. Previously, U.S. life tables were abridged and constructed by reference to a standard table (41). In addition, the age range for these life tables was limited to 5-year age groups ending with the age group 85 years and over.

Beginning with 1997 mortality data, a revised life table methodology was used to construct complete life tables by single years of age that extend to age 100 (42) using a methodology similar to that of the decennial life tables (43). The advantages of the new over the previous methodology are its comparability with decennial life table methodology, greater accuracy, and greater age detail. A comparison of the two methods shows small differences in resulting values for life expectancy (42). Although the new method produces complete life tables, that is, life tables by single years of age, life table data shown in this report are summarized in 5-year age groupings. To calculate the probability of dying at each age, the revised methodology uses vital statistics death rates for ages under 85 years and mortality data from the Medicare program for ages over 85 years. Medicare data were used to model the probability of dying at ages 85 and over because the data are shown to be significantly more reliable than vital statistics data at the oldest ages (44).

## Causes of death contributing to changes in life expectancy

Causes of death contributing to changes in life expectancy were estimated using a life table partitioning technique. The method partitions changes into component additive parts. This method identifies the causes of death having the greatest influence, positive or negative, on changes in life expectancy (15,45).

## Comparability between ICD-9 and ICD-10 for mortality

One of the efforts to maintain the tradition of progress in the classification of diseases has been the practice, begun in 1900, to revise about every 10–20 years what is now the International Classification of Diseases (ICD). Each of these revisions has produced some break in the comparability of cause-of-death statistics. ICD–10 has many changes from ICD–9, including considerably greater detail, shifts of inclusion terms and titles from one category, section, or chapter to another; regroupings of diseases; new titles and sections; and modifications in coding rules (6). As a result, serious breaks occur in comparability for a number of causes of death. Measures of this discontinuity are essential to the interpretation of mortality trends. Ratios of comparability between ICD–9 and ICD–10 have been computed for this purpose.

The method followed by the United States for constructing comparability ratios for mortality data is that recommended by the International Conference for the Sixth Revision of the International List of Diseases and Causes of Death, which convened in France in 1948. The Conference recommended that deaths for a country as a whole in 1949 or in 1950 be coded according to the Detailed List of Causes of Death of the Fifth Revision, and that dual tabulations of these data be published in such a way as to indicate the changes resulting from the application of the new revision. The dual coding method to measure discontinuities in mortality data resulting from the introduction of a new revision was used in this study between ICD–9 and ICD–10. This makes the fifth time since the recommendation of the International Conference for the Sixth Revision that the United States used this method (7).

Studies of the comparability between revisions of the ICD have been carried out and published at least since the Fifth Revision. Comparability studies—also called bridge-coding studies—involve dual classification of a single year of mortality data, that is, classifying the underlying cause of death on mortality records by the new revision and the previous revision. The key element of a comparability study is the comparability ratio, which is derived from the dual classification. It is calculated by dividing the number of deaths for a selected cause of death classified by the new revision by the number of deaths classified to the most nearly comparable cause of death by the previous revision. The resulting ratio represents the net effect of the new revision on statistics for this cause and can be used as a factor to adjust mortality statistics for causes of death classified by a previous revision to be comparable to those for the same cause classified by the new revision.

A comparability ratio of 1.00 indicates that the same number of deaths was assigned to a particular cause or combination of causes whether the Ninth or Tenth Revision was used. A ratio showing perfect correspondence (1.00) between the two revisions does not necessarily indicate that the cause was unaffected by changes in classification and coding procedures but merely that there was no net change.

A ratio of less than 1.00 results from a decrease in assignments of death to a cause in ICD-10 compared with ICD-9. A ratio of more than 1.00 results from an increase in assignments of deaths to a cause in ICD-10 compared with the comparable ICD-9 cause.

One of the major objectives of the comparability study was to furnish ratios that measure the degree of discontinuity between data tabulated by the cause lists published under ICD–10 and data tabulated by the most nearly comparable cause lists published under ICD–9.

Ratios are presented for the cause lists presented in this report. The list of selected causes for which final data are published has been expanded from the 72 causes plus HIV infection and Alzheimer's disease published under ICD-9, to 113 causes under ICD-10. The list of selected causes of infant death was expanded from 61 plus HIV disease to 130 causes. The lists are as follows:

ICD-10 ICD-9

1. List of 113 Selected Causes of Death

1. List of 72 Selected Causes of Death, HIV infection and Alzheimer's disease

2. List of 130 Selected Causes of Infant Death

2. List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death and HIV infection

The data used in the ICD-10 Comparability Study are cause-of-death information from a large sample of death certificates for deaths occurring in 1996 filed in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Table III shows comparability ratios and their standard errors for the List of 113 Selected Causes of Death. Table IV shows the same information for the List of 130 Selected Causes of Infant Death. The cause-of-death information in the sample is based on death records in which the underlying cause of death is classified by ICD-9 and ICD-10. The sample comprises 1,852,651 (80 percent) out of the total 2,314,690 resident deaths that occurred in the United States during 1996. The sample is treated as if it were random. As a result, standard errors associated with comparability ratios are based on sampling and stochastic (random) variation (9). Most of the records in the study were processed using the NCHS automated systems for

Table III. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 113 selected causes of death, injury by firearms, drug-induced deaths, and alcohol-induced deaths according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases* 

Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision,	Category codes according to the	Category codes according to the		of deaths according to	Estimated comparability	Standard	Relative standard	95-pe confider	95-percent onfidence limits	
International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Tenth Revision (ICD-10)	Ninth Revision (ICD-9)	Tenth Revision Ninth Revision		ratio	error	error	Lower	Uppe	
Salmonella infections	A01-A02	002–003	30	37	0.8108	0.0644	7.9	0.6846	0.937	
Shigellosis and amebiasis	A03,A06	004,006	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Certain other intestinal infections	A04,A07-A09	007–009	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Tuberculosis	A16-A19	010-018	653	764	0.8547	0.0172	2.0	0.8209	0.888	
Respiratory tuberculosis	A16	010-012	518	572	0.9056	0.0201	2.2	0.8662	0.945	
Other tuberculosis		013-018	135	192	0.7031	0.0407	5.8	0.6233	0.783	
Whooping cough	A37	033	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Scarlet fever and erysipelas	A38.A46	034.1-035	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Meningococcal infection		036	221	222	0.9955	0.0149	1.5	0.9663	1.024	
Septicemia	A40-A41	038	21,258	17,791	1.1949	0.0042	0.3	1.1867		
Syphilis		090–097	21	33	0.6364	0.1184	18.6	0.4043		
Acute poliomyelitis	A80	045	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.000	
Arthropod-borne viral encephalitis	A83-A84.A85.2	062-064	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Measles		055	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Viral hepatitis		070	1.123	1.346	0.8343	0.0120	1.4	0.8109	0.857	
Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease		*042–*044	12,765	11,150	1.1448	0.0045	0.4	1.1360		
Malaria	R50_R54	084	12,705	*	*	*	*	*	1.100	
Other and unspecified infectious and parasitic	D30-D34	004								
diseases and their sequelae	ADD ADE ADD ADE ADD ADD ADD ADD	001,005,020-032,037,039-041,046-054								
uiseases and their sequerae	A54-A79,A81-A82,A85.0-A85.1,A85.8	056-061,065-066,071-083,085-088,	•							
	A86-B04,B06-B09,B25-B49,B55-B99	098–134,136–139,771.3	2,865	2,607	1.0990	0.0154	1.4	1.0688		
Malignant neoplasms		140–208	464,688	461,544	1.0068	0.0002	0.0	1.0064		
Malignant neoplasms of lip, oral cavity and pharynx		140–149	5,927	6,172	0.9603	0.0040	0.4	0.9525		
Malignant neoplasm of esophagus		150	9,596	9,630	0.9965	0.0020	0.2	0.9926	1.000	
Malignant neoplasm of stomach		151	11,480	11,408	1.0063	0.0019	0.2	1.0025	1.010	
Malignant neoplasms of colon, rectum and anus	C18-C21	153–154	48,583	48,619	0.9993	0.0009	0.1	0.9975	1.001	
Malignant neoplasms of liver and intrahepatic										
bile ducts		155	9,732	10,102	0.9634	0.0023	0.2	0.9588	0.967	
Malignant neoplasm of pancreas	C25	157	24,313	24,361	0.9980	0.0009	0.1	0.9963	0.999	
Malignant neoplasm of larynx	C32	161	3,209	3,194	1.0047	0.0053	0.5	0.9943	1.015	
Malignant neoplasms of trachea, bronchus and lung	C33-C34	162	131,750	133,936	0.9837	0.0005	0.1	0.9827	0.984	
Malignant melanoma of skin	C43	172	5,941	6,139	0.9677	0.0032	0.3	0.9614	0.974	
Malignant neoplasm of breast	C50	174–175	38,102	37,891	1.0056	0.0010	0.1	1.0036	1.007	
Malignant neoplasm of cervix uteri	C53	180	3,753	3,802	0.9871	0.0034	0.3	0.9805	0.993	
Malignant neoplasms of corpus uteri and uterus,			*	•						
part unspecified	C54-C55	179,182	5,318	5,183	1.0260	0.0040	0.4	1.0182	1.033	
Malignant neoplasm of ovary		183.0	11,292	11.344	0.9954	0.0016	0.2	0.9923		
Malignant neoplasm of prostate		185	30,672	30,267	1.0134	0.0015	0.1	1.0105		
Malignant neoplasms of kidney and renal pelvis		189.0,189.1	9,521	9,521	1.0000	0.0022	0.2	0.9957		
Malignant neoplasm of bladder		188	9.563	9,594	0.9968	0.0026	0.3	0.9916		
Malignant neoplasms of meninges, brain and	001	100	0,000	0,004	0.0000	0.0020	0.0	0.0010	1.001	
other parts of central nervous system	C70_C72	191–192	10,039	10,359	0.9691	0.0025	0.3	0.9642	0.974	
Malignant neoplasms of lymphoid, hematopoietic		101 102	10,000	10,000	0.0031	0.0023	0.0	0.0042	0.314	
and related tissue	C81-C96	200–208	44,715	44,530	1.0042	0.0012	0.1	1.0019	1.006	
Hodgkin's disease	C81	201	1,021	1,036	0.9855	0.0089	0.9	0.9680	1.003	
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma		200.202	17,924	18,326	0.9781	0.0018	0.2	0.9745		
NOIT-HOUGKIHS IGHIDHOHIA	002 003		17,027	10,020			0.2			

Table III. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 113 selected causes of death, injury by firearms, drug-induced deaths, and alcohol-induced deaths according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases*—Con.

Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision,	Category codes according to the	Category codes according to the		of deaths according to	Estimated comparability	Standard	Relative standard	confider	ercent nce limits
International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Tenth Revision (ICD-10)		Tenth Revision	Ninth Revision	ratio	error	error		Upper
Multiple myeloma and immunoproliferative neoplasms	C88,C90	203	9,099	8,763	1.0383	0.0030	0.3	1.0324	1.0443
Other and unspecified malignant neoplasms of	000			*					
lymphoid, hematopoietic and related tissue	C96		•	Î	Î	•	^	^	•
All other and unspecified malignant neoplasms	C17,C23=C24,C26=C31,C37=C41, C44=C49,C51=C52,C57=C60, C66,C68=C69,C73=C80,C97	152, 156,158–160,163–171,173,181, 183.2–184,186–187,189.2–190,193–199	51,182	45,492	1.1251	0.0021	0.2	1.1210	1.1292
In situ neoplasms, benign neoplasms and									
neoplasms of uncertain or unknown behavior		210–239	9,263	5,532	1.6744	0.0164	1.0	1.6422	
Anemias	D50-D64	280–285	3,059	3,200	0.9559	0.0077	0.8	0.9409	0.9710
Diabetes mellitus	E10-E14	250	48,636	48,242	1.0082	0.0011	0.1	1.0060	1.0103
Nutritional deficiencies	E40-E64	260-269	3,215	2,763	1.1636	0.0165	1.4	1.1312	1.1960
Malnutrition	E40-E46	260-263	2,607	2,665	0.9782	0.0151	1.5	0.9487	1.0078
Other nutritional deficiencies		264-269	608	98	6.2041	0.5961	9.6		7.3724
Meningitis		320–322	592	584	1.0137	0.0136	1.3	0.9871	1.0403
Parkinson's disease	G20-G21	332	10.404	10,392	1.0012	0.0028	0.3	0.9956	
Alzheimer's disease		331.0	29.707	19,121	1.5536	0.0020	0.5	1.5398	
Major cardiovascular diseases		390–434,436–448	796,919	798.435	0.9981	0.0002	0.0	0.9977	0.9985
Diseases of heart		390–334,430–440	615,564	624,405	0.9858	0.0002	0.0	0.9854	
Acute rheumatic fever and chronic rheumatic	100-109,111,113,120-131	390-390,402,404,410-429	015,504	024,403	0.9000	0.0002	0.0	0.9004	0.9003
	100 100	000 000	0.440	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	4.4	0.0004	0.0000
heart diseases		390–398	2,446	2,980	0.8208	0.0089	1.1	0.8034	
Hypertensive heart disease		402	17,322	21,577	0.8028	0.0028	0.3	0.7973	
Hypertensive heart and renal disease		404	2,170	2,027	1.0705	0.0160	1.5	1.0392	
Ischemic heart diseases		410–414,429.2	466,459	466,935	0.9990	0.0002	0.0	0.9985	
Acute myocardial infarction	121–122	410	178,125	180,169	0.9887	0.0003	0.0	0.9880	
Other acute ischemic heart diseases	124	411	2,667	2,638	1.0110	0.0117	1.2	0.9880	1.0340
Other forms of chronic ischemic heart disease	120,125	412-414,429.2	285,667	284,128	1.0054	0.0004	0.0	1.0046	1.0062
Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease,									
so described	125.0	429.2	64,354	61,362	1.0488	0.0016	0.2	1.0456	1.0519
All other forms of chronic ischemic heart disease		412–414	221,313	222,766	0.9935	0.0004	0.0	0.9927	0.9942
Other heart diseases	,	415–429.1,429.3–429.9	127,167	130,886	0.9716	0.0010	0.1	0.9696	
Acute and subacute endocarditis		421	552	554	0.9964	0.0137	1.4	0.9695	
Diseases of pericardium and acute myocarditis		420,422–423	489	475	1.0295	0.0160	1.6	0.9981	
Heart failure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	428	44,297	42,554	1.0410	0.0013	0.1	1.0384	
All other forms of heart disease		415-417,424-427,429.0-429.1,429.3-429.	,	87,303	0.9373	0.0013	0.1		0.9401
	120-120,134-130,142-149,131	415-417,424-427,429.0-429.1,429.5-429.	9 01,029	07,303	0.9373	0.0014	0.2	0.9343	0.9401
Essential (primary) hypertension and hypertensive	140140	404 400	44.050	40.004	4 4400	0.0050	0.4	4 4004	4 4004
renal disease	,	401,403	11,958	10,684	1.1192	0.0050	0.4	1.1094	
Cerebrovascular diseases		430–434,436–438	137,264	129,640	1.0588	0.0008	0.1	1.0572	
Atherosclerosis		440	13,894	14,417	0.9637	0.0025	0.3	0.9588	
Other diseases of circulatory system		441–448	18,239	19,289	0.9456	0.0021	0.2		0.9498
Aortic aneurysm and dissection	171	441	12,216	12,201	1.0012	0.0010	0.1	0.9992	1.0032
Other diseases of arteries, arterioles and capillaries		442–448	6,023	7,088	0.8497	0.0053	0.6	0.8394	0.8601
Other disorders of circulatory system	180-199	451–459	2,984	2,899	1.0293	0.0172	1.7	0.9956	1.0631
Influenza and pneumonia	J10-J18	480–487	50,526	72,371	0.6982	0.0018	0.3	0.6947	0.7016
Influenza		487	572	567	1.0088	0.0073	0.7		
Pneumonia		480–486	49,954	71,804	0.6957	0.0018	0.3	0.6922	
Other acute lower respiratory infections		466	346	355	0.9746	0.0392	4.0	0.8978	
Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis		466	265	355	0.7465	0.0264	3.5	0.6947	

Table III. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 113 selected causes of death, injury by firearms, drug-induced deaths, and alcohol-induced deaths according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases*—Con.

Unspecified acute lower respiratory infection   Ju2   Judy   Judy	Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision,	Category codes according to the	Category codes according to the	Number of allocated as		Estimated	Standard	Relative	95-pe confiden	ercent ce limits
Mon-Prince Section (1988)				Tenth Revision	Ninth Revision	,			Lower	Upper
httoric lower respiratory diseases.	Unspecified acute lower respiratory infection	. J22		*	*	*	*	*	*	,
Emphysema. J43 492 14,369 14,77 0,376 0,0031 0.3 0,866 0,870 14,589 14,77 0,976 0,0031 0.3 0,866 0,870 14,870 0,876 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,80			490-494,496	94,326	90,022	1.0478	0.0009	0.1	1.0460	1.0496
Emphysema. J43 492 14,369 14,77 0,376 0,0031 0.3 0,866 0,870 14,589 14,77 0,976 0,0031 0.3 0,866 0,870 14,870 0,876 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,800 0,80	Bronchitis, chronic and unspecified	. J40–J42	490–491	913	2.320	0.3935	0.0107	2.7	0.3726	0.4145
Ashma			492	14.369	14,774	0.9726	0.0031	0.3	0.9666	0.9786
Other ctronic lower respiratory diseases.			493		4.718		0.0061	0.7	0.8819	0.9057
neumocnioses and chemical effects				,	,					
Internation   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.0   1.	Pneumoconioses and chemical effects	J60–J66 J68		,						
wher diseases of respiratory system										
epito Lore				,	-, -			• • •		
Islaesas of appendix	Dentio ulcor	KOE KOO		,	,					
Immin				,						
Principal (layer disease and cirrinosis   K70,K73-K74   571   21,888   20,920   10,676   0.0027   0.3   1.0314   1.044   1.044   1.061   1.061   1.062   1.062   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.063   1.0										
Accoholic liver disease.   K70   571.0-571.3   10.147   9.965   1.0183   0.005   0.5   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.0285   1.02										
Other chronic liver disease and cirrhosis.         K73-K74         571.4-571.9         11,541         10,955         1,035         0,0041         0.4         10,451         0,066         0,450         0,965         0,004         0.4         1,045         0,066         0,450         0,965         0,000         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0         0,0		-, -		,	- ,					
Properties   Pro				- /	- ,					
				,	,					
Ácute and rapidly progressive nephritic and nephrotic syndrome         N00–N01,N04         580–581         161         249         0.6466         0.0342         5.3         0.5796         0.713           Chronic glomeulonephritis, nephritis and nephropathy not specified as acute or chronic, and renal sclerosis unspecified.         N02–N03,N05–N07,N26         582–583,587         468         1,213         0.3858         0.0144         3.7         0.3575         0.41           Renal failure         N17–N19         584–586         24,290         18,758         1,2949         0.0050         0.4         1,2852         1,30           Chrorid sorders of kidney         N25,N27         588–589         20         22         0.9901         0.0867         9.5         0.7322         1,730           Infections of kidney         N10–N12,N13.6,N15.1         590         731         726         1.0069         0.0144         4.4         0.9765         1.03           Infections of kidney         N10–N12,N13.6,N15.1         590         731         726         1.0069         0.0144         4.4         0.9765         1.03           Infections of kidney         N10–N12,N13.6,N15.1         590         731         726         1.084         0.044         4.4         0.976         1.02 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1,725</td><td>1,803</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>				1,725	1,803					
Chronic glomerulonephritis, nephritis and nephropathy not specified as acute or chronic, and renal sclerosis unspecified.   N02-N03,N05-N07,N26   582-583,587   468   1,213   0.3858   0.0144   3.7   0.3575   0.414   Renal failure   N12-N19   584-586   24,290   18,758   1.2949   0.0050   0.4   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.300   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852   1.2852		. N00–N07,N17–N19,N25–N27	580–589	24,939	20,242	1.2320	0.0044	0.4	1.2234	1.2407
and renal sclerosis unspecified. NO2-N03,N05-N07,N26 582-583,587 468 1,213 0,3858 0,114 3,7 0,357 0,411 Renal failure N17-N19 584-586 24,290 18,758 1,294 0,0050 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005 0,4 1,2852 1,300 0,005	Chronic glomerulonephritis, nephritis and	. N00–N01,N04	580–581	161	249	0.6466	0.0342	5.3	0.5796	0.7136
Renal failure		N02-N03.N05-N07.N26	582-583.587	468	1.213	0.3858	0.0144	3.7	0.3575	0.4141
Other disorders of kidney.         N25,N27         588-589         20         22         0.9091         0.0867         9.5         0.7392         1.078           flections of kidney.         N10-N12,N13.6,N15.1         590         731         726         1.0069         0.0144         1.4         0.7868         1.038           Upperplasia of prostate         N40         600         326         327         0.9969         0.0159         1.6         0.9658         1.026           rigarrancy, childbirth and the puerperium.         000-099         630-676         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *					,					
All Control				,						
Affarmatory diseases of female pelvic organs         N70-N76         614-616         63         64         0.9844         0.0410         4.2         0.9040         1.064           regrancy, childbirth and the puerperium.         000-099         630-676         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *										
regnancy, childbirth and the puerperium. O00–099 630–676 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *										
Pregnancy with abortive outcome	Discussion and delicities and the accompanies.	. 11/0-11/0		*	*	0.9044	0.0410	4.2	0.9040	1.0040
Other complications of pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium										
The publishment of the publishme	Other complications of pregnancy, childbirth and			•		,	,	-		
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalities				*		*	*	*	*	,
symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified R00–R99 780–799 16,940 17,732 0.9553 0.0034 0.4 0.9487 0.962	Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period Congenital malformations, deformations and	. P00–P96	760–771.2,771.4–779	10,184	9,555	1.0658	0.0033	0.3	1.0593	1.0724
Aboratory findings, not elsewhere classified       R00-R99       780-799       16,940       17,732       0.9553       0.0034       0.4       0.9487       0.962         all other diseases (Residual)       Residual       109,853       122,107       0.8996       0.0015       0.2       0.8968       0.902         ccidents (unintentional injuries)       V01-X59,Y85-Y86       E800-E869,E880-E929       31,084       30,163       1.0305       0.0014       0.1       1.0278       1.03         Transport accidents       V01-V99,Y85       E800-E848,E929.0,E929.1       17,547       17,586       0.9978       0.0006       0.1       0.9968       0.998         Motor vehicle accidents       V02-V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12-V14, V19.0-V19.2,V19.4-V19.6,V20-V79, V80.3-V80.5,V81.0-V81.1,V82.0-V82.1, V83-V86,V87.0-V87.8,V88.0-V88.8,       V80.3-V80.5,V81.0-V81.1,V82.0-V82.1, V83-V86,V87.0-V87.8,V88.0-V88.8,		. Q00–Q99	740–759	5,950	7,025	0.8470	0.0055	0.6	0.8362	0.8577
Ill other diseases (Residual) Residual Residual 109,853 122,107 0.8996 0.0015 0.2 0.8968 0.902 0.0016 0.0016 0.2 0.8968 0.902 0.0016 0.0016 0.1 1.0278 1.0303 0.0014 0.1 1.0278 1.0303 0.0014 0.1 1.0278 1.0303 0.0014 0.1 1.0278 1.0303 0.0014 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9968 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0.9984 0.0006 0.1 0		B00-B99	780-799	16 940	17 732	0.9553	0.0034	0.4	0.9487	0.9620
Accidents (unintentional injuries)				,	,					
Transport accidents				,	,					
Motor vehicle accidents				,	,					
		. V02-V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12-V14, V19.0-V19.2,V19.4-V19.6,V20-V79, V80.3-V80.5,V81.0-V81.1,V82.0-V82.1,	E000-E040, E929.0, E929.1	17,547	17,300	0.9976	0.0006	0.1	0.9900	0.9990
		V89.0,V89.2	E810-E825	16.632	17.051	0.9754	0.0006	0.1	0 9742	0 9766

Table III. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 113 selected causes of death, injury by firearms, drug-induced deaths, and alcohol-induced deaths according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases*—Con.

Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision,	Category codes according to the	Category codes according to the		of deaths ccording to	Estimated comparability	Standard	Relative	confider	ercent nce limits
International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Tenth Revision (ICD-10)	Ninth Revision (ICD-9)	Tenth Revision	Ninth Revision		error	error		Upper
Other land transport accidents	V01,V05-V06,V09.1,V09.3-V09.9, V10-V11,V15-V18,V19.3,V19.8-V19.9, V80.0-V80.2,V80.6-V80.9,V81.2-V81.9, V82.2-V82.9,V87.8,V88.9,V89.1,V89.3,								
Water, air and space, and other and unspecified	V89.9	E800-E807,E826-E829	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
transport accidents and their seguelae	V00 V00 V05	F020 F040 F020 0 F020 1	051	0.47	1 0115	0.0000	0.1	0.0706	1 0505
		E830–E848,E929.0,E929.1	351	347	1.0115	0.0209	2.1		1.0525
Nontransport accidents		E850-E869,E880-E928,E929.2-E929.9	13,537	12,577	1.0763	0.0035	0.3	1.0696	
Falls		E880-E888	5,173	6,152	0.8409	0.0049	0.6	0.8313	
Accidental discharge of firearms		E922	493	466	1.0579	0.0127	1.2	1.0331	1.0828
Accidental drowning and submersion	W65-W74	E910	283	284	0.9965	0.0127	1.3	0.9716	1.0213
Accidental exposure to smoke, fire and flames  Accidental poisoning and exposure to		E890-E899	493	506	0.9743	0.0089	0.9	0.9568	0.9918
noxious substance		E850-E869,E924.1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
and their sequelae	W20_W31 W35_W64 W75_W99	E900-E909.E911-E921.E923-E924.0.							
	X10–X39,X50–X59,Y86	E924.8–E928,E929.2–E929.9	6.698	4.721	1.4188	0.0123	0.9	1 20/17	1.4428
Intentional self-harm (suicide)	X10-X39,X30-X39,100		-,	,					
Intentional self-narm (suicide)	X60-X84, Y87.0	E950-E959	18,352	18,422	0.9962	0.0005	0.0	0.9952	
Intentional self-harm (suicide) by discharge of firearms Intentional self-harm (suicide) by other and		E955.0-E955.4	14,157	14,183	0.9982	0.0007	0.1	0.9968	0.9996
unspecified means and their sequelae	X60-X71,X75-X84,Y87.0	E950-E954,E955.5-E959	4,195	4,239	0.9896	0.0023	0.2	0.9850	0.9942
Assault (homicide)	X85-Y09,Y87.1	E960-E969	12,287	12,308	0.9983	0.0006	0.1	0.9972	0.9994
Assault (homicide) by discharge of firearms		E965.0-E965.4	8,718	8,745	0.9969	0.0008	0.1	0.9953	0.9985
means and their seguelae	X85-X92,X96-Y09,Y87.1	E960-E964,E965.5-E969	3,569	3,563	1.0017	0.0024	0.2	0.9969	1.0064
Legal intervention	Y35, Y89.0	E970-E978	•	*	*				
Events of undetermined intent	Y10–Y34,Y87.2,Y89.9	E980-E989	*		*	*	*	*	,
Discharge of firearms, undetermined intent Other and unspecified events of	Y22–Y24	E985.0-E985.4	*	*	*	*	*	*	,
undetermined intent and their sequelae	Y10-Y21.Y25-Y34.Y87.2.Y89.9	E980-E984.E985.5-E989	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Operations of war and their sequelae		E990-E999	*	*	*	*	*	*	,
Complications of medical and surgical care		E870-E879,E930-E949	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Injury by firearms <sup>1</sup>	V22_V24 V35 0	E922,E955.0-E955.4,E965.0-E965.4, E970.E985.0-E985.4	23,355	23,418	0.9973	0.0006	0.1	0 9961	0.9985
Drug-induced deaths <sup>1</sup>	F11.0-F11.5,F11.7-F11.9,F12.0-F12.5, F12.7-F12.9,F13.0-F13.5,F13.7-F13.9,	2070,2000.0 2000.4	20,000	20,410	0.5576	0.0000	0.1	0.0001	0.0000
	F14.0-F14.5,F14.7-F14.9,F15.0-F15.5, F15.7-F15.9,F16.0-F16.5,F16.7-F16.9, F17.0,F17.3-F17.5,F17.7-F17.9, F18.0-F18.5,F18.7-F18.9,F19.0-F19.5, F19.7-F19.9,X40-X44,X60-X64,X85,	292,304,305.2–305.9,E850–E858,	4.450	000	4.4050	0.0005	4.0	1.4500	4.000
Alcohol-induced deaths <sup>1</sup>	Y10–Y14 F10,G31.2,G62.1,I42.6,K29.2,K70,	E950.0-E950.5,E962.0,E980.0-E980.5 291,303,305.0,357.5,425.5,535.3,	1,158	969	1.1950	0.0225	1.9	1.1509	1.2391
	R78.0,X45,X65,Y15	571.0-571.3,790.3,E860	14,783	15,269	0.9682	0.0025	0.3	0.9633	0.9731

<sup>\*</sup> Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; see Technical notes.

<sup>- - -</sup> Category not applicable.

<sup>0.0</sup> Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Included in selected categories.

Table IV. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 130 selected causes of infant death according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases* 

			deaths	ber of allocated ding to	Estimated		Relative		ercent nce limits
Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision, International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Category codes according to the Tenth Revision (ICD-10)	Category codes according to the Ninth Revision (ICD-9)	Tenth Revision	Ninth Revision	comparability	Standard error	standard error	Lower	Upper
Certain infectious and parasitic diseases		001-033,034.1-134,136-139,771.3 001-008	284	387	0.7339	0.0339	4.6	0.6673	0.8004
Diarrhea and gastroenteritis of infectious origin		009	0	144	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
Tuberculosis		010–018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Tetanus		037,771.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Diptheria		032	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Whooping cough		033	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Meningococcal infection		036	25	26	0.9615	0.0377	3.9	0.8876	1.0355
Septicemia		038	167	121	1.3802	0.0713	5.2	1.2403	1.5200
Congenital syphillis		090	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Gonococcal infection		098	*	*				*	*
Viral diseases		042–079	62	62	1.0000	0.0757	7.6	0.8517	1.1483
Acute poliomyelitis		045	,		_		*	_	_
Varicella (chickenpox)		052							
Measles	B05	055	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease	B20-B24	042–044	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Mumps	B26	072							
Other and unspecified viral diseases	A81–B00,B02–B04,B06–B19,B25, B27–B34	046-051,053-054,056-071,073-079	35	36	0.9722	0.1255	12.9	0.7262	1.2182
Candidiasis		112	აე *	30 *	0.9722	0.1233	12.9	U.1202 *	1.2102
Malaria		084	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pneumocystosis	B59	136.3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All other and unspecified infectious and parasitic									
diseases	A20-A32,A38,A42-A49,A51-A53,	020-031,034.1-035,039-041,080-083,							
	A55–A79,B35–B36,B38–B49, B55–B58,B60–B99	085-088,091-097,099-111,114-134, 136.0-136.2,136.4-139	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Neoplasms	C00-D48	140–239	73	72	1.0139	0.0420	4.1	0.9317	1.0961
Malignant neoplasms	C00-C97	140–208	48	46	1.0435	0.0544	5.2	0.9369	1.1501
Hodgkin's disease and non-Hodgkin's lymphomas	C81-C85	200–202	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Leukemia	C91-C95	204–208	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other and unspecified malignant neoplasms	C00-C80,C88-C90,C96-C97	140–199,203	30	28	1.0714	0.0906	8.5	0.8939	1.2489
In situ neoplasms, benign neoplasms and neoplasms of									
uncertain or unknown behavior	D00-D48	210–239	25	26	0.9615	0.1131	11.8	0.7398	1.1833
certain disorders involving the immune mechanism	D50-D89	135, 279–289	35	50	0.7000	0.0803	11.5	0.5427	0.8573
Anemias	D50-D64	280–285	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other diseases of blood and blood-forming organs	D65-D76	286–289	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Certain disorders involving the immune mechanism	D80-D89	135,279	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases		240–278	112	129	0.8682	0.0555	6.4	0.7595	0.9770
Short stature, not elsewhere classified		259.4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Malnutrition and other nutritional deficiencies		260–269	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Cystic fibrosis	E84	277.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
acid-base balance	E86-E87	276	40	53	0.7547	0.0852	11.3	0.5878	0.9217

Table IV. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 130 selected causes of infant death according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases*—Con.

			deaths	ber of allocated ding to	Estimated		Relative		ercent ice limits
Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision, International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Category codes according to the Tenth Revision (ICD-10)	Category codes according to the Ninth Revision (ICD-9)	Tenth Revision	Ninth Revision	comparability ratio	Standard error	standard error	Lower	Upper
All other endocrine, nutritional and metabolic									
diseases	E00-E32,E34.0-E34.2,E34.4-E34.9,	240-259.3,259.8-259.9,270-275,							
	E65-E83,E85,E88	277.1–278	64	55	1.1636	0.0809	6.9	1.0051	1.3221
Diseases of the nervous system	G00-G98	320-359,435	305	286	1.0664	0.0263	2.5	1.0149	1.1180
Meningitis		320–322	70	70	1.0000	0.0404	4.0	0.9208	1.0792
(Werdnig-Hoffman)		335.0	47	47	1.0000	0.0521	5.2	0.8978	1.1022
Infantile cerebral palsy		343	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Anoxic brain damage, not elsewhere classified		348.1	29	30	0.9667	0.1269	13.1	0.7179	1.2155
Other diseases of nervous system									
	G20-G72,G81-G92,G93.0,	323–334,335.1–342,344–348.0,							
<b>5</b> 1	G93.2–G93.9,G95–G98	348.2–359,435	145	126	1.1508	0.0532	4.6	1.0466	1.2550
Diseases of the ear and mastoid process	H60-H93	380–389				*		*	
Diseases of the circulatory system	100–199	390–434,436–459	419	587	0.7138	0.0244	3.4	0.6659	0.7617
Pulmonary heart disease and diseases of pulmonary	100, 100	445 445	400	400	4 4000	0.0447	4.0	4 00 40	4 0007
circulation		415–417	138	123	1.1220	0.0447	4.0	1.0342	1.2097
Pericarditis, endocarditis and myocarditis		420–422				0.0400		0.0400	4 0000
Cardiomyopathy		425	82	84	0.9762	0.0166	1.7	0.9436	1.0088
Cardiac arrest		427.5	25	87	0.2874	0.0508	17.7	0.1878	0.3869
Cerebrovascular diseases	160–169	430–434,436–438	77	163	0.4724	0.0510	10.8	0.3725	0.5723
All other diseases of circulatory system		390–414,423–424,426–427.4,	00	100	0.7154	0.0510	7.0	0.6107	0.0170
Disease of the recognization, question	147–151,170–199 J00–J98	427.6–429,440–459 034.0.460–519	88 420	123 516	0.7154	0.0519 0.0220	7.3 2.7	0.6137 0.7709	0.8172 0.8570
Disease of the respiratory system		034.0,460–519	420 *	310	0.6140	0.0220	Z.1 *	0.7709	0.8570
Influenza and pneumonia		480–487	231	303	0.7624	0.0261	3.4	0.7112	0.8135
Influenza		487	۷۵۱ *	303 *	0.7024 *	0.0201 *	3.4 *	U./ IIZ *	v.0133 *
Pneumonia		480–486	224	295	0.7593	0.0266	3.5	0.7072	0.8114
Acute bronchitis and acute bronchiolitis		466	33	41	0.7593	0.0200	9.4	0.7072	0.9534
Bronchitis, chronic and unspecified		490–491	*	*	0.0049 *	0.0756 *	3. <del>4</del> *	v.0505 *	v.9554 *
Asthma		493	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Pneumonitis due to solids and liquids		507	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other and unspecified diseases of respiratory system		470–479,492,494–506,508–519	117	127	0.9213	0.0632	6.9	0.7973	1.0452
Diseases of the digestive system	K00–K92	520–579	278	167	1.6647	0.1084	6.5	1.4521	1.8772
Gastritis, duodenitis, and noninfective enteritis and		0_0 0.0					0.0		
colitis	K29,K50-K55	535, 555–558	137	47	2.9149	0.3879	13.3	2.1547	3.6751
Hernia of abdominal cavity and intestinal obstruction	,								
without hernia	K40-K46,K56	550-553,560	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All other and unspecified diseases of digestive system	K00-K28,K30-K38,K57-K92	520-534,536-543,562-579	84	86	0.9767	0.0708	7.3	0.8379	1.1156
Diseases of the genitourinary system	N00-N98	580–629	117	117	1.0000	0.0567	5.7	0.8889	1.1111
Renal failure and other disorders of kidney	N17-N19,N25,N27	584–589	102	98	1.0408	0.0658	6.3	0.9118	1.1699
Other and unspecified diseases of genitourinary									
system	N00-N15,N20-N23,N26,N28-N98	580-583,590-629	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period	P00-P96	760-771.2,771.4-779	10,047	9,495	1.0581	0.0032	0.3	1.0519	1.0643

Table IV. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 130 selected causes of infant death according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases*—Con.

			deaths	ber of allocated ding to	Estimated		Relative		ercent nce limits
Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision, International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Category codes according to the Tenth Revision (ICD-10)	Category codes according to the Ninth Revision (ICD-9)	Tenth Revision	Ninth Revision	comparability ratio	Standard error	standard	Lower	Upper
Newborn affected by maternal factors and by									
complications of pregnancy, labor and delivery	P00-P04	760–763	1,305	1,256	1.0390	0.0099	1.0	1.0196	1.0585
Newborn affected by maternal hypertensive disorders .	P00.0	760.0	23	22	1.0455	0.0465	4.4	0.9544	1.1365
Newborn affected by other maternal conditions which									
may be unrelated to present pregnancy	P00.1-P00.9	760.1–760.6,760.8–760.9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Newborn affected by maternal complications of									
pregnancy		761	662	643	1.0295	0.0138	1.3	1.0024	1.0567
Newborn affected by incompetent cervix	P01.0	761.0	205	201	1.0199	0.0188	1.8	0.9831	1.0567
Newborn affected by premature rupture of									
membranes		761.1	314	307	1.0228	0.0136	1.3	0.9962	1.0494
Newborn affected by multiple pregnancy	P01.5	761.5	104	103	1.0097	0.0507	5.0	0.9103	1.1091
Newborn affected by other maternal complications	D01 0 D01 4 D01 6 D01 0	761 0 761 4 761 6 761 0	20	20	1.0100	0.1655	10.6	0.0045	1 5 4 2 0
of pregnancy	P01.2–P01.4,P01.6–P01.9	761.2–761.4,761.6–761.9	39	32	1.2188	0.1655	13.6	0.8945	1.5430
and membranes	P02	762	579	553	1.0470	0.0128	1.2	1.0219	1.0721
Newborn affected by complications involving	1 02	102	379	330	1.0470	0.0120	1.2	1.0213	1.0721
placenta	P02.0-P02.3	762.0–762.3	306	285	1.0737	0.0174	1.6	1.0395	1.1079
Newborn affected by complications involving cord		762.4–762.6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Newborn affected by chorioamnionitis	P02.7	762.7	258	255	1.0118	0.0163	1.6	0.9799	1.0436
Newborn affected by other and unspecified	. 02	. 02				0.0.00		0.07.00	
abnormalities of membranes	P02.8-P02.9	762.8–762.9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Newborn affected by other complications of labor									
and delivery	P03	763.0-763.4,763.6-763.9	37	20	1.8500	0.3262	17.6	1.2107	2.4893
Newborn affected by noxious influences transmitted									
via placenta or breast milk	P04	760.7, 763.5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disorders related to length of gestation and fetal									
malnutrition		764–766	3,843	3,474	1.1062	0.0064	0.6	1.0936	1.1188
Slow fetal growth and fetal malnutrition	P05	764	34	30	1.1333	0.1004	8.9	0.9366	1.3301
Disorders related to short gestation and low birth	P								
weight, not elsewhere classified		765	3,809	3,444	1.1060	0.0064	0.6	1.0934	1.1186
Extremely low birthweight or extreme immaturity	P07.0,P07.2	765.0	2,835	2,558	1.1083	0.0079	0.7	1.0927	1.1239
Other low birthweight or preterm	P07.1,P07.3	765.1	974	886	1.0993	0.0135	1.2	1.0729	1.1258
Disorders related to long gestation and high	P08	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
birthweight		766 767	5	113	0.0442	0.0197	44.5	0.0056	0.0829
Birth trauma		767 768	401	277	1.4477	0.0197	44.5	1.3303	1.5650
Intrauterine hypoxia		768.2–768.4	57	63	0.9048	0.0399	13.6	0.6643	1.1452
Birth asphyxia		768.5–768.9	344	214	1.6075	0.1227	4.7	1.4579	1.7571
Respiratory distress of newborn		768.5–768.9 769	917	894	1.0257	0.0703	1.3	1.0001	1.0513
Other respiratory conditions originating in the perinatal			J11	007	1.0201	0.0101	1.0	1.0001	1.0010
period	P23-P28	770	1,160	1,372	0.8455	0.0216	2.6	0.8032	0.8878
Congenital pneumonia		770.0	57	15	3.8000	0.9004	23.7	2.0352	5.5648
Neonatal aspiration syndromes		770.1	78	56	1.3929	0.1115	8.0	1.1743	1.6114
Interstitial emphysema and related conditions									
originating in the perinatal period	P25	770.2	146	121	1.2066	0.0595	4.9	1.0899	1.3233

National Vital Statistics Report, Vol. 49, No. 8, September 21, 2001

Table IV. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 130 selected causes of infant death according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases*—Con.

			deaths a	per of allocated ding to	Estimated		Relative		ercent nce limits
Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision, International Classification of Diseases, 1992)	Category codes according to the Tenth Revision (ICD-10)	Category codes according to the Ninth Revision (ICD-9)	Tenth Revision	Ninth Revision	comparability ratio	Standard error	standard error	Lower	Upper
Pulmonary hemorrhage originating in the perinatal									
period	P26	770.3	212	145	1.4621	0.0751	5.1	1.3150	1.6092
period	P27	770.7	243	214	1.1355	0.0327	2.9	1.0715	1.1995
Atelectasis	P28.0–P28.1	770.4–770.5	382	185	2.0649	0.1144	5.5	1.8406	2.2891
perinatal period	P28.2-P28.9	770.6–770.8	42	636	0.0660	0.0101	15.2	0.0463	0.0858
Infections specific to the perinatal period	P35-P39	771.0–771.2,771.4–771.8	563	552	1.0199	0.0261	2.6	0.9688	1.0710
Bacterial sepsis of newborn	P36	771.8	470	514	0.9144	0.0272	3.0	0.8611	0.9677
hemorrhage	P38	771.4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
period	P35,P37,P39	771.0-771.2,771.5-771.7	93	38	2.4474	0.3705	15.1	1.7211	3.1736
Hemorrhagic and hematological disorders of newborn	P50-P61	772–774, 776	390	274	1.4234	0.0640	4.5	1.2979	1.5488
Neonatal hemorrhage	P50-P52,P54	772	319	222	1.4369	0.0698	4.9	1.3002	1.5737
Hemorrhagic disease of newborn	P53	776.0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
and other perinatal jaundice	P55-P59	773–774	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hematological disorders	P60-P61	776.1–776.9	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
diabetes mellitus	P70.0-P70.2	775.0–775.1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Necrotizing enterocolitis of newborn	P77	777.5	249	203	1.2266	0.0456	3.7	1.1371	1.3161
Hydrops fetalis not due to hemolytic disease		778.0	120	120	1.0000	0.0264	2.6	0.9483	1.0517
Other perinatal conditions	P29,P70.3–P76,P78–P81,P83.0–P83.1 P83.3–P96	775.2–775.9,777.0–777.4,777.6–777.9, 778.1–779	1,092	954	1.1447	0.0192	1.7	1.1070	1.1823
Congenital malformations, deformations and	. 66.6 . 66		.,002			0.0.02	•••		
chromosomal abnormalities	Q00-Q99	740–759	3,400	3,751	0.9064	0.0057	0.6	0.8953	0.9176
Anencephaly and similar malformations		740	299	299	1.0000	0.0000	0.0	1.0000	1.0000
Congenital hydrocephalus	Q03	742.3	62	91	0.6813	0.0552	8.1	0.5732	0.7895
Spina bifida	Q05	741	24	32	0.7500	0.0765	10.2	0.6000	0.9000
Other congenital malformations of nervous system	Q01-Q02,Q04,Q06-Q07	742.0-742.2,742.4-742.9	191	177	1.0791	0.0477	4.4	0.9856	1.1725
Congenital malformations of heart	Q20-Q24	745–746	1,022	1,027	0.9951	0.0081	8.0	0.9793	1.0109
Other congenital malformations of circulatory system	Q25-Q28	747	75	121	0.6198	0.0504	8.1	0.5210	0.7186
Congenital malformations of respiratory system	Q30-Q34	748	361	571	0.6322	0.0225	3.6	0.5882	0.6762
Congenital malformations of digestive system	Q35-Q45	749–751	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Congenital malformations of genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of	Q50-Q64	752–753	216	229	0.9432	0.0244	2.6	0.8955	0.9910
musculoskeletal system, limbs and integument	Q65-Q85	754–757	269	311	0.8650	0.0319	3.7	0.8024	0.9275
Down's syndrome	Q90	758.0	57	58	0.9828	0.0705	7.2	0.8446	1.1209
Edward's syndrome	Q91.0-Q91.3	758.2	277	278	0.9964	0.0080	0.8	0.9807	1.0121
Patau's syndrome	Q91.4-Q91.7	758.1	170	173	0.9827	0.0099	1.0	0.9632	1.0021
Other congenital malformations and deformations Other chromosomal abnormalities, not elsewhere	Q10-Q18,Q86-Q89	743–744,759	304	312	0.9744	0.0210	2.2	0.9332	1.0155
classified.	Q92-Q99	758.3–758.9	57	53	1.0755	0.0783	7.3	0.9221	1.2289

Table IV. Comparable category codes and estimated comparability ratios for 130 selected causes of infant death according to the Ninth and Tenth Revisions, *International Classification of Diseases*—Con.

Cause of death (Based on the Tenth Revision, International Classification of Diseases, 1992)  Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified.  R00-R99  Sudden infant death syndrome.  R95  Other symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified.  R95  R95  R95  R95  R96-799  Sudden infant death syndrome.  R95  Other symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified.  R00-R53,R55-R594,R96-R99  All other diseases  F01-F99,H00-H57,L00-M99  External causes of mortality.  V01-Y84  Accidents (unintentional injuries).  V01-X59  E800-E869,E880-E929  Transport accidents  V02-V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12-V14,  Motor vehicle accidents	Ninth Revision 2,732 2,485 247 * 444 285 108	Estimated comparability ratio  1.0245 1.0362 0.9069 * 0.9932 1.0246 0.9167	0.0042 0.0040 0.0270 * 0.0098 0.0107 0.0294	Relative standard error  0.4 0.4 3.0 * 1.0	1.0163 1.0284 0.8540	1.0327 1.0440 0.9598
findings, not elsewhere classified         R00–R99         780–799         2,799           Sudden infant death syndrome         R95         798.0         2,575           Other symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified         R00–R53,R55–R594,R96–R99         780–796,798.1–799         224           All other diseases         F01–F99,H00–H57,L00–M99         290–319,360–379,680–739         *           External causes of mortality         V01–Y84         E800–E999         441           Accidents (unintentional injuries)         V01–X59         E800–E869,E880-E929         292           Transport accidents         V01–V99         E800–E848,E920–E929.1         99           Motor vehicle accidents         V02–V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12–V14,         F800–E848,E920–E929.1         99	2,485 247 * 444 285	1.0362 0.9069 * 0.9932 1.0246	0.0040 0.0270 * 0.0098 0.0107	0.4 3.0 * 1.0	1.0284	1.0440
Sudden infant death syndrome         R95         798.0         2,575           Other symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified         R00-R53,R55-R594,R96-R99         780-796,798.1-799         224           All other diseases         F01-F99,H00-H57,L00-M99         290-319,360-379,680-739         *           External causes of mortality         V01-Y84         E800-E999         441           Accidents (unintentional injuries)         V01-X59         E800-E869,E880-E929         292           Transport accidents         V01-V99         E800-E848,E920-E929.1         99           Motor vehicle accidents         V02-V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12-V14,	2,485 247 * 444 285	1.0362 0.9069 * 0.9932 1.0246	0.0040 0.0270 * 0.0098 0.0107	0.4 3.0 * 1.0	1.0284	1.0440
laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified       R00-R53,R55-R594,R96-R99       780-796,798.1-799       224         All other diseases       F01-F99,H00-H57,L00-M99       290-319,360-379,680-739       *         External causes of mortality       V01-Y84       E800-E999       441         Accidents (unintentional injuries)       V01-X59       E800-E869,E880-E929       292         Transport accidents       V01-V99       E800-E848,E920-E929.1       99         Motor vehicle accidents       V02-V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12-V14,       V12-V14,	* 444 285	0.9932 1.0246	0.0098 0.0107	1.0	0.8540	0.9598
All other diseases       F01-F99,H00-H57,L00-M99       290-319,360-379,680-739       *         External causes of mortality       V01-Y84       E800-E999       441         Accidents (unintentional injuries)       V01-X59       E800-E869,E880-E929       292         Transport accidents       V01-V99       E800-E848,E920-E929.1       99         Motor vehicle accidents       V02-V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12-V14,	* 444 285	0.9932 1.0246	0.0098 0.0107	1.0	*	0.000
Accidents (unintentional İnjuries).       V01–X59       E800–E869,E880-E929       292         Transport accidents.       V01–V99       E800–E848,E920–E929.1       99         Motor vehicle accidents.       V02–V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12–V14,	285	1.0246	0.0107			*
Transport accidents         V01–V99         E800–E848,E920–E929.1         99           Motor vehicle accidents         V02–V04,V09.0,V09.2,V12–V14,					0.9741	1.0124
Motor vehicle accidents	108	0.9167	0.0294	1.0	1.0037	1.0454
V19.0-V19.2,V19.4-V19.6,V20-V79, V80.3-V80.5,V81.0-V81.1, V82.0-V82.1,V83-V86,V87.0-V87.8,				3.2	0.8590	0.9743
V88.0–V88.8,V89.0,V89.2 E810–E825 95  Other and unspecified transport accidents	98	0.9694	0.0176	1.8	0.9349	1.0039
V88.9,V89.1,V89.3,V89.9,V90-V99 E800-E807,E826-E848,E929.1 *	*	*	*	*	*	*
Falls	*	*	*	*	*	*
Accidental discharge of firearms	*	*			*	*
Accidental drowning and submersion	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other accidental suffocation and strangulation W75  Other accidental suffocation and strangulation W76–W77,W81–W84  E913.1–E913.9  79	69	1.1449	0.0537	4.7	1.0396	1.2502
Accidental inhalation and ingestion of food or other	09	1.1449	0.0557	4.7	1.0390	1.2302
objects causing obstruction of respiratory tract W78–W80 E911–E912 32  Accidents caused by exposure to smoke, fire and	29	1.1034	0.0810	7.3	0.9447	1.2622
flames	*	*	*	*	*	*
substances       X40–X49       E850–E869,E924.1       *         Other and unspecified accidents       W20–W31,W35–W64,W85–W99,       E900–E909,E914–E921,E923–E924.0,	*	*	*	*	*	*
X10—X39,X50—X59  K20—W01,W03—W99,  K20—W01,W01,W03—W99,  K20—W01,W01,W03—W99,  K20—W01,W01,W01,W01,W01,W01,W01,W01,W01,W01,	*	*	*	*	*	*
Assault (homicide)	154	0.9481	0.0179	1.9	0.9130	0.9831
suffocation	*	*	*	*	*	*
Assault (homicide) by discharge of firearms	*	*	*	*	*	*
syndromes	*	*	*	*	*	*
Assault (homicide) by other and unspecified means X85–X90,X92,X96–X99,Y00–Y05, E960–E962,E964,E965.5–E966, Y08–Y09 E968.0–E968.3, E968.8–E968.9 91	88	1.0341	0.0417	4.0	0.9524	1.1158
Complications of medical and surgical care	*	1.0041	0.041 <i>/</i> *	4.U *	v.3324 *	1.1130
Other external causes	*	*	*	*	*	*

<sup>\*</sup> Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; see Technical notes.

<sup>0.0</sup> Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05.

selecting the underlying cause of death. Records that could not be processed were rejected for manual coding. Since the rejects are not fully representative of the complete file, the comparability ratios in this report are biased to an unknown extent. For most categories the bias is believed to be small. Tables III and IV show comparability ratios only for causes of death for which the data were deemed reliable; data not deemed reliable were replaced with an asterisk (\*).

For the 15 leading causes of death in 1999 according to ICD-10, table 8 presents death rates for 1999, death rates for 1998 for the most nearly comparable ICD-9 titles (tables I and II) multiplied by the comparability ratio (comparability-modified rates), and death rates for 1998 that are not comparability modified. Comparability-modified data for 1998 uses ICD-9 codes that approximate ICD-10 categories (table III).

### Selected causes of death with problems of interpretation

Changes between the comparability-modified 1998 rates and the 1999 rates for selected causes should be interpreted with caution due to concerns with the accuracy of the comparability ratio if the ratio does not accurately account for difference in the coding and classification system, changes in death rates between 1998 and 1999 will be under or overstated. Although comparability-modified 1998 rates are presented in this report for only the 15 leading causes of death (table 8), the following paragraphs attempt to explain some of the issues in interpreting these data for selected causes in the List of 113 Selected Causes of Death and the List of 130 Selected Causes of Infant Death. For further explanation of these issues, refer to the report, Comparability of Cause of Death Between ICD-9 and ICD-10: Preliminary Estimates (9).

Alzheimer's disease—The comparability ratio for Alzheimer's disease (ICD–10 code G30) is 1.5536 (table III), indicating a 55 percent increase in Alzheimer's disease deaths when classified by ICD–10. In absolute terms, more than 10,000 additional deaths were classified to Alzheimer's disease in ICD–10 than in ICD–9. Nearly all of this increase (about 95 percent) comes from deaths that were classified in ICD–9 as Presenile dementia (ICD–9 code 290.1).

The application of the comparability ratio presented for Alzheimer's disease to years later than 1996 may substantially underestimate the increase in Alzheimer's disease due to ICD-10. Increases in the reporting of Alzheimer's-type dementia have occurred since 1996, resulting in substantial increases in Presentle dementia from 1996 to 1998. The number of Alzheimer's disease deaths increased by about 1,000 deaths between 1996 and 1997; slowing to an increase of about 300 between 1997 and 1998. In contrast, the increase in Presenile dementia was more substantial, about 2,000 deaths each year. If the comparability ratio were based on 1998 data it would probably be at least 1.69 (approximating the ICD-10-classified Alzheimer's disease deaths by adding the Alzheimer's disease and Presenile dementia deaths). Assuming proportionately similar increases in the ICD-9 classification of Alzheimer's disease and Presenile dementia from 1998 to 1999, the comparability ratio based on 1999 data could be as high as 1.8 or 1.9 resulting in higher rates for Alzheimer's disease in 1998. As a consequence, the reported increase in mortality for Alzheimer's disease in table C is overstated considerably.

Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis and Renal failure—Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis (ICD-10 codes N00-N07,N17-N19,N25-N27) has a comparability ratio of 1.2320

(table III). The 23 percent increase in this category is due primarily to changes in the classification of Renal failure (ICD–10 codes N17–N19) that has a comparability ratio of 1.2949. End-stage renal disease, which was classified as an unspecified disorder of the kidney in ICD–9 (ICD–9 code 593.9) (grouped with All other diseases), has been reclassified in ICD–10 as End-stage renal disease (ICD–10 code N18.0), a subcategory of Renal failure (N17–N19). This results in adding a substantial number of deaths to the Renal failure and Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis categories.

When applied to years later than 1996, the comparability ratios for Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis and Renal failure presented in this report may underestimate the increase in these causes due to ICD–10. From 1996 to 1999 reporting of End-stage renal disease increased by about 1,900 deaths. This increase disproportionately affects the numerator of the comparability ratio since End-stage renal disease is included with Renal failure in ICD–10, but not in ICD–9. Thus, the numerator of the comparability ratio should probably be larger by roughly 1,900 deaths giving a comparability ratio about 1.4 for Renal failure and about 1.3 for Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis.

Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium—The large increase in the number of deaths attributable to Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium (ICD–10 codes O00-O99) is due to a selection rule change in ICD–10 (26). See section entitled *Maternal mortality*.

Motor vehicle accidents and Other land transport accidents—The preliminary comparability ratio for Motor vehicle accidents shown in table III (0.9754) is different from that shown in the report, Comparability of Cause of Death Between ICD-9 and ICD-10: Preliminary Estimates (9). For a death to be classified as a Motor vehicle accident in ICD-10, it must be explicit that the injury involved a "motor" vehicle. In ICD-9, in the absence of the term "motor" or when a vehicle accident was reported as occurring on a highway or road, the assumption was to classify the accident as involving a motor vehicle. ICD-10 does not allow this assumption and classifies such accidents as involving unspecified vehicles (categorized in ICD-10 as Other land transport accidents). However, for U.S. data, it has been decided that, if an accident occurred on a highway or road, classification to Motor vehicle accident is appropriate. This change is made in this report. Taking into account, this change in classification results in a revised comparability ratio for Motor vehicle accidents. This ratio is only applicable to data in which the aforementioned classification change was implemented. It is possible that some States may have released data that does not include this change.

Diarrhea and gastroenteritis of infectious origin—The apparent elimination of infant deaths due to Diarrhea and gastroenteritis of infectious origin (ICD-10 code A09) occurred because in ICD-10, for developed countries, diarrhea or gastroenteritis is presumed to be noninfectious unless specified otherwise. In ICD-9 the presumption was that the disease was infectious when unspecified. Records coded in ICD-9 to ICD-9 code 009.0 (Infectious colitis, enteritis, and gastroenteritis) are reclassified in ICD-10 to noninfectious causes.

Birth trauma—For newborns, cerebral hemorrhage either unspecified or due to birth injury, anoxia, or hypoxia was classified in ICD–9 to a birth injury or trauma (ICD–9 code 767.0, Subdural and cerebral hemorrhage). In ICD–10, for the cerebral hemorrhage to be classified as birth injury (ICD–10 code P10.0, Subdural hemorrhage due to birth injury), the certifier must specify that there was a birth injury. Cerebral hemorrhages either unspecified or due to anoxia or hypoxia are classified as nontraumatic. Nearly all of the Birth trauma (ICD–10 codes

P10-P15) cases are reclassified to nontraumatic causes: thus the numerator of the comparability ratio is based on a very small number (table IV).

Atelectasis-In ICD-10, when hypoplasia or dysplasia of lung is mentioned on the death certificate with prematurity or short gestation, the appropriate classification is Primary atelectasis of newborn (ICD-10 codes P28.0-P28.1) rather than Hypoplasia and dysplasia of lung (ICD-10 code Q33.6). Due to this coding change, the number of deaths classified to Atelectasis increased substantially in 1999.

Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS)—The large decrease in the number of deaths attributable to SIDS (ICD-10 code R95) is partially due to the change in the way SIDS is diagnosed in the medical community and reported on the death certificate. Many of these deaths have been classified to the category Other symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified.

#### Codes for firearm deaths

Causes of death attributable to firearm mortality include ICD-10 codes W32-W34, Accidental discharge of firearms; X72-X74, Intentional self-harm (suicide) by discharge of firearms; X93-X95, Assault (homicide) by discharge of firearms; Y22-Y24, Discharge of firearms, undetermined intent; and Y35.0, Legal intervention involving firearm discharge. Deaths from injury by firearms exclude deaths due to explosives and other causes indirectly related to firearms.

## Codes for drug-induced deaths

Causes of death attributable to drug-induced mortality include selected codes from the ICD-10 title Mental and behavioral disorders due to psychoactive substance use, specifically, ICD-10 codes F11.0-F11.5, F11.7-F11.9, F12.0-F12.5, F12.7-F12.9, F13.0-F13.5, F13.7-F13.9, F14.0-F14.5, F14.7-F14.9, F15.0-F15.5, F15.7-F15.9, F16.0-F16.5, F16.7-F16.9, F17.0, F17.3-F17.5, F17.7-F17.9, F18.0-F18.5, F18.7-F18.9, F19.0-F19.5, and F19.7-F19.9; Accidental poisoning by and exposure to drugs, medicaments and biological substances, X40–X44; Intentional self-poisoning (suicide) by and exposure to drugs, medicaments and biological substances, X60-X64; Assault (homicide) by drugs, medicaments and biological substances, X85; and Poisoning by and exposure to drugs, medicaments and biological substances, undetermined intent, Y10-Y14. Drug-induced causes exclude accidents, homicides, and other causes indirectly related to drug use. Also excluded are newborn deaths associated with mother's drug use.

## Codes for alcohol-induced deaths

Causes of death attributable to alcohol-induced mortality include ICD-10 codes F10, Mental and behavioral disorders due to alcohol use; G31.2, Degeneration of nervous system due to alcohol; G62.1, Alcoholic polyneuropathy; I42.6, Alcoholic cardiomyopathy; K29.2, Alcoholic gastritis; K70, Alcoholic liver disease; R78.0, Finding of alcohol in blood; X45, Accidental poisoning by and exposure to alcohol; X65. Intentional self-poisoning by and exposure to alcohol; and Y15, Poisoning by and exposure to alcohol, undetermined intent. Alcohol-induced causes exclude accidents, homicides, and other causes indirectly related to alcohol use. This category also excludes newborn deaths associated with maternal alcohol use.

#### Marital status

Age-specific and age-adjusted death rates by marital status are shown in table 22. Mortality data by marital status is generally of high quality. A study of death certificate data using the 1986 National Mortality Followback Survey showed a high level of consistency in reporting marital status (37). Age-adjusted death rates by marital status were computed based on the age-specific rates and the standard population for ages 25 years and over. While age-specific death rates by marital status are shown for the age group 15-24 years, they are not included in the computation of the age-adjusted rate because of their high variability, particularly among the widowed population. Also, the age groups 75-84 and 85 years and over are combined due to high variability in death rates in the 85 year and over age group, particularly for the never-married population.

#### **Educational attainment**

Beginning with the 1989 data year, an item indicating decedent's educational attainment was added to the certificates of numerous States. Mortality data on educational attainment for 1999 are based on deaths to residents of the 46 States and the District of Columbia whose data were approximately 80 percent or more complete on a place-of-occurrence basis. Data for Kentucky were excluded using this criterion. Data for Georgia, Rhode Island, and South Dakota were excluded because the item was not on their certificates.

Age-specific and age-adjusted death rates by educational attainment are shown in table 23. Age-adjusted death rates by educational attainment were computed based on the age-specific rates and the standard population for ages 25-64 years. Data for age groups 65 years and over are not shown because reporting quality is poorer at older than younger ages (46).

Rates by educational attainment are affected by differences in the measuring education for the numerator and the denominator. The numerator is based on number of years of education completed as reported on the death certificate whereas the denominator is based on highest degree completed as reported on census surveys (47).

## Injury at work

Information on deaths attributed to injuries at work is derived from a separate item on the death certificate that asks the medical certifier whether the death resulted from an injury sustained at work. The item is on the death certificate of all States. Number of deaths, age-specific death rates, and age-adjusted death rates for injury at work are shown in tables 24 and 25. Deaths, crude death rates, and age-adjusted death rates for injury at work are shown for ages 15 years and over. Age-adjusted death rates for injury at work were computed using age-specific death rates and the U.S. standard population based on year 2000 standard for ages 15 years and over. See section on Computation of Rates.

## Infant mortality

Infant mortality rates are the most commonly used index for measuring the risk of dying during the first year of life. The rates presented in this report are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths in a calendar year by the number of live births registered for the same period and are presented as rates per 1,000

or per 100,000 live births. For final birth figures used in the denominator for infant mortality rates, see *Births: Final Data for 1999* (48). In contrast to infant mortality rates based on live births, infant death rates are based on the estimated population under 1 year of age. Infant death rates that appear in tabulations of age-specific death rates in this report are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths by the estimated population of persons under 1 year of age on July 1, 1999, and are presented as rates per 100,000 population in this age group. Because of differences in the denominators, infant death rates may differ from infant mortality rates.

## **Maternal mortality**

Maternal mortality rates are computed on the basis of the number of live births. The maternal mortality rate indicates the likelihood of a pregnant woman dying of maternal causes. They are calculated by dividing the number of maternal deaths in a calendar year by the number of live births registered for the same period and are presented as rates per 100,000 live births. The number of live births used in the denominator is an approximation of the population of pregnant women who are at risk of a maternal death.

"Maternal deaths" are defined by the World Health Organization as "the death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and the site of the pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management, but not from accidental or incidental causes" (6). Included in these deaths are ICD-10 codes O00-O95, O98-O99, and A34.

Changes have been made in the classification and coding of maternal deaths between ICD-9 and ICD-10, effective with mortality data for 1999. Some State death certificates include a separate question regarding pregnancy status. A positive response to the question is interpreted as "pregnant" being reported in Part II of the cause-of-death section of the death certificate. If a specified length of time is not provided by the medical certifier, it is assumed that the pregnancy terminated 42 days or less prior to death. Further, if only indirect maternal causes of death (that is, a previously existing disease or a disease that developed during pregnancy that was not due to direct obstetric causes but was aggravated by physiologic effects of pregnancy) are reported in Part I and pregnancy is reported in either Part I or Part II, ICD-10 classifies this as a maternal death. ICD-9 only classified the death as maternal if pregnancy was reported in Part I.

## Quality of reporting and processing cause of death

One index of the quality of reporting causes of death is the proportion of death certificates coded to Chapter XVIII; Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified (ICD-10 codes R00-R99). Although deaths occur for which the underlying causes are impossible to determine, this proportion indicates the care and consideration given to the cause-of-death statement by the medical certifier. This proportion also may be used as a rough measure of the specificity of the medical diagnoses made by the certifier in various areas. In 1999, 1.12 percent of all reported deaths in the United States were assigned to Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified. The percent of deaths from this cause for all ages combined generally has remained stable since 1990.

#### Rare causes of death

Selected causes of death considered to be of public health concern are routinely confirmed by the States according to agreed upon procedures between the State vital statistics programs and the National Center for Health Statistics. These causes, termed Infrequent and rare causes of death, are listed in the NCHS instruction manuals Parts 2a, 11, and 20 (26,49,50).

As a consequence of the major effort involved in implementing a new revision of the ICD, a number of States did not provide complete confirmation of deaths from Infrequent and rare causes for 1999. These States include the following: California, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York City, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Washington, and West Virginia.

## Population bases for computing rates

The population used for computing death rates in this report (furnished by the U.S. Bureau of the Census) represents the population residing in the specified area, enumerated as of April 1 for census years and estimated as of July 1 for all other years. Death rates for the United States for 1999 are based on population estimates as of July 1, 1999, shown in table V by 10-year age groups and available by 5-year age groups on the mortality Web site at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/datawh/statab/unpubd/mortabs.htm (51). The estimates are based on the 1990 census level counts. The 1990 census level counts by race were modified to be consistent with U.S. Office of Management and Budget categories and historical categories for death data (52). The population estimates for Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, and Other Hispanics, shown in table VI, and the population estimates by marital status, shown in table VII, are based on the Current Population Survey adjusted to resident population control totals (53) for the United States and, as such, are subject to sampling variation (see "Random variation").

Population estimates by educational attainment, shown in table VIII, are also based on the Current Population Survey (53) adjusted to resident population control totals for 46 States and the District of Columbia and are also subject to sampling variation (see "Random variation").

Population estimates for each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and Northern Marianas, shown in table IX, are based on demographic analysis and, therefore, are not subject to sampling variation (54–59).

## Computing rates

Except for infant and maternal mortality rates, rates are on an annual basis per 1,000 or per 100,000 estimated population residing in the specified area. Infant and maternal mortality rates are per 1,000 or per 100,000 live births. Comparisons made in the text among rates, unless otherwise specified, are statistically significant at the 0.05 level of significance. Lack of comment in the text about any two rates does not mean that the difference was tested and found not to be significant at this level.

Age-adjusted rates are used to compare relative mortality risks among groups and over time. However, they should be viewed as

Table V. Estimated population by 10-year age groups, specified race and sex: United States, 1999

		All races			White			Black		A	merican India	an	Asian	or Pacific Isl	ander
Age	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Total	272,690,813	133,276,559	139,414,254	224,610,797	110,336,291	114,274,506	34,862,169	16,557,186	18,304,983	2,397,426	1,186,745	1,210,681	10,820,421	5,196,337	5,624,084
Under 1 year	3,819,903	1,952,133	1,867,770	3,027,180	1,549,389	1,477,791	568,772	289,078	279,694	42,542	21,442	21,100	181,409	92,224	89,185
1–4 years	15,122,239	7,730,542	7,391,697	12,015,456	6,155,680	5,859,776	2,226,888	1,129,687	1,097,201	159,576	80,755	78,821	720,319	364,420	355,899
5-14 years	39,495,230	20,219,664	19,275,566	31,094,794	15,940,356	15,154,438	6,232,872	3,166,617	3,066,255	467,966	237,653	230,313	1,699,598	875,038	824,560
15-24 years	37,773,512	19,334,049	18,439,463	30,014,705	15,441,143	14,573,562	5,740,422	2,881,622	2,858,800	428,979	215,783	213,196	1,589,406	795,501	793,905
25-34 years	37,935,812	18,826,288	19,109,524	30,431,393	15,273,321	15,158,072	5,286,663	2,505,284	2,781,379	374,047	191,269	182,778	1,843,709	856,414	987,295
35-44 years	44,812,649	22,254,316	22,558,333	36,946,545	18,540,240	18,406,305	5,652,358	2,653,695	2,998,663	358,769	178,119	180,650	1,854,977	882,262	972,715
45-54 years	35,802,358	17,499,088	18,303,270	30,249,529	14,954,220	15,295,309	3,928,525	1,783,710	2,144,815	256,008	123,692	132,316	1,368,296	637,466	730,830
55-64 years	23,389,085	11,150,407	12,238,678	20,133,661	9,710,115	10,423,546	2,345,099	1,014,648	1,330,451	148,113	69,600	78,513	762,212	356,044	406,168
65-74 years	18,218,248	8,198,696	10,019,552	15,958,629	7,243,777	8,714,852	1,678,493	707,523	970,970	90,511	40,756	49,755	490,615	206,640	283,975
75-84 years 85 years	12,146,695	4,871,134	7,275,561	10,965,640	4,414,172	6,551,468	889,080	333,297	555,783	50,534	21,212	29,322	241,441	102,453	138,988
and over	4,175,082	1,240,242	2,934,840	3,773,265	1,113,878	2,659,387	312,997	92,025	220,972	20,381	6,464	13,917	68,439	27,875	40,564

SOURCE: Unpublished Bureau of the Census file NESTV99.

Table VI. Estimated population by 10-year age groups, according to specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic population, and sex: United States, 1999

Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic population, and sex	Total	Under 1 year	1–4 years	5–14 years	15–24 years	25–34 years	35–44 years	45–54 years	55–64 years	65–74 years	75–84 years	85 years and over
All origins	272,690,817	3,819,898	15,122,243	39,495,210	37,773,512	37,935,822	44,812,633	35,802,344	23,389,103	18,218,255	12,146,702	4,175,095
	133,276,546	1,952,129	7,730,544	20,219,645	19,334,054	18,826,296	22,254,296	17,499,079	11,150,419	8,198,701	4,871,139	1,240,244
	139,414,271	1,867,769	7,391,699	19,275,565	18,439,458	19,109,526	22,558,337	18,303,265	12,238,684	10,019,554	7,275,563	2,934,851
Hispanic.  Male Female  Mexican  Male  Female  Puerto Rican.	31,337,161	721,505	2,745,603	5,982,424	5,470,190	5,230,172	4,748,231	2,914,649	1,679,035	1,101,735	554,434	189,183
	15,761,486	367,917	1,401,922	3,054,760	2,839,902	2,694,460	2,423,093	1,423,924	778,134	485,849	227,993	63,532
	15,575,675	353,588	1,343,681	2,927,664	2,630,288	2,535,712	2,325,138	1,490,725	900,901	615,886	326,441	125,651
	20,488,782	512,263	1,982,862	4,165,118	3,787,811	3,503,948	2,925,177	1,708,153	924,033	597,836	292,282	89,299
	10,548,482	261,059	997,510	2,151,110	2,004,072	1,838,773	1,530,723	876,699	450,397	271,914	132,653	33,572
	9,940,300	251,204	985,352	2,014,008	1,783,739	1,665,175	1,394,454	831,454	473,636	325,922	159,629	55,727
	2,945,172	60,495	231,465	544,553	505,575	451,306	439,630	317,737	193,064	127,288	62,256	11,803
Male Female Cuban Male Female Other Hispanic <sup>1</sup> Male Female	1,419,464	30,919	128,458	280,642	246,828	210,967	203,359	149,028	90,634	49,110	25,783	3,736
	1,525,708	29,576	103,007	263,911	258,747	240,339	236,271	168,709	102,430	78,178	36,473	8,067
	1,344,410	16,287	54,265	135,355	136,076	175,451	208,400	169,844	173,622	144,959	97,666	32,485
	646,862	7,260	17,640	72,174	66,202	86,250	112,076	82,361	86,336	75,189	31,530	9,844
	697,548	9,027	36,625	63,181	69,874	89,201	96,324	87,483	87,286	69,770	66,136	22,641
	6,558,797	132,460	477,011	1,137,398	1,040,728	1,099,467	1,175,024	718,915	388,316	231,652	102,230	55,596
	3,146,678	68,679	258,314	550,834	522,800	558,470	576,935	315,836	150,767	89,636	38,027	16,380
	3,412,119	63,781	218,697	586,564	517,928	540,997	598,089	403,079	237,549	142,016	64,203	39,216
Non-Hispanic <sup>2</sup> .  Male Female White Male Female Black Male Female Female	241,353,656	3,098,393	12,376,640	33,512,786	32,303,322	32,705,650	40,064,402	32,887,695	21,710,068	17,116,520	11,592,268	3,985,912
	117,515,060	1,584,212	6,328,622	17,164,885	16,494,152	16,131,836	19,831,203	16,075,155	10,372,285	7,712,852	4,643,146	1,176,712
	123,838,596	1,514,181	6,048,018	16,347,901	15,809,170	16,573,814	20,233,199	16,812,540	11,337,783	9,403,668	6,949,122	2,809,200
	196,049,405	2,366,680	9,504,139	25,662,188	25,019,655	25,667,506	32,639,082	27,600,764	18,598,444	14,945,247	10,450,220	3,595,480
	95,962,070	1,212,565	4,873,529	13,167,936	12,843,492	12,814,074	16,340,919	13,659,876	8,997,644	6,795,986	4,201,617	1,054,432
	100,087,335	1,154,115	4,630,610	12,494,252	12,176,163	12,853,432	16,298,163	13,940,888	9,600,800	8,149,261	6,248,603	2,541,048
	33,092,411	529,001	2,074,442	5,886,951	5,446,361	4,996,800	5,366,444	3,757,034	2,249,229	1,617,792	862,710	305,647
	15,674,062	268,703	1,051,490	2,988,505	2,731,741	2,360,779	2,507,837	1,699,897	971,148	681,338	322,995	89,629
	17,418,349	260,298	1,022,952	2,898,446	2,714,620	2,636,021	2,858,607	2,057,137	1,278,081	936,454	539,715	216,018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes Central and South American and Other and unknown Hispanic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes races other than white and black.

Table VII. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over by marital status, 10-year age groups, race, and sex: United States, 1999

Race, sex, and marital status	15 years and over	15–24 years	25–34 years	35–44 years	45–54 years	55–64 years	65–74 years	75 years and over
All races <sup>1</sup>	214,253,450	37,773,516	37,935,789	44,812,661	35,802,370	23,389,072	18,218,238	16,321,804
Never married	59,325,869	33,280,736	13,443,119	7,028,745	3,023,419	1,216,086	694,728	639,036
Ever married	154,927,581	4,492,780	24,492,670	37,783,916	32,778,951	22,172,986	17,523,510	15,682,768
Married	120,319,059	4,170,254	21,821,638	31,386,346	26,347,606	17,153,203	12,121,780	7,318,232
Widowed	14,703,589	14,013	92,354	404,593	874,824	1,818,109	3,848,043	7,651,653
Divorced	19,904,933	308,513	2,578,678	5,992,977	5,556,521	3,201,674	1,553,687	712,883
All races,1 male	103,374,198	19,334,060	18,826,271	22,254,312	17,499,075	11,150,399	8,198,689	6,111,392
Never married	32,158,349	17,663,740	7,700,596	4,084,523	1,555,183	611,445	307,972	234,890
Ever married	71,215,849	1,670,320	11,125,675	18,169,789	15,943,892	10,538,954	7,890,717	5,876,502
Married	59,887,583	1,558,121	10,014,050	15,284,800	13,366,454	8,881,852	6,520,127	4,262,179
Widowed	2,697,871	1,205	17,991	104,440	174,507	319,701	710,507	1,369,520
Divorced	8,630,395	110,994	1,093,634	2,780,549	2,402,931	1,337,401	660,083	244,803
All races, female	110,879,252	18,439,456	19,109,518	22,558,349	18,303,295	12,238,673	10,019,549	10,210,412
Never married	27,167,520	15,616,996	5,742,523	2,944,222	1,468,236	604,641	386,756	404,146
Ever married	83,711,732	2,822,460	13,366,995	19,614,127	16,835,059	11,634,032	9,632,793	9,806,266
Married	60,431,476	2,612,133	11,807,588	16,101,546	12,981,152	8,271,351	5,601,653	3,056,053
Widowed	12,005,718	12,808	74,363	300,153	700,317	1,498,408	3,137,536	6,282,133
Divorced	11,274,538	197,519	1,485,044	3,212,428	3,153,590	1,864,273	893,604	468,080
Divorceu	11,274,330	197,519	1,465,044	3,212,420	3,133,390	1,004,273	093,004	400,000
White	178,473,363	30,014,708	30,431,387	36,946,548	30,249,543	20,133,641	15,958,634	14,738,902
Never married	44,853,837	26,047,748	9,676,554	4,926,191	2,188,638	915,291	536,697	562,718
Ever married	133,619,526	3,966,960	20,754,833	32,020,357	28.060.905	19,218,350	15,421,937	14.176.184
Married	104,652,644	3,693,245	18,538,676	26,783,108	22,788,468	15,158,095	10,910,637	6,780,415
Widowed	12,439,757	8,727	66,107	315,778	662,094	1,414,227	3,190,185	6,782,639
Divorced	16,527,125	264,988	2,150,050	4,921,471	4,610,343	2,646,028	1,321,115	613,130
White male	86,690,843	15,441,144	15,273,309	18,540,233	14,954,211	9,710,105	7,243,785	5,528,056
Never married	24,941,567	13,975,201	5,786,730	3,069,701	1,190,999	481,755	236,661	200,520
Ever married	61,749,276	1,465,943	9,486,579	15,470,532	13,763,212	9,228,350	7,007,124	5,327,536
Married	52,237,112	1,366,346	8,563,878	13,068,602	11,581,204	7,856,009	5,873,623	3,927,450
Widowed	2,247,711	846	17,414	80,029	132,439	244,324	577,045	1,195,614
Divorced	7,264,453	98,751	905,287	2,321,901	2,049,569	1,128,017	556,456	204,472
White female	91,782,520	14,573,564	15,158,078	18,406,315	15,295,332	10,423,536	8,714,849	9,210,846
Never married	19,912,270	12,072,547	3,889,824	1,856,490	997,639	433,536	300,036	362,198
Ever married	71,870,250	2,501,017	11,268,254	16,549,825	14,297,693	9,990,000		
							8,414,813	8,848,648
Married	52,415,532	2,326,899	9,974,798	13,714,506	11,207,264	7,302,086	5,037,014	2,852,965
Widowed	10,192,046	7,881	48,693	235,749	529,655	1,169,903	2,613,140	5,587,025
Divorced	9,262,672	166,237	1,244,763	2,599,570	2,560,774	1,518,011	764,659	408,658
Black	25,833,663	5,740,427	5,286,661	5,652,362	3,928,525	2,345,107	1,678,490	1,202,091
Never married	11,191,899	5,401,212	2,830,960	1,776,005	713,843	271,582	136,849	61,448
Ever married	14,641,764	339,215	2,455,701	3,876,357	3,214,682	2,073,525	1,541,641	1,140,643
Married	9,986,018	307,153	2,093,204	2,914,336	2,237,024	1,268,666	810,750	354,885
Widowed	1,835,077	2,256	24,685	72,818	173,022	330,517	527,831	703,948
Divorced	2,820,669	29,806	337,812	889,203	804,636	474,342	203,060	81,810
Black male	11,971,816	2,881,630	2,505,282	2,653,699	1,783,711	1,014,651	707,518	425,325
Never married	5,475,863	2,750,533	1,374,466	830,959	315,717	116,805	62,027	25,356
Ever married	6,495,953	131,097	1,130,816	1,822,740	1,467,994	897,846	645,491	399,969
Married	4,962,125	122,449	975,428	1,418,674	1,128,887	659,012	441,587	216,088
Widowed	381,222	0	0,0,120	20,097	39,804	60,441	111,753	149,127
Divorced	1,152,606	8,648	155,388	383,969	299,303	178,393	92,151	34,754
Black female	13,861,847	2,858,797	2,781,379	2,998,663	2,144,814	1,330,456	970,972	776,766
Never married	5,716,036	2,650,679	1,456,494	945,046	398,126	154,777	74,822	36,092
Ever married	8,145,811	208,118	1,324,885	2,053,617	1,746,688	1,175,679	896,150	740,674
Married	5,023,893	184,704	1,117,776	1,495,662	1,108,137	609,654	369,163	138,797
Widowed	1,453,855	2,256	24,685	52,721	133,218	270,076	416,078	554,821
Divorced	1,668,063	21,158	182,424	505,234	505,333	295,949	110,909	47,056

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes races other than white and black.

SOURCE: Population estimates based on unpublished tabulations prepared by the Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census.

relative indexes rather than as actual measures of mortality risk. They were computed by the direct method, that is, by applying age-specific death rates to the U.S. standard population.

Beginning with the 1999 data year, a new population standard was adopted by NCHS for use in age-adjusting death rates. Based on the year 2000 projected population of the United States, the new

standard replaces the 1940 standard population that had been used for over 50 years. The new population standard affects levels of mortality and to some extent trends and group comparisons. Of particular note are the effects on race comparison of mortality. For detailed discussion see *Age Standardization of Death Rates: Implementation of the Year 2000 Standard* (7).

Table VIII. Estimated population for ages 25-64 years, by educational attainment and sex: Total of 46 reporting States and the District of Columbia, 1999

	25-64	25 <del>-3</del> 4	35-44	45-54	55-64
Years of school completed and sex	years	years	years	years	years
Both sexes	134,833,509	36,084,064	42,608,999	33,842,243	22,298,203
Under 12 years	17,266,667	4,433,942	4,933,222	3,819,020	4,080,483
12 years	44,118,610	11,032,314	14,373,871	10,601,169	8,111,256
13 or more years	73,448,232	20,617,808	23,301,906	19,422,054	10,106,464
Male	66,309,181	17,920,066	21,187,418	16,562,700	10,638,997
Under 12 years	8,790,283	2,371,126	2,629,132	1,855,186	1,934,839
12 years	21,261,941	5,762,296	7,282,051	4,733,429	3,484,165
13 or more years	36,256,957	9,786,644	11,276,235	9,974,085	5,219,993
Female	68,524,328	18,163,998	21,421,581	17,279,543	11,659,206
Under 12 years	8,476,384	2,062,816	2,304,090	1,963,834	2,145,644
12 years	22,856,669	5,270,018	7,091,820	5,867,740	4,627,091
13 or more years	37,191,275	10,831,164	12,025,671	9,447,969	4,886,471

SOURCE: Population estimates based on unpublished tabulations prepared by the Housing and Household Economic Statistics Divsion, U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table IX. Estimated population for the United States, each division, each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and Northern Marianas, 1999

Area	Total	Area	Total
United States	272,690,813	East South Central	16,582,841
	, ,	Kentucky	3,960,825
New England	13,495,933	Tennessee	5,483,535
Maine	1,253,040	Alabama	4,369,862
New Hampshire	1,201,134	Mississippi	2,768,619
Vermont	593,740	West South Central	30,325,593
Massachusetts	6,175,169	Arkansas	2,551,373
Rhode Island	990,819	Louisiana	4,372,035
Connecticut	3.282.031	Oklahoma	3.358.044
Middle Atlantic	38,334,029	Texas	20.044.141
New York	18.196.601	Mountain	17,127,479
New Jersey	8.143.412	Montana	882.779
Pennsylvania	11,994,016	Idaho	1,251,700
East North Central	44.442.146	Wyoming	479.602
Ohio	11,256,654	Colorado	4,056,133
Indiana	5,942,901	New Mexico	1,739,844
Illinois	12,128,370	Arizona	4,778,332
Michigan	9,863,775	Utah	2,129,836
Wisconsin	5,250,446	Nevada	1,809,253
Vest North Central	18.800.138	Pacific	44.022.633
Minnesota	4,775,508	Washington	5,756,361
lowa	2,869,413	Oregon	3,316,154
Missouri	5,468,338	California	33,145,121
North Dakota	633,666	Alaska	619,500
South Dakota	733,133	Hawaii	1,185,497
Nebraska	1,666,028	Hawaii	1,100,401
Kansas	2,654,052	Puerto Rico	3,889,507
South Atlantic	49.560.021	Virgin Islands	119.615
Delaware	753.538	Guam	151,968
	5,171,634	American Samoa	63.781
Maryland	519.000	Northern Marianas	69,216
	6,872,912	Northern Manaras	09,210
Virginia	1,806,928		
West Virginia	, ,		
North Carolina	7,650,789		
South Carolina	3,885,736		
Georgia	7,788,240		
Florida	15,111,244		

SOURCE: Unpublished Bureau of the Census file STRES991.txt.

All age-adjusted rates shown in this report are based on the year 2000 standard population. The year 2000 standard population and corresponding weights used for computing age-adjusted rates and relative standard errors (RSEs), excluding those by marital status, education, injury at work, and the U.S. territories, are shown in table X.

Age-adjusted rates by marital status were computed by applying the age-specific death rates to the U.S. standard population for ages 25 years and over. Although age-specific death rates by marital status are shown for the age group 15-24 years, they are not included in the calculation of age-adjusted rate because of their high

Table X. United States standard population: Numbers and proportions (weights)

Age	Number	Weights (w <sub>i</sub> )
All ages	1,000,000	1.000000
Under 1 year	13,818	0.013818
1–4 years	55,317	0.055317
5–14 years	145,565	0.145565
15–24 years	138,646	0.138646
25–34 years	135,573	0.135573
35–44 years	162,613	0.162613
45–54 years	134,834	0.134834
55–64 years	87,247	0.087247
65–74 years	66,037	0.066037
75–84 years	44,842	0.044842
85 years and over	15,508	0.015508

variability, particularly among the widowed population. Also, the age groups 75–84 and 85 years and over are combined because of high variability in death rates in the 85 years and over age group, particularly for the never married population. The year 2000 standard population and corresponding weights used for computing age-adjusted rates and relative standard errors by marital status are shown in table XI.

Table XI. United States standard population for ages 25 years and over: Numbers and proportions (weights)

Age	Number	Weights (w <sub>i</sub> )
25 years and over	646.654	1.000000
25–34 years	135,573	0.209653
35–44 years	162,613 134,834	0.251468 0.208510
45–54 years	87,247	0.134921
65–74 years	66,037	0.102121
75 years and over	60,350	0.093327

Age-adjusted rates by educational attainment were computed by applying the age-specific death rates to the U.S. standard population for ages 25–64 years. Data for age groups 65 years and over are not shown because reporting quality is poorer for older than for younger ages (46). The year 2000 standard population and corresponding weights used for computing age-adjusted rates and relative standard errors by education are shown in table XII.

Table XII. United States standard population for ages 25–64 years: Numbers and proportions (weights)

Age	Number	Weights (w <sub>i</sub> )
25–64 years	520,267 135,573 162,613 134,834 87,247	1.000000 0.260584 0.312557 0.259163 0.167697

Age-adjusted rates for injury at work were computed by applying the age-specific death rates to the U.S. standard population for ages 15 years and over. The year 2000 standard population and corresponding weights used for computing age-adjusted rates and relative standard errors for injury at work are shown in table XIII.

Table XIII. United States standard population for ages 15 years and over: Numbers and proportions (weights)

Age	Number	Weights (w <sub>i</sub> )
15 years and over	785,300	1.000000
15–24 years	138,646	0.176552
25–34 years	135,573	0.172638
35–44 years	162,613	0.207071
45–54 years	134,834	0.171697
55–64 years	87,247	0.111100
65 years and over	126,387	0.160941

Age-adjusted rates for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and Northern Marianas were computed by applying the age-specific death rates to the U.S. standard population. Age groups for 75 years and over were combined because population counts were unavailable by age group for ages over 75 years. The year 2000 standard population and corresponding weights used for computing age-adjusted rates and relative standard errors for the territories are shown in table XIV.

Table XIV. United States standard population: Numbers and proportions (weights)

Age	Number	Weights (w <sub>i</sub> )
All ages	1,000,000	1.000000
Under 1 year	13,818	0.013818
1–4 years	55,317	0.055317
5–14 years	145,565	0.145565
15–24 years	138,646	0.138646
25–34 years	135,573	0.135573
35–44 years	162,613	0.162613
45–54 years	134,834	0.134834
55–64 years	87,247	0.087247
65–74 years	66,037	0.066037
75 years and over	60,350	0.060350

Using the same standard population, death rates for the total population and for each race-sex group were adjusted separately. The age-adjusted rates were based on 10-year age groups. It is important not to compare age-adjusted death rates with crude rates.

Death rates for the Hispanic population are based only on events to persons reported as Hispanic. Rates for non-Hispanic white persons are based on the sum of all events to white decedents reported as non-Hispanic and white decedents with origin not stated. Hispanic origin is not imputed if it is not reported.

#### Random variation

The mortality data in this report, with the exception of data for 1972, are not subject to sampling error. In 1972 mortality data were based on a 50-percent sample of deaths because of resource constraints. Mortality data, even based on complete counts, may be affected by random variation. Random variation is discussed for demographic data and cause-of-death data separately because of problems in comparing cause-of-death between ICD revisions.

Demographic data—When the number of events is small (perhaps less than 100) and the probability of such an event is small,

110

considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the data. Such infrequent events may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. For this distribution, the relative standard error (RSE) is a measure of the variability. For computing RSEs in percent, this formula may be used for all tables except for the death rates shown in tables 4, 22, and 23 (see subsection below):

1. 
$$RSE(D) = RSE(R) = 100 \sqrt{\frac{1}{D}}$$

where

D = number of deaths

R = rate

Beginning with 1989 data, an asterisk is shown in place of a rate based on fewer than 20 deaths, which is the equivalent of an RSE(R) of 23 percent or more. A RSE(R) of 23 percent is considered statistically unreliable. For age-adjusted death rates, this criterion was based on the sum of the age-specific deaths. This same procedure is used in this report except for the death rates shown in tables 4, 22, and 23 (see subsection below).

For tables showing the number of deaths (D) (where D is 100 or more) the chances are 95 in 100 that

2. 
$$D - \left(1.96 \cdot D \cdot \frac{\mathsf{RSE}(D)}{100}\right)$$
 and  $D + \left(1.96 \cdot D \cdot \frac{\mathsf{RSE}(D)}{100}\right)$ 

cover the "true" number of deaths. This is referred to as a 95-percent confidence interval. For computing 95-percent confidence intervals when *D* is less than 100 deaths, see the NCHS Web site at <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nchs">http://www.cdc.gov/nchs</a> and refer to "Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of United States: Mortality, 1999.*"

For tables showing a crude death rate (R) or an age-specific death rate (based on 100 or more deaths) for the ith age group  $(R_i)$ , except the rates in tables 4, 22, and 23, the chances are 95 in 100 that the actual rate falls within the confidence interval as computed using the following formula:

3. 
$$R - \left(1.96 \cdot R \cdot \frac{\text{RSE}(R)}{100}\right)$$
 and  $R + \left(1.96 \cdot R \cdot \frac{\text{RSE}(R)}{100}\right)$ 

For computing 95-percent confidence intervals for R when D is less than 100 deaths, see the Web site mentioned above.

For testing the difference between two rates ( $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , each based on 100 or more deaths), the following z-test may be used to define a significance test statistic:

4. 
$$z = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\sqrt{R_1^2 \left(\frac{\text{RSE}(R_1)}{100}\right)^2 + R_2^2 \left(\frac{\text{RSE}(R_2)}{100}\right)^2}}$$

If  $|z| \ge 1.96$ , then the difference is statistically significant at the 0.05 level and if z < 1.96, the difference is not significant. For computing statistical tests when  $R_1$  and/or  $R_2$  are based on less than 100 deaths, see the Web site mentioned above.

For tables showing an age-adjusted death rate (R'), except the rates in tables 4, 22, and 23, the RSEs in formulas 3 and 4 above would be substituted by this formula:

5. RSE(R') = 100 
$$\frac{\sqrt{\sum \left\{w_i^2 R_i^2 \left(\frac{1}{D_i}\right)\right\}}}{R'}$$

where

 $R_i$  = age-specific rate for the *i*th age group

 $w_i$  = ith age-specific U.S. standard population such that  $\sum (w_i)$  = 1.000000 (see table X and age-adjusted death rate under "Definition of terms")

 $D_i$  = number of deaths for the *i*th age group

For tables showing an infant mortality rate (based on live births in the denominator), IMR, the RSEs in formulas 3 and 4 would be substituted by the following formula:

6. RSE(IMR) = 
$$100\sqrt{\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{B}}$$

where

B = number of live births

For tables showing a maternal mortality rate (based on live births in the denominator), the RSEs in formulas 3 and 4 would be substituted with formula 6.

Tables 4, 22, and 23—Rates for Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, and Other Hispanics in table 4, rates by marital status in table 22, and rates by educational attainment in table 23 are based on population estimates derived from the U.S. Bureau of the Census' Current Population Survey and adjusted to resident population control totals. As a result, the rates are subject to the variability of the denominator as well as the numerator. For tables 4, 22, and 23 the following RSE formulas were used to determine an RSE of 23 percent or more for the purpose of showing the rate or an asterisk.

For crude, R, and age-specific death rates,  $R_i$ ,

7. RSE(R) = 
$$100\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\overline{D}}\right) + 0.67\left(a + \frac{b}{\overline{P}}\right)}$$

and for age-adjusted death rates, R',

8. RSE(R') = 100 
$$\frac{\sqrt{\sum \left\{ w_i^2 R_i^2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{D_i} \right) + 0.67 \left( a + \frac{b}{P_i} \right) \right] \right\}}}{R'}$$

where

D = number of deaths

P = population estimate used for computing the rate (see table VI for population estimates used for computing rates in table 4; see table VII for population estimates used for computing rates in table 22; and see table VIII for population estimates used for computing rates in table 23)

 $D_i$  = number of deaths for the *i*th age group

P<sub>i</sub> = population estimate used for computing the *i*th age-specific death rate (see table VI for population estimates used for computing rates in table 4; see table VII for population estimates used for computing rates in table 22; and see table VIII for population estimates used for computing rates in table 23)

 $w_i$  = age-specific U.S. standard population such that  $\sum (w_i)$  = 1.000000 (see table X for weights  $(w_i)$  used for computing age-adjusted rates in table 4; see table XI for weights used for computing age-adjusted rates in table 22; and see table XII for weights used for computing age-adjusted rates in table 23)

 $w_i^2$  = the square of the age-specific U.S. standard population

In table 4, for all origins, total Hispanic, total non-Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, and non-Hispanic black populations,

$$a = 0.000000$$
 and  $b = 0$ 

and for Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, and Other Hispanic populations,

$$a = -0.000238$$
 and  $b = 7,486$ 

In table 22, for all marital status groups combined for all races, white, and black populations,

$$a = 0.000000$$
 and  $b = 0$ ,

for each marital status group for all races and the white population,

$$a = -0.000019$$
 and  $b = 5.211$ ,

and for each marital status group for the black population,

$$a = -0.000213$$
 and  $b = 7,486$ 

In table 23, for all education groups combined,

$$a = 0.000000$$
 and  $b = 0$ 

and for each education group,

$$a = -0.000011$$
 and  $b = 2.369$ 

The *a* and *b* parameters are averages of the 1998 and 1999 CPS standard error parameters (60,61).

To compute 95-percent confidence intervals and z-tests for the death rates (based on 100 or more deaths) shown in tables 4, 22, and 23, the RSE formulas 7 and 8 may be substituted, as appropriate, for the RSEs used in formulas 3 and 4.

Cause-of-death data—The calculation of measures of variability by cause of death take into account the variability of the comparability ratio modified 1998 data for comparison with the 1999 data. For additional information on the statistical tests below, please refer to A Guide to State Implementation of ICD—10 for Mortality, Part II: Applying Comparability Ratios (62) at the following Web site: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/datawh/statab/unpubd/comp.htm.

Two issues arise in the analysis of mortality data across the boundary of two ICD revisions (ICD-9 and ICD-10):

- 1. data presentation and analysis
- 2. statistical tests to ascertain whether the change in mortality between the last year of the old revision (1998) and the first year of the new revision (1999) is a statistically significant change

Table 8 presents death rates for the 15 leading causes of death in 1999 according to ICD-10, compared with death rates for 1998 for the most nearly comparable ICD-9 titles (tables I and II) multiplied by the ICD-10:ICD-9 comparability ratios (comparability-modified death rates). Also shown are the 1998 rates that are not comparability-modified for the same 15 leading causes.

The second issue is determining whether the change in death rates between 1998 and 1999 was statistically significant, taking into account comparability. This is accomplished in a manner similar to statistical analysis of mortality trends within the same revision (8), but

incorporating into the comparisons and the statistical tests explicit regard for comparability. This section focuses on presenting methods for analyzing differences in mortality *between* revisions. The key difference is that the latter analysis must take explicitly into account comparability ratios that measure the quantitative impact of the new revisions on causes of death.

Formulas shown below address the general problem of evaluating differences between two population-based death rates estimated for successive years, between revisions of the ICD. Rates used throughout the section are specific for cause of death. Rates computed using data from an initial year ( $R_1$ ) are assumed to be based on ICD–9, while those for the following year ( $R_2$ ) are assumed to be based on ICD–10. A comparability ratio (C) measures the level of agreement between classification systems. The cause-specific comparability ratio will be applied to  $R_1$  to adjust for the change in the way these deaths were classified for the later revision compared with the earlier revision. In addition to 1998 mortality data, this factor (C) should also be applicable to at least 1994, 1995, 1996, and 1997. The comparability ratio needs to be considered in statistical tests that compare the changes in rates from one year to a subsequent one between revisions.

In applying the formulas, distinctions should be made for cases involving large (100 or more) and small (1–99) numbers of deaths. All formulas in this section are for cases involving large numbers of deaths (100 or more). Formulas for constructing 95 percent confidence intervals for small numbers of deaths are shown in the publication mentioned above (62).

The general formula for obtaining (estimated) RSE's for a point-estimate,  $\theta$  (like a comparability ratio), is the following:

9. RSE(
$$\hat{\theta}$$
) = 100  $\frac{S(\hat{\theta})}{\hat{\theta}}$  where

 $S(\theta)$  = standard error of Theta

The estimated RSE for an age-specific death rate or a crude death rate is given by the formula below:

10. RSE(R) = RSE(D) = 
$$100 \sqrt{\frac{1}{D}}$$

R = the cause-specific death rate produced by dividing the number of deaths attributed to a given cause at a given time by the population-at-risk for that same time period

D = the estimated number of deaths due to a given cause on a given time

The following procedures for constructing approximate 95 percent confidence intervals are ordered depending on whether the death rate was computed based on the recently introduced ICD-10 revision or on the previous (ICD-9) revision, respectively. The rate based on the ICD-9 revision is adjusted by the application of a cause-specific comparability ratio.

For an age-specific or crude death rate based on the ICD-10 revision, the 95 percent confidence interval may be captured as follows:

11. Lower limit: 
$$R_2 - \left(1.96 \cdot R_2 \cdot \frac{\text{RSE}(R_2)}{100}\right)$$

12. Upper limit: 
$$R_2 + \left(1.96 \cdot R_2 \cdot \frac{RSE(R_2)}{100}\right)$$

For an age-specific or crude death rate based on the ICD-9 revision, the 95 percent confidence interval may be captured as follows:

13. Lower limit: 
$$C \cdot R_1 - \left(1.96 \cdot C \cdot R_1 \cdot \frac{\mathsf{RSE}(C \cdot R_1)}{100}\right)$$

14. Upper limit: 
$$C \cdot R_1 + \left(1.96 \cdot C \cdot R_1 \cdot \frac{\mathsf{RSE}(C \cdot R_1)}{100}\right)$$

where

 $R_2$  = death rate (per 100,000) computed for data year under ICD-10

C = ICD-10:ICD-9 comparability ratio specific for the cause-of-death of interest

 $R_1$  = death rate (per 100,000) computed for data year under ICD-9

Let us suppose that the respective ICD-9 and ICD-10 death rates for a cause of death were 11.7  $(R_1)$  and 6.2  $(R_2)$  per 100,000 population. The ICD-10:ICD-9 comparability ratio (C) obtained for this cause was 1.0600. Its standard error, S(C), is 0.0096.

Assume that the numbers of deaths for this cause were 31,130 for ICD–9 and 16,516 for ICD–10. By inserting the number of deaths (D) into formula 10, we obtain the RSEs for both yearly rates: 0.5668 for the ICD–9 rate and 0.7781 for the ICD–10 rate [RSE( $R_1$ ) and RSE( $R_2$ ), respectively].

By inserting the comparability ratio and its standard error into Formula 9, we obtain  $RSE(C) = (0.0096 / 1.0600) \cdot 100 = 0.9057$ .

Since we wish to modify the ICD–9 rate  $(R_1)$  to compensate for the difference in classification systems, we must multiply this rate times the comparability ratio  $C \cdot R_1 = 12.40$ . To obtain the standard error of this modified ICD–9 rate,  $S(C \cdot R_1)$ , we must refer to Formula 17. This formula requires knowing the RSEs for the ICD–9 rate and for the comparability ratio. By substituting these values into the formula, we have that RSE $(C \cdot R_1) = 1.0684$ .

Lower 95-percent confidence interval limit for  $C \cdot R_1 = 12.40 - (1.96 \cdot 0.1325) = 12.14$ .

Upper 95-percent confidence interval limit for  $C \cdot R_1 = 12.40 + (1.96 \cdot 0.1325) = 12.66$ .

Lower 95-percent confidence interval limit for  $R_2 = 6.2 - (1.96 \cdot 0.0482) = 6.10$ .

Upper 95-percent confidence interval limit for  $R_2 = 6.2 + (1.96 \cdot 0.0482) = 6.29$ .

For testing the difference between two rates ( $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , each based on 100 or more deaths), the following z-test that considers the use of a comparability ratio applied to ICD-9 death rates, may be used to define a significance test statistic:

$$\frac{15. \quad z = \frac{C \cdot R_1 - R_2}{\sqrt{C^2 \cdot R_1^2 \left\{ \frac{|\mathsf{RSE}(R_1)|^2}{100} + \frac{|\mathsf{RSE}(C)|^2}{100} \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{|\mathsf{RSE}(R_1)|^2}{100} \right] \right\} + R_2^2 \left( \frac{|\mathsf{RSE}(R_2)|^2}{100} \right)^2}}$$

where

C = ICD-10:ICD-9 comparability ratio for the specific cause category

 $R_1, R_2$  = cause-specific death rates based on ICD-9 and ICD-10 years, respectively

 $RSE(R_1)$  = relative standard error of the ICD-9 cause-specific death rate

 $RSE(R_2)$  = relative standard error of the ICD-10 cause-specific death rate

RSE(C) = relative standard error of the ICD-10:ICD-9 comparability ratio specific for the cause of death

If  $|z| \ge 1.96$ , then the difference is statistically significant at the 0.05 level and if z < 1.96, the difference is not significant. For computing statistical tests when  $R_1$  and/or  $R_2$  are based on less than 100 deaths, see *A Guide to State Implementation of ICD-10 for Mortality, Part II: Applying Comparability Ratios* (62).

For tables showing an age-adjusted death rate, (R'), the RSE in formula 5 above would be substituted by this formula:

16. RSE(
$$R'_2$$
) = 100  $\sqrt{\sum \left[ w_i^2 \cdot R_{i2}^2 \left( \frac{1}{D_{i2}} \right) \right]}$ 

where

R'<sub>2</sub> = age-adjusted death rate for a specific cause of interest, based on ICD-10

i = each age group

 $R_{i2}$  = age-specific death rate for the *i*th age group (ICD-10 file)

 $w_i$  = *i*th age-specific U.S. Standard Population weight such that  $\sum w_i = 1.000000$ 

 $D_{i2}$  = number of deaths for the *i*th age group (ICD-10 file) attributed to the cause of interest

 $C_i$ 's are treated as constants in this report ( $C_i = C$ ). Assuming that we have both an age-specific rate and comparability ratio, we may proceed to compute the RSE for  $C_iR_{i1}$  for each age group. This is the first of two steps necessary for obtaining the RSE of an age-adjusted rate based on ICD-9 data that has been modified through a comparability ratio,  $R'_1$ . For an age-specific comparability ratio and death rate based on the ICD-9 revision, the RSE can be calculated as follows:

17. 
$$RSE(C_i \cdot R_{i1}) = 100 \sqrt{\left(\frac{RSE(R_{i1})}{100}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{RSE(C_i)}{100}\right)^2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{RSE(R_{i1})}{100}\right)^2\right]}$$

where

C<sub>i</sub> = age-specific comparability ratio for the cause of interest

 $R_{i1}$  = age-specific death rate for the ith age group (ICD-9 file)

Let  $R''_1 = \sum w_i C_i R_{i1}$ . The RSE for  $R''_1$  would incorporate all 11 values (corresponding to each age group) computed through the

previous formula. For age-adjusted and comparability-modified death rates based on the ICD-9 revision, the RSE can be calculated as follows:

18. RSE(R''<sub>1</sub>) = 100 
$$\frac{\sqrt{\sum \left[w_i^2(C_iR_{i1})^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\text{RSE}(C_iR_{i1})}{100}\right)^2\right]}}{R''_{1}}$$

where

R"<sub>1</sub>= age-adjusted death rate for a specific cause of interest based on ICD-9 data and modified by a comparability ratio

The following procedures for constructing approximate 95 percent confidence intervals are ordered depending on whether the age-adjusted death rate was computed based on the recently introduced ICD-10 revision or on the previous (ICD-9) revision, respectively. The rate based on the ICD-9 revision is adjusted by the application of a cause-specific comparability ratio.

For an age-adjusted death rate based on the ICD-10 revision, the 95 percent confidence interval may be captured as follows:

19. Lower limit: 
$$R'_2 - \left(1.96 \cdot R'_2 \cdot \frac{RSE(R'_2)}{100}\right)$$

20. Upper limit: 
$$R'_2 + \left(1.96 \cdot R'_2 \cdot \frac{RSE(R'_2)}{100}\right)$$

For an age-adjusted and comparability-modified death rate based on the ICD-9 revision, the 95 percent confidence interval may be captured as follows:

21. Lower limit: 
$$R''_1 - \left(1.96 \cdot R''_1 \cdot \frac{RSE(R''_1)}{100}\right)$$

22. Upper limit: 
$$R''_{1} + \left(1.96 \cdot R''_{1} \cdot \frac{RSE(R''_{1})}{100}\right)$$

where

 $R'_2$  = age-adjusted death rate (per 100,000) computed for data year under ICD-10

R''<sub>1</sub>= age-adjusted death rate (per 100,000) computed for data year under ICD-9

## Availability of mortality data

Mortality data are available in publications, unpublished tables, and electronic products as described on the NCHS Web site at the following address: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nchs">http://www.cdc.gov/nchs</a>. The data are available on data tapes from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) and on CD-ROM from NTIS and the Government Printing Office (GPO). Data are also available in the Vital Statistics of the United States, Mortality, and Vital and Health Statistics, Series 20 reports, and the National Vital Statistics Reports through NCHS.

#### **Definitions of terms**

Infant deaths—Deaths of infants aged under 1 year.

Neonatal deaths—Deaths of infants aged 0–27 days.

Postneonatal deaths—Deaths of infants aged 28 days—1 year.

Crude death rate—Total deaths per 100,000 population for a specified period. The crude death rate represents the average chance of dying during a specified period for persons in the entire population.

Age-specific death rate—Deaths per 100,000 population in a specified age group, such as 1–4 years or 5–9 years for a specified period.

Age-adjusted death rate—The death rate used to make comparisons of relative mortality risks across groups and over time. This rate should be viewed as a construct or an index rather than as direct or actual measure of mortality risk. Statistically, it is a weighted average of the age-specific death rates, where the weights represent the fixed population proportions by age (63).

## References

- Hoyert DL, Singh GK, Rosenberg HM. Sources of data on socioeconomic differential mortality in the United States. Journal of Official Statistics. 11(3): 233–60. 1995.
- Kochanek KD, Smith BL, Anderson RN. Deaths: Preliminary data for 1999. National vital statistics reports; vol 49 no 3. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001.
- Anderson RN. Deaths: Leading causes for 1999. National vital statistics reports; vol 49 no. 11. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001 (forthcoming).
- de Turk PB. Deaths: Geographic variations in cause specific mortality, United States, 1997–99. National vital statistics reports; vol 49. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001 (forthcoming).
- National Center for Health Statistics. Technical appendix. Vital statistics
  of the United States: Mortality, 1995. Available on the NCHS Web site
  at: www.cdc.gov/nchs and to be included on the CD-ROM entitled,
  "Vital Statistics of the United States, Mortality, 1995."
- World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1992.

- Anderson RN, Rosenberg HM. Age standardization of death rates: Implementation of the year 2000 standard. National vital statistics reports; vol 47 no 3. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1998.
- 8. World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, based on the recommendations of the Ninth Revision Conference, 1975. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1977.
- Anderson RN, Miniño AM, Hoyert DL, Rosenberg HM. Comparability of cause of death between ICD-9 and ICD-10: Preliminary estimates. National vital statistics reports; vol 49 no 2. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001.
- Murphy SL. Deaths: Final data for 1998. National vital statistics reports;
   vol 48 no 11. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2000.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Update: Influenza activity—United States, 1998–99 season. Morbidity and mortality weekly report; vol 48 no 9. Washington DC: Public Health Service. 1999.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Influenza activity—United States, 1999–2000 season. Morbidity and mortality weekly report; vol 48 no 45. Washington, DC: Public Health Service. 2000.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Update: Influenza activity—United States, 1999–2000 season. Morbidity and mortality weekly report; vol 49 no 3. Washington, DC: Public Health Service. 2000.
- Rosenberg HM, Maurer JD, Sorlie PD, Johnson NJ, et al. Quality of death rates by race and Hispanic origin: A summary of current research, 1999. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(128). 1999.
- Kochanek KD, Maurer JD, Rosenberg HM. Causes of death contributing to changes in life expectancy: United States, 1984–1989.
   National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(23). 1994.
- Maurer JD, Rosenberg HM, Keemer JB. Deaths of Hispanic origin, 15 reporting States, 1979–81. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(18). 1990.
- Hoyert DL, Rosenberg HM, MacDorman MF. Effect of changes in death certificate format on cause-specific mortality trends, United States, 1979–1992. Office for National Statistics. Studies on Medical and Population Subjects 64. 2000.
- Hoyert DL, Kochanek KD, Murphy SL. Deaths: Final Data for 1997.
   National vital statistics reports; vol 47 no 19. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1999.
- Pamuk E, Makuc D, Heck K, Reuben C, Lochner K. Socioeconomic status and health chartbook. Health, United States, 1998. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1998.
- Mathews TJ, Curtin SC, MacDorman MF. Infant mortality statistics from the 1998 period linked birth/infant death data set. National vital statistics reports; vol 48 no 12. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2000.
- Tolson GC, Barnes JM, Gay GA, Kowaleski JL. The 1989 revision of the U.S. standard certificates and reports. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 4(28). 1991.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Technical appendix. Vital statistics
  of the United States, 1989, vol II, mortality, part A. Washington: Public
  Health Service. 1993.
- 23. Klebba AJ, Scott JH. Estimates of selected comparability ratios based on dual coding of 1976 death certificates by the Eighth and Ninth Revisions of the International Classification of Diseases. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 28 no 11, supp. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1980.

- Klebba AJ, Dolman AB. Comparability of mortality statistics for the Seventh and Eighth Revisions of the International Classification of Diseases, United States. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(66). 1975.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Comparability of mortality statistics for the Sixth and Seventh Revisions, United States, 1958. Vital Statistics-Special reports; vol 51 no 4. Washington, DC: Public Health Service. 1965.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, instructions for classifying the underlying cause of death. NCHS instruction manual; part 2a. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, instructions for classifying multiple causes of death. NCHS instruction manual; part 2b. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, nonindexed terms, standard abbreviations, and State geographic codes used in mortality data classification. NCHS instruction manual; part 2e. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually until 1999.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, ICD-9 ACME decision tables for classifying underlying causes of death. NCHS instruction manual; part 2c. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, data entry instructions for the mortality medical indexing, classification, and retrieval system (MICAR). NCHS instruction manual; part 2g. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, dictionary of valid terms for the mortality medical indexing, classification, and retrieval system (MICAR). NCHS instruction manual; part 2h. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- Chamblee RF, Evans MC. TRANSAX, the NCHS system for producing multiple cause-of-death statistics, 1968–78. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 1(20). 1986.
- 33. Israel RA, Rosenberg HM, Curtin LR. Analytical potential for multiple cause-of-death data. Am J Epidemiol 124(2): 161–79. 1986.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Public use data file documentation: Multiple cause of death for ICD-9, 1998 data. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 2000.
- National Center for Health Statistics. ICD–10 cause-of-death lists for tabulating mortality statistics, effective 1999. NCHS instruction manual: part 9. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1999.
- Sorlie PD, Rogot E, Johnson NJ. Validity of demographic characteristics on the death certificate. Epidemiology 3(2):181–4. 1992.
- Poe GS, Powell-Griner E, McLaughlin JK, et al. Comparability of the death certificate and the 1986 national mortality followback survey. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(118). 1993.
- 38. Hogan H. The 1990 post-enumeration survey: Operations and results. J Am Stat Assoc 48(423):1047–60. 1993.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Technical appendix. Vital statistics
  of the United States, 1989, vol I, natality. Washington: Public Health
  Service. 1992.
- Hoyert DL. Effect on mortality rates of the 1989 changes in tabulating race. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(25). 1994.
- 41. Sirken MG. Comparison of two methods of constructing abridged life tables by reference to a "standard" table. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(4). 1966.
- Anderson RN. Method for constructing complete annual U.S. life tables. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(129). 1999.

- National Center for Health Statistics. U.S. decennial life tables for 1989–91, vol 1 no 2, methodology of the national and State life tables. Hyattsville, Maryland. 1998.
- Kestenbaum B. A description of the extreme aged population based on improved Medicare enrollment data. Demography 29:565–80. 1992.
- Arriaga EE. Changing trends in mortality decline during the last decades. In: Ruzicka L, Wunsch G, Kane P, eds. Differential mortality: Methodological issues and biosocial factors. Oxford: Clarendon Press. 1989.
- 46. Sorlie PD, Johnson NJ. Validity of education information on the death certificate. Epidemiology 7(4): 437–9. 1996.
- Kominski R, Adams A. Educational attainment in the United States, March 1993 and 1992. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Current Population reports: series p20–476. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 1994.
- Ventura SJ, Martin JA, Curtin SC, et al. Births: Final data for 1999.
   National vital statistics reports; vol 49 no 1. Hyattsville, Maryland:
   National Center for Health Statistics. 2001.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, computer edits for mortality data, effective 1999. NCHS instruction manual; part 11. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1998.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, ICD-10 cause-ofdeath querying, 1999. NCHS instruction manual; part 20. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1999.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Unpublished census file NESTV99. Consistent with populations published in: U.S. population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1990–1999. Washington, DC. 2000.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Technical appendix. Vital statistics of the United States, 1990, vol II, mortality, part A. Washington: Public Health Service. 1994.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population estimates for 1999 based on unpublished tabulations prepared by the Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division.
- 54. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population estimates for the U.S. and States by single year of age and sex: July 1, 1999. Internet release date: March 9, 2000. http://www.census.gov/population/estimates/state/stats/st-99–10.txt.
- 55. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Unpublished Census file PUERTO99.
  - 6. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Unpublished Census file USVI99.WK1.
- 57. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Unpublished Census file GUAM99.WK1.
- 58. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Unpublished Census file ASAMOA99.WK1.
- 59. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Unpublished Census file CNMI99.WK1.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Money income in the United States: 1998.
   Current population reports, consumer income. Series P60–206. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 1999.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Money income in the United States: 1999.
   Current population reports, consumer income. Series P60–209. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office. 2000.
- Minino AM. A guide to State implementation of ICD-10 for mortality, part II: Applying comparability ratios. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2000.
- 63. Feinleib M, Zarate AO, eds. Reconsidering age adjustment procedures: Workshop proceedings. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 4(29). 1992.