1996 Linked Birth/Infant Death Birth Cohort Data Set

Contents

- 1. Introduction, Methodology, and Classification of Data.
- 2. Machine used, file and data characteristics.
- 3. List of data elements and locations.
- 4. Record layout and definition of items and codes.
- 5. County geographic codes available on the public-use file.
- 6. City geographic codes available on the public-use file.
- 7. Titles and codes for the 61 cause-of-death list.
- 8. Documentation tables 1-6.
- 9. Technical Appendix for the 1996 Natality file.
- 10. Technical Appendix for the 1995 Mortality file.

Introduction

This documentation is for the 1996 birth cohort linked birth/infant death data set (linked file). Previous birth cohort linked files were released for data years 1983-91. Beginning with 1995 data, the linked file was released in two different formats — period data and birth cohort data.

Period data — The numerator for the 1996 period linked file consists of all infant deaths occurring in 1996 linked to their corresponding birth certificates, whether the birth occurred in 1996 or 1995. The denominator file for this data set is the 1996 natality file, that is, all births occurring in 1996. Beginning in 1996, the period linked files form the basis for all official NCHS linked file statistics (except for special cohort studies).

Birth cohort data — The numerator of the 1996 birth cohort linked file consists of deaths to infants born in 1996 linked to their corresponding birth certificates, whether the death occurred in 1996 or 1997. The denominator file is the 1996 natality file, that is, all births occurring in 1996.

The release of linked file data in two different formats allows NCHS to meet customer demands for more timely linked file data while still meeting the needs of data users who prefer the birth cohort format. The birth cohort file for a particular data year will generally be available about one year after the release of the period file since it is necessary to wait until the close of the following data year to include all infant deaths to the birth cohort. For most general purposes, differences between the 1996 birth cohort and 1996 period linked files are negligible. However, birth cohort files are preferred for multivariate and some other types of detailed analysis because they follow a given cohort of births for an entire year to ascertain their mortality experience. This is generally considered to be a more robust methodology than the period file, which is essentially cross-sectional in nature.

The 1996 birth cohort linked file includes several separate data files. The first file includes linked birth and death certificate data for all US infants born in 1996 who died before their first birthday - referred to as the numerator file. The second file contains information from the death certificate for all US infant death records which could not be linked to their corresponding birth certificates - referred to as the unlinked death file. The third file is the 1996 NCHS natality file for the US with a few minor modifications - referred to as the denominator-plus file. These same three data files are also available for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam.

For the denominator-plus file, selected variables from the numerator file have been added to the denominator file to facilitate processing. These variables include age at death (and recodes), underlying cause of death (and the 61-cause recode), place of accident, and record weight. These variables are the most widely used variables from the numerator file. With the previous

file format it was sometimes necessary to combine the numerator and denominator files when performing certain multivariate statistical techniques. Now, when the number of variables required from the numerator file is limited, the denominator-plus file may be used by itself for ease of programming. Infant death identification numbers are also included, so that the same infant can be uniquely identified and matched between the numerator and denominator-plus files.

Weighting

In part to correct for known biases in the data, changes were made to the linked file beginning with the 1995 data year. These changes include the addition of a record weight and an imputation for not-stated birthweight. In the 1996 birth cohort linked file, 97.8% of infant death records were linked to their corresponding birth certificates. Overall, 2.2% of infant death records could not be linked because the matching birth certificate could not be found; however this percent varied considerably by State and other characteristics (see section on *Percent of records linked* below). Beginning with 1995 data, a record weight was added to the infant death records to correct in part for biases in percent of records linked by major characteristics. The number of infant deaths in the linked file are weighted to equal the sum of the linked plus unlinked infant deaths by age at death and state. The formula for computing the weights is as follows:

<u>number of linked infant deaths</u> + <u>number of unlinked infant deaths</u> number of linked infant deaths.

A separate weight is computed for each State of residence of birth and each age at death category (<1 day, 1-27 days, 28 days-1 year). Thus, weights are 1.0 for states which link all of their infant deaths. These weights have been added to all linked infant death records in the numerator file, and in the denominator-plus file. In the denominator-plus file, records for surviving infants have been assigned a weight of 1.0. This causes the denominator-plus file to weight up to about 634 (by residence) or 639 (by occurrence) more than the total number of live births (about 3.9 million), thus most runs on live birth data from the denominator-plus file should be run unweighted. Weights have not been computed for the Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam files.

The addition of weighting to the file has greatly reduced bias, but has also created challenges for data analysis. The researcher should be aware that the use of the weights is appropriate for some, but not all applications. Weights should be used when computing the total number of infant deaths, or the number of infant deaths by characteristics, either from the numerator or the denominator-plus files. Weights should not be used when computing the total number of live births, or the number of live births by characteristics from the denominator-plus file, as the use of weights under these circumstances will yield a slight overestimate of the total number of US births. For multivariate analysis, the use of weights is generally recommended, however, a decision should be made on an individual basis, depending on the type of multivariate technique

used, and the goals of the particular analysis. We would appreciate your feedback on the design and utility of the weights - please call Marian MacDorman at (301) 436-8954 ext. 171.

Imputed birthweight

An imputation for not-stated birthweight has been added to the data set, to reduce potential bias in the computation of birthweight-specific infant mortality rates. Basically, if birthweight is not-stated and the period of gestation is known, birthweight is assigned the value from the previous record with the same period of gestation, race, sex, and plurality. Imputed values are flagged. The addition of this imputation has reduced the percent of not-stated responses for birthweight from 3.37% to 1.17% in the numerator file, and from 0.12% to 0.06% in the denominator-plus file, thus reducing (but not eliminating) the potential for underestimation when computing birthweight-specific infant mortality rates.

Methodology

The methodology used to create the national file of linked birth and infant death records takes advantage of two existing data sources:

- 1. State linked files for the identification of linked birth and infant death certificates; and
- 2. NCHS natality and mortality computerized statistical files, the source of computer records for the two linked certificates.

Virtually all States routinely link infant death certificates to their corresponding birth certificates for legal and statistical purposes. When the birth and death of an infant occur in different States, copies of the records are exchanged by the State of death and State of birth in order to effect a link. In addition, if a third State is identified as the State of residence at the time of birth or death, that State is also sent a copy of the appropriate certificate by the State where the birth or death occurred.

The NCHS natality and mortality files, produced annually, include statistical data from birth and death certificates that are provided to NCHS by States under the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP). The data have been coded according to uniform coding specifications, have passed rigid quality control standards, have been edited and reviewed, and are the basis for official U.S. birth and death statistics.

To initiate processing, NCHS obtained matching birth certificate numbers from States for all infant deaths that occurred in their jurisdiction. We used this information to extract final, edited mortality and natality data from the NCHS natality and mortality statistical files. Individual birth and death records were selected from their respective

files and linked into a single statistical record, thereby establishing a national linked record file.

After the initial linkage, NCHS returned to the States where the death occurred computer lists of unlinked infant death certificates for follow up linking. If the birth occurred in a State different from the State of death, the State of birth identified on the death certificate was contacted to obtain the linking birth certificate. State additions and corrections were incorporated, and a final, national linked file was produced. Characteristics of the natality and mortality data from which the linked file is constructed are described in detail in the Technical Appendices and Addenda included in this document.

Characteristics of Unlinked File

For the 1996 birth cohort linked file 639, or 2.2% of all infant death records could not be linked to their corresponding birth certificates. Unlinked records are included in a separate data file in this data set. The unlinked record file uses the same record layout as the numerator file of linked birth and infant death records. However, except as noted below, tape locations 1-210, reserved for information from the matching birth certificate, are blank since no matching birth certificate could be found for these records. The sex field (tape location 79) contains the sex of infant as reported on the death certificate, rather than the sex of infant from the birth certificate, which is not available. The race field (tape location 36-37) contains the race of the decedent as reported on the death certificate rather than the race of mother as reported on the birth certificate as is the case with the linked record file. The race of mother on the birth certificate (see section on *Comparison of race data from birth and death certificates* in the Mortality Technical Appendix included in this documentation). Also, date of birth as reported on the death certificate is used to generate age at death. This information is used in place of date of birth from the birth certificate, which is not available.

Documentation table 6 shows counts of unlinked records by race and age at death for each State of residence. The user is cautioned in using table 6 that the race and residence items are based on information reported on the death certificate; whereas, tables 1-5 present data from the linked file in which the race and residence items are based on information reported on the birth certificate. (see section on *Comparison of race data from birth and death certificates* in the Mortality Technical Appendix included in this documentation).

Percent of Records Linked

The 1996 birth cohort linked file includes 27,632 linked infant death records and 639 unlinked infant death records by place of occurrence. The linked file is weighted to the sum of linked plus unlinked records, thus the total number of weighted infant deaths by place of occurrence is

28,271. While the overall percent linked for infant deaths in the 1996 birth cohort linked file is 97.8%, there are differences in percent linked by certain variables. These differences have important implications for how the data is analyzed.

Table 1 shows the percent of infant deaths linked by State of residence. While most States link a high percentage of infant deaths, linkage rates for some States are well below the national average. Note in particular the percent linked for California (94.6%), Hawaii (94.7%), New Hampshire (93.9%), Ohio (92.8%) and Oklahoma (92.1%). When a high percentage of deaths remain unlinked, infant mortality rates computed for these States are underestimated. It is for this reason that weights were added to the linked files beginning with 1995 data, to correct for biases in the data due to poor data linkage for particular states.

The percent of infant deaths linked by race and age at death is shown in Table 2. In general, a higher percentage of postneonatal (98.3%) than neonatal (97.5%) deaths were linked. The percent of records linked was slightly higher for white (97.8%) than for black (97.6%) infants. Variations in percent linked by underlying cause of death have also been noted (data not shown). While the weighting protocol has been designed to correct for possible bias due to variations in match rates by characteristics, no statistical method can correct perfectly for data limitations. Therefore, variations in the percent of records linked should be taken into consideration when comparing infant mortality rates by detailed characteristics.

Geographic classification

Geographic codes in this data set have been updated to reflect the results of the 1990 census, and differ slightly from those used in previous linked files. Because of confidentiality concerns, only those counties and cities with a population size of 250,000 or more are separately identified in this data set. Users should refer to the geographic code outline in this document for the list of available areas and codes.

For events to be included in the linked file, both the birth and death must occur inside the 50 States and D.C. in the case of the 50 States and D.C. file; or in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands or Guam in the case of the Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands and Guam file. In tabulations of linked data and denominator data events occurring in each of the respective areas to nonresidents are included in tabulations that are by place of occurrence, and excluded from tabulations by place of residence. These exclusions are based on the usual place of residence of the mother. This item is contained in both the denominator file and the birth section of the numerator (linked) file. Nonresidents are identified by a code 4 in location 11 of these files.

Table 1. Percent of infant deaths linked by state of residence of birth: United States, 1996 birth cohort

United States	9	7.8%	Nebraska		99.5%	
Alabama	9	9.8%	Nevada		98.1%	
Alaska	98.6%	New I	Hampshire	93.9%		
Arizona	9	7.4%	New Jersey		98.1%	
Arkansas	9	9.4%	New Mexico		96.6%	
California	9	4.6%	Upstate New York		98.1%	
Colorado	10	00.0%	New York City	y		97.8%
Connecticut	10	00.0%	North Carolina	ı		99.4%
Delaware	10	00.0%	North Dakota			100.0%
District of Columbia	10	00.0%	Ohio			92.8%
Florida	99.6%	Oklah	oma	92.1%		
Georgia	9	9.9%	Oregon		99.2%	
Hawaii	94.7%	Penns	ylvania	97.0%		
Idaho	9	7.1%	Rhode Island		100.0%)
Illinois	97.9%	South	Carolina	99.1%		
Indiana	9	7.9%	South Dakota		100.0%)
Iowa	10	00.0%	Tennessee			99.8%
Kansas	100.0%		Texas		97.5%	
Kentucky	9	7.1%	Utah		98.9%	
Louisiana	9	7.0%	Vermont		100.0%)
Maine	10	00.0%	Virginia			97.9%
Maryland	9	9.2%	Washington		99.8%	
Massachusetts	97.0%	West V	Virginia	97.9%		
Michigan	9	8.4%	Wisconsin		99.6%	
Minnesota	9	9.4%	Wyoming		100.0%)
Mississippi	10	00.0%	Puerto Rico			99.7%
Missouri	9	7.9%	Virgin Islands		86.2%	
Montana	10	00.0%	Guam			100.0%

Table 2. Percent of resident infant deaths linked by race and age at death: United States, 1996 birth cohort (Infant deaths are under 1 year; neonatal, under 28 days, and postneonatal, 28 days-under 1 year)

	All races	White	Black
Infant	97.8%	97.8%	97.6%
Neonatal	97.5%	97.6%	97.2%
Postneonatal	98.3%	98.2%	98.4%

Demographic and Medical Classification

The documents listed below describe in detail the procedures employed for demographic classification on both the birth and death records and medical classification on death records. While not absolutely essential to the proper interpretation of the data for a number of general applications, these documents should nevertheless be studied carefully prior to any detailed analysis of demographic or medical (especially multiple cause) data variables. In particular, there are a number of exceptions to the ICD rules in multiple cause-of-death coding which, if not treated properly, may result in faulty analysis of the data.

- A. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and the Cause-of-Death, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) Volumes 1 and 2.
- B. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation Part 2a, Vital Statistics Instructions for Classifying the Underlying Cause-of-Death. Published annually.
- C. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 2b, Vital Statistics Instructions for Classifying Multiple Cause-of-Death. Published annually.
- D. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 2c, Vital Statistics ICD-9 ACME Decision Tables for Classifying Underlying Causes-of-Death. Published annually.
- E. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 2d, Vital Statistics NCHS Procedures for Mortality Medical Data System File Preparation and Maintenance, Effective 1985.
- F. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Tabulation, Part 2f, Vital Statistics ICD-9 TRANSAX Disease Reference Tables for Classifying Multiple Causes-of-Death, 1982-85.
- G. NCHS Instruction Manual Part 2g, Vital Statistics, Data Entry Instructions for the Mortality Medical Indexing, Classification, and Retrieval system (MICAR). Published annually.
- H. NCHS Instruction Manual Part 2h, Vital Statistics, Dictionary of Valid Terms for the Mortality Medical Indexing, Classification, and Retrieval System (MICAR). Published annually.
- I. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 3a, Vital Statistics Classification and Coding Instructions for Live Birth Records. Published annually.

- J. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 4, Vital Statistics Demographic Classification and Coding Instructions for Death Records. Published annually.
- K. NCHS Instruction Manual Tabulation, Part 11, Vital Statistics Computer Edits for Mortality Data, Effective 1990.

Copies of NCHS Instruction Manuals may be requested from the Chief, Data Preparation Branch, Division of Data Processing, National Center for Health Statistics, P.O. Box 12214, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709.

In addition, the user should refer to the Technical Appendices of the <u>Vital Statistics of the United States</u> for information on the source of data, coding procedures, quality of the data, etc. The Technical Appendices for natality and mortality are part of this documentation package.

Cause-of-Death Data

Mortality data are traditionally analyzed and published in terms of underlying cause-of-death. The underlying cause-of-death data are coded and classified as described in the Mortality Technical Appendices. NCHS has augmented underlying cause-of-death data with data on multiple causes reported on the death certificate. The linked file includes both underlying and multiple cause-of-death data.

The multiple cause of death codes were developed with two objectives in mind. First, to facilitate etiological studies of the relationships among conditions, it was necessary to reflect accurately in coded form each condition and its location on the death certificate in the exact manner given by the certifier. Secondly, coding needed to be carried out in a manner by which the underlying cause of death could be assigned through computer applications. The approach was to suspend the linkage provisions of the ICD for the purpose of condition coding and code each entity with minimum regard to other conditions present on the certification. This general approach is hereafter called entity coding.

Unfortunately, the set of multiple cause codes produced by entity coding is not conducive to a third objective -- the generation of person-based multiple cause statistics. Person-based analysis requires that each condition be coded within the context of every other condition on the same certificate and modified or linked to such conditions as provided by ICD-9. By definition, the entity data cannot meet this requirement since the linkage provisions distort the character and placement of the information originally recorded by the certifying physician.

Since the two objectives are incompatible, NCHS has chosen to create from the original set of entity codes a new code set called record axis multiple cause data. Essentially,

the axis of classification has been converted from an entity basis to a record (or person) basis. The record axis codes are assigned in terms of the set of codes that best describe the overall medical certification portion of the death certificate. This translation is accomplished by a computer system called TRANSAX (translation of axis) through selective use of traditional linkage and modification rules for mortality coding. Underlying cause linkages which simply prefer one code over another for purposes of underlying cause selection are not included. Each entity code on the record is examined and modified or deleted as necessary to create a set of codes which are free of contradictions and are the most precise within the constraints of ICD-9 and medical information on the record. Repetitive codes are deleted. The process may (1) combine two entity axis categories together to a new category thereby eliminating a contradiction or standardizing the data; or (2) eliminate one category in favor of another to promote specificity of the data or resolve contradictions. The following examples from ICD-9 illustrate the effect of this translation:

Case 1: When reported on the same record as separate entities, cirrhosis of liver and alcoholism are coded to 5715 (cirrhosis of liver without mention of alcohol) and 303 (alcohol dependence syndrome). Tabulation of records with 5715 would on the surface falsely imply that such records had no mention of alcohol. A preferable codification would be 5712 (alcoholic cirrhosis of liver) in lieu of both 5715 and 303.

Case 2: If "gastric ulcer" and "bleeding gastric ulcer" are reported on a record they are coded to 5319 (gastric ulcer, unspecified as acute or chronic, without mention of hemorrhage or perforation) and 5314 (gastric ulcer, chronic or unspecified, with hemorrhage). A more concise codification would be to code 5314 only since the 5314 shows both the gastric ulcer and the bleeding.

Entity Axis Codes

The original conditions coded for selection of the underlying cause of death are reformatted and edited prior to creating the public-use tape. The following paragraphs describe the format and application of entity axis data.

Format — Each entity-axis code is displayed as an overall seven byte code with subcomponents as follows:

1. Line indicator:

The first byte represents the line of the certificate on which the code appears. Six lines (1-6) are allowable with the fourth and fifth denoting one or two written in "due to"s beyond the three lines provided in Part I of the U.S. standard

death certificate. Line "6" represents Part II of the certificate.

2. Position indicator: The next byte indicates the position of the code on the

line, i.e., it is the first (1), second (2), third (3),... eighth (8)

code on the line.

3. Cause category: The next four bytes represent the ICD-9 cause code.

4. Nature of injury flag: ICD-9 uses the same series of numbers (800-999) to

indicate nature of injury (N codes) and external cause codes (E codes). This flag distinguishes between the two with a one (1) representing nature of injury codes and a zero (0)

representing all other cause codes.

A maximum of 20 of these seven byte codes are captured on a record for multiplecause purposes. This may consist of a maximum of 8 codes on any given line with up to 20 codes distributed across three or more lines depending on where the subject conditions are located on the certificate. Codes may be omitted from one or more lines, e.g., line 1 with one or more codes, line 2 with no codes, line 3 with one or more codes.

In writing out these codes, they are ordered as follows: line 1 first code, line 1 second code, etc. ---- line 2 first code, line 2 second code, etc. ---- line 3 ---- line 4 ---- line 5 ---- line 6. Any space remaining in the field is left blank. The specifics of locations are contained in the record layout given later in this document.

Edit — The original conditions are edited to remove invalid codes, reverify the coding of certain rare causes of death, and assure age/cause and sex/cause compatibility. Detailed information relating to the edit criteria and the sets of cause codes which are valid to underlying cause coding and multiple cause coding are provided in Part 11 of the NCHS Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series.

Entity axis applications — The entity axis multiple cause data is appropriate to analyses which require that each condition be coded as a stand alone entity without linkage to other conditions and/or require information on the placement of such conditions in the certificate. Within this framework, the entity data are appropriate to the examination of etiological relationships among conditions, accuracy of certification reporting, and the validity of traditional assumptions in underlying cause selection.

Additionally, the entity data provide in certain categories a more detailed code assignment which is linked out in the creation of record axis data. Where such detail is needed for a study, the user should selectively employ entity data. Finally, the

researcher may not wish to be bound by the assumptions used in the axis translation process preferring rather to investigate hypotheses of his own predilection.

By definition, the main limitation of entity axis data is that an entity code does not necessarily reflect the best code for a condition when considered within the context of the medical certification as a whole. As a result certain entity codes can be misleading or even contradict other codes in the record. For example, category 5750 is titled "Acute cholecystitis without mention of calculus". Within the framework of entity codes this is interpreted to mean that the codable entity itself contained no mention of calculus rather than that calculus was not mentioned anywhere on the record. Tabulation of records with a "5750" as a count of persons having acute cholecystitis without mention of calculus would therefore be erroneous. This illustrates the fact that under entity coding the ICD-9 titles cannot be taken literally. The user must study the rules for entity coding as they relate to his/her research prior to utilization of entity data. The user is further cautioned that the inclusion notes in ICD-9 which relate to modifying and combining categories are seldom applicable to entity coding (except where provided in Part 2b of the Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series).

In tabulating the entity axis data, one may count codes with the resultant tabulation of an individual code representing the number of times the disease(s) represented by the code appears in the file. In this kind of tabulation of morbid condition prevalence, the counts among categories may be added together to produce counts for groups of codes. Alternatively, subject to the limitations given above, one may count persons having mention of the disease represented by a code or codes. In this instance it is not correct to add counts for individual codes to create person counts for groups of codes. Since more than one code in the researcher's interest may appear together on the certificate, totaling must account for higher order interactions among codes. Up to 20 codes may be assigned on a record; therefore, a 20-way interaction is theoretically possible. All totaling must be based on mention of one or more of the categories under investigation.

Record Axis Codes

The following paragraphs describe the format and application of record-axis data. Part 2f of the Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series describes the TRANSAX process for creating record axis data from entity axis data.

Format — Each record (or person) axis code is displayed in five bytes. Location information is not relevant. The Code consists of the following components:

1. Cause category: The first four bytes represent the ICD-9 cause code.

2. Nature of injury flag: The last byte contains a 0 or 1 with the 1 indicating that the cause is a nature of injury category.

Again, a maximum of 20 codes are captured on a record for multiple cause purposes. The codes are written in a 100-byte field in ascending code number (5 bytes) order with any unused bytes left blank.

Edit — The record axis codes are edited for rare causes and age/cause and sex/cause compatibility. Likewise, individual code validity is checked. The valid code set for record axis coding is the same as that for entity coding.

Record axis applications — The record axis multiple cause data set is the basis for NCHS core multiple cause tabulations. Location of codes is not relevant to this data set and conditions have been linked into the most meaningful categories for the certification. The most immediate consequence for the user is that the codes on the record already represent mention of a disease assignable to that particular ICD-9 category. This is in contrast to the entity code which is assigned each time such a disease is reported on two different lines of the certification. Secondly, the linkage implies that within the constraints of ICD-9 the most meaningful code has been assigned. The translation process creates for the user a data set which is edited for contradictions, duplicate codes, and imprecisions. In contrast to entity axis data, record axis data are classified in a manner comparable to underlying cause of death classification thereby facilitating joint analysis of these variables. Likewise, they are comparable to general morbidity coding where the linkage provisions of ICD-9 are usually utilized. A potential disadvantage of record axis data is that some detail is sacrificed in a number of the linkages.

The user can take the record axis codes as literally representing the information conveyed in ICD-9 category titles. While knowledge of the rules for combining and linking and coding conditions is useful, it is not a prerequisite to meaningful analysis of the data as long as one is willing to accept the assumptions of the axis translation process. The user is cautioned, however, that due to special rules in mortality coding, not all linkage notes in ICD-9 are utilized. (See Part 2f of the Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series.)

The user should proceed with caution in using record axis data to count conditions as opposed to people with conditions since linkages have been invoked and duplicate codes have been eliminated. As with entity data, person based tabulations which combine individual cause categories must take into account the possible interaction of up to 20 codes on a single certificate.

In using the NCHS multiple cause data, the user is urged to review the information in this document and its references. The instructional material does change from year to

year and revision to revision. The user is cautioned that coding of specific ICD-9 categories should be checked in the appropriate instruction manual. What may appear on the surface to be the correct code by ICD-9 may in fact not be correct as given in the instruction manuals.

If on the surface it is not obvious whether entity axis or record axis data should be employed in a given application, detailed examination of Part 2f of the Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series and its attachments will probably provide the necessary information to make a decision. It allows the user to determine the extent of the trade-offs between the two sets of data in terms of specific categories and the assumptions of axis translation. In certain situations, a combination of entity and record axis data may be the more appropriate alternative.

Data File Characteristics:

The data were processed using the SAS language on an IBM 9672.

The data are recorded in IBM/EBCDIC 8-bit code for each character.

Codes may be numeric, alphabets, or blank.

The record type is fixed format.

I. Denominator File:

A. File Organization: One file, multiple tapes

B. Record count: 3,894,874

C. Record length: 230

D. Data counts: a. By occurrence: 3,894,874

b. By residence: 3,891,494

c. To foreign residents: 3,380

Possessions Data Set

A. File Organization: One file, one tape

B. Record count: 69,519
C. Record length: 230

Puerto Rico

Data counts: a. By occurrence: 63,255

b. By occurrence and residence: 63,138c. To foreign residents: 117

Virgin Islands

Data counts: a. By occurrence: 2,001

b. By occurrence and residence: 1,861

c. To foreign residents: 140

Guam

Data counts: a. By occurrence: 4,263

b. By occurrence and residencec. To foreign residents:

II. Numerator File:

United States Data Set		
A. File Organization:	One of multiple files on a tape	
B. Record count:	27,632	
C. Record length:	535	
D. Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	27,632
	b. By residence: 2	27,618
	c. To foreign residents:	14
Possessions Data Set		
A. File Organization:	one of multiple files on a tape	
B. Record count:	738	
C. Record length:	535	
Puerto Rico		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	675
	b. By occurrence and residence	: 674
	c. To foreign residents:	1
Virgin Islands	-	
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	24
	b. By occurrence and residence	: 24
	c. To foreign residents:	0
Guam		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	39
	b. By occurrence and residence	: 39
	c. To foreign residents:	0

III. Unlinked File:

United States Data Set		
A. File Organization:	one file of multiple files on a tape	
B. Record count:	639	
C. Record length:	535	
D. Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	639
	b. By residence:	634
	c. To foreign residents:	5
Possessions Data Set		
A. File Organization:	one file of multiple files on a tape	
B. Record count:	7	
C. Record length:	535	
Puerto Rico		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	6
	b. By occurrence and residence:	2
	c. To foreign residents:	4
Virgin Islands		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	0
	b. By occurrence and residence:	0
	c. To foreign residents:	0
Guam		
Data counts:	a. By occurrence:	1
	b. By occurrence and residence:	0
	c. To foreign residents:	1

Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set - 1996 Birth Cohort Data List of Data Elements and Locations

<u>Da</u>	ta Items		Denominator- Plus File		Numerator Birth	File Death	Unlinked <u>File</u>
a.b.c.d.e.	General Match status Infant death number Year of birth Year of death Resident status Record weight		1 2-6 7-10 11 223-230		1 2-6- 7-10 11	 524-527 505 223-230	1 524-527 505
2.	Occurrence						
a.	FIPS state	14-15		14-15	508-	509 508-5	09
b.	FIPS county		16-18		16-18	510-512	510-512
3.	Residence						
a.	FIPS state	19-20		19-20	513-	514 513-5	14
b.	FIPS county		21-23		21-23	515-517	515-517
c.	FIPS place		24-28		24-28	518-522	518-522
d.	NCHS state		12-13		12-13	506-507	506-507
4.	Infant						
a.	Age		211-214			211-214	211-214+
b.	Race						35-38*
c.	Sex		78-79		78-79		78-79*
d.	Gestation		70-77		70-77		
e.	Birthweight		80-87		80-87		
f.	Plurality		88-89		88-89		
g.	Apgar score		90-91		90-91		
h.	Day of week of birth/deat	th	209		209	532	532
i.	Month of birth/death		205-206		205-206	528-529	528-529
5.	Mother						
a.	Age		29-32		29-32		
b.	Race		35-38		35-38		
c.	Education		39-41		39-41		
d.	Marital status		42-43		42-43		
e.	Place of birth		44-46		44-46		
f.	Hispanic origin		33-34		33-34		
6.	Father						
a.	Age		60-62		60-62		
b.	Race		65-66		65-66		
c.	Hispanic origin		63-64		63-64		

Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set - 1996 Birth Cohort Data List of Data Elements and Locations

Data Items		Denominator- Plus File			Numerator File Birth Death	
7.	Pregnancy items					
a.	Month prenatal care began	51-53		51-53		
b.	Number of prenatal visits	54-55		54-55		
c.	Adequacy of care recode 56	34-33	56	34-33		
d.	Total birth order	47-48	30	47-48		
	Live birth order	49-50		49-50		
e.	Live bittii ordei	49-30		49-30		
8.	Medical and Health Data					
a.	Method of delivery	92-99		92-99		
b.	Medical risk factors	100-117		100-117		
c.	Other risk factors					
	Tobacco	118-121		118-121		
	Alcohol	122-125		122-125		
	Weight gain during pregnancy	126-128		126-128		
d.	Obstetric procedures	129-136		129-136		
e.	Complications of labor and/or					
	delivery	137-153		137-153		
f.	Abnormal conditions of the					
	newborn	154-163		154-163		
g.	Congenital anomalies	164-186		164-186		
h.	Underlying cause of death				216-219	216-219
i.	61 Infant cause recode				220-222	220-222
j.	Multiple conditions				261-504	261-504
9.	Other items					
a.	Place of delivery	67		67		
b.	Attendant at birth	68		68		
c.	Hospital and patient status				523	523
e.	Place of accident				215	215
f.	Residence reporting flags	187-203		187-203		

⁺ For the unlinked file, date of birth as reported on the death certificate is used to generate age at death. See section on <u>Changes Beginning with 1995 Data</u> for explanation.

^{*} For the unlinked file, these items are from the death certificate. See section on <u>Changes Beginning with 1995 Data</u> for explanation.

Item	Item	Variable Name,			
<u>LocationLength</u>		Item and	d Code O	<u>utline</u>	
1	1		MATC		
			1 2 3		Matched Birth/Infant Death Record Surviving infant record Unmatched infant death record Note: This code is used in the unlinked file only.
2-6	5		IDNUM Infant I	<u>IBER</u> Death Nu	umbe <u>r</u>

This number uniquely identifies the same infant in the numerator and denominator-plus files.

Locations 7-210 of the linked file contain data from the Birth Certificate. Locations 211-222, 261-535 of linked file contain data from the Death Certificate.

Residence items in the Denominator Record and in the natality section of the Numerator (linked) Record refer to the usual place of residence of the <u>Mother</u>; whereas in the mortality section of the Numerator (Linked) Record, these items refer to the residence of the <u>Decedent</u>.

7-10	4	<u>BIRYR</u> <u>Year of Birth</u>
		1996 Born in 1996
11	1	RESSTATB Resident Status - Birth

Uni	ted States	Occurrence
1		RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and
		residence are the same.
2	•••	INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence
		and residence are the same, but county is different.
3		INTERSTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence
		and residence are different, but both are in the 50 States
		and D.C.
4	•••	FOREIGN RESIDENTS: State of occurrence is one of
		the 50 States or the District of Columbia, but place of
		residence of mother is outside of the 50 States and D.C.

Puerto Rico Occurrence

1 uci	io mico c	occurrence.
1		RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence
		and residence are the same.
2		INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence
		and residence are the same, but county is different.
4		FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in Puerto Rico to a
		resident of any other place.

Item	Item		ariable Name,	
<u>LocationLength</u>		Item and Co	ode Outline	
11	1	v:	rgin Islands (Doguerongo
11	1	1		RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and
				residence are the same.
		2		INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and residence are the same, but county is different.
		4	·	FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in the Virgin Islands
				to a resident of any other place.
		<u>Gı</u>	uam Occurrei	<u>nce</u>
		1		RESIDENTS: Occurred in Guam to a resident of Guam or to a resident of the U.S.
		4	·	FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in Guam to a resident of any place other than Guam or the U.S.
				* -

12-13 2 **BRSTATE**

Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Birth

This item is designed to separately identify New York City records from other New York State records.

United States Occurrence 01 Alabama 02 Alaska 03 Arizona 04 Arkansas ••• 05 California ••• 06 Colorado ... 07 Connecticut 08 Delaware 09 District of Columbia ... 10 Florida ••• 11 Georgia 12 Hawaii ... 13 Idaho Illinois 14 ... 15 Indiana ••• 16 Iowa 17 Kansas ••• 18 Kentucky 19 Louisiana ••• 20 Maine ... 21 Maryland 22 Massachusetts ... 23 Michigan 24 Minnesota ... 25 Mississippi ... 26 Missouri

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<u>LocationLength</u>		Item and Code Outline

12-13 2 **BRSTATE**

Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Birth (Cond't)

This item is designed to separately identify New York City records from other New York State records.

Unite	d States	o Occurrence	ce			
27		Montana				
28		Nebraska	Nebraska			
29		Nevada				
30		New Har	npshire			
31		New Jers	sey			
32		New Me	xico			
33		New Yor	·k			
34		New Yor	k City			
35		North Ca	rolina			
36		North Da	akota			
37		Ohio				
38		Oklahon	na			
39		Oregon				
40		Pennsylv	ania			
41		Rhode Is	land			
42		South Ca	arolina			
43		South Da	South Dakota			
44		Tennesse	Tennessee			
45		Texas	Texas			
46		Utah				
47		Vermont				
48		Virginia				
49		Washing	ton			
50		West Vir	ginia			
51		Wiscons	in			
52		Wyomin	g			
53-58	,60		Foreign Residents			
53			Puerto Rico			
54			Virgin Islands			
55		•••	Guam			
56			Canada			
57			Cuba			
58			Mexico			
60			Remainder of the World			

Puerto Rico Occurrence

53	 Puerto Rico	
01-52,54-58,60	 Foreign Residents:	Refer to U.S. for specific code
	structure.	

Virgin Islands Occurrence

54	 Virgin Islands	
01-53,55-58,60	 Foreign Residents:	Refer to U.S. for specific code
	structure.	

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
12-13	2	BRSTATE Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Birth (Cond't) This item is designed to separately identify New York City records from
		other New York State records. Guam Occurrence 55 Guam 01-52 U.S. resident is also considered a resident of Guam. 53,54,58,60 Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure.
14-18	5	FIPSOCCB Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Geographic Codes (Occurrence) - Birth
		Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.
14-15	2	STOCCFIPB State of Occurrence (FIPS) - Birth

United States

01		Alabama
02		Alaska
04		Arizona
05		Arkansas
06		California
08	•••	Colorado
09		Connecticut
10		Delaware
11	•••	District of Columbia
12		Florida
13		Georgia
15		Hawaii
16		Idaho
17		Illinois
18		Indiana
19		Iowa
20		Kansas
21	•••	Kentucky
22		Louisiana
23		Maine
24		Maryland
25		Massachusetts
26		Michigan
27		Minnesota
28		Mississippi
29		Missouri
30		Montana
31		Nebraska
32		Nevada

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>		
14-15	2	STOCCFIPB State of Occurre	ence (FI	PS) - Birth (Cond't)
		United States 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 53 54 55 56		New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming
		Puerto Rico 72		Puerto Rico
		<u>Virgin Islands</u> 78		Virgin Islands
		<u>Guam</u> 66		Guam
16-18	3	CNTOCFIPB County of Occur	rrence (FIPS) - Birth
		001-nnn		Counties and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State. (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be used.)
		999		County with less than 250,000 population

Item <u>LocationLeng</u>	Item <u>th</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
19-23	5	FIPSRESB Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Geographic Codes (Residence) - Birth
		Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.
19-20	2	<u>STRESFIPB</u>

State of Residence (FIPS) - Birth

State of Residence (FIPS) - Birth								
United Sta	United States Occurrence							
00		Foreign residents						
01		Alabama						
02		Alaska						
04		Arizona						
05		Arkansas						
06	•••	California						
08	•••	Colorado						
09		Connecticut						
10		Delaware						
11		District of Columbia						
12	•••	Florida						
13		Georgia						
15		Hawaii						
16		Idaho						
17		Illinois						
18		Indiana						
19		Iowa						
20		Kansas						
21		Kentucky						
22		Louisiana						
23		Maine						
24		Maryland						
25		Massachusetts						
26		Michigan						
27		Minnesota						
28		Mississippi						
29		Missouri						
30		Montana						
31		Nebraska						
32		Nevada						
33		New Hampshire						
34		New Jersey						
35		New Mexico						
36		New York						
37		North Carolina						
38		North Dakota						
39		Ohio						
40		Oklahoma						
41		Oregon						
42		Pennsylvania						
4.4		D1 1 T 1 1						

44

Rhode Island

Item LocationLength	Item <u>Item</u>	Variable Name, and Code Outline	,	
19-20	2	STRESFIPB State of Reside	ence (FIP	'S) - Birth Cond't)
		United States	Occurrer	
		45		South Carolina
		46		South Dakota
		47	•••	Tennessee
		48		Texas
		49		Utah
		50		Vermont
		51		Virginia
		53		Washington
		54		West Virginia
		55		Wisconsin
		56		Wyoming
		Puerto Rico O	ccurrenc	e
		00-56,66,78		Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code
		00 30,00,70	•••	structure
		72		Puerto Rico
		<u>Virgin Islands</u>	Occurre	ence
		00-56,66,72		Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code
		, ,		structure
		78		Virgin Islands
		Guam Occurre	<u>ence</u>	
		00,72,78		Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure
		01-56		U.S. Resident is also considered a resident of Guam. Refer to U.S. for specific code structure
		66		Guam
21-23	3	CNTYRFPB County of Resi	idence (F	TIPS) - Birth
		000		Foreign residents
		001-nnn	•••	Counties and county equivalents (independent and
		001-11111	•••	coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically
				within each State (Note: To uniquely identify a
				county, both the State and county codes must be
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		999		used.) County with less than 250,000 population
24-28	5	PLRES Place (City) of	Residen	ce (FIPS)
		A complete list		s is shown in the Geographic Code Outline further
		00000		T
		00000		Foreign residents
		00001-nnnnn		Code range
		99999		Balance of county; or city less than
				250,000 population

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Item and	Variable Name, d Code Outline		
29	1		MAGEFLG Age of Mother F	lag	
			is used. The rep	orted ag	whenever age is imputed or the mother's reported age go is used, if valid, when computed age derived from vailable or when it is outside the 10-49 code range.
			Blank 1 2		Not imputed and reported age is not used Reported age is used Age is imputed
30-31	2		DMAGE Age of Mother		
					d using dates of birth of mother and of delivery; ed. This is the age item used in NCHS publications.
			10-49		Age in single years
32	1		MAGER8 Age of Mother I	Recode 8	<u>.</u>
			1		Under 15 years
			2		15 - 19 years
			3		20 - 24 years
			4		25 - 29 years
			5		30 - 34 years
			6	•••	35 - 39 years
			7	•••	40 - 44 years
			8		45 - 49 years
33	1		ORMOTH Hispanic Origin	of Moth	her
					red for all areas except Puerto Rico.
			0		Non-Hispanic
			1		Mexican
			2		Puerto Rican
			3		Cuban
			4		Central or South American
			5		Other and unknown Hispanic
			9	•••	Origin unknown or not stated
			,	•••	Origin diffilowir or not stated

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item		Variable Name, Code Outline		
34	1	-	<u>ORRACEM</u> Hispanic Origin	and Rac	ce of Mother Recode
			Hispanic origin	is reporte	ed for all areas except Puerto Rico.
			1		Mexican
			2		Puerto Rican
			3		Cuban
			4		Central or South American
			5		Other and unknown Hispanic
			6	•••	Non-Hispanic White
			7		Non-Hispanic Black
			8	•••	Non-Hispanic other races
			9		Origin unknown or not stated
35	1]	MRACEIMP		
			Race of Mother	Imputat	ion Flag
			Blank		Race is not imputed
			1		Race is imputed
			2		All other races, formerly code 09, is imputed
36-37	2	•	MRACE Race of Mother from Death Rec		Record or for Unlinked Records Race of Decedent

Beginning with 1992 data, some areas started reporting additional Asian or Pacific Islander codes for race. Codes 18-68 replace old code 08 for these areas. Code 78 replaces old code 08 for all other areas. For consistency with Census race code 09 (all other races) used prior to 1992 has been imputed.

United States Occurrence

01	 White
02	 Black
03	 American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
04	 Chinese
05	 Japanese
06	 Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
07	 Filipino
18	 Asian Indian
28	 Korean
38	 Samoan
48	 Vietnamese
58	 Guamanian
68	 Other Asian or Pacific Islander in areas reporting
	codes 18-58
78	 Combined other Asian or Pacific Islander, includes
	codes 18-68 for areas that do not report them
	separately

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
36-37	2	MRACE Race of Mothe from Death Re		Record or for Unlinked Records Race of Decedent nd't)
		Puerto Rico O	ccurrence	
		00		Other races
		01		White
		02		Black
		Virgin Islands	Occurren	
		01	•••	White
		02	•••	Black
		03	•••	American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
		04	•••	Chinese
		05	•••	Japanese
		06	•••	Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
		07		Filipino
		08	•••	Other Asian or Pacific Islander
		Guam Occurre	ence	
		01	•••	White
		02	•••	Black
		03		American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
		04		Chinese
		05		Japanese
		06		Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
		07		Filipino
		08		Other Asian or Pacific Islander
		58		Guamanian
38	1	MRACE3		
		Race of Mothe	r Recode	
		1		White
				Races other than White or Black
		2	•••	Races other than write or brack

Item LocationLength	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	,
39-40	2	<u>DMEDUC</u> <u>Education of M</u>	<u>Mother Detail</u>
		All areas report	ort education of mother.
		00 01-08	 No formal education Years of elementary school
		09 10	 1 year of high school 2 years of high school
		11 12	 3 years of high school 4 years of high school
		13 14	1 year of college 2 years of college
		15 16	3 years of college 4 years of college
		17 99	5 or more years of college Not stated
41	1	MEDUC6	
		Education of M	Mother Recode
		1 2	0 - 8 years 9 - 11 years
		3 4	12 years 13 - 15 years
		5 6	16 years and over Not stated
42	1	<u>DMARIMP</u> <u>Marital Status o</u>	s of Mother Imputation Flag
		Blank 1	Marital status is not imputed Marital status is imputed
43	1	<u>DMAR</u> <u>Marital Status</u>	s of Mother
		Marital status is	is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.
		<u>United States/V</u> 1	Virgin Islands/Guam Occurrence Married
		2 9	Unmarried Unknown or not stated
		<u>Puerto Rico Oc</u>	ccurrence
		1 2	Married Unmarried parents living together
		3 9	Unmarried parents not living together Unknown or not stated

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Item an	Variable Name ad Code Outline	·,	
44-45	2		MPLBIR Place of Birth	of Mother	
			01		Alabama
			02		Alaska
			03		Arizona
			04		Arkansas
			05		California
			06		Colorado
			07		Connecticut
			08		Delaware
			09	•••	District of Columbia
			10		Florida
			11		Georgia
			12		Hawaii
			13		Idaho
			14		Illinois
			15		Indiana
			16		Iowa
			17		Kansas
			18		Kentucky
			19		Louisiana
			20		Maine
			21	•••	Maryland
			22		Massachusetts
			23		Michigan
			24	•••	Minnesota
			25	•••	Mississippi
			26	•••	Missouri
			27		Montana
			28		Nebraska
			29		Nevada
			30		New Hampshire
			31		New Jersey
			32	•••	New Mexico
			33	•••	New York
			34	•••	North Carolina
			35	•••	North Dakota
			36	•••	Ohio
			37	•••	Oklahoma
			38	•••	Oregon
			39	•••	Pennsylvania
			40	•••	Rhode Island
			41	•••	South Carolina
			42	•••	South Dakota
			43	•••	Tennessee
			44 45	•••	Texas Utah
			45 46	•••	
			46 47	•••	Vermont
			47	•••	Virginia Washington
			48 49	•••	Washington West Virginia
			47	•••	West Virginia

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item LocationLength	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	
44-45	2	MPLBIR Place of Birth of Mother (Con	<u>d't)</u>
		51 Wyo 52 Puert 53 Virgi 54 Guar 55 Cana 56 Cuba 57 Mex 59 Rem	to Rico in Islands n ida
46	1	MPLBIRR Place of Birth of Mother Reco United States Occurrence 1 Born 2 Born	
47-48	2	Puerto Rico/Virgin Island/ Gu Blank This DTOTORD Detail Total Birth Order	nam Occurrence item not recorded
		unknown, this item is made un 01-40 Total	I number of live births and other terminations regnancy
49-50	2	<u>DLIVORD</u> <u>Detail Live Birth Order</u>	

Sum of live births now living and now dead plus one. If either item is unknown, this item is made unknown.

00-31 ... Number of children born alive to mother 99 ... Unknown

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline	
51-52	2	<u>MONPRE</u> <u>Detail Month of</u>	of Pregnancy Prenatal Care Began
		00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	 No prenatal care 1st month 2nd month 3rd month 4th month 5th month 6th month 7th month 8th month 9th month Unknown or not stated
53	1	MPRE5 Month Prenatal	al Care Began Recode 5
		1 2 3 4 5	 1st Trimester (1st-3rd month) 2nd Trimester (4th-6th month) 3rd Trimester (7th-9th month) No prenatal care Unknown or not stated
54-55	2	<u>NPREVIST</u> <u>Total Number o</u>	of Prenatal Visits
		00 01-48 49 99	 No prenatal visits Stated number of visits 49 or more visits Unknown or not stated
56	1	ADEQUACY Adequacy of Ca	Care Recode (Kessner Index)
			ased on a modified Kessner criterion. Month Prenatal Care er of Prenatal Visits, and Gestation are the items used to
		1 2 3 4	Adequate Intermediate Inadequate Unknown
57-59	3	R1 Reserved Position	<u>ions</u>

1996

Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
60	1	FAGERFLG Reported Age of Father Used Flag
		This position is flagged whenever the Father's reported age in years is used. The reported age is used, if valid, when age derived from date of birth is not available or when it is less than 10.
		Blank Reported age is not used 1 Reported age is used
61-62	2	DFAGE Age of Father
		This item is either computed from date of birth of father and of child or is the reported age. This is the age item used in NCHS publications.
		10-98 Age in single years 99 Unknown or not stated
63	1	ORFATH Hispanic Origin of Father
		Hispanic origin is reported for all areas except Puerto Rico.
		0 Non-Hispanic 1 Mexican 2 Puerto Rican 3 Cuban 4 Central or South American 5 Other and unknown Hispanic 9 Origin unknown or not stated
64	1	ORRACEF Hispanic Origin and Race of Father Recode
		Hispanic origin is reported for all areas except Puerto Rico.
		1 Mexican 2 Puerto Rican 3 Cuban 4 Central or South American 5 Other and unknown Hispanic 6 Non-Hispanic White 7 Non-Hispanic Black 8 Non-Hispanic other or unknown race 9 Origin unknown or not stated

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<u>LocationLen</u>	<u>igth</u>	Item and Code Outline
65-66	2	<u>FRACE</u> Race of Father

Beginning with 1992 data, some areas started reporting additional Asian or Pacific Islander codes for race. See reporting flags. Codes 18 -68 replace old code 08 for these areas. Code 78 replaces old code 08 for all other areas. Code 09 (all other races) has been changed to 99.

United St	ates Occurre	<u>ence</u>
01		White
02		Black
03		American Indian (includes Aleuts
		and Eskimos)
04		Chinese
05		Japanese
06		Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
07		Filipino
18		Asian Indian
28		Korean
38		Samoan
48		Vietnamese
58		Guamanian
68		Other Asian or Pacific Islander
		in areas reporting codes 18-58
78		Combined other Asian or Pacific Islander, includes
		codes 18-68 for areas that do not report them separately
99		Unknown or not stated
フフ	•••	Ulikilowii of flot stated

Puerto Rico Occurrence

00		Other races
01	•••	White
02		Black
99		Unknown or not stated

Virgin Islands Occurrence

01	 White
02	 Black
03	 American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
04	 Chinese
05	 Japanese
06	 Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)
07	 Filipino
08	 Other Asian or Pacific Islander
99	 Unknown or not stated

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	
65-66	2	FRACE Race of Father (Cond't)	
		Guam Occurrence 01 White 02 Black 03 American Indian (includes Aleuts and Esking) 04 Chinese 05 Japanese 06 Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian) 07 Filipino 08 Other Asian or Pacific Islander 58 Guamanian 99 Unknown or not stated	mos)
67	1	PLDEL Place or Facility of Delivery	
68	1	1 Hospital 2 Freestanding Birthing Center 3 Clinic or Doctor's Office 4 A Residence 5 Other 9 Unknown or Not Stated	
		Attendant at Delivery 1 Doctor of Medicine (M.D.) 2 Doctor of Osteopathy (D.O.) 3 Certified Nurse Midwife (C.N.M.) 4 Other Midwife 5 Other 9 Unknown or not stated	
69	1	Reserved position	
70	1	Clinical Estimate of Gestation Used Flag This position is flagged whenever the clinical estimate of gestation is used when gestation could not be computed or when the computed gestation is outside the 17-47 code range.	ised. It
		Blank Clinical Estimate is not used	

Clinical Estimate is used

1

1996

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item Item ar	Variable Name, and Code Outline		
71-72	2	CLINGEST Clinical Estimate	e of Ges	<u>tation</u>
		Clinical estimate See reporting fla		eported by all areas.
		00	 	Estimated gestation in weeks Unknown or not stated
73	1	GESTIMP Gestation Imputa	ation Fl	a <u>g</u>
		1		Gestation is not imputed Gestation is imputed
74-75	2	GESTAT Gestation - Detai	l in We	e <u>ks</u>
		menses; b) imput when there is ins	ted from sufficien	l using dates of birth of child and last normal a LMP date; c) the clinical estimate; or d) unknown t data to impute or no valid clinical estimate. This is a NCHS publications.
		17-47 99		17th through 47th week of gestation Unknown
76-77	2	GESTAT 10 GESTATION RE	ECODE	<u>. 10</u>
		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09		Under 20 weeks 20 - 27 weeks 28 - 31 weeks 32 - 35 weeks 36 weeks 37 - 39 weeks 40 weeks 41 weeks 42 weeks and over Not stated
78	1	CSEXIMP Sex Imputation F	lag	
		1	 	Sex is not imputed Sex is imputed
79	1	CSEX Sex		
		2		Male Female

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item		Variable Name Code Outline	÷,	
80-87	8		<u>BIRTHWEIG</u>	<u>HT</u>	
			reduce potent 1995 data yea imputation fla	ial bias in or in the int ng can be u	imputation for not-stated birthweight was added to the data (see section on Changes beginning with the troductory text to this documentation). The following used to delete imputed values for those researchers orted birthweight data.
80	1		<u>BWIF</u> Birth Weight	<u>Imputatio</u>	n Flag
			Blank 1		Birthweight is not imputed Birthweight is imputed
81-84	4		<u>DBIRWT</u> Birth Weight	Detail in (Grams (Imputed)
			0227-8165 9999		Number of grams Not stated birth weight
85-86	2		BIRWT12 Birth Weight	Recode 12	2 (Imputed)
			01		499 grams or less
			02		500-999 grams
			03		1000-1499 grams
			04		1500-1999 grams
			05		2000-2499 grams
			06		2500-2999 grams
			07	•••	3000-3499 grams
			08	•••	3500-3999 grams
			09	•••	4000-4499 grams
			10	•••	4500-4999 grams
			11 12	•••	5000-8165 grams Unknown or not stated
			12	•••	Chritown of not stated
87 1		BIRWT	4		
0. 1			Birth Weight	Recode 4	(Imputed)
			1		1499 grams or less
			2		1500-2499 grams
			3	•••	2500 grams or more
			4		Unknown or not stated
88	1		<u>PLURIMP</u> Plurality Impo	utation Fla	a <u>g</u>
			Blank		Plurality is not imputed
			1		Plurality is imputed
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
89	1	DPLURAL Plurality
		1 Single 2 Twin 3 Triplet 4 Quadruplet 5 Quintuplet or higher
90-91	2	FMAPS Five-Minute Apgar Score
		Apgar score is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.
		00-10 A score of 0-10 99 Unknown or not stated
92-186 95		MEDINFO Medical and Health Data
		Some States do not report an entire item while other States do not report all of the categories within an item. If an item is not reported, it is indicated by code zero in the appropriate reporting flag. If a category within an item is not reported it is indicated by code 8 in the position for that category.
92-99	8	DELMETH Method of Delivery
		Each method is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each method (position) is:
		1 The method was used
		2 The method was not used
		8 Method not on certificate 9 Method unknown or not stated
92	1	VAGINAL Vaginal
93	1	VBAC Vaginal Birth After Previous C-Section
94	1	PRIMAC Primary C-Section
95	1	REPEAC Repeat C-Section
96	1	FORCEP Forceps

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Item and	Variable Name, d Code Outline		
97	1		VACUUM Vacuum		
98	1		R3 Reserved Position	<u>l</u>	
99	1		DELMETH5 Method of Deliver	ry Reco	<u>ode</u>
			1 2 3 4 5	·· ··	Vaginal (excludes Vaginal after previous C-section) Vaginal birth after previous C section Primary C-section Repeat C-Section Not stated
100-117 18		MEDR	I <u>SK</u> Medical Risk Fact	<u>tors</u>	
			Each risk factor is each risk factor (p		ed a separate position, and the code structure for) is:
			0		Factor reported Factor not reported Factor not on certificate Factor not classifiable
100	1		MRFLAG No Medical Risk I	Factors	Reported Flag
			Blank 2		One or more medical risk factors coded, one, eight, or nine No medical risk factors reported. Each factor is coded a two.
101	1		ANEMIA Anemia (Hct.<30/	Hgb.<1	<u>(0)</u>
102	1		CARDIAC Cardiac disease		
103	1		LUNG Acute or chronic l	lung di	<u>sease</u>
104	1		DIABETES Diabetes		
105	1		HERPES Genital herpes		
106	1		HYDRA Hydramnios/Oligo	<u>ohydra</u>	<u>mnios</u>

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
107	1	HEMO Hemoglobinopathy
108	1	CHYPER Hypertension, chronic
109	1	PHYPER Hypertension, pregnancy-associated
110	1	ECLAMP Eclampsia
111	1	INCERVIX Incompetent cervix
112	1	<u>PRE4000</u> <u>Previous infant 4000+ grams</u>
113	1	PRETERM Previous preterm or small-for-gestational-age infant
114	1	RENAL Renal disease
115	1	RH Rh sensitization
116	1	<u>UTERINE</u> <u>Uterine bleeding</u>
117	1	OTHERMR Other Medical Risk Factors
118-128	11	OTHERRSK Other Risk Factors for this Pregnancy
118-121	4	TOBACRSK Tobacco Risks
118	1	TOBACCO Tobacco Use During Pregnancy
		1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown or not stated
119-120	2	<u>CIGAR</u> <u>Average Number of Cigarettes Per Day</u>
		00-97 As stated 98 98 or more cigarettes per day 99 Unknown or not stated

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	<u>Item an</u>	Variable Name, d Code Outline		
121	1		CIGAR6 Average Numb	er of Cig	arettes Per Day Recode
			0 1 2 3 4 5 6		Non-smoker 1-5 cigarettes per day 6-10 cigarettes per day 11-20 cigarettes per day 21-40 cigarettes per day 41 or more cigarettes per day Unknown or not stated
122-125	4		ALCOHRSK Alcohol		
122	1		ALCOHOL Alcohol Use Du	ıring Pre	gnanc <u>y</u>
			1 2 9		Yes No Unknown or not stated
123-124	2		DRINK Average Numb	er of Dri	nks Per Week
			00-97 98 99		As stated 98 or more drinks per week Unknown or not stated
125	1		DRINK5 Average Numb	er of Dri	nks Per Week Recode
			0 1 2 3 4 5		Non-drinker 1 drink per week 2 drinks per week 3-4 drinks per week 5 or more drinks per week Unknown or not stated
126-128	3		WTGANRSK Weight Gain D	uring Pr	<u>egnancy</u>
126-127	2		WTGAIN Weight Gain		
			00-97 98 99		Stated number of pounds 98 pounds or more Unknown or not stated

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>		
128	1	<u>WTGAIN9</u> <u>Weight Gain R</u>	ecode	
		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Less than 16 pounds 16-20 pounds 21-25 pounds 26-30 pounds 31-35 pounds 36-40 pounds 41-45 pounds 40 or more pounds Unknown or not stated
129-136	8	OBSTETRC Obstetric Proce	<u>edures</u>	
		Each procedure each procedure		ned a separate position, and the code structure for n) is:
		1 2 8 9		Procedure reported Procedure not reported Procedure not on certificate Procedure not classifiable
129	1	OBFLAG Obstetric Flag		
		Blank 2		One or more obstetric procedures coded, one, eight, or nine No obstetric procedures reported. Each factor is coded a two.
130	1	AMNIO Amniocentesis		
131	1	MONITOR Electronic fetal	monitor	ring
132	1	INDUCT Induction of lal	<u>oor</u>	
133	1	STIMULA Stimulation of I	<u>abor</u>	
134	1	TOCOL Tocolysis		
135	1	<u>ULTRAS</u> <u>Ultrasound</u>		
136	1	OTHEROB Other Obstetric	c Proced	<u>ures</u>

Item LocationLength	Item	Item and	Variable Name, l Code Outline		
137-153	17		LABOR Complications of	<u>Labor</u>	and/or Delivery
			Each complication		igned a separate position, and the code structure for ion) is:
			2 8	 	Complication reported Complication not on certificate Complication not classifiable
137	1		FBFLAG Labor Flag		
			Blank 2		One or more labor and/or delivery complications coded, one, eight, or nine No labor and/or delivery complication reported. Each factor is coded a two.
138	1		FEBRILE Febrile (>100 degr	rees F.	or 38 degrees C.)
139	1		MECONIUM Meconium, moder	rate/he	<u>avy</u>
140	1		RUPTURE Premature ruptur	e of m	embrane (>12 hours)
141	1		ABRUPTIO Abruptio placenta	<u>l</u>	
142	1		<u>PREPLACE</u> <u>Placenta previa</u>		
143	1		EXCEBLD Other excessive bl	leeding	{
144	1		SEIZURE Seizures during la	<u>ıbor</u>	
145	1		PRECIP Precipitous labor	(<3 ho	urs)
146	1		PROLONG Prolonged labor (2)	>20 ho	<u>urs)</u>
147	1		DYSFUNC Dysfunctional lab	<u>or</u>	
148	1		BREECH Breech/Malpreser	ntation	

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
149	1	CEPHALO Cephalopelvic disproportion
150	1	CORD Cord prolapse
151	1	ANESTHE Anesthetic complications
152	1	DISTRESS Fetal distress
153	1	OTHERLB Other Complications of Labor and/or Delivery
154-163	10	NEWBORN Abnormal conditions of the Newborn Each condition is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each condition (position)is:
		Condition reported Condition not reported Condition not on certificate Condition not classifiable
154	1	Newborn Flag
		Blank One or more abnormal conditions of the newborn coded, one, eight, or nine 2 No abnormal condition of the newborn reported. Each factor is coded a two.
155	1	NANEMIA Anemia Hct.>39/Hgb.<13)
156	1	INJURY Birth injury
157	1	ALCOSYN Fetal alcohol syndrome
158	1	HYALINE Hyaline membrane disease
159	1	MECONSYN Meconium aspiration syndrome
160	1	VENL30 Assisted ventilation, less than 30 minutes

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item <u>Item</u>	Variable Name, and Code Outline
161	1	<u>VEN30M</u> <u>Assisted ventilation, 30 minutes or more</u>
162	1	NSEIZ Seizures
163	1	OTHERAB Other Abnormal Conditions of the Newborn
164-186	23	CONGENIT Congenital Anomalies
		Each anomaly is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each anomaly (position) is:
		1 Anomaly reported 2 Anomaly not reported 8 Anomaly not on certificate 9 Anomaly not classifiable
164	1	CGFLAG Congenital Flag
		Blank One or more congenital anomalies coded, one, eight, or nine 2 No congenital anomaly is reported. Each factor is coded a two.
165	1	ANEN Anencephalus
166	1	SPINA Spina bifida/Meningocele
167	1	HYDRO Hydrocephalus
168	1	MICROCE Microcephalus
169	1	NERVOUS Other central nervous system anomalies
170	1	HEART Heart malformations
171	1	CIRCUL Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies
172	1	RECTAL Rectal atresia/stenosis

Item LocationLength	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
173	1	TRACHEO Tracheo-esophageal fistula/Esophageal atresia
174	1	OMPHALO Omphalocele/Gastroschisis
175	1	GASTRO Other gastrointestinal anomalies
176	1	GENITAL Malformed genitalia
177	1	RENALAGE Renal agenesis
178	1	<u>UROGEN</u> Other urogenital anomalies
179	1	CLEFTLP Cleft lip/palate
180	1	ADACTYLY Polydactyly/Syndactyly/Adactyly
181	1	CLUBFOOT Club foot
182	1	HERNIA Diaphragmatic hernia
183	1	MUSCULO Other musculoskeletal/integumental anomalies
184	1	DOWNS Down's syndrome
185	1	CHROMO Other chromosomal anomalies
186	1	OTHERCON Other congenital anomalies
187-203	17	FLRES Reporting Flags for Place of Residence

These positions contain flags to indicate whether or not the specified item is included on the birth certificate of the State of residence or of the SMSA of residence. The code structure of each flag (position) is:

0 ... The item is not reported

1 ... The item is reported or partially reported.

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
187	1	ORIGM Origin of mother
188	1	ORIGF Origin of father
189	1	EDUCM Education of mother
190	1	Reserved Position
191	1	GESTE Clinical estimate of gestation
192	1	R5 Reserved position
193	1	FMAPSRF 5-minute Apgar score
194	1	DELMETRF Method of delivery
195	1	MEDRSK Medical risk factors
196	1	TOBUSE Tobacco use
197	1	ALCUSE Alcohol use
198	1	WTGN Weight gain
199	1	OBSTRC Obstetric procedures
200	1	CLABOR Complications of labor and/or delivery
201	1	ABNML Abnormal conditions of newborn
202	1	CONGAN Congenital anomalies
203	1	API flag Race codes 18-68 reported (beginning with 1992 data)

1996 Denominator Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Item and	Variable Name, d Code Outline		
204	1		CDOBMIMP Month of Birth	of Child	Imputation Flag
			Blank		Month is not imputed
			1	•••	Month is imputed
205-206	2		BIRMON Month of Birth		
			01		January
			02	•••	February
			03		March
			04		April
			05		May
			06		June
			07		July
			08		August
			09		September
			10	•••	October
			11		November
			12		December
207-208	2		<u>R6</u>		
			Reserved Positi	<u>on</u>	
209	1		WEEKDAYB Day of Week C	hild Borr	1
			1		Sunday
			2		Monday
			3		Tuesday
			4	•••	Wednesday
			5	•••	Thursday
			6	•••	Friday
			7		Saturday
210	1		<u>R7</u>		
			Reserved Positi	<u>on</u>	

Locations 211-535 contain data from the Death Certificate. Data in locations 211-222 are included on both the numerator and denominator-plus files. Data in locations 223-535 are include in the numerator file only. Residence items in the Denominator Record and in the natality section of the Numerator (Linked) Record refer to the usual place of residence of the Mother; whereas in the mortality section of the Numerator (Linked) Record, these items refer to the place of residence of the Decedent.

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Item an	Variable Name, d Code Outline		
211-213	3		AGED Age at Death in	n Days	
			death certificat reported age of	te minus to the feath is	ath in days is calculated from the date of death on the he date of birth on the birth certificate unless the less than 2 days, then the reported age is used. If the or death is unknown, the age is imputed.
			000-364		Number of days
214	1		AGER5 Infant Age Rec	ode <u>5</u>	
			1 2 3 4 5		Under 1 hour 1-23 hours 1-6 days 7-27 days (late neonatal) 28 days and over (postneonatal)
215	1		ACCIDPL Place of Accide	ent for Ca	auses E850-E869 and E880-E928
			Blank		Causes other than E850-E869 and E880-E928
			0		Home
			1		Farm
			2		Mine and quarry
			3		Industrial place and premises
			4		Place for recreation and sport
			5	•••	Street and highway
			6		Public building
			7	•••	Resident institution
			8	•••	Other specified places
			9	•••	Place of accident not specified
216-219	4		UCOD ICD Code (9th	Revision	D.

See the <u>International Classification of Diseases</u>, 1975 Revision, Volume 1. For injuries and poisoning, the external cause is coded (E800-E999) rather than the Nature of Injury (800-999). These positions do not include the letter E for the external cause of injury. For those causes that do not have a 4th digit, location 219 is blank.

1996

Denominator Record and Mortality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

ItemItemVariable Name,LocationLengthItem and Code Outline

220-222 3 <u>UCODR61</u>

61 Infant Cause Recode

A recode of the ICD cause code into 61 groups for NCHS publications. Further back in this document is a complete list of recodes and the causes included.

010-680 ... Code range (not inclusive)

223-230 8 <u>RECWT</u> <u>Record weight</u>

Beginning in 1995, a record weight was added to the linked file to adjust for the approximately 2-3% of infant death records each year which cannot be linked to their corresponding birth certificates. Weights are generally slightly greater than 1.0 for infant death records, and are set at 1.0 for surviving live birth records. Weights are appropriate for us in some circumstances, but not others — please see <u>Introduction</u> for further details. The weights were used to produce all NCHS linked file tables, including Documentation tables 1-5 included in this tape documentation. The general format for the record weight is the number one followed by a decimal point and six decimal places as follows:

1.XXXXXX

Here ends the Denominator file. Documentation for the Mortality Section of the Numerator (Linked) file begins with multiple conditions in positions 261-504.

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Nam Item and Code Outline	
261-504	244	MULTCONI Multiple Con	
			ernational Classification of Diseases", 1975 Revision, Volume 1. ity-axis and record-axis conditions are coded according to this 1).
261-262	2	EANUM Number of E	ntity-Axis Conditions
		00-20	Code range
263-402	140	ENTITY ENTITY - A	XIS CONDITIONS
			een provided for a maximum of 20 conditions. Each condition ions in the record. Records that do not have 20 conditions are unused area.
		Position 1:	Part/line number on certificate
		1 2 3 4 5	Part I, line 1 (a) Part I, line 2 (b) Part I, line 3 (c) Part I, line 4 (d) Part I, line 5 (e) Part II,
		Position 2:	Sequence of condition within part/line
		1-7	Code range
		Position 3 -	6: Condition code (ICD 9th Revision)
		Position 7:	Nature of Injury Flag
		1	Indicates that the code in positions 3-6 is a Nature of Injury code
		0	All other codes
263-269	7	1st Condition	n
270-276	7	2nd Conditio	n
277-283	7	3rd Conditio	n
284-290	7	4th Condition	n
291-297	7	5th Condition	n

1996 Mortality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
298-304	7	6th Condition
305-311	7	7th Condition
312-318	7	8th Condition
319-325	7	9th Condition
326-332	7	10th Condition
333-339	7	11th Condition
340-346	7	12th Condition
347-353	7	13th Condition
354-360	7	14th Condition
361-367	7	15th Condition
368-374	7	16th Condition
375-381	7	17th Condition
382-388	7	18th Condition
389-395	7	19th Condition
396-402	7	20th Condition
403-404	2	RANUM Number of Record-Axis Conditions
		00-20 Code range
405-504	100	RECORD - AXIS CONDITIONS

Space has been provided for a maximum of 20 conditions. Each condition takes 5 positions in the record. Records that do not have 20 conditions are blank in the unused area.

Positions 1-4: Condition code (ICD 9th Revision)

Position 5: Nature of Injury Flag

1 ... Indicates that the code in positions 1-4 is a Nature

of Injury code

0 ... All other codes

1996 Mortality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	
405-409	5	1st Condition	
410-414	5	2nd Condition	
415-419	5	3rd Condition	
420-424	5	4th Condition	
425-429	5	5th Condition	
430-434	5	6th Condition	
435-439	5	7th Condition	
440-444	5	8th Condition	
445-449	5	9th Condition	
450-454	5	10th Condition	
455-459	5	11th Condition	
460-464	5	12th Condition	
465-469	5	13th Condition	
470-474	5	14th Condition	
475-479	5	15th Condition	
480-484	5	16th Condition	
485-489	5	17th Condition	
490-494	5	18th Condition	
495-499	5	19th Condition	
500-504	5	20th Condition	
505	1	RESSTATD Resident Status United States Oc 1 2 3	

FOREIGN RESIDENTS: State of occurrence is one of the 50 States or the District of Columbia, but place of residence

is outside of the 50 States and D.C.

Item	Item	Variable Name,	
<u>LocationLength</u>		Item and Code Outline	
505	1	RESSTATD	
		Resident Status - Death (Cond't)	
		·	

Puerto Rico Occurrence

1	 RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and residence
	are the same.
2	 INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and
	residence are the same, but county is different.
4	 FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in Puerto Rico to a
	resident of any other place.

Virgin Islands Occurrence

1	 RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and
	residence are the same.
2	 INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of
	occurrence and residence are the same, but county
	is different.
4	 FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in the Virgin
	Islands to a resident of any other place.

Guam Occurrence

Guain Oc	<u>currence</u>	
1		RESIDENTS: Occurred in Guam to a resident of
		Guam or to a resident of the U.S.
4		FOREIGN RESIDENTS: Occurred in Guam to a
		resident of any place other than Guam or the U.S.

506-507 2 **DRSTATE**

Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Deaths

Alabama

This item is designed to separately identify New York City records from other New York State records.

United States Occurrence

01

01	•••	Timounia
02		Alaska
03		Arizona
04		Arkansas
05		California
06		Colorado
07		Connecticut
08		Delaware
09		District of Columbia
10		Florida
11		Georgia
12		Hawaii
13		Idaho
14		Illinois
15		Indiana
16		Iowa
17		Kansas
18		Kentucky
19		Louisiana
20	•••	Maine

Item	Item	Variable Name,
<u>LocationLength</u>		Item and Code Outline

506-507 2 **DRSTATE**

Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Deaths (Cond't)

United States	Occurro	naa
21	Occurre	Maryland
22	•••	Massachusetts
23	•••	Michigan
24	•••	Minnesota
25	•••	Mississippi
26	•••	Missouri
27	•••	Montana
28	•••	Nebraska
28 29	•••	Nevada
30	•••	
31	•••	New Hampshire
32	•••	New Jersey New Mexico
	•••	
33	•••	New York
34	•••	New York City
35	•••	North Carolina
36	•••	North Dakota
37	•••	Ohio
38	•••	Oklahoma
39	•••	Oregon
40		Pennsylvania
41	•••	Rhode Island
42		South Carolina
43		South Dakota
44		Tennessee
45		Texas
46		Utah
47		Vermont
48		Virginia
49		Washington
50		West Virginia
51		Wisconsin
52		Wyoming
53-58,60		Foreign Residents
53		Puerto Rico
54		Virgin Islands
55		Guam
56		Canada
57		Cuba
58		Mexico
60		Remainder of the World

Puerto Rico Occurrence

53	Puerto Rico
01-52,54-58,60	Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code
	structure.

Item	Variable Name,
<u>gth</u>	Item and Code Outline
2	DRSTATE

DRSTATE

Expanded State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Deaths (Cond't)

Virgin Islands Occurrence

54 Virgin Islands

01-53,55-58,60 ... Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code

structure.

Guam Occurrence

55 Guam

01-52 U.S. resident is also considered a resident of Guam. ... 53,54,58,60 Foreign Residents: Refer to U.S. for specific code

structure.

508-512 5 **FIPSOCCD**

Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Geographic Codes (Occurrence) - Death

Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.

508-509 2 **STOCCFIPD**

State of Occurrence (FIPS) - Death

United States 01 Alabama ... 02 Alaska 04 Arizona ... 05 Arkansas ... 06 California ... 08 Colorado 09 Connecticut 10 Delaware ... District of Columbia 11 ... Florida 12 Georgia 13 ... 15 Hawaii Idaho 16 ... Illinois 17 ... 18 Indiana 19 Iowa ... 20 Kansas 21 Kentucky 22 Louisiana ... 23 Maine Maryland 24 ... 25 Massachusetts 26 Michigan ... 27 Minnesota ... 28 Mississippi 29 Missouri ... 30 Montana

		Mortality Section of 11th	incrutoi	(Elikea) Record
Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item <u>1</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>		
508-509	2	STOCCFIPD State of Occurre	ence (F	(IPS) - Death (Cond't)
		United States		
		31		Nebraska
		32	•••	Nevada
		33	•••	New Hampshire
		34	•••	New Jersey
		35		New Mexico
		36		New York
		37		North Carolina
		38	•••	North Dakota
		39	•••	Ohio
		40	•••	Oklahoma
		41	•••	Oregon
		42	•••	Pennsylvania
		44		Rhode Island
		45		South Carolina
		46		South Dakota
		47		Tennessee
		48		Texas
		49		Utah
		50		Vermont
		51		Virginia
		53		Washington
		54		West Virginia
		55		Wisconsin
		56		Wyoming
		<u>Puerto Rico</u>		
		72		Puerto Rico
		<u>Virgin Islands</u>		
		78	•••	Virgin Islands
		<u>Guam</u>		
		66		Guam
510-512	3	CNTOCFIPD		
		County of Occu	rrence	(FIPS) - Death
		001-nnn	•••	Counties and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State. (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be
		999		used.) County with less than 250,000 population
				, 1 1

ItemItemVariable Name,LocationLengthItem and Code Outline

513-517 5 **FIPSRESD**

<u>Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Geographic Codes</u> (Residence) - Death

Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.

513-514 2 <u>STRESFIPD</u> State of Residence (FIPS) - Death

United States Occurrence

00	 Foreign residents
01	 Alabama
02	 Alaska
04	 Arizona
05	 Arkansas
06	 California
08	 Colorado
09	 Connecticut
10	 Delaware
11	 District of Columbia
12	 Florida
13	 Georgia
15	 Hawaii
16	 Idaho
17	 Illinois
18	 Indiana
19	 Iowa
20	 Kansas
21	 Kentucky
22	 Louisiana
23	 Maine
24	 Maryland
25	 Massachusetts
26	 Michigan
27	 Minnesota
28	 Mississippi
29	 Missouri
30	 Montana
31	 Nebraska
32	 Nevada
33	 New Hampshire
34	 New Jersey
35	 New Mexico
36	 New York
37	 North Carolina
38	 North Dakota
39	 Ohio
40	 Oklahoma

Item LocationLength	Item	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
513-514	2	STRESFIPD State of Resider	nce (FIPS	S) - Death (Cond't)
		United States	Occurre	<u>nce</u>
		41		Oregon
		42		Pennsylvania
		44	•••	Rhode Island
		45	•••	South Carolina
		46		South Dakota
		47	•••	Tennessee
		48	•••	Texas
		49	•••	Utah
		50	•••	Vermont
		51	•••	Virginia
		53	•••	Washington
		54	•••	West Virginia
		55	•••	Wisconsin
		56	•••	Wyoming
		Puerto Rico O	ccurren	ce
		72		Puerto Rico
		00-56, 66,78		Foreign resident: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure.
		<u>Virgin Islands</u>	Occurre	<u>ence</u>
		78	•••	Virgin Islands
		00-56, 66,72	•••	Foreign resident: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure.
		Guam Occurr	ence	
		66		Guam
		01-56,		
		00,72,78	•••	Foreign resident: Refer to U.S. for specific code structure.
515-517	3	CNTYRFPD County of Resid	dence (F	IPS) - Death
		000		Foreign residents
		001-nnn		Counties and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be used.) A complete list of counties is shown in the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document.
		999		County with less than 250,000 population

1996 Mortality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>LocationLength</u>	Item	Variable <u>Item and Code O</u>		
518-522	5	PLRES Place (C	City) of Residenc	e (FIPS)
			plete list of cities document.	is shown in the Geographic code outline further back
		00000 00001- 99999	 nnnnn 	Foreign residents Code range Balance of county; or city less than 250,000 population
523	1	HOSPD Hospita	l and Patient Sta	<u>atus</u>
		1		Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Inpatient
		2		Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Outpatient or admitted to Emergency Room
		3		Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Dead on arrival
		4		Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Patient status unknown
		5		Nursing home
		6		Residence
		7		Other
		9		Place of death unknown
524-527	4	DTHYF Year of		
		1996		Death occurred in 1996
		1997	•••	Death occurred in 1997
	_			
528-529	2	<u>DTHM</u> 0 <u>Month</u> 0	<u>ON</u> of Death	
		01		January
		02	•••	February
		03		March
		04		April
		05	•••	May
		06		June
		07	•••	July
		08		August
		09		September
		10	•••	October November
		11 12		November December
530-531	2	<u>R8</u>	d Position	

1996 Mortality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item LocationLength	Item	Variable Nam Item and Code Outline	,	
532	1	WEEKDAYI Day of Week	_	
		1		Sunday
		2		Monday
		3	•••	Tuesday
		4		Wednesday
		5		Thursday
		6		Friday
		7		Saturday
		9	•••	Unknown
533-535	3	<u>R9</u> Reserved pos	itions	

Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set

Geographic Code Outline

The following pages show the geographic codes used by the Division of Vital Statistics in the processing of vital event data occurring in the United States. For the perinatal data set, counties and cities with a population of 250,000 or more are identified.

Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) State, County, and City/Place Codes: For the 1995 linked file, the county and city/place codes and the State code immediately preceding them are FIPS codes. These codes were effective with the 1995 data year and are based on the results of the 1990 Census. County and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State. When an event occurs to a nonresident of the United States, residence data are coded only to the "State" level, or to the remainder of the world. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Bureau of Standards (NBS) publications.

Listing of Counties Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1995 Data

State	County	State and County Name
01	073 097	Alabama Jefferson Mobile
02		Alaska
04	013 019	Arizona Maricopa Pima
05	119	Arkansas Pulaski
06	001 013 019 029 037 053 059 065 067 071 073 075 077 081 083 085 095 097	California Alameda Contra Costa Fresno Kern Los Angeles Monterey Orange Riverside Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco, coext. with San Francisco city San Joaquin San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara Solano Sonoma Stanislaus Tulare Ventura
08	001 005 031 041 059	Colorado Adams Arapahoe Denver, coext. with Denver city El Paso Jefferson
09	001 003 009 011 county	Connecticut Fairfield Hartford New Haven New London y.doc - Page 1

10	003	Delaware New Castle
11	001	District of Columbia District of Columbia
12	009 011 025 031 033 057 071 095 099 101 103 105 115 117	Florida Brevard Broward Dade Duval Escambia Hillsborough Lee Orange Palm Beach Pasco Pinellas Polk Sarasota Seminole Volusia
13	067 089 121 135	Georgia Cobb De Kalb Fulton Gwinnett
15	003	Hawaii Honolulu
16		Idaho
17	031 043 089 097 163 197 201	Illinois Cook Du Page Kane Lake St. Clair Will Winnebago
18	003 089 097	Indiana Allen Lake Marion
19	153	Iowa Polk
20	091 173 county.do	Kansas Johnson Sedgwick c - Page 2

21	111	Kentucky Jefferson
22	033 051 071	Louisiana East Baton Rouge Jefferson Orleans, coext. with New Orleans city
23		Maine
24	003 005 031 033 510	Maryland Anne Arundel Baltimore Montgomery Prince George's Baltimore city
25	005 009 013 017 021 023 025	Massachusetts Bristol Essex Hampden Middlesex Norfolk Plymouth Suffolk Worcester
26	049 065 081 099 125 161 163	Michigan Genesee Ingham Kent Macomb Oakland Washtenaw Wayne
27	037 053 123	Minnesota Dakota Hennepin Ramsey
28	049	Mississippi Hinds
29	095 189 510	Missouri Jackson St. Louis St. Louis city
30		Montana

31	055	Nebraska Douglas
32		Nevada
	003	Clark
	031	Washoe
33		New Hampshire
	011	Hillsborough
34		New Jersey
	003	Bergen
	005	Burlington
	007	Camden
	013	Essex
	017	Hudson
	021	Mercer
	023	Middlesex
	025	Monmouth
	027	Morris
	029	Ocean
	031	Passaic
	039	Union
35		New Mexico
	001	Bernalillo
36		New York
36	001	New York Albany
36	005	
36	005 047	Albany
36	005 047 061	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county
36	005 047 061 081	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county
36	005 047 061 081 085	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county
36	005 047 061 081 085 027	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067 071 087	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland Suffolk
36	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland
36 37	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067 071 087	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland Suffolk
	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067 071 087	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland Suffolk Westchester
	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067 071 087 103	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland Suffolk Westchester North Carolina
	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067 071 087 103 119	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland Suffolk Westchester North Carolina Cumberland
	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067 071 087 103 119	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland Suffolk Westchester North Carolina Cumberland Forsyth
	005 047 061 081 085 027 029 055 059 065 067 071 087 103 119	Albany Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county Dutchess Erie Monroe Nassau Oneida Onondaga Orange Rockland Suffolk Westchester North Carolina Cumberland Forsyth Guilford

county.doc - Page 4

39	017 035 049 061 093 095 099 113 151	Ohio Butler Cuyahoga Franklin Hamilton Lorain Lucas Mahoning Montgomery Stark Summit
40	109 143	Oklahoma Oklahoma Tulsa
41	005 039 051 067	Oregon Clackamas Lane Multnomah Washington
42	003 011 017 029 045 049 071 077 079 091 101 129	Pennsylvania Allegheny Berks Bucks Chester Delaware Erie Lancaster Lehigh Luzerne Montgomery Philadelphia, coext. with Philadelphia city Westmoreland York
44	007	Rhode Island Providence
45	019 045 079	South Carolina Charleston Greenville Richland
46		South Dakota
47	037 065 093 157	Tennessee Davidson Hamilton Knox Shelby

county.doc - Page 5

48	029 061 085 113 121 141 201 215 355 439 453	Texas Bexar Cameron Collin Dallas Denton El Paso Harris Hidalgo Nueces Tarrant Travis
49	035 049	Utah Salt Lake Utah
50		Vermont
51	059 540 710 810	Virginia Fairfax Charlottesville city Norfolk city Virginia Beach city
53	033 053 061 063	Washington King Pierce Snohomish Spokane
54		West Virginia
55	025 079 133	Wisconsin Dane Milwaukee Waukesha
56		Wyoming
72	127	Puerto Rico San Juan
78		Virgin Islands
66	010	Guam
00	000	Canada
00	000	Cuba
00	000	Mexico
00	000	Remainder of World

county.doc - Page 6

Listing of Cities/Places Identified in the Linked Data Set Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1995 Data FIPS Codes

State	City/Place	State and City/Place Name
01	07000	Alabama Birmingham
02		Alaska
04	46000 55000 77000	Arizona Mesa Phoenix Tucson
05		Arkansas
06	02000 27000 43000 44000 53000 64000 66000 67000 68000 69000	California Anaheim Fresno Long Beach Los Angeles Oakland Sacramento San Diego San Francisco San Jose Santa Ana
08	16000 20000	Colorado Colorado Springs Denver
09		Connecticut
10		Delaware
11	50000	District of Columbia Washington
12	35000 45000 71000	Florida Jacksonville Miami Tampa
13	04000	Georgia Atlanta
15	17000	Hawaii Honolulu
16		Idaho

city.doc - Page 1

17	14000	Illinois Chicago
18	36000	Indiana Indianapolis
19		Iowa
20	79000	Kansas Wichita
21	48000	Kentucky Louisville
22	55000	Louisiana New Orleans
23		Maine
24	04000	Maryland Baltimore
25	07000	Massachusetts Boston
26	22000	Michigan Detroit
27	43000 58000	Minnesota Minneapolis St. Paul
28		Mississippi
29	38000 65000	Missouri Kansas City St. Louis
30		Montana
31	37000	Nebraska Omaha
32	40000	Nevada Las Vegas
33		New Hampshire
34	51000	New Jersey Newark
35	02000 city.doc	New Mexico Albuquerque - Page 2

36	11000 51000 51000 51000 51000 51000	New York Buffalo Bronx borough, Bronx county Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county
37	12000	North Carolina Charlotte
38		North Dakota
39	15000 16000 18000 77000	Ohio Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Toledo
40	55000 75000	Oklahoma Oklahoma City Tulsa
41	59000	Oregon Portland
42	60000 61000	Pennsylvania Philadelphia Pittsburgh
44		Rhode Island
45		South Carolina
46		South Dakota
47	48000 52010	Tennessee Memphis Nashville-Davidson
48	04000 05000 17000 19000 24000 27000 35000 65000	Texas Arlington Austin Corpus Christi Dallas El Paso Fort Worth Houston San Antonio
49		Utah
50	city.doc	Vermont - Page 3

51	57000 82000	Virginia Norfolk Virginia Beach
53	63000	Washington Seattle
54		West Virginia
55	53000	Wisconsin Milwaukee
56		Wyoming
72		Puerto Rico
78		Virgin Islands
66		Guam
00		Canada
00		Cuba
00		Mexico
00		Remainder of World

Chapter 5

Ninth Revision 61 Causes of Death Adapted for use by DVS ST: 1 = Subtotal Limited: Sex: 1 = Males; 2 = Females Length = of Cause Title Age: 1 = 5 & Over; 2 = 10-54; 3 = 28 Days & Over

***** Cause Subtotals are not Identified in this File *****

61 Recode	S Limited T Sex Age		Cause Title And ICD-9 Codes Included
010 020 030 040 050 060 070	3	039 020 029 016 024 025 110	Certain intestinal infections (008-009) Whooping cough (033) Meningococcal infection (036) Septicemia (038) Viral diseases (045-079) Congenital syphilis (090) Remainder of infectious and parasitic
080		089	Malignant neoplasms, including neoplasms of lymphatic and hematopoietic tissues (140-208)
090		108	Benign neoplasms, carcinoma in situ, and neoplasms of uncertain behavior and of unspecified nature (210-239)
100		030	Diseases of thymus gland (254)
110		023	Cystic fibrosis (277.0)
120		052	Diseases of blood and blood-forming organs (280-289)
130		020	Meningitis (320-322)
140		059	Other diseases of nervous system and sense organs (323-389)
150		044	Acute upper respiratory infections (460-465)
160		042	Bronchitis and bronchiolitis (466,490-491)
170	1	033	Pneumonia and influenza (480-487)
180		021	Pneumonia (480-486)
190		017	Influenza (487)
200		061	Remainder of diseases of respiratory system (470-478, 492-519)
210		093	Hernia of abdominal cavity and intestinal obstruction without mention of hernia (550-553,560)
220		075	Gastritis, duodenitis, and noninfective enteritis and colitis (535,555-558)
230		067	Remainder of diseases of digestive system (520-534,536-543,562-579)
240	1	030	Congenital anomalies (740-759)
250		042	Anencephalus and similar anomalies (740)

cdeath.doc - Page 1

260		020	Spina bifida (741)
270		034	Congenital hydrocephalus (742.3)
280		092	Other congenital anomalies of central nervous system
			and eye (742.0-742.2,742.4-742.9,743)
290		041	Congenital anomalies of heart (745-746)
300		056	Other congenital anomalies of circulatory system
			(747)
310		050	Congenital anomalies of respiratory system (748)
320		052	Congenital anomalies of digestive system (749-751)
330		056	Congenital anomalies of genitourinary system
			(752-753)
340		058	Congenital anomalies of musculoskeletal system
			(754-756)
350		025	Down's syndrome (758.0)
360		043	Other chromosomal anomalies (758.1-758.9)
370		062	All other and unspecified congenital anomalies
			(744,757,759)
380	1	064	Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period
			(760-779)
390		091	Newborn affected by maternal conditions which may be
			unrelated to present pregnancy (760)
400		063	Newborn affected by maternal complications of
			pregnancy (761)
410		074	Newborn affected by complications of placenta, cord,
			and membranes (762)
420		069	Newborn affected by other complications of labor and
			delivery (763)
			doll** (** 00)
430		048	Slow fetal growth and fetal malnutrition (764)
440		077	Disorders relating to short gestation and
			unspecified low birthweight (765)
450		065	Disorders relating to long gestation and high
			birthweight (766)
460		020	Birth trauma (767)
470	1	047	Intrauterine hypoxia and birth asphyxia (768)
480		051	Fetal distress in liveborn infant (768.2-768.4)
490		032	Birth asphyxia (768.5-768.9)
500		037	Respiratory distress syndrome (769)
510		047	Other respiratory conditions of newborn (770)
520		051	Infections specific to the perinatal period (771)
530		027	Neonatal hemorrhage (772)
540		094	Hemolytic disease of newborn, due to
			isoimmunization, and other perinatal jaundice
			(773-774)
550		088	Syndrome of "infant of a diabetic mother" and
-			neonatal diabetes mellitus (775.0-775.1)
560		040	Hemorrhagic disease of newborn (776.0)
570		098	All other and ill-defined conditions originating in
			the perinatal period (775.2-775.9,776.1-779)

580 590 600	1	053 038 075	Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (780-799) Sudden infant death syndrome (798.0) Symptoms, signs, and all other ill-defined conditions (780-797,798.1-799)
610	1	041	Accidents and adverse effects (E800-E949)
620		118	Inhalation and ingestion of food or other object
			<pre>causing obstruction of respiratory tract or suffocation (E911-E912)</pre>
630		042	Accidental mechanical suffocation (E913)
640		067	Other accidental causes and adverse
			effects (E800-E910,E914-E949)
650	1	020	Homicide (E960-E969)
660		047	Child battering and other maltreatment (E967)
670		038	Other homicide (E960-E966,E968-E969)
680		027	All other causes (Residual)

LIVE BIRTHS AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE AT BIRTH: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

	LIVE B	IRTHS
AREA	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE
UNITED STATES 2/	3,894,874	3,891,494
ALABAMA ALASKA ARIZONA ARKANSAS CALIFORNIA COLORADO CONNECTICUT DELAWARE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FLORIDA GEORGIA HAWAII IDAHO	59,726 9,933 75,127 35,299 539,661 56,059 44,327 10,651 14,917 189,676	60,488 10,037 75,322 36,371 539,433 55,807 44,469 10,155 8,390 189,392 114,043 18,401 18,625
ILLINOISINDIANA	18,252 180,043 83,558	18,625 183,180 83,513
IOWA KANSAS KENTUCKY LOUISIANA MAINE	37,356 35,360 51,166 65,457 13,609	37,139 36,651 52,706 65,204 13,774
MARYLAND. MASSACHUSETTS. MICHIGAN. MINNESOTA. MISSISSIPPI. MISSOURI.	67,765 81,212 132,050 63,497 40,197 76,504	71,533 80,276 133,387 63,700 40,987 73,832

LIVE BIRTHS AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE AT BIRTH: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

	LIVE E	BIRTHS
AREA	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE
UNITED STATES 2/	3,894,874	3,891,494
MONTANA NEBRASKA NEVADA NEW HAMPSHIRE NEW JERSEY NEW MEXICO NEW YORK UPSTATE CITY NORTH CAROLINA NORTH DAKOTA OHIO OKLAHOMA OREGON PENNSYLVANIA RHODE ISLAND SOUTH CAROLINA SOUTH DAKOTA TENNESSEE TEXAS UTAH	10,790 23,487 25,740 14,008 111,420 26,819 265,376 138,495 126,881 105,327 9,675 152,257 45,133 45,677 148,985 13,574 49,212 10,594 78,378 334,197 42,943	10,856 23,286 26,125 14,520 114,306 27,228 263,963 141,007 122,956 104,470 8,347 151,692 46,193 43,658 148,338 12,652 51,117 10,473 73,754 330,406 42,087
VERMONT. VIRGINIA. WASHINGTON. WEST VIRGINIA. WISCONSIN. WYOMING. TAB196	6,461 90,160 76,297 21,772 66,120 5,867 5.DOC - Page 2	6,767 92,354 77,945 20,750 67,106 6,286

LIVE BIRTHS AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE AT BIRTH: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

	LIVE F	BIRTHS
AREA		
UNITED STATES 2/	3,894,874	3,891,494
FOREIGN RESIDENTS		3,380
PUERTO RICO 3/	63,255	63,141
VIRGIN ISLANDS 3/	2,001	1,905
GUAM 3/	4,263	4,254

^{1/} FIGURES ARE BASED ON WEIGHTED DATA ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST INFANT, SO CATEGORIES MAY NOT ADD TO TOTALS.

^{2/} EXCLUDES DATA FOR PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM OCCURRENCES

^{3/} DATA FROM THE PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM FILE

LIVE BIRTHS AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE AT BIRTH: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

		INFANT	DEATHS		
AREA	UNWEIGH	UNWEIGHTED		WEIGHTED 1/	
	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	
UNITED STATES 2/	27,632	27,618	28,271	28,257	
ALABAMA	625	625	627	627	
ALASKA	68	69	70	71	
ARIZONA	559	563	567	571	
ARKANSAS	310	335	312	338	
CALIFORNIA	2,935	2,935	3,109	3,108	
COLORADO	378	366	381	369	
CONNECTICUT	283	289	283	289	
DELAWARE	79	80	79	80	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	186	119	186	119	
FLORIDA	1,339	1,347	1,347	1,355	
GEORGIA	1,054	1,036	1,054	1,036	
HAWAII	111	108	116	113	
IDAHO	120	132	123	135	
ILLINOIS	1,499	1,566	1,531	1,599	
INDIANA	683	699	701	717	
IOWA	246	257	246	257	
KANSAS	270	296	272	299	
KENTUCKY	378	396	383	402	
LOUISIANA	582	573	602	592	
MAINE	65	61	65	61	
MARYLAND	539	592	543	596	
MASSACHUSETTS	398	392	409	403	
MICHIGAN	1,051	1,058	1,069	1,076	
MINNESOTA	376	359	376	359	
MISSISSIPPI	418	458	419	459	
MISSOURI	642	563	653	572	

LIVE BIRTHS AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE AT BIRTH: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(RESIDENCE AT BIRTH IS OF THE MOTHER)

	INFANT DEATHS				
AREA	UNWEIGH	HTED	WEIGHT	TED 1/	
	 OCCURRENCE 	RESIDENCE	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	
UNITED STATES 2/	27,632	27,618	28,271	28,257	
MONTANA	66	72	66	72	
NEBRASKA	207	192	207	192	
NEVADA	149	155	152	158	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	57	62	62	67	
NEW JERSEY	745	758	762	775	
NEW MEXICO	160	168	167	175	
NEW PIEATCO	100	100	107	175	
NEW YORK	1,780	1,781	1,818	1,819	
UPSTATE	867	874	892	899	
CITY	913	907	926	920	
NORTH CAROLINA	960	966	966	972	
NORTH DAKOTA	57	51	57	51	
OHIO	1,081	1,073	1,160	1,150	
OKLAHOMA	345	350	376	381	
OREGON	257	244	257	244	
PENNSYLVANIA	1,114	1,124	1,181	1,160	
RHODE ISLAND	80	68	80	68	
SOUTH CAROLINA	412	421	416	425	
		63	60	_	
SOUTH DAKOTA	60 731	646		63	
TENNESSEE	721		723	648	
TEXAS	2,054	2,031	2,108	2,085	
UTAH	280	262	284	266	
VERMONT	54	49	54	49	
VIRGINIA	673	693	689	709	
WASHINGTON	448	453	449	454	
WEST VIRGINIA	159	141	164	145	
WISCONSIN	464	481	465	482	
WYOMING	25	40	25	40	
	196 DOC - Dac		23	10	

TAB196.DOC - Page 5

LIVE BIRTHS AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE AT BIRTH: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

	 INFANT DEATHS				
AREA	UNWEIGH	HTED	WEIGHTED 1/		
	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	
UNITED STATES 2/	27,632	27,618	28,271	28,257	
FOREIGN RESIDENTS		14		14	
PUERTO RICO 3/	675	674		•••	
VIRGIN ISLANDS 3/	24	25			
GUAM 3/	39	39	• • •	• • •	

^{1/} FIGURES ARE BASED ON WEIGHTED DATA ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST INFANT, SO CATEGORIES MAY NOT ADD TO TOTALS.

^{2/} EXCLUDES DATA FOR PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM OCCURRENCES

^{3/} DATA FROM THE PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM FILE

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY RACE OF MOTHER, SEX AND BIRTH WEIGHT OF CHILD: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

RACE OF MOTHER AND SEX	 TOTAL 	 <500 GRAMS 	 500-749 GRAMS 	 750-999 GRAMS 	 1000-1249 GRAMS
ALL RACES 1/	I ————	I ————	1	1	1
BOTH SEXES					
LIVE BIRTHS				11,020	
INFANT DEATHS INF.MORT.RATE	28,257	5,171	5,292	1,827	955
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	7.3	889.6	510.9	165.8	76.4
MALE					
LIVE BIRTHS			5,256		6,445
INFANT DEATHS		•	3,037	-	
INF.MORT.RATE	8.0	904.1	577.8	201.1	88.7
FEMALE	1 001 014	0 000	F 100	F 0.60	
LIVE BIRTHS					6,046
INFANT DEATHS		2,536	2,255	669	
INF.MORT.RATE	6.5	875.0	442.0	127.2	63.4
WHITE					
BOTH SEXES	2 002 057	2 015	6 0 4 17	6 000	0 100
LIVE BIRTHS					
INFANT DEATHS			3,186		
INF.MORT.RATE	6.0	892.7	526.9	176.9	79.1
MALE	1 504 400	1 (02	2 041	2 705	4,259
LIVE BIRTHS			3,041		
INFANT DEATHS INF.MORT.RATE	•	1,452 905.7			
FEMALE	0.7	905.7	599.4	209.1	92.1
LIVE BIRTHS	1 500 624	1 611	3,006	2 224	3,934
INFANT DEATHS			1,364		
INF.MORT.RATE		879.8	•		
BLACK	5.5	075.0	400.0	137.0	04.7
BOTH SEXES					
LIVE BIRTHS	594 781	2,403	3,950	3,672	3,783
INFANT DEATHS		2,131		•	•
INF.MORT.RATE	•	886.7			69.1
MALE		000.7	101.0	112.0	07.1
LIVE BIRTHS	301.474	1.215	2,030	1.818	1,921
INFANT DEATHS	· ·	•	1,100	•	· ·
INF.MORT.RATE	15.5	906.2		185.3	
FEMALE					
LIVE BIRTHS	293.307	1,188	1,920	1,854	1,862
INFANT DEATHS		1,030		187	
INF.MORT.RATE	12.7		422.8		56.9

^{1/} INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK TAB296.DOC - Page 1

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY RACE OF MOTHER, SEX AND BIRTH WEIGHT OF CHILD: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

RACE OF MOTHER AND SEX	TOTAL	ı	 1500-1999 GRAMS	1	 2500 GRAMS OR MORE 	NOT STATED
ALL RACES 1/				1	1	
BOTH SEXES						
LIVE BIRTHS					3,601,121	
INFANT DEATHS						
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	7.3	3 52.1	30.0	12.9	2.8	152.2
MALE						
LIVE BIRTHS	1,990,480	7,346	27,503	82,109	1,851,963	1,183
INFANT DEATHS INF.MORT.RATE	15,939	9 430	889	1,185	5,817	216
INF.MORT.RATE	8.0	58.6	32.3	14.4	3.1	182.2
FEMALE						
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS	1,901,014	4 7,123	28,530	95,888	1,749,158	1,009
INFANT DEATHS	12,318	3 3 3 3 3 3	790	1,103	4,141	118
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	6.5	5 45.4	27.7	11.5	2.4	117.0
WHITE						
BOTH SEXES						
LIVE BIRTHS	3,093,057	7 9,648	38,486	123,923	2,895,116	1,498
INFANT DEATHS	18,636	5 527	1,160	1,605	7,224	188
INFANT DEATHS INF.MORT.RATE	6.0	54.6	30.1	13.0	2.5	125.7
MALE						
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS	1,584,423	3 4,970	19,118	57,795	1,489,124	808
INFANT DEATHS	10,581	1 307	619	853	4,231	129
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	6.5	7 61.7	32.4	14.8	2.8	159.6
FEMALE						
LIVE BIRTHS	1,508,634	4 4,678	19,368	66,128	1,405,992	690
INFANT DEATHS	8,055	5 220	541	752	2,993	59
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	5.3	3 47.0	27.9	11.4	2.1	85.9
BLACK						
BOTH SEXES						
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS	594,781	1 4,197	14,960	44,591	516,749	476 124
INFANT DEATHS	8,395	7 193	436	560	2,257	124
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	14.1	1 45.9	29.1	12.5	4.4	
MALE						
LIVE BIRTHS	301,474	4 2,045	7,078	19,880	265,230	257
INFANT DEATHS	4,669	9 105	229	273	1,295	74
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>			32.3		4.9	
FEMALE						
LIVE BIRTHS	293,307	7 2,152	7,882	24,711	251,519	219
INFANT DEATHS	3,729	88	207	287	962	
<pre>INF.MORT.RATE</pre>	12.7	9 88 7 40.8	207 26.3	11.6	3.8	225.6

^{1/} INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK TAB296.DOC - Page 2

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

	 GESTATION					
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS	
ALL RACES 1/						
TOTAL						
LIVE BIRTHS	3,891,494	27,456	45,275	198,918	151,458	
INFANT DEATHS			· ·	•		
INF. MORT. RATE	7.3	417.7		13.2	7.0	
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	288,181	26,335	33,541	92,026	32,008	
INFANT DEATHS	17,966	11,445	2,056	1,949	465	
INF. MORT. RATE	62.3	434.6		21.2	14.5	
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	5,813	5,419	203	22	2	
INFANT DEATHS	5,171	4,892	132	15	2	
INF. MORT. RATE	889.6	902.7	648.7	690.9	1009.5	
500-749 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	10,358	8,715	1,256	146	8	
INFANT DEATHS	5,292	4,760	357	50	1	
INF. MORT. RATE	510.9	546.1	284.5	345.3	132.1	
750-999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	11,020	•	3,494	476	29	
INFANT DEATHS	1,827	1,309	392	73	5	
INF. MORT. RATE	165.8	195.9	112.3	152.6	174.2	
1,000-1,249 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	12,491		6,680	2,026	143	
INFANT DEATHS	955	311	406	160	11	
INF. MORT. RATE	76.4	109.3	60.8	78.8	78.1	
1,250-1,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	14,469	872	7,254	4,621	401	
INFANT DEATHS	753	70	320	236	33	
INF. MORT. RATE	52.1	79.9	44.2	51.0	81.6	
1,500-1,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS		1,036			4,715	
INFANT DEATHS	-	77	339	703	136	
INF. MORT. RATE	30.0	74.7	32.2	24.1	28.9	

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

	 GESTATION 					
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS	
ALL RACES 1/						
2,000-2,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	177,997	772	4,115	55,525	26,710	
INFANT DEATHS	2,288	27	108	712	277	
INF. MORT. RATE	12.9	34.5	26.3	12.8	10.4	
2,500-2,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	639,450	1,121	4,312	49,869	55,194	
INFANT DEATHS	3,265	23	45	408	350	
INF. MORT. RATE	5.1	20.9	10.5	8.2	6.3	
3,000-3,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	1,435,306	_	4,968	36,346	43,575	
INFANT DEATHS	3,758	_	37	183	165	
INF. MORT. RATE	2.6	_	7.4	5.0	3.8	
3,500-3,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	1,127,827	_	2,454	16,303	16,391	
INFANT DEATHS	2,194	_	13	63	65	
INF. MORT. RATE	1.9	_	5.4	3.9	4.0	
4,000-4,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	336,685	_	_	3,733	3,584	
INFANT DEATHS	582	_	_	17	13	
INF. MORT. RATE	1.7	_	_	4.6	3.7	
4,500-4,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	55,583	_	_	564	628	
INFANT DEATHS	120	_	_	4	4	
INF. MORT. RATE	2.2	_	_	7.3	6.4	
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE						
LIVE BIRTHS	6,270	_	_	77	78	
INFANT DEATHS	38	_	_	_	2	
INF. MORT. RATE	6.0	_	_	_	26.4	
NOT STATED	2.0				· -	
LIVE BIRTHS	2,192	_	_	_	_	
INFANT DEATHS	334	_	_	_	_	
INF. MORT. RATE	152.2	_	_	_	_	

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

	 GESTATION 						
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	 28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS		
WHITE							
TOTAL							
LIVE BIRTHS	3.093.057	15,912	29,484	141,145	113,382		
INFANT DEATHS			1,464	1,868	754		
INF. MORT. RATE	6.0	423.4		13.2	6.7		
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	196,443	15,285	22,109	65,133	22,652		
INFANT DEATHS	11,223	6,724	•	1,392	315		
INF. MORT. RATE	57.1	439.9	63.5	21.4	13.9		
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	3,217	2,979	126	11	2		
INFANT DEATHS	2,872	2,706	80	7	2		
INF. MORT. RATE	892.7	908.4	636.0	642.4	1009.5		
500-749 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	6,047	4,973	835	92	6		
INFANT DEATHS	3,186	2,841	237	30	_		
INF. MORT. RATE	526.9	571.3	284.1	325.6	_		
750-999 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	6,929	4,067	2,297	307	18		
INFANT DEATHS	1,225	858	281	52	3		
INF. MORT. RATE	176.9	211.0	122.2	169.9	168.7		
1,000-1,249 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	8,193	1,797	4,380	1,409	94		
INFANT DEATHS	648	210	265	122	7		
INF. MORT. RATE	79.1	116.6	60.5	86.6	75.7		
1,250-1,499 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	9,648	481	4,861	3,179	282		
INFANT DEATHS	527	39	226	166	22		
INF. MORT. RATE	54.6	80.9	46.4	52.3	79.7		
1,500-1,999 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	38,486	570					
INFANT DEATHS	•	53	233	504	90		
INF. MORT. RATE	30.1	92.5	32.5	24.8	27.8		

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

	 GESTATION						
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS		
WHITE							
2,000-2,499 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	123,923	418	2,435	39,815	19,009		
INFANT DEATHS	1,605	18	82	510	190		
INF. MORT. RATE	13.0	42.0	33.6	12.8	10.0		
2,500-2,999 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	459,079	627	2,445	35,316	41,081		
INFANT DEATHS	2,250	13	29	292	269		
INF. MORT. RATE	4.9	21.1	11.8	8.3	6.5		
3,000-3,499 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	1,127,613	_	3,160	25,167	33,432		
INFANT DEATHS		_	24	126	105		
INF. MORT. RATE	2.4	_	7.5	5.0	3.2		
3,500-3,999 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	956,468	_	1,770	12,099	12,772		
INFANT DEATHS	1,668	_	8	41	48		
INF. MORT. RATE	1.7	_	4.7	3.4	3.7		
4,000-4,499 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	297,023	_	_	2,949	2,860		
INFANT DEATHS	471	_	_	13	11		
INF. MORT. RATE	1.6	_	_	4.5	3.9		
4,500-4,999 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	49,516	_	_	425	519		
INFANT DEATHS	97	_	_	3	4		
INF. MORT. RATE	2.0	_	_	7.3	7.8		
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE							
LIVE BIRTHS	5,417	_	_	56	66		
INFANT DEATHS	31	_	_	_	2		
INF. MORT. RATE	5.7	-	-	_	31.2		
NOT STATED							
LIVE BIRTHS	1,498	_	_	_	_		
INFANT DEATHS	188	_	_	_	_		
INF. MORT. RATE	125.7	_	_	_	_		

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

	 GESTATION						
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	 28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS		
BLACK							
TOTAL							
LIVE BIRTHS	594,781	10,596	13,766	48,020	30,157		
INFANT DEATHS	8,397	4,341	591	637	257		
INF. MORT. RATE	14.1	409.7		13.3	8.5		
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS		2001.	12.7	23.3	3.3		
LIVE BIRTHS	77,556	10,164	10,021	22,881	7,719		
INFANT DEATHS	•	4,331	561	474	125		
INF. MORT. RATE	77.6	426.1	55.9	20.7	16.2		
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS	, , , , ,		33.7				
LIVE BIRTHS	2,403	2,268	69	11	_		
INFANT DEATHS	2,131	•	46	8	_		
INF. MORT. RATE	886.7	896.6	670.1	739.4	_		
500-749 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	3,950	3,452	377	47	2		
INFANT DEATHS	1,912	1,752	105	20	1		
INF. MORT. RATE	484.0	507.6	277.8	435.3	528.6		
750-999 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	3,672	2,378	1,065	138	9		
INFANT DEATHS	524	400	92	16	2		
INF. MORT. RATE	142.8	168.2	86.7	117.9	223.9		
1,000-1,249 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	3,783	943	2,014	539	45		
INFANT DEATHS	261	85	122	34	3		
INF. MORT. RATE	69.1	89.7	60.6	63.9	67.8		
1,250-1,499 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	4,197	358	2,092	1,250	99		
INFANT DEATHS	193	29	83	60	5		
INF. MORT. RATE	45.9	80.2	39.8	48.0	51.6		
1,500-1,999 GRAMS							
LIVE BIRTHS	14,960	435	2,896	7,648	1,219		
INFANT DEATHS	436	24	87	169	39		
INF. MORT. RATE	29.1	54.3	29.9	22.2	31.7		

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

	 GESTATION					
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	 36 WEEKS 	
BLACK						
2,000-2,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	44,591	330	1,508	13,248	6,345	
INFANT DEATHS	560	8	25	165	75	
INF. MORT. RATE	12.5	24.6	16.9	12.5	11.9	
2,500-2,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	138,732	432	1,636	12,099	11,181	
INFANT DEATHS	861	10	13	97	70	
INF. MORT. RATE	6.2	23.5	8.1	8.0	6.3	
3,000-3,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	224,489	_	1,538	8,960	7,855	
INFANT DEATHS	867	_	12	47	48	
INF. MORT. RATE	3.9	_	7.9	5.2	6.1	
3,500-3,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	121,602	_	571	3,351	2,776	
INFANT DEATHS	422	_	5	16	13	
INF. MORT. RATE	3.5	_	8.9	4.8	4.7	
4,000-4,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	27,219	_	_	612	531	
INFANT DEATHS	88	_	_	3	1	
INF. MORT. RATE	3.2	_	_	4.9	2.0	
4,500-4,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	4,142	_	_	100	85	
INFANT DEATHS	15	_	_	1	_	
INF. MORT. RATE	3.7	_	_	10.0	_	
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE						
LIVE BIRTHS	565	_	_	17	10	
INFANT DEATHS	4	_	_	_	_	
INF. MORT. RATE	7.2	_	_	_	_	
NOT STATED						
LIVE BIRTHS	476	_	_	_	_	
INFANT DEATHS	124	_	_	_	_	
INF. MORT. RATE	259.7	_	_	_	_	

^{1/} INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

TAB396.DOC - Page 6

⁻ DATA NOT AVAILABLE.

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

	 GESTATION 							
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	 41 WEEKS 	 42 WEEKS OR MORE	!		
ALL RACES 1/								
TOTAL								
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	28,257	5,600		1,276	1,134			
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS								
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	288,181 17,966 62.3		208	154	168	387		
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS	F 012	2	4	0	0	156		
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS	5,813 5,171		4 2		2 2	156 123		
INF. MORT. RATE 500-749 GRAMS		365.0			1020.3	_		
LIVE BIRTHS	10,358	20	4	5	8	196		
INFANT DEATHS	•		2		3	114		
INF. MORT. RATE 750-999 GRAMS	510.9	203.8	523.8	210.7	383.8	580.2		
LIVE BIRTHS	•		32	25	15	174		
INFANT DEATHS	, -		3		2	33		
INF. MORT. RATE 1,000-1,249 GRAMS	165.8	107.2	97.5	-	137.7	189.0		
LIVE BIRTHS	12,491		91		92	219		
INFANT DEATHS	955		5		5	18		
INF. MORT. RATE 1,250-1,499 GRAMS	76.4		56.1	124.2	56.0	80.3		
LIVE BIRTHS	14,469				162	234		
INFANT DEATHS	753		12		8	18		
INF. MORT. RATE 1,500-1,999 GRAMS	52.1		83.3		51.3	75.9		
LIVE BIRTHS		7,261				801		
INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	1,679 30.0	275 37.8	41 39.6		39 45.0	37 45.9		
INT. MORI. RAIE	30.0	37.8	39.0	55.6	45.0	43.9		

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

			GESTATIO	4		
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	37-39 WEEKS		 41 WEEKS 	 42 WEEKS OR MORE	!
ALL RACES 1/						
2,000-2,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	177,997	66,730	10,858	5,262	6,059	1,966
INFANT DEATHS						
INF. MORT. RATE		11.4	13.0	20.3	18.0	23.3
2,500-2,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	639,450	347,493	92,913	43,252	38,594	6,702
INFANT DEATHS		1,546				
INF. MORT. RATE	5.1					5.6
3,000-3,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	1,435,306	718,699	328,817	168,006	120,994	13,901
INFANT DEATHS	3,758	1,774	757	423	369	50
INF. MORT. RATE				2.5	3.1	3.6
3,500-3,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	1,127,827	460,975	316,150	187,639	117,693	10,222
INFANT DEATHS	2,194	886	538	319	276	34
INF. MORT. RATE			1.7	1.7	2.3	3.3
4,000-4,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	336,685	113,520	100,588	70,405	41,596	3,259
INFANT DEATHS	582	211	135	113	81	11
INF. MORT. RATE	1.7	1.9	1.3	1.6	1.9	3.5
4,500-4,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	55,583	17,307	16,046	12,763	7,734	541
INFANT DEATHS	120	39	31	28	13	1
INF. MORT. RATE	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.2	1.7	1.8
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE						
LIVE BIRTHS	6,270	2,091	1,652	1,383	903	86
INFANT DEATHS	38	10	9	4	5	7
INF. MORT. RATE	6.0	4.8	5.6	2.9	5.6	85.6
NOT STATED						
LIVE BIRTHS	2,192	_	_	_	_	2,192
INFANT DEATHS	334	_	_	_	_	334
INF. MORT. RATE	152.2	_	_	_	_	152.2

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

			GESTATION	J		
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	 42 WEEKS OR MORE	!
WHITE						
TOTAL						
LIVE BIRTHS	3,093,057	1,374,026	710,854	406,769	270,957	30,528
INFANT DEATHS		3,997		946	818	530
INF. MORT. RATE		2.9		2.3	3.0	17.3
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	196,443	51,638	8,220	4,095	4,886	2,425
INFANT DEATHS	11,223		137		113	=
INF. MORT. RATE	•	15.4		25.6		97.6
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	3,217	3	4	2	_	90
INFANT DEATHS	2,872			2	_	71
INF. MORT. RATE	892.7				_	790.1
500-749 GRAMS	3,2,7	303.0	323.3			,,,,,
LIVE BIRTHS	6,047	11	2	4	6	118
INFANT DEATHS	3,186		2	_	2	72
INF. MORT. RATE	526.9		1047.5	_	345.1	606.3
750-999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	6,929	65	25	20	11	119
INFANT DEATHS	1,225		1	_	2	23
INF. MORT. RATE	176.9		41.4	_	187.7	195.8
1,000-1,249 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	8,193	210	56	46	55	146
INFANT DEATHS	648	22	4	5	3	9
INF. MORT. RATE	79.1	107.0	73.1	112.1	56.0	63.9
1,250-1,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	9,648	447	90	58	96	154
INFANT DEATHS	527		8	1	5	13
INF. MORT. RATE	54.6	102.9	93.0	18.9	54.3	81.4
1,500-1,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	38,486	5,001	677	400	584	518
INFANT DEATHS			25	24	23	21
INF. MORT. RATE		37.5	36.4	59.2	40.0	40.3

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

			GESTATIO	N		
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	37-39 WEEKS		 41 WEEKS 	 42 WEEKS OR MORE	!
WHITE						
2,000-2,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	1,605	45,901 532 11.6	95	73	77	28
2,500-2,999 GRAMS	13.0	11.0	12.9	20.4	10.7	21.9
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE 3,000-3,499 GRAMS		249,748 1,050 4.2	255	166	151	
LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS					94,728 266	10,457 37
INF. MORT. RATE 3,500-3,999 GRAMS	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.8	3.6
LIVE BIRTHS	1,668	659	430	247	207	28
INF. MORT. RATE 4,000-4,499 GRAMS	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5	2.1	3.3
LIVE BIRTHS	297,023 471					•
INF. MORT. RATE 4,500-4,999 GRAMS	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.6	1.8	3.4
LIVE BIRTHS	49,516 97		14,462 25		6,885	461
INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE			1.8		11 1.6	-
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE LIVE BIRTHS	5,417		1,463			
INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	31 5.7		_	3 2.5	4 5.1	5 82.0
NOT STATED LIVE BIRTHS	1,498	_	_	_	_	1,498
INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	188	-	- -	-	-	188 125.7

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

			GESTATION	1		
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	 42 WEEKS OR MORE	NOT STATED
BLACK						
TOTAL						
LIVE BIRTHS	594,781	263,430	113,934	61,070	48,351	5,457
INFANT DEATHS				281	268	259
INF. MORT. RATE	14.1	5.0		4.6	5.6	47.5
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	77,556	18,988	3,283	1,617	1,981	902
INFANT DEATHS	6,016	280	54	. 39	48	106
INF. MORT. RATE	77.6	14.7	16.4	24.1	24.1	117.2
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	2,403	_	_	_	2	53
INFANT DEATHS	2,131	_	_	_	2	41
INF. MORT. RATE	886.7	_	_	_	1020.3	771.7
500-749 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	3,950	9	1	1	2	59
INFANT DEATHS	1,912	2	_	1	1	29
INF. MORT. RATE	484.0	223.7	_	1053.3	500.0	495.5
750-999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	3,672	27	7	5	4	39
INFANT DEATHS	524	5	2	_	_	6
INF. MORT. RATE	142.8	190.8	298.0	_	_	163.2
1,000-1,249 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	3,783	112	28	16	34	52
INFANT DEATHS	261	7	1	2	1	6
INF. MORT. RATE	69.1	63.6	35.9	126.6	29.9	117.5
1,250-1,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	4,197	203	50	29	55	61
INFANT DEATHS	193	4	3	3	2	3
INF. MORT. RATE	45.9	20.2	61.6	107.6	37.3	51.7
1,500-1,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	14,960	1,877	298	159	236	192
INFANT DEATHS	436	71	14	7	13	12
INF. MORT. RATE	29.1	38.1	47.7	45.2	56.1	60.8

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT,

RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

			GESTATION	1		
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	 42 WEEKS OR MORE	 NOT STATED
BLACK						
2,000-2,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	44,591	16,760	2,899	1,407	1,648	446
INFANT DEATHS	560	190	33	26	28	8
INF. MORT. RATE	12.5	11.3	11.6	18.2	17.3	18.6
2,500-2,999 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	138,732	73,564	20,314	9,189	9,042	1,275
INFANT DEATHS	861	417	117	60	67	9
INF. MORT. RATE	6.2	5.7	5.8	6.5	7.4	7.3
3,000-3,499 GRAMS						
LIVE BIRTHS	•	109,790		•	•	•
INFANT DEATHS					83	12
INF. MORT. RATE	3.9	3.6	3.3	4.2	4.1	7.3
3,500-3,999 GRAMS	101 600	40.600	20 100	10 055	12 010	0.40
LIVE BIRTHS	•					
INFANT DEATHS		180 3.6			54 4.1	5
INF. MORT. RATE 4,000-4,499 GRAMS	3.5	3.0	2.7	3.3	4.1	6.0
LIVE BIRTHS	27 210	9,668	7 402	E 21E	2 27/	226
INFANT DEATHS		9,008 41		11	· ·	2 2 0
INF. MORT. RATE	3.2				4.2	9.4
4,500-4,999 GRAMS	5.2	1.2	2.2	2.1	1.2	J. 1
LIVE BIRTHS	4 142	1,494	1 051	800	573	39
INFANT DEATHS	15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	1
INF. MORT. RATE	3.7		_	_	1.8	25.6
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE	3.7	***	3.3	2.3	1.0	23.0
LIVE BIRTHS	565	234	131	98	69	6
INFANT DEATHS	4		_		1	_
INF. MORT. RATE	7.2	-	_	10.4	14.5	_
NOT STATED						
LIVE BIRTHS	476	_	_	_	_	476
INFANT DEATHS	124	_	_	_	_	124
INF. MORT. RATE	259.7	_	_	-	_	259.7

^{1/} INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

⁻ DATA NOT AVAILABLE.

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT 	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL 	POST NEO- NATAL
ALL RACES 1/						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS).NUMBER RATE	3,891,494	28,257	18,537 4.8			
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	288,181	17,966 62.3	14,672 50.9	12,476 43.3	2,196 7.6	3,294 11.4
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	5,813		5,083 874.4	4,949 851.4	133	88 15.2
500-749 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	10,358	5,292 510.9	4,697 453.5	3,918 378.2	779 75.2	595 57.5
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	11,020	1,827 165.8	1,416 128.5	992 90.0	424 38.5	411 37.3
1,000-1,249 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	12,491	955 76.4	721 57.8	535 42.9	186 14.9	233 18.7
1,250-1,499 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	14,469	753 52.1	519 35.9	395 27.3	125 8.6	234 16.2
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	56,033	30.0	1,052 18.8	827 14.8	226 4.0	626 11.2
2,000-2,499 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	177,997	12.9	1,183	860 4.8	1.8	1,106
2,500-2,999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE		5.1	1,270	797 1.2	.7	1,995
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER RATE		2.6	1,271	771	.3	2,487
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE		1.9	727	419	.3	
4,000-4,499 GRAMSNUMBER RATE		582 1.7 120	218	142	76 .2	364
4,500-4,999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	55,583	2.2	51 .9	33 .6	.3	69 1.2
5,000 GRAMS OR MORENUMBER RATE	6,270	38 6.0	20 3.1	14 2.3	5 .8	18 2.9
NOT STATEDNUMBER RATE	2,192	334 152.2	309 140.9	294 133.9	15 7.0	25 11.3

^{1/} INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK TAB496.TXT - Page 1

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER	 LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
WHITE						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS).NUMBER RATE	3,093,057	18,636 6.0	12,241	9,795 3.2	2,446	=
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	196,443	11,223 57.1	9,274 47.2	7,892 40.2	1,382 7.0	1,950 9.9
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	3,217	2,872 892.7	2,828 879.1	2,759 857.6	69 21.5	44 13.6
500-749 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	6,047	3,186 526.9	2,887 477.4	2,439 403.4		300 49.6
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	6,929	1,225 176.9	984 142.1	699 100.9	285 41.2	241 34.8
1,000-1,249 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	8,193	648 79.1	514 62.8	398 48.6	116 14.2	134 16.3
1,250-1,499 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	9,648	527 54.6	382 39.6	301 31.2	81 8.4	145 15.0
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	38,486	1,160	781 20.3	629 16.3	151 3.9	379 9.9
2,000-2,499 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	123,923	1,605	898 7.2	667 5.4	231	708 5.7
2,500-2,999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE	459,079	2,250	969 2.1	626 1.4	.7	1,281
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER RATE		2,707	997	611	.3	1,710 1.5 1,081
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER RATE 4,000-4,499 GRAMSNUMBER	956,468	1,668 1.7 471	587 .6 185	341 .4 124	.3	1.1
4,000-4,499 GRAMSNUMBER RATE 4,500-4,999 GRAMSNUMBER	297,023 49,516	1.6	.6 40	.4	.2	1.0
RATE	·	2.0	.8	.5	.3	1.1
5,000 GRAMS OR MORENUMBER RATE	5,417	31 5.7	16 3.0	11 2.1	5 .9	14 2.6
NOT STATEDNUMBER RATE	1,498	188 125.7	174 116.0	164 109.2	10 6.8	14 9.7

LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

			TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST
BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF	LIVE		NEO-	NEO-	NEO-	NEO-
MOTHER	BIRTHS	INFANT	NATAL	NATAL	NATAL	NATAL
DI A CIV						
BLACK						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS).NUMBER	594,781				1,013	2,834
RATE		14.1	9.4	7.6	1.7	4.8
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER	77,556	6,016	4,826	4,095	731	1,190
RATE		77.6	62.2	52.8	9.4	15.3
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER	2,403	2,131	2,087	2,033	54	44
RATE		886.7	868.6	846.2	22.4	18.2
500-749 GRAMSNUMBER	3,950	1,912	1,632	1,324	307	280
RATE		484.0	413.1	335.3	77.8	70.9
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER	3,672	524	368	246	122	157
RATE		142.8	100.1	67.0	33.1	42.6
1,000-1,249 GRAMSNUMBER	3,783	261	176	112	64	85
RATE		69.1	46.5	29.7	16.8	22.5
1,250-1,499 GRAMSNUMBER	4,197	193	113	71	41	80
RATE		45.9	26.8	16.9	9.9	19.1
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER	14,960	436	222	154	68	214
RATE		29.1	14.8	10.3	4.6	14.3
2,000-2,499 GRAMSNUMBER	44,591	560	229	154	75	331
RATE		12.5	5.1	3.4	1.7	7.4
2,500-2,999 GRAMSNUMBER	138,732	861	250	137	113	611
RATE		6.2	1.8	1.0	.8	4.4
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER	224,489	867	222	127	94	646
RATE		3.9	1.0	.6	. 4	2.9
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER	121,602	422	110	57	53	312
RATE		3.5	. 9	.5	. 4	2.6
4,000-4,499 GRAMSNUMBER	27,219	88	28	14	13	61
RATE		3.2	1.0	.5	.5	2.2
4,500-4,999 GRAMSNUMBER	4,142	15	8	4	4	7
RATE		3.7	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.7
5,000 GRAMS OR MORENUMBER		4	1	1	_	3
RATE		7.2	1.8	1.8	-	5.4
NOT STATEDNUMBER		124	119	113	5	5
RATE		259.7	249.1	238.3	10.7	10.6

RATE 259.7 249.1 238.3 10.7 10.6

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(RATES ARE PER 100,000 LIVE BIRTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	TOTAL NEO- NATAL
ALL RACES 1/, ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS			
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	3,891,494	28,257 726.1	18,537 476.3
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		6,338 162.9	4,599 118.2
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		3,890 100.0	3,830 98.4
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		3,067 78.8	209 5.4
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		1,371 35.2	1,263 32.4
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		1,248 32.1	1,241 31.9
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		941 24.2	925 23.8
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		792 20.4	93 2.4
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		751 19.3	704 18.1
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		443 11.4	95 2.4
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		415 10.7	386 9.9
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE		9,000 231.3	5,192 133.4

TAB596.DOC - Page 1

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT DEATHS 	TOTAL NEO- NATAL
ALL RACES 1/, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS			
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	288,181	•	14,672 5,091.1
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		3,481 1,208.0	
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		3,744 1,299.3	3,688 1,279.6
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		582 201.9	21 7.4
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		1,318 457.5	1,229 426.3
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		1,194 414.4	1,188 412.3
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		793 275.3	786 272.8
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		123 42.8	19 6.7
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		613 212.8	579 200.8
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		185 64.3	56 19.5
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		193 66.9	186 64.4
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	2	5,737 1,990.9	4,117 1,428.8

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	!		!!!	
ALL RACES 1/, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE					
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	3,601,121	9,958 276.5	3,557 98.8		
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		2,830 78.6	1,774 49.3		
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		28 .8	25 .7		
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE	2,479 68.8		186 5.2		
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE	45 1.3		28 .8		
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		19 .5	18 .5		
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE	119 3.3		111 3.1		
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		664 18.4	70 2.0		
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		134 3.7	122 3.4		
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		254 7.1	37 1.0		
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		213 5.9	193 5.4		
ALL OTHER CAUSES	3	3,171 88.1	992 27.6		

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	!		!!!		!		TOTAL NEO- NATAL
ALL RACES 1/, NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT	,						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE			309 14,089.2				
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE	27 1,249.4		23 1,057.1				
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE	118 5,377.5 5,		117 5,331.2				
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE	6 278.3		6 278.3		2 91.7		
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE	7 333.1		6 285.0				
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE	34 1,552.4		34 1,552.4				
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE	28 1,269.2		28 1,269.2				
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE	5 232.0		3 139.2				
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE	4 193.5		3 143.7				
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE	3 140.0		2 93.5				
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		9 417.3	8 371.1				
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page 4	1	92 4,176.7	82 3,755.1				

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT DEATHS 	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	
WHITE, ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS				
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	3,093,057	18,636 602.5	•	
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		4,894 158.2	3,642 117.7	
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		2,133 69.0	2,099 67.8	
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		2,016 65.2	139 4.5	
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		847 27.4	785 25.4	
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		782 25.3	779 25.2	
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE			631 20.4	622 20.1
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		550 17.8	62 2.0	
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		469 15.2	445 14.4	
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		269 8.7	63 2.1	
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		301 9.7	281 9.1	
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	5	5,744 185.7	•	

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT DEATHS	TOTAL NEO- NATAL
WHITE, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS			
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	196,443	•	9,274 4,720.7
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		2,635 1,341.5	2,157 1,097.9
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		2,057 1,047.1	2,026 1,031.1
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		336 171.3	15 7.8
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		811 412.8	759 386.4
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		745 379.2	743 378.2
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		512 260.7	509 259.1
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		79 40.0	14 7.3
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		374 190.5	355 180.7
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		101 51.3	37 18.8
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		122 62.1	118 60.1
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	6	3,451 1,756.6	2,541 1,293.3

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT DEATHS	TOTAL NEO- NATAL
WHITE, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE			
ALL CAUSES	2,895,116	7,224 249.5	2,794 96.5
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		2,238 77.3	1,466 50.6
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		18 .6	16 .6
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE	1,675 57.9		122 4.2
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		32 1.1	23 .8
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		14 .5	13 .5
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		99 3.4	93 3.2
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		467 16.1	45 1.5
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		94 3.2	89 3.1
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		168 5.8	26 .9
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		174 6.0	159 5.5
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	7	2,243 77.5	741 25.6

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	TOTAL NEO- NATAL		
WHITE, NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT					
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	•		174 11,600.4		
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		21 1,405.1	19 1,264.4		
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		58 3,843.2	57 3,775.5		
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE	4 270.2		-		2 134.2
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		4 281.8	3 211.5		
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		23 1,521.0	23 1,521.0		
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE	20 1,313.2		20 1,313.2		
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		4 271.7	3 203.6		
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		1 70.4	1 70.4		
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		- -	- -		
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		4 273.7	4 273.7		
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page 8	3	50 3,315.1	42 2,833.0		

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT DEATHS 	TOTAL NEO- NATAL
BLACK, ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS			
ALL CAUSES	594,781	8,397 1,411.8	5,563 935.3
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		1,136 190.9	754 126.8
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		1,626 273.5	
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		903 151.8	63 10.6
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		470 79.1	432 72.6
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		431 72.4	428 71.9
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		267 44.9	261 43.9
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		212 35.7	25 4.3
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		248 41.7	230 38.6
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		152 25.6	29 5.0
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		93 15.6	87 14.5
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	9	2,859 480.7	•

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT DEATHS 	TOTAL NEO- NATAL
BLACK, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS			
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	77,556	6,016 7,757.5	4,826 6,222.8
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		677 872.6	518 668.1
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		1,562 2,013.5	1,537 1,982.2
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		222 286.2	6 7.8
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		458 590.8	426 548.7
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		416 536.9	413 532.9
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		244 314.1	240 308.9
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		40 50.9	5 6.5
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		215 277.8	202 260.8
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		77 99.6	18 23.7
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		57 73.7	56 72.4
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	10	2,049 2,641.4	1,404 1,810.8

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	TOTAL NEO- NATAL
BLACK, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE			
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	516,749	2,257 436.8	
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		458 88.6	235 45.5
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		10 1.9	9 1.7
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		681 131.8	57 11.0
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		10 2.0	.8
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		4.8	4.8
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		18 3.5	16 3.1
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		172 33.2	20 3.9
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		30 5.9	25 4.9
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		72 13.9	9 1.8
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		31 6.1	27 5.3
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	11	771 149.1	211 40.9

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	 INFANT DEATHS 	TOTAL NEO- NATAL
BLACK, NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT ALL CAUSESNUMBER	476	124	119
RATE			24,909.3
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		1 214.4	1 214.4
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE	:	55 11,618.5	55 11,618.5
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		2 436.8	2 436.8
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		•	10 2,152.0
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		5 1,081.8	5 1,081.8
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		1 213.3	-
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER		2 440.1	2 440.1
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		3 644.5	2 430.8
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE		4 850.3 40 8,321.7	3 637.5 38 7,897.4

^{1/} INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK TAB596.DOC - Page 12

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
ALL RACES 1/, ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS				
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	3,891,494		3,592 92.3	9,720 249.8
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE			1,065 27.4	1,739 44.7
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		3,768 96.8	62 1.6	61 1.6
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		24	185 4.7	2,858 73.4
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		1,031 26.5	232 6.0	108 2.8
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		1,229 31.6	11 .3	7.2
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		900 23.1	25 .7	15 .4
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		37 .9	56 1.4	700 18.0
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		336 8.6	369 9.5	47 1.2
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		40 1.0	55 1.4	348 8.9
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER			73 1.9	28 .7
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE		3,732	1,460 37.5	
TAB596.DOC - Pag	ge 13			

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
ALL RACES 1/, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS				·
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	288,181	12,476 4,329.1		
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE			419 145.5	679 235.7
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		3,629 1,259.2		57 19.7
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		.7	19 6.7	560 194.5
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		1,003 348.0	226 78.3	90 31.1
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		,	11 3.9	6 2.1
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		769 266.8	17 6.0	7 2.5
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		11 3.9	8 2.8	104 36.1
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		262 90.9	317 109.9	35 12.0
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE			36 12.3	129 44.8
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		159 55.2	26 9.2	7 2.5
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	e 14	3,060 1,061.9	1,057 366.9	1,620 562.2

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
ALL RACES 1/, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE				
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	3,601,121		1,381 38.4	6,401 177.7
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		1,132 31.4	643 17.8	1,056 29.3
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		23 .6	2.1	3 .1
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		21 .6	164 4.6	2,294 63.7
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		23 .6	5 .1	17 .5
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		18 .5	- -	1.0
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		103 2.9	8 . 2	8.2
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		22 .6	48 1.3	594 16.5
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		72 2.0	51 1.4	11
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		17 .5	19 .5	218 6.0
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		146 4.1	47 1.3	20 .6
ALL OTHER CAUSES	ge 15	598 16.6	394 10.9	2,179 60.5

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	NEO-	POST NEO- NATAL
ALL RACES 1/, NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT				
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE				25 1,130.3
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE			3 138.7	4 192.3
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE	į	116 5,285.1	1 46.1	1 46.3
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		1 45.6	_	4 186.6
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		5 238.7	1 46.3	1 48.1
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE	-	34 1,552.4	-	-
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE	-	28 1,269.2	-	-
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		3 139.2	-	2 92.8
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		2 95.6	1 48.1	1 49.8
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		2 93.5		1 46.4
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		8 371.1	-	1 46.2
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page		74 3,383.2	8 371.9	

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
WHITE, ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS		•		
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	3,093,057	9,795 316.7	•	6,394 206.7
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		2,806 90.7	835 27.0	1,253 40.5
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		2,065 66.8	34 1.1	34 1.1
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		16 .5	123 4.0	1,877 60.7
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		652 21.1	133 4.3	62 2.0
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		770 24.9	9.3	3.1
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		602 19.5	19 .6	9.3
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		30 1.0	33 1.1	487 15.8
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		223 7.2	221 7.2	24 .8
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		29 .9	34 1.1	205 6.6
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		230 7.4	51 1.6	19 .6
ALL OTHER CAUSES	e 17	2,371 76.7	954 30.8	2,419 78.2

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
WHITE, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS				
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	196,443	7,892 4,017.4		1,950 992.6
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		-	302 153.9	479 243.7
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		1,993 1,014.6		31 16.0
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		2 1.0	13 6.8	321 163.4
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		632 321.6	127 64.7	52 26.4
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE			9 4.7	2 1.0
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		496 252.4	_	3 1.6
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		10 5.2	4 2.1	64 32.7
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		167 85.1	188 95.6	19 9.8
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		15 7.4		64 32.5
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		102 51.8	16 8.3	4 2.1
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	e 18		653 332.5	910 463.3

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
WHITE, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE				
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	2,895,116		1,055 36.4	
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		936 32.3	530 18.3	772 26.7
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		16 .6	- -	2.1
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		13 .5	108 3.7	1,554 53.7
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		18 .6	5 . 2	9.3
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		13 .5	 	1.0
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		87 3.0	6.2	6.2
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		16 .6		422 14.6
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		56 1.9	33 1.1	5 . 2
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		14 .5	12 .4	141 4.9
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		125 4.3	35 1.2	15 .5
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Pag	ge 19	444 15.3	297 10.3	1,502 51.9

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
WHITE, NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT		•		
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	•	164 0,921.9		14 965.0
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE	=		3 202.9	2 140.7
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE	5	56 3,708.0	1 67.4	1 67.8
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		1 66.8	1 67.4	2 136.0
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		-	1 67.7	1 70.4
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE	-	23 1,521.0	- -	- -
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE	=	20 1,313.2	- -	- -
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		3 203.6	-	1 68.1
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		-	1 70.4	-
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		-	- -	- -
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		4 273.7	- -	- -
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page			3 202.6	7 482.1

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
BLACK, ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS				
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	594,781	4,550 764.9		•
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE			193 32.4	382 64.2
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		1,575 264.8	26 4.4	25 4.3
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		7 1.2	56 9.4	840 141.2
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		343 57.7	88 14.8	39 6.5
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		427 71.7	1.2	3 .5
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		255 42.9	6 1.0	6 1.0
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		5 .9	20 3.4	187 31.4
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		99 16.6	131 22.0	18 3.1
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		11 1.9	18 3.1	123 20.6
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		68 11.5	18 3.1	6 1.0
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page	e 21		456 76.6	

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
BLACK,	'	-	'	
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	77,556	4,095 5,280.2	731 942.7	1,190 1,534.6
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		417 537.2	102 130.9	
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		1,513 1,950.8		
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		- -	6 7.8	216 278.4
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		337 434.9	88 113.8	33 42.0
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		412 531.6	1.3	3 4.0
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		236 303.7	4 5.2	4 5.2
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		1 1.3	4 5.2	34 44.4
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		87 111.9	116 149.0	13 17.0
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		6 8.0	12 15.7	59 75.9
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		48 62.0	8 10.4	1.3
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Page		1,038 1,338.8		

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
BLACK, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE	516 510	2.44	0.55	
ALL CAUSES	516,749	341 66.0	53.6	1,639 317.2
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		144 27.8		223 43.2
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		7 1.3	2 .4	1.2
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER RATE		7 1.4	50 9.6	624 120.8
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		4.8	- -	6 1.2
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		4.8	- -	-
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER RATE		14 2.7	2 .4	2.4
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		.8	16 3.1	151 29.3
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		10 2.0	15 2.9	5 1.0
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		3 .6	6 1.2	63 12.2
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		17 3.3	10 2.0	4.8
ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER RATE TAB596.DOC - Pag	e 23	127 24.6	84 16.3	

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA (INFANT DEATHS WEIGHTED)

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST NEO- NATAL
BLACK, NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT				
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	476	_	_	_
RATE CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER	2	23,834.5	1,074.8	1,064.1
RATE		214.4	-	-
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER		55	_	-
RATE	1	11,618.5	_	-
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0).NUMBER		-	_	_
RATE		-	-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		2		-
RATE		436.8	_	-
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER		10	-	-
RATE		2,152.0	_	-
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA, ETC. (762).NUMBER		5	-	-
RATE		1,081.8	_	-
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER		-	-	1
RATE		_	_	213.3
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER		2	_	-
RATE		440.1	_	-
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		2	_	1
RATE		430.8	_	213.7
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER		3		1
RATE ALL OTHER CAUSESNUMBER		637.5	- 5	212.7
RATE		6,822.6	_	

^{1/} INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK TAB596.DOC - Page 24

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1995 OR 1996 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD 1/	INFANT	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST-
UNITED STATES 2/	634	467	410	57	167
WHITEBLACK	409	293	254	39	116
	199	154	140	14	45
ALABAMAWHITEBLACK	1	1	1	-	-
	1	1	1	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKAWHITEBLACK	1	1	1	-	-
	1	1	1	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONAWHITEBLACK.	15	7	7	-	8
	13	5	5	-	8
	1	1	1	-	-
ARKANSASWHITEBLACK	2	1	-	1	1
	1	-	-	-	1
	1	1	-	1	-
CALIFORNIAWHITEBLACK.	168	135	125	10	33
	119	99	91	8	20
	36	25	25	-	11
COLORADOWHITEBLACK.	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWAREWHITEBLACKTAR696 DOG	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	- Page '	-	-	-	-

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1995 OR 1996 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD 1/	INFANT	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST- NEO- NATAL
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	_		_	-	_
WHITE	_	_	_	_	_
BLACK	-	-	_	-	-
FLORIDA	6	5	5	_	1
WHITE	4	3	3	_	1
BLACK	2	2	2	_	_
GEORGIA	1	1	1	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	-	_
BLACK	1	1	1	-	-
HAWAII	6	2	_	2	4
WHITE	3	1	_	1	2
BLACK	1	_	_	_	1
IDAHO	4	_	_	_	4
WHITE	4	_	-	_	4
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS	34	25	23	2	9
WHITE	14	10	9	1	4
BLACK	18	14	14	_	4
INDIANA	15	8	4	4	7
WHITE	8	5	2	3	3
BLACK	7	3	2	1	4
IOWA	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	_	_
BLACK	_	-	-	-	_
KANSAS	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	_	_
BLACK	_	-	-	_	_
		_			

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1995 OR 1996 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD 1/	INFANT	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST-
KENTUCKY	12	9	8	1	3
WHITE	11	9	8	1	2
BLACK	1	_	-	_	1
LOUISIANA	18	16	12	4	2
WHITE	6	6	6	_	-
BLACK	12	10	6	4	2
MAINE	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	_	_	_	_	-
BLACK	-	_	_	-	-
MARYLAND	5	4	2	2	1
WHITE	2	2	-	2	-
BLACK	3	2	2	_	1
MASSACHUSETTS	12	11	8	3	1
WHITE	10	10	7	3	-
BLACK	1	1	1	_	_
MICHIGAN	17	13	11	2	4
WHITE	12	9	7	2	3
BLACK	4	3	3	_	1
MINNESOTA	2	_	_	_	2
WHITE	1	_	-	_	1
BLACK	_	_	_	_	_
MISSISSIPPI	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	-	-	-	_	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI	12	10	10	-	2
WHITE	4	2	2	_	2
BLACK	7	7	7	_	-
TAB696.DOC	! - Page !	3			

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1995 OR 1996 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD 1/	INFANT	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST-
MONTANA	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	_	_
BLACK	-	-	-	-	_
NEBRASKA	1	_	_	_	1
WHITE	1	_	_	_	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	3	1	1	_	2
WHITE	3	1	1	_	2
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4	1	1	_	3
WHITE	4	1	1	_	3
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	15	13	12	1	2
WHITE	8	7	6	1	1
BLACK	7	6	6	_	1
NEW MEXICO	6	4	4	_	2
WHITE	6	4	4	-	2
BLACK	_	_	_	_	_
NEW YORK	17	10	6	4	7
WHITE	12	6	5	1	6
BLACK	5	4	1	3	1
NEW YORK CITY	20	9	8	1	11
WHITE	11	5	5	-	6
BLACK	9	4	3	1	5
NORTH CAROLINA	6	2	2	_	4
WHITE	3	-	-	-	3
BLACK	3	2	2	-	1
TAB696.DOC	! - Page	4			

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1995 OR 1996 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD 1/	INFANT	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST-
NORTH DAKOTA	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	_	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO	83	63	59	4	20
WHITE	47	34	32	2	13
BLACK	36	29	27	2	7
OKLAHOMA	30	23	21	2	7
WHITE	25	19	17	2	6
BLACK	5	4	4	_	1
OREGON	2	1	_	1	1
WHITE	2	1	_	1	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA	35	31	28	3	4
WHITE	17	14	13	1	3
BLACK	15	14	12	2	1
RHODE ISLAND	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	-	-
BLACK	-	_	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	4	2	1	1	2
WHITE	3	2	1	1	1
BLACK	1	_	_	_	1
SOUTH DAKOTA	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	_	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	1	1	_	1	-
WHITE	1	1	-	1	-
BLACK	_	_	_	-	_
TARGE DOC	T Dage	-			

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1995 OR 1996 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD 1/	INFANT	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST-
TEXAS	52	44	41	3	8
WHITE	35	27	24	3	8
BLACK	16	16	16	_	_
UTAH	3	1	_	1	2
WHITE	3	1	_	1	2
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	_	_
BLACK	-	-	_	-	-
VIRGINIA	15	11	8	3	4
WHITE	8	6	3	3	2
BLACK	7	5	5	_	2
WASHINGTON	1	_	_	_	1
WHITE	1	_	_	_	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA	3	_	_	_	3
WHITE	3	-	_	-	3
BLACK	-	-	_	-	-
WISCONSIN	2	1	_	1	1
WHITE	2	1	_	1	1
BLACK	_	_	_	_	_
WYOMING	_	_	_	_	_
WHITE	_	_	_	_	_
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
FOREIGN RESIDENTS	5	4	3	1	1
WHITE	3	3	2	1	_
BLACK	1	_	_	-	1

UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, GUAM -- 1996 BIRTH COHORT DATA

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS IN 1995 OR 1996 THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD 1/	INFANT	TOTAL NEO- NATAL	EARLY NEO- NATAL	LATE NEO- NATAL	POST-NEO-NATAL
	TIMEAINT	l NATAD	l NATAD	NAIAD	NAIAD
PUERTO RICO 3/	2	1	-	1	1
	_				
WHITE	2	1	_	1	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGIN ISLANDS 3/	4	2	2	_	2
VIIIOII ISIIIIS S, VVIII VIII VIII VIII VIII	-	_	_		_
WHITE	_	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
GUAM 3/	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	_	-	_	-	_
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-

^{1/} TOTALS FOR GEOGRAPHIC AREAS INCLUDE RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

^{2/} EXCLUDES DATA FOR FOREIGN RESIDENTS, PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM

^{3/} DATA FROM THE PUERTO RICO, VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND GUAM FILE

Technical Appendix

	Page
Definition of live birth	1
History of birth-registration area	1
Sources of data	2
Natality statistics	2
Certificate of Live Birth	4
Classification of data	5
Classification by occurrence and residence	6
Geographic classification	7
Race or national origin	9
Age of mother	13
Age of father	15
Live-birth order and parity	16
Date of last live birth	16
Educational attainment	17
Marital status	18
Place of delivery and attendant at birth	21
Birthweight	23
Period of gestation	24
Month of pregnancy prenatal care began	26
Number of prenatal visits	26

Apgar score	27
Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy	27
Weight gained during pregnancy	28
Medical risk factors for this pregnancy	28
Obstetric procedures	30
Complications of labor and/or delivery	31
Abnormal conditions of the newborn	33
Congenital anomalies of child	34
Method of delivery	36
Hispanic parentage	37
Quality of data	38
Completeness of registration	38
Discontinuation of adjustment for underregistration, 1960	39
Completeness of reporting	40
Quality control procedures	40
Small frequencies	41
Computation of rates and other measures	43
Population bases	44
Net census undercounts and overcounts	49
Cohort fertility tables	50
Age-sex-adjusted birth rates	51
Total fertility rate	52
Intrinsic vital rates	52

Seasonal adjustment of rates	53
Computation of percents, medians, and means	53
References	54

Definition of live birth

Every product of conception that gives a sign of life after birth, regardless of the length of the pregnancy, is considered a live birth. This concept is included in the definition set forth by the World Health Organization (1):

Live birth is the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of pregnancy, which, after such separation, breathes or shows any other evidence of life, such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, whether or not the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached; each product of such a birth is considered liveborn.

This definition distinguishes in precise terms a live birth from a fetal death (see the section on fetal deaths in the Technical Appendix of volume II, Vital Statistics of the United States). In the interest of comparable natality statistics, both the Statistical Commission of the United Nations and the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) have adopted this definition (2,3).

History of birth-registration area

The national birth-registration area was proposed in 1850 and established in 1915. By 1933 all 48 States and the District of Columbia were participating in the registration system. The organized territories of Hawaii and Alaska were admitted in 1929 and 1950, respectively; data from these areas were prepared separately until they became States--Alaska in 1959 and Hawaii in 1960. Currently the birth-registration system of the United States covers the 50 States, the District of Columbia, the independent registration area of New York City, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

However, in the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia.

The original birth-registration area of 1915 consisted of 10 States and the District of Columbia. The growth of this area is indicated in table 4-1. This table also presents for each year through 1932 the estimated midyear population of the United States and of those States included in the registration system.

Because of the growth of the area for which data have been collected and tabulated, a national series of geographically comparable data before 1933 can be obtained only by estimation. Annual estimates of births have been prepared by P. K. Whelpton for 1909-34 (4). These estimates include adjustments for underregistration and for States that were not part of the birth-registration area before 1933.

Sources of data

Natality statistics

Since 1985 natality statistics for all States and the District of Columbia have been based on information from the total file of records. The information is received on computer data tapes coded by the States and provided to NCHS through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. NCHS receives these tapes from the registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, and New York City. Information for PuertoRico is also received on computer tapes through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. Information for the Virgin Islands and Guam is obtained from microfilm copies of original birth certificates and is based on the total file of records for all years.

Birth statistics for years prior to 1951 and for 1955 are based on the total file of birth records. Statistics for 1951-54, 1956-66, and 1968-71 are based on 50-percent samples except for data for Guam and the Virgin Islands, which are based on all records filed. During the processing of the 1967 data the sampling rate was reduced from 50 percent to 20 percent. For details of this procedure and its consequences for the 1967 data see pages 3-9 to 3-11 in volume I of Vital Statistics of the United States, 1967. From 1972 to 1984 statistics are based on all records filed in the States submitting computer tapes and on a 50-percent sample of records in all other States.

Information for years prior to 1970 for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam is published in the annual vital statistics reports of the Department of Health of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Department of Public Health of the Virgin Islands, the Department of Public Health and Social Services of the Government of Guam, and in selected Vital Statistics of the United States annual reports.

U.S. natality data are limited to births occurring within the United States, including those occurring to U.S. residents and nonresidents. Births to nonresidents of the United States have been excluded from all tabulations by place of residence beginning in 1970 (for further discussion see "Classification by occurrence and residence"). Births occurring to U.S. citizens outside the United States are not included in any tabulations in this report. Similarly the data for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam are limited to births registered in these areas.

Standard certificate of live birth

The U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, issued by the Public Health Service, has served

for many years as the principal means of attaining uniformity in the content of the documents used to collect information on births in the United States. It has been modified in each State to the extent required by the particular State's needs or by special provisions of the State's vital statistics law. However, most State certificates conform closely in content to the standard certificate.

The first standard certificate of birth was developed in 1900. Since then, it has been revised periodically by the national vital statistics agency through consultation with State health officers and registrars; Federal agencies concerned with vital statistics; national, State, and county medical societies; and others working in public health, social welfare, demography, and insurance. This procedure has assured careful evaluation of each item for its current and future usefulness for legal, medical, demographic, and research purposes. New items have been added when necessary, and old items have been modified to ensure better reporting or, in some cases, dropped when their usefulness appeared to be limited.

1989 revision--Effective January 1, 1989, a revised U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth (figure 4-A) replaced the 1978 revision. This revision provided a wide variety of new information on maternal and infant health characteristics, representing a significant departure from previous versions in both content and format. The most significant format change was the use of checkboxes to obtain detailed medical and health information about the mother and child. It has been demonstrated that this format produces higher quality and more complete information than do open-ended items.

The reformatted items included "Medical Risk Factors for This Pregnancy," which combines the former items "Complications of Pregnancy" and "Concurrent Illnesses or Conditions Affecting the Pregnancy." "Complications of Labor and/or Delivery" and "Congenital Anomalies of Child" also have been revised from the open-ended format. For each of these items at least 15 specific

conditions have been identified.

Several new items were added to the revised certificate. Included are items to obtain information on tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy, weight gain during pregnancy, obstetric procedures, method of delivery, and abnormal conditions of the newborn. These items can be used to monitor the health practices of the mother that can affect pregnancy and the use of technology in childbirth, and to identify babies with specific abnormal conditions. When combined with other socioeconomic and health data, these items provide a wealth of information relevant to the etiology of low birthweight and other adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Another modification was the addition of a Hispanic identifier for the mother and father. Although NCHS had recommended that States add items to identify the Hispanic or ethnic origin of the newborn's parents, concurrent with the 1978 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth and reported data from the cooperating States since that year, the item was new to the U.S. Standard Certificate for 1989.

The 1989 revised certificate also provided more detail than previously requested on the birth attendant and place of birth. This permits a more in-depth analysis of the number and characteristics of births by attendant and type of facility and a comparison of differences in outcome. For further discussion see individual sections for each item.

Classification of data

One of the principal values of vital statistics data is realized through the presentation of rates that are computed by relating the vital events of a class to the population of a similarly defined class. Vital statistics and population statistics, therefore, must be classified according to similarly defined

systems and tabulated in comparable groups. Even when the variables common to both, such as geographic area, age, race, and sex, have been similarly classified and tabulated, differences between the enumeration method of obtaining population data and the registration method of obtaining vital statistics data may result in significant discrepancies.

The general rules used to classify geographic and personal items for live births are set forth in "Vital Statistics Classification and Coding Instructions for Live Birth Records, 1994," NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 3a. The classification of certain important items is discussed in the following pages.

Classification by occurrence and residence

Births to U.S. residents occurring outside this country are not reallocated to the United States. In tabulations by place of residence, births occurring within the United States to U.S. citizens and to resident aliens are allocated to the usual place of residence of the mother in the United States, as reported on the birth certificate. Beginning in 1970 births to nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States are excluded from these tabulations. From 1966 to 1969 births occurring in the United States to mothers who were nonresidents of the United States were considered as births to residents of the exact place of occurrence; in 1964 and 1965 all such births were allocated to "balance of county" of occurrence even if the birth occurred in a city. The change in coding beginning in 1970 to exclude births to nonresidents of the United States from residence data significantly affects the comparability of data with years before 1970 only for Texas.

For the total United States the tabulations by place of residence and by place of occurrence

are not identical. Births to nonresidents of the United States are included in data by place of occurrence but excluded from data by place of residence, as previously indicated.

Residence error--A nationwide test of birth-registration completeness in 1950 provided measures of residence error for natality statistics. According to this test, errors in residence reporting for the country as a whole tend to overstate the number of births to residents of urban areas and to understate the number of births to residents of other areas. This tendency has assumed special importance because of a concomitant development--the increased utilization of hospitals in cities by residents of nearby places--with the result that a number of births are erroneously reported as having occurred to residents of urban areas. Another factor that contributes to this overstatement of urban births is the customary procedure of using ``city" addresses for persons living outside the city limits. Incomplete residence--Beginning in 1973 where only the State of residence is reported with no city or county specified and the State named is different from the State of occurrence, the birth is allocated to the largest city of the State of residence. Before 1973 such births were allocated to the exact place of occurrence.

Geographic classification

The rules followed in the classification of geographic areas for live births are contained in the instruction manual mentioned previously. The geographic code structure for 1994 is given in another manual, "Vital Records Geographic Classification, 1982," NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 8.

United States--In the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50

States and the District of Columbia. Alaska has been included in the U.S. tabulations since 1959 and Hawaii since 1960.

Metropolitan statistical areas--The metropolitan statistical areas and primary metropolitan statistical areas (MSA's and PMSA's) used in this report are those established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget as of April 1, 1990, and used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (5) except in the New England States.

Except in the New England States, an MSA has either a city with a population of at least 50,000, or a Bureau of the Census urbanized area of at least 50,000 and a total MSA population of at least 100,000. A PMSA consists of a large urbanized county, or cluster of counties, that demonstrates very strong internal economic and social links and has a population over 1 million. When PMSA's are defined, the large area of which they are component parts is designated a Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) (6).

In the New England States the U.S. Office of Management and Budget uses towns and cities rather than counties as geographic components of MSA's and PMSA's. NCHS cannot, however, use this classification for these States because its data are not coded to identify all towns. Instead, the New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's) are used. These areas are established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (7) and are made up of county units.

Metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties-- Independent cities and counties included in MSA's and PMSA's or NECMA's are included in data for metropolitan counties; all other counties are classified as nonmetropolitan.

Population-size groups--Beginning in 1994 vital statistics data for cities and certain other urban places have been classified according to the population enumerated in the 1990 Census of Population. Data are available for individual cities and other urban places of 10,000 or more population. Data for the remaining areas not separately identified are shown in the tables under the heading "Balance of area" or "Balance of county." Classification of areas for 1982-93 was determined by the population enumerated in the 1980 Census of Population. As a result of changes in the enumerated population between 1980 and 1990, some urban places identified in previous reports are no longer included, and a number of other urban places have been added.

Urban places other than incorporated cities for which vital statistics data are shown in this report include the following:

- Each town in New England, New York, and Wisconsin and each township in Michigan, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that had no incorporated municipality as a subdivision and had either 25,000 inhabitants or more, or a population of 10,000 to 25,000 and a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile.
- Each county in States other than those indicated above that had no incorporated municipality within its boundary and had a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile. (Arlington County, Virginia, is the only county classified as urban under this rule.)
- Each place in Hawaii with 10,000 or more population. (There are no incorporated cities in Hawaii.)

Race or national origin

Beginning with the 1989 data year birth data are tabulated primarily by race of mother. In 1988 and prior years the race or national origin shown in tabulations was that of the newborn child. The race of the child was determined for statistical purposes by an algorithm based on the race of the mother and father as reported on the birth certificate. When the parents were of the same race, the race of the child was the same as the race of the parents. When the parents were of different races and one parent was white, the child was assigned to the race of the other parent. When the parents were of different races and neither parent was white, the child was assigned to the race of the father, with one exception—if either parent was Hawaiian, the child was assigned to Hawaiian. If race was missing for one parent, the child was assigned the race of the parent for whom it was reported. When information on race was missing for both parents, the race of the child was considered not stated and the birth was allocated according to rules discussed on page 4 of the Technical Appendix, volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1988. In 1989 the criteria for reporting the race of the parents did not change and continues to reflect the response of the informant (usually the mother).

The most important factor influencing the decision to tabulate births by race of the mother was the decennial revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1989. This revision included many more health questions that are directly associated with the mother, including alcohol and tobacco use, weight gain during pregnancy, medical risk factors, obstetric procedures, complications of labor and/or delivery, and method of delivery. Additionally, many of the other items that have been on the birth certificate for more than two decades also relate directly to the mother, for example, marital status, education level, and receipt of prenatal care. It is more appropriate to use the race of the mother than the race of the child in tabulating these items.

A second factor has been the increasing incidence of interracial parentage. In 1994, 4.4

percent of births were to parents of different races, compared with just 1.7 percent in 1974. About half of these births were to white mothers and fathers of another race. There have been two major consequences of the increasing interracial parentage. One is the effect on birth rates by race. The number of white births under the former procedures has been arbitrarily limited to infants whose parents were both white (or one parent if the race of only one parent was reported). At the same time, the number of births of other races has been arbitrarily increased to include all births to white mothers and fathers of other races. Thus, prior to 1989, if race of mother had been used, birth rates per 1,000 white women in a given age group would have been higher, while comparable rates for black women and women of other races would have been lower. The other consequence of increasing interracial parentage is the impact on the racial differential in various characteristics of births, particularly in cases where there is generally a large racial disparity, such as the incidence of low birthweight. In this instance, the racial differential is larger when the data are tabulated by race of mother rather than by race of child. The same effect has been noted for characteristics such as nonmarital childbearing, preterm births, late or no prenatal care, and low educational attainment of mother.

The third factor influencing the change is the growing proportion of births with race of father not stated, 16 percent in 1994 compared with 9 percent in 1974. This reflects the increase in the proportion of births to unmarried women; in many cases no information is reported on the father. These births were already assigned the race of the mother on a de facto basis. Tabulating births by race of mother provides a more uniform approach, rather than a necessarily arbitrary combination of parental races.

The change in the tabulation of births by race presents some problems when analyzing birth data by race, particularly trend data. The problem is likely to be acute for races other than white and black.

The categories for race or national origin are "White," "Black," "American Indian" (including Aleuts and Eskimos), "Chinese," "Japanese," "Hawaiian," "Filipino," and "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" (including Asian Indian). Before 1992 there was also an "other" category, which is now combined with the "Not stated" category. Before 1978 the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" was not identified separately but included with "Other" races. The separation of this category allows identification of the category "Asian or Pacific Islander" by combining the new category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" with Chinese, Japanese, Hawaiian, and Filipino.

The category "White" comprises births reported as white and births where race is reported as Hispanic. Before 1964 all births for which race or national origin was not stated were classified as white. Beginning in 1964 changes in the procedures for allocating race when race or national origin is not stated have changed the composition of this category. (See discussion on "Race or national origin not stated.")

If the race or national origin of an Asian parent is ill-defined or not clearly identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification (for example, if ``Oriental" is entered), an attempt is made to determine the specific race or national origin from the entry for place of birth. If the birthplace is China, Japan, or the Philippines, the race of the parent is assigned to that category. When race cannot be determined from birthplace, it is assigned to the category ``Other Asian or Pacific Islander."

Race or national origin not stated—If the race of the mother is not defined or not identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification and the race of the father is known, the race of the father is assigned to the mother. Where information for both parents is missing, the race of the mother is allocated electronically according to the specific race of the mother on the preceding record with a known race of mother. Data for both parents were missing for only 0.5 percent of birth certificates for 1994. Nearly all statistics by race or national origin for the United States as a whole in 1962 and 1963 are affected by a lack of information for New Jersey, which did not report the race of the parents in those years. Birth rates by race for those years are computed on a population base that excluded New Jersey. For the method of estimating the U.S. population by age, sex, and race excluding New Jersey in 1962 and 1963, see page 4-8 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1963.

Beginning in 1992, NCHS contracted with seven States with the highest API populations to code births to additional API subgroups. The API subgroups include births to Vietnamese, Asian Indian, Korean, Samoan, Guamanian, and other API women. The seven States included in this reporting area are: California, Hawaii, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Texas, and Washington. At least two-thirds of the U.S. population of each of these additional API groups lived in the seven-State reporting area(8). The data are available on the detailed natality tapes and CD-ROMs beginning with the 1992 data year. An analytic report based on the 1992 data year is also available upon request(9).

Age of mother

Beginning in 1989 an item on the birth certificate asks for "Date of Birth." In previous years,

"Age (at time of this birth)" was requested. Not all States have revised this item for 1989, and therefore the age of mother either is derived from the reported month and year of birth or coded as stated on the certificate. The age of mother is edited for upper and lower limits. When the age of mother is computed to be under 10 years or 50 years or over, it is considered not stated and is assigned as described below.

Age-specific birth rates are based on populations of women by age, prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. In census years the decennial census counts are used. In intercensal years, estimates of the population of women by age are published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in Current Population Reports.

The 1990 Census of Population derived age in completed years as of April 1, 1990, from the responses to questions on age at last birthday and month and year of birth, with the latter given preference. In the 1960, 1970, and the 1980 Census of Population, age was also derived from month and year of birth. "Age in completed years" was asked in censuses before 1960. This was nearly the equivalent of the former birth certificate question, which the 1950 test of matched birth and census records confirms by showing a high degree of consistency in reporting age in these two sources (10).

Median age of mother--Median age is the value that divides an age distribution into two equal parts, one-half of the values being less and one-half being greater. Median ages of mothers for 1960 to the present have been computed from birth rates for 5-year age groups rather than from birth frequencies. This method eliminates the effects of changes in the age composition of the childbearing population over time. Changes in the median ages from year to year can thus be attributed solely to changes in the age-specific birth rates.

Not stated date of birth of mother--Beginning in 1964 birth records with date of birth of mother and/or age of mother not stated have had age imputed according to the age of mother from the previous birth record of the same race and total-birth order (total of fetal deaths and live births). (See "Vital Statistics Computer Edits for Natality Data," NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 12, page 9.) In 1963 birth records with age not stated were allocated according to the age appearing on the record previously processed for a mother of identical race and parity (number of live births). For 1960-62 not stated ages were distributed in proportion to the known ages for each racial group. Before 1960 this was done for age-specific birth rates but not for the birth frequency tables, which showed a separate category for age not stated.

Age of father

Age of father is derived from the reported date of birth or coded as stated on the birth certificate. If the age is under 10 years, it is considered not stated and grouped with those cases for which age is not stated on the certificate. Information on age of father is often missing on birth certificates of children born to unmarried mothers, greatly inflating the number of ``not stated" in all tabulations by age of father. In computing birth rates by age of father, births tabulated as age of father not stated are distributed in the same proportions as births with known age within each 5-year-age classification of the mother. This procedure is done separately by race. The resulting distributions are summed to form a composite frequency distribution that is the basis for computing birth rates by age of father. This procedure avoids the distortion in rates that would result if the relationship between age of mother and age of father were disregarded.

Live-birth order and parity

Live-birth order and parity classifications shown in this volume refer to the total number of live births the mother has had including the 1994 birth. Fetal deaths are excluded.

Live-birth order indicates what number the present birth represents; for example, a baby born to a mother who has had two previous live births (even if one or both are not now living) has a live-birth order of three. Parity indicates how many live births a mother has had. Before delivery a mother having her first baby has a parity of zero and a mother having her third baby has a parity of two. After delivery the mother of a baby who is a first live birth has a parity of one and the mother of a baby who is a third live birth has a parity of three.

Live-birth order and parity are determined from two items on the birth certificate, "Live births now living" and "Live births now dead."

Not stated birth order--Before 1969 if both of these items were blank, the birth was considered a first birth. Beginning in 1969, births for which the pregnancy history items were not completed have been tabulated as live-birth order not stated. As a result of this revised procedure, 22,686 births in 1969 that would have been assigned to the "First birth order" category under the old rules were assigned to the "Not stated" category.

All births tabulated in the "Not stated birth order" category are excluded from the computation of percents. In computing birth rates by live-birth order, births tabulated as birth order not stated are distributed in the same proportion as births of known live-birth order.

Date of last live birth

The date of last live birth was added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1968 for the purpose of providing information on child spacing. The interval since the last live birth is the difference between the date of last live birth and the date of present birth. For an interval to be computed, both the month and year of the last live birth must be valid. This interval is computed only for events to mothers who have had at least one previous live birth.

Births for which the interval since last live birth is not stated are excluded from the computation of percents and means.

Zero interval—An interval of zero months since the last live birth indicates the second born of a set of twins, the second or third born of a set of triplets, and so forth. Births with an interval of zero months are excluded from the computation of mean intervals.

Educational attainment

Data on the educational attainment of both parents were collected beginning in 1968 and tabulated for publication in 1969 for the first time.

The educational attainment of either parent is defined as "the number of years of school completed." Only those years completed in "regular" schools are counted, that is, a formal educational system of public schools or the equivalent in accredited private or parochial schools. Business or trade schools, such as beauty and barber schools, are not considered "regular" schools for the purposes of this item. No attempt has been made to convert years of school completed in foreign school systems, ungraded school systems, and so forth, to equivalent grades in the American school system. Such entries are included in the category "Not stated."

Persons who have completed only a partial year in high school or college are tabulated as having completed the highest preceding grade. For those certificates on which a specific degree is stated, years of school completed is coded to the level at which the degree is most commonly attained; for example, persons reporting B.A., A.B., or B.S. degrees are considered to have completed 16 years of school.

Education not stated--The category ``Not stated" includes all records in reporting areas for which there is no information on years of school completed as well as all records for which the information provided is not compatible with coding specifications.

Births tabulated as education not stated are excluded from the computations of percents.

Marital status

Beginning with 1980 data, national estimates of births to unmarried women are derived from two sources. In 1994 marital status was reported directly on the birth certificates of 45 States and the District of Columbia. In the remaining five States, which lack such an item (California, Connecticut, Michigan, Nevada, and New York), marital status is inferred from a comparison of the child's and parents' surnames. This procedure represents a substantial departure from the method used before 1980 to prepare national estimates of births to unmarried women, which assumed that the incidence of births to unmarried women in States with no direct question on marital status was the same as the incidence in reporting States in the same geographic division.

The current method uses related information on the birth certificate to improve the quality of national data on this topic, as well as to provide data for the individual nonreporting States. Beginning

in 1980 a birth in a nonreporting State is classified as occurring to a married woman if the parents' surnames are the same, or if the child's and father's surnames are the same and the mother's current surname cannot be obtained from the informant item of the birth certificate. A birth is classified as occurring to an unmarried woman if the father's name is missing, if the parents' surnames are different, or if the father's and child's surnames are different and the mother's current surname is missing.

Because of the continued substantial increases in nonmarital childbearing throughout the 1980's, the data have been intensively evaluated in each year, 1985-94. There has been continuing concern that the current method might overstate the number of births to unmarried women because it incorporates data based on a comparison of surnames. This is because births to women who have retained their maiden surname as their legal surname after marriage and who are frequently older, well-educated women, would be classified as nonmarital births. Trends based on data incorporating inferential statistics can be compared with trends based on the geographic estimates for the 1980-94 period to show the impact of the two methods. The trends for the two methods are similar for all races combined and for white and black births. Between 1980 and 1994, birth rates for unmarried white women increased 112 percent based on data incorporating inferential information and 116 percent based on the geographic estimates. Birth rates for unmarried black women increased 1 percent based on the inferential data and declined 2 percent based on geographic estimates.

Michigan and Texas births--The number of births to unmarried women in Michigan was underreported during the years 1988-93, but the greatest undercount, numerically, was for 1990-93. Michigan had separate counts of the numbers of births with paternity acknowledgments, but did not include them with the counts of unmarried women based on the general inferential procedures that were provided to NCHS. The underreporting began in 1988, and was about 25 percent for the years

1988-93. In 1993 NCHS reported 36,326 births to unmarried women in Michigan, 26 percent below the number that included paternity affidavits (49,281) (11). Thus, there is a considerable discontinuity in the nonmarital birth data for Michigan from 1993 to 1994. The proportion of nonmarital births reported to NCHS increased from 26 percent to 35 percent.

The number of births to unmarried women in Texas was underreported during the years 1989-93. As a result of legislation passed in 1989, a birth was considered to have occurred to a married woman if the mother provides any information about the father, or if a paternity affidavit has been filed. The measurement of marital status for Texas births improved beginning with the 1994 data year because a direct question on marital status was added to the Texas birth certificate. However, there is a considerable discontinuity in the data for Texas from 1993 to 1994. The proportion of births to unmarried mothers increased from 17 to 29 percent.

No adjustments are made during the data processing for errors in the reporting of marital status on the birth records of the 45 reporting States and the District of Columbia because the extent of this reporting problem is unknown. When marital status is not stated on the birth certificate of a reporting area, the mother is considered married.

When births to unmarried women are reported as second- or higher-order births, it is not known whether the mother was married or unmarried when the previous deliveries occurred, because her marital status at the time of these earlier births is not available from the birth record.

Rates for 1940 and 1950 are based on decennial census counts. Rates for 1955-94 are based on a smoothed series of population estimates (12). Because of sampling error, the original U.S. Bureau of the Census population estimates by marital status fluctuate erratically from year to year; therefore, they have been smoothed so that the rates do not show similar variations. These rates differ

from those published in volumes of Vital Statistics of the United States before 1969, which were based on the original estimates provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Birth rates by marital status for 1971-79 have been revised and differ from rates published before 1980 in volumes of Vital Statistics of the United States (see ``Computation of rates and other measures").

Place of delivery and attendant at birth

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth included separate categories for freestanding birthing centers, the mother's residence, and clinic or doctor's office as the place of birth. Prior to 1989, place of birth was classified simply as either ``In hospital" or ``Not in hospital." Births occurring in hospitals, institutions, clinics, centers, or homes were included in the category ``In hospital." In this context the word ``homes" does not refer to the mother's residence but to an institution, such as a home for unmarried women. Birthing centers were included in either category, depending on each State's assessment of the facility. Beginning in 1989 births occurring in clinics and in birthing centers not attached to a hospital are classified as ``Not in hospital." This change in classification may account in part for the lower proportion of ``In hospital" births compared with previous years. (The change in classification of clinics should have minor impact because comparatively few births occur in these facilities, but the effect of any change in classification of freestanding birthing centers is unknown.)

Beginning in 1975 the attendant at birth and place of delivery items were coded independently, primarily to permit the identification of the person in attendance at hospital deliveries. The 1989 certificate includes separate classifications for ``M.D." (Doctor of Medicine), ``D.O." (Doctor of Osteopathy), ``C.N.M." (certified nurse midwife), ``Other midwife," and ``Other" attendants. In earlier

certificates births attended by certified nurse midwives were grouped with those attended by lay midwives. The new certificate also facilitates the identification of home births, births in freestanding birthing centers, and births in clinics or physician offices.

Data for the ``In hospital" category for 1975-88 include all births in clinics or maternity centers, regardless of the attendant. Data for 1975-77 published before 1980 included clinic and center births in the category ``In hospital" only when the attendant was a physician. Data shown for 1975-77 published after 1980 will, therefore, differ from data published before 1980. As a result of this change, for 1975 an additional 12,352 births are now classified as occurring in hospitals, raising the percent of births occurring in hospitals from 98.7 to 99.1. Similarly, for 1976 the number of births occurring in hospitals increased by 14,133 and the percent in hospitals raised from 98.6 to 99.1; for 1977 the increase is 15,937 and the percent in hospitals raised from 98.5 to 99.0. For 1974 and earlier the ``In hospital" category includes all births in hospitals or institutions and births in clinics, centers, or maternity homes only when attended by physicians.

The ``Not in hospital" category includes births for which no information is reported on place of birth. Before 1975 births for which the stated place of birth was a ``doctor's office" and delivery was by a physician were included in the category ``In hospital." Beginning in 1975 these births were tabulated as ``Not in hospital" and included with births delivered by physicians in this category. Although the actual number of such births is unknown, the effect of the change is minimal. In 1974, 0.3 percent of all births were delivered by physicians outside of hospitals; in 1975 this proportion was 0.4 percent.

Babies born on the way to or on arrival at the hospital are classified as having been born in the hospital. This may account for some of the hospital births not delivered by physicians or

midwives.

Beginning in 1993, all in-hospital births occurring in Illinois where the attendant was classified as an "other" midwife were changed to certified nurse-midwife. This was necessary because almost all of these births were delivered by midwives certified by the American College of Nurse Midwives but because Illinois does not certify midwives, many of these births were classified as "other" midwives.

Birthweight

Birthweight is reported in some areas in pounds and ounces rather than in grams. However, the metric system has been used in tabulating and presenting the statistics to facilitate comparison with data published by other groups. The categories for birthweight were changed in 1979 to be consistent with the recommendations in the Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9). The categories in gram intervals and their equivalents in pounds and ounces are as follows:

Less than 500 grams = 1 lb 1 oz or less

500-999 grams = 1 lb 2 oz- 2 lb 3 oz

1,000-1,499 grams = 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz

1,500-1,999 grams = 3 lb 5 oz-4 lb 6 oz

2,000-2,499 grams = 4 lb 7 oz- 5 lb 8 oz

2,500-2,999 grams = 5 lb 9 oz-6 lb 9 oz

3,000-3,499 grams = 6 lb 10 oz- 7 lb 11 oz

3,500-3,999 grams = 7 lb 12 oz- 8 lb 13 oz

4,000-4,499 grams = 8 lb l4 oz-9 lb l4 oz

4,500-4,999 grams = 9 lb 15 oz-11 lb 0 oz

5,000 grams or more = 11 lb l oz or more

The ICD-9 defines low birthweight as less than 2,500 grams. This is a shift of 1 gram from the previous criterion of 2,500 grams or less, which was recommended by the American Academy of Pediatrics in 1935 and adopted in 1948 by the World Health Organization in the Sixth Revision of the International Lists of Diseases and Causes of Death.

After data classified by pounds and ounces are converted to grams, median weights are computed and rounded before publication. To establish the continuity of class intervals needed to convert pounds and ounces to grams, the end points of these intervals are assumed to be half an ounce less at the lower end and half an ounce more at the upper end. For example, 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz is interpreted as 2 lb 3 1/2 oz-3 lb 4 1/2 oz.

Births for which birthweight is not reported are excluded from the computation of percents and medians.

Period of gestation

The period of gestation is defined as beginning with the first day of the last normal menstrual period (LMP) and ending with the day of the birth. The LMP is used as the initial date because it can be more accurately determined than the date of conception, which usually occurs 2 weeks after the LMP.

Births occurring before 37 completed weeks of gestation are considered to be ``preterm" or ``premature" for purposes of classification. At 37-41 weeks gestation, births are considered to be ``term," and at 42 completed weeks and over, ``postterm." These distinctions are according to the ICD-9 definitions.

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth included a new item, "clinical estimate of gestation," that is being compared with length of gestation computed from the LMP date when the latter appears to be inconsistent with birthweight. This is done for normal-weight births of apparently short gestations and very low-birthweight births reported to be full term. The clinical estimate also was used if the date of the LMP was not reported. The period of gestation for 4.1 percent of the births in 1994 was based on the clinical estimate of gestation. For 96 percent of these records the clinical estimate was used because the LMP date was not reported. For the remaining 4 percent the clinical estimate was used because it was compatible with the reported birthweight, whereas the LMP-computed gestation was not. In cases where the reported birthweight was inconsistent with both the LMP-computed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation, the LMP-computed gestation was used if it was within 5 weeks of the clinical estimate and birthweight was reclassified as ``not stated." If the reported birthweight was inconsistent with both the LMPcomputed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation, gestation and birthweight were classified as "not stated" if the LMP-computed gestation was not within 5 weeks of the clinical estimate. These changes result in only a very small discontinuity in the data. For further information on the use of the clinical estimate of gestation see "Computer Edits for Natality Data, Effective 1989," NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 12, pages 34-36.

Before 1981 the period of gestation was computed only when there was a valid month, day,

and year of LMP. However, length of gestation could not be determined from a substantial number of live-birth certificates each year because the day of LMP was missing. Beginning in 1981 weeks of gestation have been imputed for records with missing day of LMP when there is a valid month and year. Each such record is assigned the gestational period in weeks of the preceding record that has a complete LMP date with the same computed months of gestation and the same 500-gram birthweight interval. The effect of the imputation procedure is to increase slightly the proportion of preterm births and to lower the proportion of births at 39, 40, 41, and 42 weeks of gestation. A more complete discussion of this procedure and its implications is presented in a previous report (13).

Because of postconception bleeding or menstrual irregularities, the presumed date of LMP may be in error. In these instances the computed gestational period may be longer or shorter than the true gestational period, but the extent of such errors is unknown.

Month of pregnancy prenatal care began

For those records in which the name of the month is entered for this item, instead of first, second, third, and so forth, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is determined from the month named and the month last normal menses began. For these births, if the item "Date last normal menses began" is not stated, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is tabulated as not stated.

Number of prenatal visits

Tabulations of the number of prenatal visits were presented for the first time in 1972.

Beginning in 1989 these data were collected from the birth certificates of all States. Percent

distributions and the median number of prenatal visits exclude births to mothers who had no prenatal care.

Apgar score

One- and 5-minute Apgar scores were added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1978 to evaluate the condition of the newborn infant at 1 and 5 minutes after birth. The Apgar score is a useful measure of the need for resuscitation and a predictor of the infant's chances of surviving the first year of life. It is a summary measure of the infant's condition based on heart rate, respiratory effort, muscle tone, reflex irritability, and color. Each of these factors is given a score of 0, 1, or 2; the sum of these 5 values is the Apgar score, which ranges from 0 to 10. A score of 10 is optimum, and a low score raises some doubts about the survival and subsequent health of the infant. In 1994 the reporting area for the 1- and 5-minute Apgar scores was comprised of 48 States and the District of Columbia, accounting for 78 percent of all births in the United States. California and Texas did not have information on Apgar scores on their birth certificate.

Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy

The checkbox format allows for classification of a mother as a smoker or drinker during pregnancy and for reporting the average number of cigarettes smoked per day or drinks consumed per week. When smoking and/or drinking status is not reported or is inconsistent with the quantity of cigarettes or drinks reported, the status is changed to be consistent with the amount reported. For example, if the drinking status is reported as ``no" but one or more average drinks a week are reported, the mother is classified as a drinker. If the number of cigarettes smoked per day is reported

as one or more, the mother is considered a smoker. When one (or a fraction of one) drink a week is recorded, the mother is classified as a drinker. For records on which the number of drinks or number of cigarettes is reported as a span, for example, 10-15, the lower number is used. The number of drinkers and number of drinks reported on birth certificates are believed to underestimate actual alcohol use.

Data on tobacco use were collected by 46 States, the District of Columbia, and New York City in 1994. This reporting area accounted for 79 percent of all births in the U.S. in 1994. Information on alcohol use was included on the certificates of 48 States and the District of Columbia, accounting for 85 percent of all U.S. births in 1994. California and South Dakota did not include items on alcohol use of their birth certificates.

Weight gained during pregnancy

Weight gain is reported in pounds. A loss of weight is reported as zero gain. Computations of median weight gain were based on ungrouped data. This item was included on the certificates of 49 States and the District of Columbia; California did not report this information. This reporting area excluding California accounted for 86 percent of all births in the United States in 1994.

Medical risk factors for this pregnancy

In 1994 an item on medical risk factors was included on the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia, but two States did not report all of the 16 risk factors. Texas did not report genital herpes or uterine bleeding while Kansas did not report Rh sensitization.

The format allows for the designation of more than one risk factor and includes a choice of

"None." Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "Not stated."

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (14).

Definitions of medical terms

Anemia--Hemoglobin level of less than 10.0 g/dL during pregnancy or a hematocrit of less than 30 percent during pregnancy.

Cardiac disease--Disease of the heart.

Acute or chronic lung disease--Disease of the lungs during pregnancy.

Diabetes--Metabolic disorder characterized by excessive discharge of urine and persistent thirst; includes juvenile onset, adult onset, and gestational diabetes during pregnancy.

Genital herpes--Infection of the skin of the genital area by herpes simplex virus.

Hydramnios/Oligohydramnios--Any noticeable excess (hydramnios) or lack (oligohydramnios) of amniotic fluid.

Hemoglobinopathy--A blood disorder caused by alteration in the genetically determined molecular structure of hemoglobin (for example, sickle cell anemia).

Hypertension, chronic--Blood pressure persistently greater than 140/90, diagnosed prior to onset of pregnancy or before the 20th week of gestation.

Hypertension, pregnancy-associated--An increase in blood pressure of at least 30 mm Hg systolic or 15 mm Hg diastolic on two measurements taken 6 hours apart after the 20th week of gestation.

Eclampsia--The occurrence of convulsions and/or coma unrelated to other cerebral conditions in

women with signs and symptoms of pre-eclampsia.

Incompetent cervix--Characterized by painless dilation of the cervix in the second trimester or early in the third trimester of pregnancy, with prolapse of membranes through the cervix and ballooning of the membranes into the vagina, followed by rupture of membranes and subsequent expulsion of the fetus.

Previous infant 4,000+ grams--The birthweight of a previous live-born child was over 4,000 grams (8 lbs 13 oz).

Previous preterm or small-for-gestational-age infant--Previous birth of an infant prior to term (before 37 completed weeks of gestation) or of an infant weighing less than the 10th percentile for gestational age using a standard weight-for-age chart.

Renal disease--Kidney disease.

Rh sensitization--The process or state of becoming sensitized to the Rh factor as when an Rh-negative woman is pregnant with an Rh-positive fetus.

Uterine bleeding--Any clinically significant bleeding during the pregnancy, taking into consideration the stage of pregnancy; any second or third trimester bleeding of the uterus prior to the onset of labor.

Obstetric procedures

This item includes six specific obstetric procedures. Birth records with "Obstetric procedures" left blank are considered "not stated." Data on obstetric procedures were reported by all States and the District of Columbia.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by

a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems (NAPHSIS), formerly the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (14).

Definitions of medical terms

Amniocentesis--Surgical transabdominal perforation of the uterus to obtain amniotic fluid to be used in the detection of genetic disorders, fetal abnormalities, and fetal lung maturity.

Electronic fetal monitoring--Monitoring with external devices applied to the maternal abdomen or with internal devices with an electrode attached to the fetal scalp and a catheter through the cervix into the uterus, to detect and record fetal heart tones and uterine contractions.

Induction of labor--The initiation of uterine contractions before the spontaneous onset of labor by medical and/or surgical means for the purpose of delivery.

Stimulation of labor--Augmentation of previously established labor by use of oxytocin.

Tocolysis--Use of medications to inhibit preterm uterine contractions to extend the length of pregnancy and therefore avoid a preterm birth.

Ultrasound--Visualization of the fetus and placenta by means of sound waves.

Complications of labor and/or delivery

The checkbox format allows for the selection of 15 specific complications and for the designation of more than 1 complication where appropriate. A choice of ``None" is also included. Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as ``not stated."

All States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates. However, not all of the complications were reported by all reporting States (see table A).

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials. (14).

Definitions of medical terms

Febrile--A fever greater than 100 degrees F. or 38 C. occurring during labor and/or delivery.

Meconium, moderate/heavy--Meconium consists of undigested debris from swallowed amniotic fluid, various products of secretion, excretion, and shedding by the gastrointestinal tract; moderate to heavy amounts of meconium in the amniotic fluid noted during labor and/or delivery.

Premature rupture of membranes (more than 12 hours)--Rupture of the membranes at any time during pregnancy and more than 12 hours before the onset of labor.

Abruptio placenta--Premature separation of a normally implanted placenta from the uterus.

Placenta previa--Implantation of the placenta over or near the internal opening of the cervix.

Other excessive bleeding--The loss of a significant amount of blood from conditions other than abruptio placenta or placenta previa.

Seizures during labor---Maternal seizures occurring during labor from any cause.

Precipitous labor (less than 3 hours)--Extremely rapid labor and delivery lasting less than 3 hours.

Prolonged labor (more than 20 hours)--Abnormally slow progress of labor lasting more than 20 hours.

Dysfunctional labor--Failure to progress in a normal pattern of labor.

Breech/Malpresentation--At birth, the presentation of the fetal buttocks rather than the head, or other

malpresentation.

Cephalopelvic disproportion--The relationship of the size, presentation, and position of the fetal head to the maternal pelvis prevents dilation of the cervix and/or descent of the fetal head.

Cord prolapse--Premature expulsion of the umbilical cord in labor before the fetus is delivered.

Anesthetic complications--Any complication during labor and/or delivery brought on by an anesthetic agent or agents.

Fetal distress--Signs indicating fetal hypoxia (deficiency in amount of oxygen reaching fetal tissues).

Abnormal conditions of the newborn

This item provides information on eight specific abnormal conditions. More than one abnormal condition may be reported for a given birth or "None" may be selected. If the item is not completed it is tabulated as "not stated." This item was included on the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia in 1994. However, several States did not include all conditions (see table A).

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics. (14).

Definitions of medical terms

Anemia--Hemoglobin level of less than 13.0 g/dL or a hematocrit of less than 39 percent.

Birth injury--Impairment of the infant's body function or structure due to adverse influences that occurred at birth.

Fetal alcohol syndrome--A syndrome of altered prenatal growth and development occurring in infants

born of women who consumed excessive amounts of alcohol during pregnancy.

Hyaline membrane disease/RDS--A disorder primarily of prematurity, manifested clinically by respiratory distress and pathologically by pulmonary hyaline membranes and incomplete expansion of the lungs at birth.

Meconium aspiration syndrome--Aspiration of meconium by the fetus or newborn, affecting the lower respiratory system.

Assisted ventilation (less than 30 minutes)--A mechanical method of assisting respiration for newborns with respiratory failure.

Assisted ventilation (30 minutes or more)--Newborn placed on assisted ventilation for 30 minutes or longer.

Seizures--A seizure of any etiology.

Congenital anomalies of child

The data provided in this item relate to 21 specific anomalies or anomaly groups. It is well documented that congenital anomalies, except for the most visible and most severe, are incompletely reported on birth certificates. The completeness of reporting specific anomalies depends on how easily they are recognized in the short time between birth and birth registration. Forty-nine States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates (New Mexico and New York City did not). This reporting area included 96 percent of all births in the United States in 1994. The format allows for the identification of more than one anomaly including a choice of "None" should no anomalies be evident. The category "not stated" includes birth records for which the item is not completed.

The following definitions are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials. (14).

Definitions of medical terms

Anencephalus--Absence of the cerebral hemispheres.

Spina bifida/meningocele--Developmental anomaly characterized by defective closure of the bony encasement of the spinal cord, through which the cord and meninges may or may not protrude.

Hydrocephalus--Excessive accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid within the ventricles of the brain with consequent enlargement of the cranium.

Microcephalus--A significantly small head.

Other central nervous system anomalies--Other specified anomalies of the brain, spinal cord, and nervous system.

Heart malformations--Congenital anomalies of the heart.

Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies--Other specified anomalies of the circulatory and respiratory systems.

Rectal atresia/stenosis--Congenital absence, closure, or narrowing of the rectum.

Tracheo-esophageal fistula/Esophageal atresia--An abnormal passage between the trachea and the esophagus; esophageal atresia is the congenital absence or closure of the esophagus.

Omphalocele/gastroschisis--An omphalocele is a protrusion of variable amounts of abdominal viscera from a midline defect at the base of the umbilicus. In gastroschisis, the abdominal viscera protrude through an abdominal wall defect, usually on the right side of the umbilical cord insertion.

Other gastrointestinal anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the gastrointestinal system.

Malformed genitalia--Congenital anomalies of the reproductive organs.

Renal agenesis--One or both kidneys are completely absent.

Other urogenital anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the organs concerned in the production and excretion of urine, together with organs of reproduction.

Cleft lip/palate--Cleft lip is a fissure of elongated opening of the lip; cleft palate is a fissure in the roof of the mouth. These are failures of embryonic development.

Polydactyly/syndactyly/adactyly--Polydactyly is the presence of more than five digits on either hands and/or feet; syndactyly is having fused or webbed fingers and/or toes; adactyly is the absence of fingers and/or toes.

Club foot--Deformities of the foot, which is twisted out of shape or position.

Diaphragmatic hernia-- Herniation of the abdominal contents through the diaphragm into the thoracic cavity usually resulting in respiratory distress.

Other musculoskeletal/integumental anomalies--Other specified congenital anomalies of the muscles, skeleton, or skin.

Down's syndrome--The most common chromosomal defect with most cases resulting from an extra chromosome (trisomy 21).

Other chromosomal anomalies--All other chromosomal aberrations.

Method of delivery

The birth certificate contains a checkbox item on method of delivery. The choices include vaginal delivery, with the additional options of forceps, vacuum, and vaginal birth after previous cesarean section (VBAC), as well as a choice of primary or repeat cesarean. When only forceps,

vacuum, or VBAC is checked, a vaginal birth is assumed. In 1994 this information was collected from the birth certificates of all States and the District of Columbia.

Several rates are computed for method of delivery. The overall cesarean section rate or total cesarean rate is computed as the proportion of all births that were delivered by cesarean section. The primary cesarean rate is a measure that relates the number of women having a primary cesarean delivery to all women giving birth who have never had a cesarean delivery. The denominator for this rate includes all births, less those with method of delivery classified as repeat cesareans and vaginal birth after previous cesarean. The rate for vaginal birth after previous cesarean (VBAC) delivery is computed by relating all VBAC deliveries to the sum of VBAC and repeat cesarean deliveries, that is, to women with a previous cesarean section. VBAC rates for first births exist because the rates are computed on the basis of previous pregnancies, not just live births.

Hispanic parentage

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Births includes items to identify the Hispanic origin of the parents. Concurrent with the 1978 revision of the U.S. Certificate of Live Birth, NCHS recommended that items to identify the Hispanic or ethnic origin of the newborn's parents be included on birth certificates and has tabulated and evaluated these data from the reporting States. All 50 States and the District of Columbia reported Hispanic origin of the parents for 1994.

In computing birth and fertility rates for the Hispanic population, births with origin of mother not stated are included with non-Hispanic births rather than being distributed. Thus, rates for the Hispanic population are underestimates of the true rates to the extent that the births with origin of mother not stated (1.1 percent in 1994) were actually to Hispanic mothers. The population with

origin not stated was imputed. The effect on the rates is believed to be small.

Quality of data

Although vital statistics data are useful for a variety of administrative and scientific purposes, they cannot be correctly interpreted unless various qualifying factors and methods of classification are taken into account. The factors to be considered depend on the specific purposes for which the data are to be used. It is not feasible to discuss all the pertinent factors in the use of vital statistics tabulations, but some of the more important ones should be mentioned.

Most of the factors limiting the use of data arise from imperfections in the original records or from the impracticability of tabulating these data in very detailed categories. These limitations should not be ignored, but their existence does not lessen the value of the data for most general purposes.

Completeness of registration

An estimated 99 percent of all births occurring in the United States in 1994 were registered; for white births registration was 99.4 percent complete and for all other births, 98.6 percent complete. These estimates are based on the results of the 1964-68 test of birth-registration completeness according to place of delivery (in or out of hospital) and race and on the 1989 proportions of births in these categories. The primary purpose of the test was to obtain current measures of registration completeness for births in and out of hospital by race on a national basis. Data for States were not available as they had been from the previous birth-registration tests in 1940 and 1950. A detailed discussion of the method and results of the 1964-68 birth-registration test is available (15).

The 1964-68 test has provided an opportunity to revise the estimates of birth-registration completeness for the years since the previous test in 1950 to reflect the improvement in registration. This has been done using registration completeness figures from the two tests by place of delivery and race. Estimates of registration completeness for four groups (based on place of delivery and race) for 1951-65 were computed by interpolation between the test results. (It was assumed that the data from the more recent test are for 1966, the midpoint of the test period.) The results of the 1964-68 test are assumed to prevail for 1966 and later years. These estimates were used with the proportions of births registered in these categories to obtain revised numbers of births adjusted for underregistration for each year. The overall percent of birth-registration completeness by race was then computed.

Data adjusted for underregistration for 1951-59 have been revised to be consistent with the 1964-68 test results and differ slightly from data shown in annual reports for years before 1969. For these years the published number of births and birth rates for both racial groups have been revised slightly downward because the 1964-68 test indicated that previous adjustments to registered births were slightly inflated. Because registration completeness figures by age of mother and by live-birth order are not available from the 1964-68 test, it must be assumed that the relationships among these variables have not changed since 1950.

Discontinuation of adjustment for underregistration, 1960--

Adjustment for underregistration of births was discontinued in 1960 when birth registration for the United States was estimated to be 99.1 percent complete. This removed a bias introduced into age-specific rates when adjusted births classified by age were used. Age-specific rates are calculated by dividing the number of births to an age group of mothers by the population of women in that age

group. Tests have shown that population figures are likely to be understated through census undercounts; these errors compensate for underregistration of births. Adjustment for underregistration of births, therefore, removes the compensating effect of underenumeration, biasing the age-specific rates more than when uncorrected birth and population data are used. (For further details see page 4-11 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1963.)

The age-specific rates used in the cohort fertility tables are an exception to the above statement. These rates are computed from births corrected for underregistration and population estimates adjusted for underenumeration and misstatement of age.

Adjusted birth and population estimates are used for the cohort rates because they are an integral part of a series of rates, estimated with a consistent methodology. It was considered desirable to maintain consistency with respect to the cohort rates, even though it means that they will not be precisely comparable with other rates shown for 5-year age groups.

Completeness of reporting

Interpretation of these data must include evaluation of item completeness. The percent ``not stated" is one measure of the quality of the data. Completeness of reporting varies among items and States. See table A for the percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated.

Quality control procedures

States in the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program are required to have an error rate of less

than 2.0 percent for each item for 3 consecutive data months during the initial qualifying period. Once a State is qualified, NCHS monitors the quality of data received. This was achieved through independent verification of a sample of records for some States as well as comparing the State data with data from previous years. In addition, there is verification at the State level before NCHS is sent the data.

After the coding is completed, counts of the taped records are balanced against control totals for each shipment of records from a registration area. Impossible codes are eliminated during the editing processes on the computer and corrected on the basis of reference to the source record or adjusted by arbitrary code assignment. All subsequent operations involved in tabulation and table preparation are verified during computer processing or by statistical clerks.

Small frequencies

The numbers of births reported for an area represent complete counts. As such, they are not subject to sampling error, although they are subject to errors in the registration process. However, when the figures are used for analytical purposes, such as the comparison of rates over a period of time or for different areas, the number of events that actually occurred may be considered as one of a large series of possible results that could have arisen under the same circumstances. The probable range of values may be estimated from the actual figures according to certain statistical assumptions.

In general, distributions of vital events may be assumed to follow the binomial distribution. Estimates of standard errors and tests of significance under this assumption are described in most standard statistics texts. When the number of events is large, the relative standard error, expressed as a percent of the number or rate, is usually small.

When the number of events is small (fewer than 100) and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the conditions described by the figures. Events of rare nature may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. For this distribution, a simple approximation may be used to estimate the error as follows:

If N is the number of births and R is the corresponding rate, the chances are 19 in 20 that 1. The ``true" number of events lies between

$$N - 2\sqrt{N}$$
 and $N + 2\sqrt{N}$

2. The ``true" rate lies between

$$R - 2\frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$$
 and $R + 2\frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$

If the rate R1 corresponding to N1 events is compared with the rate R2 corresponding to N2 events, the difference between the two rates may be regarded as statistically significant if it exceeds

$$2\sqrt{\frac{R_1^2}{N_1} + \frac{R_2^2}{N_2}}$$

For example, suppose that the observed birth rate for area A was 15.0 per 1,000 population and that this rate was based on 50 recorded births. Given prevailing conditions, the chances are 19 in 20 that the ``true" or underlying birth rate for that area lies between 10.8 and 19.2 per 1,000 population. Let it be further supposed that the birth rate for area A of 15.0 per 1,000 population is being compared with a rate of 20.0 per 1,000 population for area B, which is based on 40 recorded births. Although the difference between the rates for the two areas is 5.0, this difference is less than twice the standard error of the difference

$$2\sqrt{\frac{(15.0)^2}{50} + \frac{(20.0)^2}{40}}$$

of the two rates that is computed to be 7.6. From this, it is concluded that the difference between the rates for the two areas is not statistically significant.

Computation of rates and other measures

Population bases

The rates shown in this report were computed on the basis of population statistics prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rates for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the censuses of those years. Rates for all other years are based on the estimated midyear (July 1) population for the respective years. Birth rates for the United States, individual States, and metropolitan areas are based on the total resident populations of the respective areas. Except as noted these populations exclude the Armed Forces abroad but include the Armed Forces stationed in each area.

The resident population of the birth- and death-registration States for 1900-32 and for the United States for 1900-94 is shown in table 4-1. In addition, the population including Armed Forces abroad is shown for the United States. Table B shows the sources for these populations.

In both the 1980 and 1990 censuses, a substantial number of persons did not specify a racial group that could be classified as any of the White, Black, American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut, Asian, or Pacific Islander categories on the census form (16). In 1980 the number of persons of ``other" race was 6,758,319; in 1990 it was 9,804,847. In both censuses, the large majority of these persons were of Hispanic origin (based on response to a separate question on the form), and many wrote in their Hispanic origin, or Hispanic origin type (for example, Mexican, Puerto Rican) as their race. In both 1980 and 1990, persons of unspecified race were allocated to one of the four tabulated racial groups (white, black, American Indian, Asian or Pacific Islander), based on their response to the Hispanic origin question. These four race categories conform with the 1979 edition of OMB Directive 15 which mandates that race data must contain at least these 4 categories. These categories are also more consistent with the race categories in vital statistics.

In the allocation of unspecified race was carried out using cross-tabulations of age, sex, race, type of Hispanic origin, and county of residence. Persons of Hispanic origin and unspecified race were allocated to either white or black, based on their Hispanic origin type. Persons of ``other" race and Mexican origin were categorically assumed to be white, while persons in other Hispanic categories were distributed to white and black pro rata within the county-age-sex group. For ``other-not-specified" persons who were not Hispanic, race was allocated to white, black, or Asian and Pacific Islander, based on proportions gleaned from sample data. The 20-percent sample (respondents who were enumerated on the longer census form) provided a highly detailed coding of race, which allowed identification of otherwise unidentifiable responses with a specified race category. Allocation proportions were thus established at the State level, which were used to distribute the non-Hispanic persons of ``other" race in the 100-percent tabulations.

In 1990 the race modification procedure was carried out using individual census records. Persons whose race could not be specified were assigned to a racial category using a pool of ``race donors," which was derived from persons of specified race and the identical response to the Hispanic origin question within the auspices of the same Census District Office. As in 1980, the underlying assumption was that the Hispanic origin response was the major criterion for allocating race. Unlike 1980, persons of Hispanic origin, including Mexican, could be assigned to any racial group, rather than white or black only, and the non-Hispanic component of ``other" race was allocated primarily on the basis of geography (District Office), rather than detailed characteristic.

The means by which respondent's age was determined were fundamentally different in the two censuses; therefore, the problems that necessitated the modification were different. In 1980 respondents reported year of birth and quarter of birth (within year) on the census form. When census

results were tabulated, persons born in the first quarter of the year (before April 1) had age equal to 1980 minus year of birth, while persons born in the last three quarters had age equal to 1979 minus year of birth.

In 1990 the quarter year of birth was not reported on the census form, so that direct determination of age from year of birth was impossible. In 1990 census publications age is based on respondents' direct reports of age at last birthday. This definition proved inadequate for postcensal estimates, because it was apparent that many respondents had reported their age at time of either completion of the census form or interview by an enumerator, which could occur several months after the April 1 reference data. As a result, age was biased upward. Modification was based on a respecification of age, for most individual respondents, by year of birth, with allocation to first quarter (persons aged 1990 minus year of birth) and last three quarters (aged 1989 minus year of birth) based on a historical series of registered births by month. This process partially restored the 1980 logic for assignment of age. It was not considered necessary to correct for age overstatement and heaping in 1990, because the availability of age and year of birth on the census form provided elimination of spurious year-of-birth reports in the census data before modification occurred.

Populations for 1994--The population of the United States by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin are shown in the Census Bureau report, United States population estimates by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1994. U.S. Bureau of the Census. PPL-21. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.

Populations for 1993--The population of the United States by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin are tabulated from Census file RESO793. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1995.

Populations for 1992--The population of the United States by age, sex, race and Hispanic origin are tabulated from census file RESPO792. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1994.

Populations for 1991--The population of the United States by age, race, and sex are shown in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 1095. Monthly population figures were published in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 1097.

Populations for 1990--The population of the United States by age, race, and sex, and the population for each State are shown in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 1095. The figures have been modified as described above. Monthly population figures were published in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 1094.

Population estimates for 1981-89--Birth rates for 1981-89 (except those for cohorts of women) have been revised, based on revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1990 census levels, and thus may differ from rates published in volumes of Vital Statistics of the United States for these years. The 1990 census counted approximately 1.5 million fewer persons than had earlier been estimated for April 1, 1990. The revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex were published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 1095. Population estimates by month are based on data published in Current Population Reports,

Series P-25, Number 1094 and unpublished data. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Populations for 1980--The population of the United States by age, race, and sex, and the population for each State are shown in tables 4-2 and 4-3 of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1980. The figures by race have been modified as described above. Monthly population figures were published in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 899.

Population estimates for 1971-79--Birth rates for 1971-79 (except those for cohorts of women) have been revised, based on revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1980 census levels, and thus may differ from rates published in volumes of Vital Statistics of the United States for these years. The 1980 census counted approximately 5.5 million more persons than had earlier been estimated for April 1, 1980 (17). The revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex were published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 917. Population estimates by month are based on data published in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 899. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Population estimates for 1961-69--Birth rates for 1961-69 are based on revised estimates of the population and thus may differ slightly from rates published before 1976. The revised estimates used in computing these rates were published in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 519. The rates for 1961-64 are based on revised estimates of the population published in Current

Population Reports, Series P-25, Numbers 321 and 324 and may differ slightly from rates published in those years.

Population estimates for 1951-59--Final intercensal estimates of the population by age, race, and sex and total population by State for 1951-59 are shown in tables 4-4 and 4-5 of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1966. Beginning with 1963 these final estimates have been used to compute birth rates for 1951-59 in all issues of Vital Statistics of the United States.

Net census undercounts and overcounts

The U.S. Bureau of the Census has conducted extensive research to evaluate the coverage of the U.S. population (including undercount, overcount, and misstatement of age, race, and sex) in the last five decennial censuses 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990. These studies provide estimates of the national population, that were not enumerated or overenumerated in the respective censuses, by age, race, and sex (17-19). The report for 1990 (20) includes estimates of net underenumeration and overenumeration for age, sex, and racial subgroups of the national population, modified for race consistency with previous population counts as described in the section "Population bases."

These studies indicate that there are differential coverages in the censuses among the population subgroups; that is, some age, race, and sex groups are more completely enumerated than others. To the extent that these estimates of overcounts or undercounts are valid, that they are substantial, and that they vary among subgroups and geographic areas, census miscounts can have consequences for vital statistics measures (18). However, the effects of undercounts in the census are reduced to the extent that there is underregistration of births. If these two factors are of equal

magnitude, rates based on unadjusted populations are more accurate than those based on adjusted populations because the births have not been adjusted for underregistration.

The impact of net census miscounts on vital statistics measures includes the effects on levels of the rates and effects on differentials among groups.

If adjustments were made for persons who were not counted in the census of population, the size of the denominators would generally increase and the rates would be smaller than without an adjustment. Adjusted rates for 1990 can be computed by multiplying the reported rates by ratios of the 1990 census-level population adjusted for the estimated net census miscounts, which are shown in table C. A ratio of less than 1.0 indicates a net census undercount and would result in a corresponding decrease in the rate. A ratio in excess of 1.0 indicates a net census overcount and would result in a corresponding increase in the rate.

Enumeration of white females in the childbearing ages was at least 97 percent complete for all ages. Among black women, the undercount ranged up to 5 percent. Generally, females in the childbearing ages were more completely enumerated than males for similar race-age groups.

If vital statistics measures were calculated with adjustments for net census miscounts for each of these subgroups, the resulting rates would have been differentially changed from their original levels; that is, rates for those groups with the greatest estimated overcounts or undercounts would show the greatest relative changes due to these adjustments. Thus the racial differential in fertility between the white and the ``All other" population can be affected by such adjustments.

Cohort fertility tables

The various fertility measures shown for cohorts of women are computed from births adjusted

for underregistration and population estimates corrected for underenumeration and misstatement of age. Data published after 1974 use revised population estimates prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and have been expanded to include data for the two major racial groups. Heuser has prepared a detailed description of the methods used in deriving these measures as well as more detailed data for earlier years (21).

Parity distribution--The percent distribution of women by parity (number of children ever born alive to mother) is derived from cumulative birth rates by order of birth. The percent of zero-parity women is found by subtracting the cumulative first birth rate from 1,000 and dividing by 10. The proportions of women at parities one through six are found from the following formula:

Percent at N parity = (cum. rate, order N) - (cum. rate, order N + 1)10

The percent of women at seventh higher parities is found by dividing the cumulative rate for seventh-order births by 10.

Birth probabilities--birth probabilities indicate the likelihood that a woman of a certain parity and age at the beginning of the year will have a child during the year. Birth probabilities differ from central birth rates in that the denominator for birth probabilities is specific for parity as well as for age.

Age-sex-adjusted birth rates

The age-sex-adjusted birth rates are computed by the direct method. The age distribution of women aged 10-49 years as enumerated in 1940 and the total population of the United States for that year are used as the standard populations. The age-sex-adjusted birth rates show differences in the level of fertility independent of differences in the age and sex composition of the population. It is important not to confuse these adjusted rates with the crude rates shown in other tables.

Total fertility rate

The total fertility rate is the sum of the birth rates by age of mother (in 5-year age groups) multiplied by 5. It is an age-adjusted rate because it is based on the assumption that there are the same number of women in each age group. The rate of 2,036 in 1994, for example, means that if a hypothetical group of 1,000 women were to have the same birth rates in each age group that were observed in the actual childbearing population in 1994, they would have a total of 2,036 children by the time they reached the end of the reproductive period (taken here to be age 50 years), assuming that all of the women survived to that age.

Intrinsic vital rates

The intrinsic vital rates are calculated from a stable population. A stable population is that hypothetical population, closed to external migration, that would become fixed in age-sex structure after repeated applications of a constant set of age-sex specific birth and death rates. For the mathematical derivation of intrinsic vital rates, see pages 4-13 and 4-14 in the Technical Appendix of volume I, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1962. The technique of calculating intrinsic vital rates is described by Barclay (22).

Seasonal adjustment of rates

The seasonally adjusted birth and fertility rates are computed from the X-11 variant of Census Method II (23). This method of seasonal adjustment used since 1964 differs slightly from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Seasonal Factor Method, which was used for Vital Statistics of the United States, 1964. The fundamental technique is the same in that it is an adaptation of the ratio-to-moving-average method. Before 1964 the method of seasonal adjustment was based on the X-9 variant and other variants of Census Method II. A comparison of the Census Method II with the BLS Seasonal Factor Method shows the differences in the seasonal patterns of births to be negligible.

Computation of percents, medians, and means

Percent distributions, medians, and means are computed using only events for which the characteristic is reported. The ``Not stated" category is subtracted from the total before computation of these measures. The asterisk (*) indicates that the numerator and/or denominator number is less than 20.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Official records; no 28 (Third World Health Assembly 3.6). Geneva: World Health Organization, 16-17. 1950.
- 2. National Office of Vital Statistics. International recommendations on definitions of live birth and fetal deaths. Washington: Public Health Service. 1950.
- 3. Statistical Office of the United Nations. Principles for vital statistics system: Recommendations for the improvement and standardization of vital statistics. Doc. ST/STAT/SER.M/19. New York: United Nations. 1953.
- 4. National Office of Vital Statistics. Births and birth rates in the entire United States, 1909 to 1948. Vital Statistics--Special reports; vol 33 no 8. Washington: Public Health Service. 1950.
- 5. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population of metropolitan areas and component geography: 1990 and 1980 (6-30-90 definitions). 1990 CPH-L-10. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1991.
- 6. U.S. Department of Commerce. Metropolitan statistical area classification. Federal Register; vol 45 no 2. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 956-62. 1980.
- 7. U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical areas. Rev. ed. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 89-90. 1975.
- 8. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1990 Census of Population. General population characteristics; (1990 CP-1-1). Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1992.
- 9. Martin JA. Birth characteristics for Asian or Pacific Islander subgroups, 1992. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 43 no 10, suppl. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics.

1995.

- 10. Schachter J. Matched record comparison of birth certificate and census information in the United
 States, 1950. Vital statistics--Special Reports; vol 47 no12. Washington: Public Health Service. 1962.
 11. Office of the State Registrar. Michigan Department of Public Health. Unpublished tabulation.
 1995.
- 12. Ventura SJ. Births to unmarried mothers, United States, 1980-92. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 21(53). 1995.
- 13. Taffel S, Johnson D, Heuser R. A method for imputing length of gestation on birth certificates. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(93). 1982.
- 14. Brockert JE, Stockbauer JW, Senner JW, et al. Recommended standard medical definitions for the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, 1989 revision. Paper presented at annual meeting of the Association for the Vital Record and Health Statistics. June 1990.
- 15. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Test of birth-registration completeness, 1964 to 1968. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC (E)-2. Evaluation and Research Program. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1973.
- 16. U.S. Bureau of the Census. U.S. population estimates, by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin, 1980-91. Current population reports; series P-25, no 1095. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1993.
- 17. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coverage of the national population in the 1980 census by age, sex, and race. Preliminary estimates by demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 115. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1982.
- 18. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of coverage of the population by sex, race, and

- age--Demographic analysis. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC (E)-4. Evaluation and Research Program. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1974.
- 19. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Developmental estimates of the coverage of the population of States in the 1970 census-demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 65. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1977.
- 20. Robinson JG, Ahmed B, Das Gupta P, et al. Estimation of population coverage in the 1990 United States census based on demographic analysis. JASA 88(423):1061-71. 1993.
- 21. Heuser R. Fertility tables for birth cohorts by color: United States, 1917-73. Washington: National Center for Health Statistics. 1976.
- 22. Barclay GW. Techniques of population analysis. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 216-22. 1958.
- 23. U.S. Bureau of the Census. The X-11 variant of the Census Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program. Technical paper; no 15, 1967 rev. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1967.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The technical appendix preparation was coordinated by Sherry L. Murphy in the Division of Vital Statistics under the general direction of Harry M. Rosenberg, Chief of the Mortality Statistics Branch. The vital statistics computer file on which it is based were prepared by staff from the Division of Vital Statistics, Division of Data Processing, Division of Data Services, and the Office of Research and Methodology.

The Division of Vital Statistics, Mary Anne Freedman, Director, and James A. Weed, Deputy Director, managed the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program, through which the vital registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, New York City, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam provided the data to the National Center for Health Statistics. This Division also processed computer edits, designed and programmed the tabulations, reviewed the data, and prepared documentation for this publication. The following staff provided overall direction: Ronald F. Chamblee, George A. Gay, Nicholas F. Pace, and Harry M. Rosenberg. Important contributors were Robert N. Anderson, Judy M. Barnes, Thomas D. Dunn, Donna E. Glenn, Brenda A. Green, Donna L. Hoyert, Christina K. Jarman, Millie B. Johnson, David W. Justice, Virginia J. Justice, Kenneth D. Kochanek, Julia L. Kowaleski, Jeffrey D. Maurer, Sherry L. Murphy, Gail A. Parr, Adrienne L. Rouse, Charles E. Royer, Jordan Sacks, George C. Tolson, Mary M. Trotter, Mary H. Wilder, JoAnn Wiley, and Francine D. Winter.

The Division of Data Processing, David L. Larson, Acting Director, and Charles E. Sirc, Acting Deputy Director, was responsible for receipt and processing of the basic data file. The following staff provided overall direction: Tanya W. Pitts, Dan M. Shearin, and Elizabeth Walston. Important contributors were Tyringa L. Ambrose, Rosalyn R. Anderson, Joyce L. Bius, Karen M. Bridges, Brenda L. Brown, Frances E. Carter, Shirley Carter, Linda P. Currin, Celia Dickens, Patricia W. Dunham, Clara Edwards, Connie M. Gentry, Lillian M. Guettler, Donald Jessup, Audrey S. Johnson, Mary Susan Lippincott, Janet L. McBride, Susan L. McBroom, Rodney Pierson, Frank Rawls, Julia E. Raynor, Eldora Smith, Pamela A. Stephenson, Leslie J. Stewart, Susan Temple, Betsy B. Thompson, Teresa M. Watkins, Faye L. Webster, Mary Whitley, Cynthia Williams, and James G. Williams.

The Office of Research and Methodology was responsible for the application of mathematical statistics methods to the development and implementation of quality assurance procedures. Important contributions in this area were made by Van L. Parsons.

The National Center for Health Statistics acknowledges the essential role of the vital registration offices of all States and territories in maintaining the system through which vital statistics data are obtained and for their cooperation in providing the information on which this publication is based.

A copy of the technical appendix may be obtained by contacting the National Center for Health Statistics, Mortality Statistics Branch at 301-436-8884.

For a list of reports published by the National Center for Health Statistics contact:

Data Dissemination Branch National Center for Health Statistics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Public Health Service 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 1064 Hyattsville, MD 20782 (301) 436-8500

Internet: http://www.cdc.gov/nchswww/

Sources of data	. 1
Death statistics	
Standard certificate	. 3
History	. 3
Classification of data	Δ
Classification by occurrence and residence	
Geographic classification	. 4
State or country of birth	. 5
Age	. 5
Race	
Hispanic deaths	
Marital status	
Educational attainment	. 8
Injury at work	. 8
Occupation and industry	
Place of death and status of decedent	
Mortality by month and date of death	
Report of autopsy	
Cause of death	10
Maternal deaths	13
Infant deaths	14
Quality of data	16
Completeness of registration	16
Quality control procedures	16
Computation of rates and other measures	17
Population bases	
Net census undercount	
Age-adjusted death rates	20
Life tables	21
Random variation and sampling errors	
Statistical tests	29
Deferences	31

Figures	S				
7-A.	U.S. Standard Certificate of Death				
Text ta	bles				
A.	Comparison of percent agreement and ratio of deaths for census or survey record to deaths by race for matching death certificate: 1960 and 1979-85	35			
B.	Infant mortality rates by race of infant from the death certificate and by race of mother from the birth certificate, and ratio of rates, 1995-96	36			
C.	Infant mortality rates by Hispanic origin of infant from the death certificate and by race of mother from the birth certificate, and ratio of rates, 1996	37			
D.	Numbers of deaths and ratios of deaths for selected causes as tabulated by State of occurrence and NCHS, 1995	38			
E.	Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-95	39			
F.	Source for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900-32, and United States, 1933-95	41			
G.	Estimated population of the United States, by 5-year age groups, race, and sex: July 1, 1995	42			
H.	Estimated Population, by age, for the United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1995	43			
I.	Estimated population by 5-year age groups, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, July 1, 1995	47			
J.	Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, race, and sex: United States, 1995	50			
K.	Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995	54			
L.	Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990	62			
M.	Age-adjusted death rates for selected causes by race and sex, unadjusted and adjusted for estimated net census undercount: United States, 1990	64			
N.	Lower and upper 95% and 96% confidence limit factors for a death rate based				

Sources of data

Death statistics

Mortality statistics for 1995 are, as for all previous years except 1972, based on information from records of all deaths occurring in the United States.

The death-registration system of the United States encompasses the 50 States, the District of Columbia, New York City (which is independent of New York State for the purpose of death registration), Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. In statistical tabulations, United States refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia. Data for Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands are presented separately from data for the United States. No data are included for American Samoa or the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas.

The Virgin Islands was admitted to the registration area for deaths in 1924; Puerto Rico, in 1932; and Guam, in 1970. Tabulations of death statistics for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were regularly shown in Vital Statistics of the United States from the year of their admission through 1971 except for the years 1967-69, and tabulations for Guam were included for 1970 and 1971. Death statistics for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam were not included in Vital Statistics of the United States for 1972 but have been included each year since 1973. Information for 1972 for these three areas was published in the respective annual vital statistics reports of the Department of Health of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Department of Health of the Virgin Islands, and the Department of Public Health and Social Services of the Government of Guam.

Procedures used by NCHS to collect death statistics have changed over the years. Before 1971 tabulations of deaths were based solely on information obtained by NCHS from copies of the original certificates. The information from these copies was edited, coded, and tabulated. For 1960-70 all mortality information taken from these records was transferred by NCHS to magnetic tape for computer processing.

Beginning with 1971 an increasing number of States have provided NCHS, via the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP), with electronic files of data coded according to NCHS specifications. The year in which State-coded demographic data were first transmitted in electronic data files to NCHS is shown below for each of the States, New York City, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands, all of which now furnish demographic or nonmedical data in electronic data files.

1971 1972 1973 Florida Maine Colorado Michigan Missouri New Hampshire New York (except New York Rhode Island City)

Vermont

1974 1975 1976 Illinois Louisiana Alabama Iowa Maryland Kentucky North Carolina Minnesota Kansas Montana Oklahoma Nevada Nebraska Tennessee Texas Oregon Virginia West Virginia South Carolina Wisconsin

1977 1978
Alaska Indiana
Idaho Utah
Massachusetts Washington
New York City
Ohio

Hawaii Mississippi New Jersey Pennsylvania Wyoming

Connecticut

1979

1980 1982 Arkansas North Dakota New Mexico South Dakota 1985 Arizona California Delaware Georgia

District of Columbia

1994 Virgin Islands

Puerto Rico

For Guam, mortality statistics for 1995 are based on information obtained directly by NCHS from copies of the original certificates received from the registration office.

In 1974 States began coding medical (cause-of-death) data in electronic data files according to NCHS specifications. The year in which State-coded medical data were first transmitted to NCHS is shown below for the 41 States now furnishing such data. In 1995 Maine, Montana, North Dakota, and Wyoming contracted with a private company to provide precoded medical data to NCHS. Kansas provided the medical data for Alaska. The remaining 9 VSCP States, New York City, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam submitted copies of the original certificates from which NCHS coded the medical data.

1974 1975
Iowa Louisiana
Michigan Nebraska
North Carolina
Virginia
Wisconsin

1980 Colorado Kansas Massachusetts Mississippi New Hampshire Pennsylvania South Carolina

1981 1983 Maine Minnesota

Maryland New York (except New York

City) Vermont

1989

Georgia

Indiana

Washington

1984

1986 1988
California Alaska
Florida Delaware
Texas Idaho
North Dak

North Dakota Wyoming

1991 1992 1993 Arkansas Montana Alabama Connecticut

Hawaii Nevada Oregon South Dakota

1994 1995 Oklahoma New Mexico

Rhode Island

For 1995 and previous years except 1972, NCHS coded the medical information from copies of the original certificates received from the registration offices for all deaths occurring in those States that were not furnishing NCHS with medical data coded according to NCHS specifications. For 1981 and 1982, these procedures were modified because of a coding and processing backlog resulting from personnel and budgetary restrictions. To produce the mortality files on a timely basis with reduced resources, NCHS used State-coded underlying cause-of-death information supplied by 19 States for 50 percent of the records; for the other 50 percent of the records for these States as well as for 100 percent of the records for the remaining 21 registration areas, NCHS coded the medical information. Mortality statistics for 1972 were based on information obtained from a 50-percent sample of death records instead of from all records as in other years. The sample resulted from personnel and budgetary restrictions. Sampling variation associated with the 50-percent sample is described in "Estimates of errors arising from 50-percent sample for 1972" under "Quality control procedures".

Standard certificate

For many years, the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death, issued by the Department of Health and Human Services, has been used as the principal means to attain uniformity in the contents of documents used to collect information on these events. It has been modified by each State to the extent required by the particular needs of the State or by special provisions of the State vital statistics law. However, the certificates of most States conform closely in content and arrangement to the standards.

The first issue of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death appeared in 1900. Since then, it has been revised periodically by the national vital statistics agency through consultation with State health officers and registrars; Federal agencies concerned with vital statistics; national, State, and county medical societies; and others working in such fields as public health, social welfare, demography, and insurance. This revision procedure has ensured careful evaluation of each item in terms of its current and future usefulness for legal, medical and health, demographic, and research purposes. New items have been added when necessary, and old items have been modified to ensure better reporting; or in some cases, items have been dropped when their usefulness appeared to be limited.

The current version of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death was recommended for State use beginning on January 1, 1989. The U.S. Standard Certificate of Death is shown in figure 7-A (1).

History

The first death statistics published by the Federal Government concerned events in 1850 and were based on statistics collected during the decennial census of that year. In 1880 a national "registration area" was created for deaths. Originally, this area consisted of Massachusetts, New Jersey, the District of Columbia, and several large cities that had efficient systems for death registration. The death-registration area continued to expand until 1933,

when it included for the first time the entire United States. Tables showing data for death-registration States include the District of Columbia for all years; registration cities in nonregistration States are not included. For more details on the history of the death-registration area, see *U.S. Vital Statistics System: Major Activities and Developments*, 1950-95 (2).

Classification of data

Vital statistics data is presented in terms of both frequencies and rates which are classified according to demographic variables such as geographic area, age, sex, and race. Since the calculation of rates requires population data, both vital statistics and population data must be classified and tabulated in comparable groups. The general rules used in the classification of geographic and personal items for deaths for 1995 are set forth in the NCHS instruction manual, Part 4 (3). A discussion of the classification of certain important items is presented below.

Classification by occurrence and residence

Tabulations for the United States and specified geographic areas are classified by place of residence unless stated as by place of occurrence. Before 1970 resident mortality statistics for the United States included all deaths occurring in the States and the District of Columbia, with deaths of nonresidents assigned to place of death. For the United States (50 States and the District of Columbia), deaths of nonresidents refers to deaths that occur in the 50 States and the District of Columbia of nonresident aliens; nationals residing abroad; and residents of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and other territories of the United States. Similarly, for Puerto Rico and for the Virgin Islands, deaths of nonresidents refers to deaths that occurred to a resident of any place other than Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, respectively. For Guam, however, deaths of nonresidents refers to deaths that occurred to a resident of any place other than Guam or the United States. Beginning with 1970, deaths of nonresidents are not included in tables by place of residence.

Deaths by place of occurrence, on the other hand, include deaths of both residents and nonresidents of the United States. Consequently, for each year beginning with 1970, the total number of deaths in the United States by place of occurrence was somewhat greater than the total by place of residence. For 1995 this difference amounted to 3,119 deaths.

Before 1970, except for 1964 and 1965, deaths of nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States were treated as deaths of residents of the exact place of occurrence, which in most instances was an urban area. In 1964 and 1965, deaths of nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States were allocated as deaths of residents of the balance of the county in which they occurred.

Residence error--Results of a 1960 study showed that the classification of residence information on the death certificates corresponded closely to the residence classification of the census records for the decedents whose records were matched (4).

A recent review of infant mortality rates for major urban areas suggests that the problem of residence error persists in vital statistics data despite the presence of an item on the U.S. Standard certificates of birth and death that asks whether residence was inside or outside city limits. Full resolution of this problem may require the application of automated systems for assigning addresses to geopolitical units.

Geographic classification

The rules followed in the classification of geographic areas for deaths are contained in NCHS instruction manual, Part 4 (3). The geographic codes assigned by NCHS on birth and death records are given in another instruction manual (5). Beginning with 1994 data, the geographic codes were modified to reflect results of the 1990 census. For 1982-93 codes are based on the results of the 1980 census and for 1970-81 on the 1970 census.

Metropolitan statistical areas--The Metropolitan statistical areas (MSA's) and Primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSA's) are those established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget as of April 1, 1990, and used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (6), except in the New England States.

Outside the New England States, an MSA has either a city with a population of at least 50,000 or a U.S. Bureau of the Census urbanized area of at least 50,000 and a total MSA population of at least 100,000. A PMSA consists of a large urbanized county or cluster of counties that demonstrate very strong internal economic and social links and has a population over one million. When PMSA's are defined, the larger area of which they are component parts is designated a Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) (7).

In the New England States, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget uses towns and cities rather than counties as geographic components of MSA's and PMSA's. However, NCHS cannot use this classification for these States because its data are not coded to identify all towns. Instead, NCHS uses New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's). Made up of county units, these areas are established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (8).

Metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties--Independent cities and counties included in MSA's and PMSA's or in NECMA's are included in data for metropolitan counties; all other counties are classified as nonmetropolitan.

Population-size groups--Beginning with the 1994 data year, vital statistics data for cities and certain other urban places were classified according to the population enumerated in the 1990 Census of Population. Data are available for individual cities and other urban places of 10,000 or more population. As a result of changes in the enumerated population between 1980 and 1990, some urban places are no longer identified separately and other urban places have been added. Data for the remaining areas not separately identified appear under the heading "balance of area" or "balance of county." For the years 1982-93 classification of areas was determined by the population enumerated in the 1980 Census of Population and for the years 1970-81 in the 1970 Census of Population.

Urban places other than incorporated cities include the following:

- ! Each town in New England, New York, and Wisconsin and each township in Michigan, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that had no incorporated municipality as a subdivision and had either 25,000 inhabitants or more, or a population of 10,000 to 25,000 and a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile.
- ! Each county in States other than those indicated above that had no incorporated municipality within its boundary and had a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile. (Arlington County, Virginia, is the only county classified as urban under this rule.)
- ! Each place in Hawaii with a population of 10,000 or more. (There are no incorporated cities in the State.)

Before 1964 places were classified as "urban" or "rural." Technical appendixes for earlier years discuss the previous classification system.

State or country of birth

Mortality statistics by State or country of birth became available beginning with 1979. State or country of birth of a decedent is assigned to 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia; or to Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, or Guam--if specified on the death certificate. The place of birth is also tabulated for Canada, Cuba, Mexico, and for the remainder of the world. Deaths for which information on State or country of birth was unknown, not stated, or not classifiable accounted for a small proportion of all deaths in 1995, about 0.6 percent.

Early mortality reports published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census contained tables showing nativity of parents as well as nativity of decedent. Publication of these tables was discontinued in 1933. Mortality data showing nativity of decedent were again published in annual reports for 1939-41 and for 1950.

Age

The age recorded on the death record is the age at last birthday, the same as the age classification used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. For 1995 data, 463 resident death records (0.02 percent) contained not-stated age. For computation of age-specific and age-adjusted death rates, deaths with age not stated are excluded. For life table computation, deaths with age not stated are distributed proportionately.

Race

For vital statistics in the United States in 1995, deaths are classified by race--white, black, American Indian, Chinese, Hawaiian, Japanese, Filipino, and Other Asian or Pacific Islander. Beginning with 1992 data, an expanded code structure was used for seven States showing five additional Asian or Pacific Islander groups. These groups are Asian Indian, Korean, Samoan, Vietnamese, and Guamanian. These groups are coded only for deaths occurring in California, Hawaii, Illinois, New Jersey, New York, Texas, and Washington. In 1990, at least two-thirds of the U.S. population of each of these groups lived in this seven-State reporting area: Asian Indian, Korean, and Vietnamese, 63-66 percent; Guamanian, 74 percent; and Samoan, 84 percent (9). This additional race detail is available on the mortality public-use data tapes (10,11) and in tabular form. Beginning with 1992 data, all records coded as "other races" (0.02 percent of the total deaths in 1995) were assigned to the specified race of the previous record rather than to a separate category called "other races." Mortality data for Filipino and Other Asian or Pacific Islander were shown for the first time in 1979.

The white category includes, in addition to persons reported as white, those reported in the race item on the death certificate as Hispanic, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, and all other Caucasians. The American Indian category includes North, Central, and South American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut. If the racial entry on the death certificate indicates a mixture of Hawaiian and any other race, the entry is coded to Hawaiian. If the race is given as a mixture of white and any other race, the entry is coded to the appropriate nonwhite race. If a mixture of races other than white is given (except Hawaiian), the entry is coded to the first race listed. This procedure for coding the first race listed has been used since 1969. Before 1969 if the entry for race was a mixture of black and any other race except Hawaiian, the entry was coded to black.

Race not stated.-For 1995 the number of death records for which race was unknown, not stated, or not classifiable was 1,954 or 0.1 percent of the total deaths. Beginning in 1992 death records with race not stated were assigned to the specified race of the previous record with known race. From 1965 to 1991 death records with race entry not stated were assigned to a racial designation as follows: If the preceding record was coded white, the code assignment was made to white; if the code was other than white, the assignment was made to black. Before 1964 all records with race not stated were assigned to white except records of residents of New Jersey for 1962-64.

New Jersey, 1962-64--New Jersey omitted the race item from its certificates of live birth and death in the beginning of 1962. The item was restored during the latter part of 1962. However, the certificate revision without the race item was used for most of 1962 as well as 1963. Therefore, figures by race for 1962 and 1963 exclude New Jersey. For 1964, 6.8 percent of the death records used for residents of New Jersey did not contain the race item.

Adjustments made in vital statistics to account for the omission of the race item in New Jersey for part of the certificates filed during 1962-64 are described in the Technical Appendix of *Vital Statistics of the United States* for each of those data years.

Quality of race data--A number of studies have been conducted on the reliability of race reported on the death certificate. These studies compare race reported on the death certificate with that reported on another data collection instrument such as the census or a survey. Race information on the death certificate is reported by the funeral director as provided by an informant, often the surviving next of kin, or, in the absence of an informant, on the basis of observation. In contrast, race on the census or the Current Population Survey (CPS) is self-reported or reported by a member of the household and, therefore, may be considered more valid. A high level of agreement between the death certificate and the census or survey report is essential to ensure unbiased death rates by race.

In one study a sample of approximately 340,000 death certificates was compared with census records for a 4-month period in 1960 (12). Percent agreement was 99.8 percent for white decedents, and 98.2 percent for black decedents; but less for the smaller minority groups (table A); the net difference in the number of deaths between the census records and death certificates can be expressed as a ratio of the census to the death certificate. A ratio of 1.0 for both white and black decedents (table A) indicates that the number of deaths for these race groups was essentially the same for these two sources. In another study, the National Longitudinal Mortality Study (NLMS), a total of 29,713 death certificates were compared with responses to the race questions from a total of 12 CPS's conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for the years 1979-85 (13). The ratio between the two sources for white and black decedents was 1.0 as in the earlier study, however, the ratio for American Indian was 1.22 indicating that 22 percent more decedents were identified as American Indian in the census source as compared to the death certificate. The ratio for Asians was 1.12 (table A). In 1986 the National Mortality Followback Survey, conducted

by NCHS, listed a question about the race of decedents 25 years old and over. The total sample was 18,733 decedents (14). The rates of agreement were similar to those observed in the other studies.

All of these studies show that persons self-reported as American Indian or Asian on census and survey records (and by informants in the Followback Survey) were sometimes reported as white on the death certificate. The net effect of misclassification is an underestimation of deaths and death rates for the smaller minority races.

Hispanic deaths

Mortality statistics for the Hispanic population are based on information for those States and the District of Columbia that included items on the death certificate to identify Hispanic or ethnic origin of decedents. Data for 1995 were obtained from the District of Columbia and all States except Oklahoma, which was excluded because its death certificate did not include an item to identify Hispanic or ethnic origin.

Hispanic mortality data were published for the first time in 1984. Generally, the reporting States used items similar to one of two basic formats recommended by NCHS. The first format is directed specifically toward the Hispanic population and appears on the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death as follows:

ļ	WAS DECEDENT OF HISPANIC ORIGIN?							
	(Specify No or YesIf Yes, specify Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.)							
	□ No□ Yes							
	Specify:							

The second format is a more general ancestry item and appears as follows:

! ANCESTRY--Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, African, English, Irish, German, Hmong, etc., (specify)

Death rates --Death rates for the total Hispanic population and race for non-Hispanic origin utilize demographically-derived population estimates produced by the Bureau of the Census (15). By comparison, population estimates for Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, and Other Hispanics are based in part on the Current Population Survey (15). Rates using the latter, therefore, are subject to sampling variation as well as random variation (see "Random variation and sampling errors").

The 49 States and the District of Columbia accounted for about 99.6 percent of the Hispanic population in the United States in 1990. This included about 99.5 percent of the Mexican population, 99.8 percent of the Puerto Rican population, 99.9 percent of the Cuban population, and 99.7 percent of the "Other Hispanic" population (9). For qualifications regarding infant mortality of the Hispanic-origin population, see "Infant deaths."

In 1994 New York City instituted the use of a revised death certificate where the race and ethnic items were to be completed by the funeral director. Previously these items were completed by the physician or medical examiner. In 1995 of the 70,752 deaths occurring in New York City, only 3 percent were coded to Unknown origin. Similarly, 4 percent were coded to unknown origin in 1994 whereas 23 percent were coded to Unknown origin in 1993. Between 1993 and 1994 the number of deaths occurring in New York City decreased 69 percent for Other and unknown Hispanic and 83 percent for Unknown origin. As a result of increased specificity in reporting ethnic origin, the number of deaths increased substantially in 1994 for Non-Hispanic and for each of the specified Hispanic subgroups.

Quality of data on Hispanic deaths--The NLMS examined the reliability of Hispanic origin reported on 43,520 death certificates with that reported on a total of 12 CPS's conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for the years 1979-85 (13). The ratio of deaths for CPS divided by deaths for death certificate was 1.07 percent indicating net underreporting of Hispanic origin on death certificates as compared with self-reports on the surveys. The sample was too small to assess the reliability of specified Hispanic groups.

Marital status

Mortality statistics by marital status have been published annually since 1979. They were previously published in *Vital Statistics of the United States* for 1949-51 and 1959-61. Several reports analyzing mortality by marital

status have been published, including the special study based on 1959-61 data (16). Reference to earlier reports is given in the appendix of part B of the 1959-61 special study.

Mortality statistics by marital status are tabulated separately for never married, married, widowed, and divorced. Deaths for which the marriage is specified as being annulled are classified as never married. Marital status specified as separated or common-law marriage is classified as married. Of the 2,267,097 resident deaths 15 years of age and over in 1995, 9,705 certificates (0.4 percent) had marital status not stated.

Death rates -- Death rates for marital status use population estimates produced by the Bureau of the Census based on the Current Population Survey (15). Because these population estimates are subject to sampling variation, death rates based on them are subject to both sampling variation as well as random variation (see "Random variation and sampling errors").

Educational attainment

Beginning with the 1989 data year, mortality data on educational attainment have been tabulated from information reported on the death certificate using the following item:

! DECEDENT'S EDUCATION (Specify only highest grade completed) Elementary/Secondary (0-12) College (1-4 or 5+)

For 1995, mortality data on educational attainment were reported by 46 States and the District of Columbia. Georgia, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, and South Dakota did not include an educational attainment item on their death certificate.

Selected mortality tables on educational attainment are based on deaths to residents of 45 States and the District of Columbia whose data were approximately 80 percent or more complete on a place-of-occurrence basis. In addition to the four States mentioned previously, data for Kentucky are excluded from these tables because more than 20 percent of their death certificates were classified to "unknown educational attainment."

Injury at work

Deaths for "Injury at work" were included on the 1993 public-use data tapes for the first time. These data were obtained from the following item that appears on the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death:

! INJURY AT WORK? (Yes or no)

All States have this item on their death certificates.

Occupation and industry

Deaths by occupation and industry are included on the 1995 public-use data tapes and CD-ROM. These data have been included since 1985 and were obtained from the following items that appear on the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death:

- ! DECEDENT'S USUAL OCCUPATION
 (Give kind of work done during most of working life.
 Do not use retired.)
- ! KIND OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY

For 1995, the occupation and industry mortality data were included for the following 19 reporting States:

Colorado New Mexico Georgia North Carolina

Idaho Ohio

Indiana Rhode Island Kansas South Carolina

Kentucky Utah
Maine Vermont
Nevada West Virginia
New Hampshire Wisconsin

New Jersey

Data for 1993-95 were coded using the revised NCHS Part 19 instruction manual (17) and the Bureau of the Census 1990 occupation and industry titles and three-digit codes, which are shown in the 1990 Census of Population and Housing (18).

Occupation and industry mortality data for 1984-92 were based on the 1980 Bureau of the Census occupation and industry classifications. For a listing of the changes between the 1980 and the 1990 classification systems, see Appendix D of the NCHS Part 19 instruction manual (17).

In addition to the codes shown in the Bureau of the Census publication (18), the following special codes were created:

Occupation

Industry

913 Retired
961 Own Home/At Home
914 Housewife/
970 Retired
990 Blank, Unknown, NA

915 Student
916 Volunteer
917 Unemployed, never
worked, disabled,
child, infant

999 Blank, Unknown, NA

Place of death and status of decedent

Mortality statistics by type of place of death have been shown annually in *Vital Statistics of the United States* since 1979. Before that year they were published in 1958 (tables 1-30--1-32). In addition, mortality data also were available for the first time in 1979 for the status of decedent when death occurred in a hospital or medical center. The 1994 data were obtained from the following two items appearing on the revised U.S. Standard Certificate of Death (1):

!	PLACE OF DEATH (check only one)							
	HOSPITAL:	□ Inpatient	□ ER/Outpatient	□ DOA				
	OTHER:	□ Nursing Ho	ome Residence	□ Other (specify)				

! FACILITY NAME (If not institution, give street and number)

Before the 1989 revision of the Standard Certificate of Death, information on place of death and status of decedent could be determined if hospital or institution indicated Inpatient, Outpatient, ER, or DOA, and if the name of the hospital or institution, which was used to determine the kind of facility, appeared on the certificate. The change to a checkbox format in many States for this item may affect the comparability of data for 1989 and subsequent years with data for years before 1989.

Except for Oklahoma, all of the States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia have this item (or its equivalent) on their certificates. For all reporting States and the District of Columbia in the VSCP, NCHS accepts the State definition, classification, or code for hospitals, medical centers, nursing homes, or other institutions.

Effective with data for 1980, the coding of place of death and status of decedent was modified. A new coding category was added: "Dead on arrival--hospital, clinic, or medical center." Had the 1979 coding categories been used, these deaths would have been coded to "Place unknown."

California--For the first 5 months of data year 1989, California coded "Place of death" to "other" rather than "residence".

Mortality by month and date of death

Deaths by month have been tabulated regularly and are available for each year since 1900. Deaths from selected causes by date of death have been published each year since 1972 and are available for 1962.

Numbers of deaths by date of death are produced for the total number of deaths and for the numbers of deaths for the following three causes, for which the greatest interest in date of occurrence of death has been expressed: Motor vehicle accidents, Suicide, and Homicide and legal intervention.

These data show the frequency distribution of deaths for selected causes by day of week. They also make it possible to identify holidays with peak numbers of deaths from specified causes.

Report of autopsy

Beginning with the 1995 data year, mortality data on autopsy are no longer collected due to budgetary constraints.

Cause of death

Cause-of-death classification--Since 1949 cause-of-death statistics have been based on the underlying cause of death, which is defined as "(a) the disease or injury which initiated the train of events leading directly to death, or (b) the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury" (19).

For each death the underlying cause is selected from an array of conditions reported in the medical certification section on the death certificate. This section provides a format for entering the cause of death sequentially. The conditions are translated into medical codes through use of the classification structure and the selection and modification rules contained in the applicable revision of the *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD), published by the World Health Organization (WHO). Selection rules provide guidance for systematically identifying the underlying cause of death. Modification rules are intended to improve the usefulness of mortality statistics by giving preference to certain classification categories over others and/or to consolidate two conditions or more on the certificate into one classification category.

As a statistical datum, underlying cause of death is a simple, one-dimensional statistic; it is conceptually easy to understand and a well-accepted measure of mortality. It identifies the initiating cause of death and is therefore most useful to public health officials in developing measures to prevent the onset of the chain of events leading to death. The rules for selecting the underlying cause of death are included in ICD as a means of standardizing classification, which contributes toward comparability and uniformity in mortality medical statistics among countries.

*Tabulation lists--*Beginning with data year 1979, the cause-of-death statistics published by NCHS have been classified according to the Ninth Revision of the *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-9) (19).

Five lists of causes have been developed by NCHS for tabulation and publication of mortality data--the Each-Cause List, List of 282 Selected Causes of Death, List of 72 Selected Causes of Death, List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death, and List of 34 Selected Causes of Death. These lists were designed to be as comparable as possible with the NCHS lists used under the Eighth Revision. However, complete comparability could not always be achieved.

The Each-Cause List is made up of each three-digit category of the WHO Detailed List to which deaths may be validly assigned and most four-digit subcategories. This list is used for the tabulation of data for the entire United States. The Each-Cause table in *Vital Statistics of the United States* does not show the four-digit or special five-digit subcategories provided for Motor vehicle accidents (E810-E825). The four-digit subcategories that identify persons injured and the five-digit subcategories that identify place of accident for deaths from nontransport accidents are tabulated separately.

The List of 282 Selected Causes of Death is constructed to be compatible with the recommended WHO lists for tabulating mortality data in ICD-9. This list is used for tabulating both State and national mortality data.

The List of 72 Selected Causes of Death was, in part, constructed by combining titles in the List of 282 Selected Causes of Death. It is used in tabulating data for the entire United States and each State and for Metropolitan statistical areas and for ranking leading causes of death excluding infants. (See "Cause-of-death ranking".)

The List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death shows more detailed titles for Congenital anomalies and Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period than any other list except the Each-Cause List, and is used for ranking infant causes of death. (See "Cause-of-death ranking".)

The List of 34 Selected Causes of Death was created by combining titles in the List of 72 Selected Causes. This list is used for tabulating data by detailed geographic area.

Beginning with data for 1987, changes were made in these lists to accommodate the introduction in the United States of new categories *042-*044 for Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. The changes are described in the Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1987. To facilitate data use, beginning with data for 1994, the categories for HIV infection (*042-*044) and Alzheimer's disease (ICD-9 No. 331.0) are included separately at the bottom of tables showing the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death and the List of 282 Selected Causes of Death. They are also subsumed in categories of the list.

Effect of ICD revisions--The International Classification of Diseases (ICD), used in the United States since 1900, has been revised approximately every 10 years so the disease classifications may be consistent with advances in medical science and with changes in diagnostic practice. Each revision of the ICD has produced some break in comparability of cause-of-death statistics. Cause-of-death statistics beginning with 1979 are classified by NCHS according to ICD-9 (19). For a discussion of each of the classifications used with death statistics since 1900, see Vital Statistics of the United States, 1979, Volume II, Mortality, Part A, section 7, pages 9-14.

Revisions of the ICD cause discontinuities in cause of death statistics because of changes in the classification or in the rules for selecting and modifying the underlying cause of death. To measure the discontinuity, dual coding studies have been carried out since the Fifth Revision of the ICD (1940). A dual coding study was undertaken between the Ninth and the Eighth Revisions (20). For additional information about these studies, see the Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1979.

Significant coding changes under the Ninth Revision--Since the implementation of ICD-9 in the United States, effective with mortality data for 1979, several coding changes have been introduced that are described in detail in Vital Statistics of the United States for the years in which they were introduced. The more important changes are: In early 1983 a change that affected data from 1981 to 1986 was made in the coding of Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome and HIV infection. Also effective with data year 1981 was a coding change for Poliomyelitis. For data year 1982, the definition of child was changed (which affects the classification of deaths to a number of categories, including Child battering and other maltreatment), and guidelines for coding deaths to the category Child battering and other maltreatment (ICD-9 No. E967) were changed also. During the calendar year 1985, detailed instructions for coding Motor vehicle accidents involving all-terrain vehicles were implemented to ensure consistency in coding these accidents. Effective with data year 1986, "Primary" and "Invasive" tumors, unspecified, were classified as "Malignant"; these neoplasms had been classified to Neoplasms of unspecified nature (ICD-9 No. 239).

Beginning with data for 1987, NCHS introduced new category numbers *042-*044 for classifying and coding HIV infection, formerly referred to as Human T-cell lymphotropic virus-III/lymphadenopathy associated virus (HTLV-III/LAV) infection. The asterisks appearing before the categories indicate these codes are not part of ICD-9. Also changed effective with data year 1987 were coding rules for the conditions "Dehydration" and "Disseminated intravascular coagulopathy." Effective with data year 1988, minor content changes were made to the classification for HIV infection. Detailed discussion of these changes may be found in the Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1988.

Coding in 1995--The rules and instructions used in coding 1995 mortality medical data remained essentially the same as those used for the 1994 data.

Medical certification—The use of a standard classification list, although essential for State, regional, and international comparison, does not ensure strict comparability of the tabulated figures. A high degree of comparability among areas could be attained only if all records of cause of death were reported with equal accuracy and completeness. The medical certification of cause of death can be made only by a qualified person, usually a physician, a medical examiner, or a coroner. Therefore, the reliability and accuracy of cause-of-death statistics are, to a large extent, governed by the ability of the certifier to make the proper diagnosis and by the care with which he or she records this information on the death certificate.

A number of studies have been undertaken on the quality of medical certification on the death certificate. In general, these have been for relatively small samples and for limited geographic areas. A bibliography prepared by NCHS (21), covering 128 references over 23 years, indicates no definitive conclusions have been reached about the quality of medical certification on the death certificate. No country has a well-defined program for systematically assessing the quality of medical certifications reported on death certificates or for measuring the error effects on the levels and trends of cause-of-death statistics.

One index of the quality of reporting causes of death is the proportion of death certificates coded to the Ninth Revision, Chapter XVI, Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (ICD-9 Nos. 780-799). Although deaths occur for which it is impossible to determine the underlying cause, this proportion indicates the care and consideration given to the certification by the medical certifier. This proportion also may be used as a rough measure of the specificity of the medical diagnoses made by the certifier in various areas. In 1995, 1.2 percent of all reported deaths in the United States were assigned to this category. The percent of deaths assigned to this category remained stable at 1.5 percent from 1981 to 1987, but has declined slightly since then.

Automated selection of underlying cause of death--Before data for 1968, mortality medical data were based on manual coding of an underlying cause of death for each certificate in accordance with WHO rules. Effective with data year 1968, NCHS converted to computerized coding of the underlying cause and manual coding of all causes (multiple causes) on the death certificate. In this system, called Automated Classification of Medical Entities (ACME) (22), the multiple cause codes serve as inputs to the computer software that employs WHO rules to select the underlying cause. The ACME system applies the same rules for selecting the underlying cause as would be applied manually by a nosologist; however, under this system, the computer consistently applies the same criteria, thus eliminating intercoder variation in this step of the process.

The ACME computer program requires the coding of all conditions shown on the medical certification. These codes are matched automatically against decision tables that consistently select the underlying cause of death for each record according to the international rules. The decision tables provide the comprehensive relationships among the conditions classified by ICD when applying the rules of selection and modification.

The decision tables were developed by NCHS staff on the basis of their experience in coding underlying causes of death under the earlier manual coding system and as a result of periodic independent validations. These tables periodically are updated to reflect additional new information on the relationship among medical conditions. For data year 1988, these tables were amended to incorporate minor changes to the previously mentioned classification for HIV infection (*042-*044) that originally had been implemented with data year 1987. Coding procedures for selecting the underlying cause of death by using the ACME computer program, as well as by using the ACME decision tables, are documented in NCHS instruction manuals (22,23,24).

Beginning with data year 1990, another computer system was implemented for automating cause-of-death coding. This system, called Mortality Medical Indexing, Classification, and Retrieval (MICAR) (25,26), automates coding multiple causes of death. Because MICAR automates multiple-cause coding rules, errors in recognizing terms, applying coding rules, and using the ICD index are eliminated. The use of the MICAR system ensures

consistent application of multiple-cause coding rules, which is especially important for rules that are complex and infrequently applied. In addition, MICAR can provide more detailed information on the conditions reported on death certificates than is available through the ICD category structure (27). In the first year of implementation, only about 5 percent of the Nation's death records were coded using MICAR with subsequent processing through ACME. This percentage increased from 26 percent in 1991 to 35 percent in 1992, 59 percent in 1993, 72 percent in 1994, and 74 percent in 1995. States whose data were coded by MICAR in 1995 included Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New York (excluding New York City), New York City, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia. For these States, MICAR processed about 88 percent of the mortality records with an average system error rate of 0.17 on an underlying cause basis, and a rate of 0.32 on a multiple-cause basis. Records that MICAR was unable to process were coded manually and then processed using ACME.

Beginning with data year 1993, another computer system was implemented for automating cause-of-death coding. This system, called SuperMICAR, is an enhancement of the MICAR system, which allows for total literal entry of the multiple cause-of-death text as reported by the certifier. This information is automatically coded by the MICAR and ACME computer systems. In the first year of implementation, about 9 percent of the Nation's death records were coded using SuperMICAR with subsequent processing through MICAR and ACME. This percentage increased from 9 percent in 1993 to 12 percent in 1994, and 14 percent in 1995. States using SuperMICAR in 1995 included Colorado, Connecticut, Hawaii, Idaho, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin. In 1995, for these States, SuperMICAR processed about 75 percent of the mortality records with an average system error rate of 0.59 on an underlying cause basis, and a rate of 1.17 on a multiple-cause basis. Records that SuperMICAR was unable to process were coded manually and then processed using ACME.

Cause-of-death ranking--Cause-of-death ranking except for infants is based on numbers of deaths assigned to categories in the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death, Human immunodeficiency virus infection (*042-*044), and Alzheimer's disease (ICD-9 No. 331.0). Added to the list of rankable causes was HIV infection, effective with data year 1987 and Alzheimer's disease, effective with data year 1994. Cause-of-death ranking for infants is based on the List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death and HIV infection (added to the list of rankable causes of infant death effective with data year 1987).

The group titles Major cardiovascular diseases and Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions from the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death are not ranked; Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period and Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions from the List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death are not ranked. In addition, category titles beginning with the words "Other" or "All other" are not ranked to determine the leading causes of death. When one of the titles representing a subtotal is ranked (such as Tuberculosis), its component parts (in this case, Tuberculosis of respiratory system and Other tuberculosis) are not ranked.

Maternal deaths

Maternal deaths are those for which the certifying physician has designated a maternal condition as the underlying cause of death. Maternal conditions are those assigned to Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium (ICD-9 Nos. 630-676). In the Ninth Revision, WHO for the first time defined a maternal death as follows:

A maternal death is defined as the death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and the site of the pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management but not from accidental or incidental causes.

Under the Eighth Revision, maternal deaths were assigned to the category "Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium" (*Eighth Revision International Classification of Diseases, Adapted for Use in the United States* (ICDA-8) Nos. 630-678). Although WHO did not define maternal mortality, an NCHS classification rule existed that limited the definition of a maternal death to a death that occurred within a year after termination of pregnancy from any "maternal cause," that is, any cause within the range of ICDA-8 Nos. 630-678. This rule

applied only if a duration was given for the condition. If no duration was specified and the underlying cause of death was a maternal condition, the duration was assumed to be within a year and the death was coded by NCHS as a maternal death. The change from an under-1-year limitation for duration used in the Eighth Revision to an under-42-days limitation used in the Ninth Revision did not have much effect on the comparability of maternal mortality statistics. However, comparability was affected by the following classification change: Under the Ninth Revision, maternal causes of death have been expanded to include Indirect obstetric causes (ICD-9 Nos. 647-648). These causes include Infective and parasitic conditions as well as other conditions present in the mother and classifiable elsewhere but that complicate pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium, such as Syphilis, Tuberculosis, Diabetes mellitus, Drug dependence, and Congenital cardiovascular disorders.

Maternal mortality rates are computed on the basis of the number of live births. The maternal mortality rate indicates the likelihood of a pregnant woman dying of maternal causes. The number of live births used in the denominator is an approximation of the population of pregnant women who are at risk of a maternal death.

Race--Beginning with the 1989 data year, NCHS changed the method of tabulating live birth data by race from race of child, which was determined from the race of the parents, to race of mother. This resulted in a discontinuity in maternal mortality rates by race between 1989-95 and previous years; see "Change in tabulation of race data for live births," under "Infant deaths" in the Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1990, or the series report, "Effect on Mortality Rates of the 1989 Change in Tabulating Race" (28).

Infant deaths

Age--Infant death is defined as a death under 1 year of age. The term excludes fetal deaths. Infant deaths usually are divided into two categories according to age, neonatal and postneonatal. Neonatal deaths are those that occur during the first 27 days of life; postneonatal deaths are those that occur between 28 days and 1 year of age. Generally, it has been believed that different factors influencing the child's survival predominate in these two periods: Factors associated with prenatal development, heredity, and the birth process were considered dominant in the neonatal period; environmental factors, such as nutrition, hygiene, and accidents, were considered more important in the postneonatal period. Recently, however, the distinction between these two periods has blurred due in part to advances in neonatology, which have enabled more very small premature infants to survive the neonatal period.

Rates--Infant mortality rates are the most commonly-used indices for measuring the risk of dying during the first year of life; they are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths in a calendar year by the number of live births registered for the same period and are presented as rates per 1,000 or per 100,000 live births. Infant mortality rates use the number of live births in the denominator to approximate the population at risk of dying before the first birthday. This measure is an approximation because some live births will not have been exposed to a full year's risk of dying and some of the infants who die during a year will have been born in the previous year. The error introduced in the infant mortality rate by this inexactness is usually small, especially when the birth rate is relatively constant from year to year (29,30). Other sources of error in the infant mortality rate have been attributed to differences in applying the definitions for infant death and fetal death when registering the event (31,32,33).

In contrast to infant mortality rates based on live births, infant death rates are based on the estimated population under 1 year of age. Infant death rates, which appear in tabulations of age-specific death rates, are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths in a calendar year by the estimated midyear population of persons under 1 year of age and are presented as rates per 100,000 population in this age group. Patterns and trends in the infant death rate may differ somewhat from those of the more commonly used "infant mortality rate," mainly because of differences in the nature of the denominator and in the time reference. Whereas the population denominator for the infant death rate is estimated using data on births, infant deaths, and migration for the 12-month period of July-June, the denominator for the infant mortality rate is a count of births occurring during the 12 months of January-December. The difference in the time reference can result in different trends between the two indices during periods when birth rates are moving up or down markedly.

The infant death rate also is subject to greater imprecision than is the infant mortality rate because of problems of enumerating and estimating the population under 1 year of age (32).

Change in tabulation of race data for live births--Beginning with the 1989 data year, NCHS changed the method of tabulating live-birth data by race from race of child, which was determined from the race of the parents, to race of mother. As in previous years, race for infant and maternal deaths (the numerator of the rate) is tabulated by the race of the decedent. Because live births comprise the denominator of infant and maternal mortality rates, this change resulted in a discontinuity in rates between 1989-95 data, and that for previous years. For additional information, see the Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1990, or the series report, "Effect on Mortality Rates of the 1989 Change in Tabulating Race" (28).

Comparison of race data from birth and death certificates—Regardless of whether vital events are tabulated by race of mother or by race of parents, studies in which race on the birth and death certificates for the same infant were compared find inconsistencies in reporting race between birth and death certificates (34).

These reporting inconsistencies can result in systematic biases in infant mortality rates by specified race, in particular, underestimates for specified races other than white or black. In the computation of race-specific infant mortality rates, the race item for the numerator comes from the death certificate, and for the denominator, from the birth certificate. Biases in the rates may arise because of possible inconsistencies in reporting race on these two vital records. Race of the mother and father is reported on the birth certificate by the mother at the time of delivery; whereas race of the deceased infant is reported on the death certificate by the funeral director based on observation or on information supplied by an informant, such as a parent. Previous studies have noted the race for an infant who died and was of a smaller minority race group is sometimes reported as white on the death certificate but is reported as the minority race group on the birth certificate, resulting, in the aggregate, in understatement of infant mortality for smaller race groups, for example, American Indian (34).

Estimates can be made of the degree of bias in race-specific infant mortality rates by comparing rates for which race is based on the death certificate of the infant with rates in which race is based on race of mother from the birth certificate. In table B these comparisons are made for the years 1995 and 1996 combined. A measure of reliability is the ratio of race reported on the linked file (race of mother from the birth certificate) to the race of the child reported on the death certificate. The ratio for white infants is 1.0; for black 0.97 indicating a good net correspondence in race from the two sources. However, for American Indians the ratio is 1.14 indicating that rates where race is based on the birth certificate are 14 percent higher than those based on the death certificate. Ratios among specific populations groups of Asian Americans varied greatly. Understatement was greatest for Japanese infants with a ratio of 2.04, indicating that infant mortality rates based on birth certificate information are over twice as high as those based on death certificates. The ratios for Filipinos were 1.68, and for Chinese, 1.21. The ratio for Hawaiians was 0.85, indicating a higher rate based on death certificates, possibly because on death records on which Hawaiian was reported in combination with another race, coding procedures always give preference to Hawaiian (35).

Hispanic origin--Infant mortality rates for the Hispanic-origin population are based on numbers of resident infant deaths reported to be of Hispanic origin (see "Hispanic origin") and numbers of resident live births by Hispanic origin of mother for the 49 States and the District of Columbia. Data for Oklahoma were excluded, because Oklahoma did not include an item on Hispanic origin on its death certificate. In computing infant mortality rates, deaths and live births of unknown origin are not distributed among the specified Hispanic and non-Hispanic groups. Because the percent of infant deaths of unknown origin for 1995 was 1.7 percent and the percent of live births of unknown origin was 1.5 percent, infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin and race for non-Hispanic origin may be slightly underestimated.

Small numbers of infant deaths for specific Hispanic-origin groups can result in infant mortality rates subject to relatively large random variation (see "Random variation and sampling errors").

Table C shows comparisons for infant mortality rates for Hispanic origin where Hispanic origin is based on death certificate identification of the infant or on birth certificate information on the Hispanic origin of the mother (the linked file) for 1996. For total Hispanic origin infants, the ratio was 1.05 indicating that rates are about 5 percent higher using the race of mother from the birth certificate (linked file). For Mexican and Cuban, the rates were about the same (ratios of 1.00 and 1.02, respectively), but rates for Puerto Rican infants were 12 percent higher when Hispanic origin was based on the birth certificate (35).

Tabulation list--Causes of death for infants are tabulated according to a list of causes that is different from the list of causes for the population of all ages, except for the Each Cause List. (See "Cause-of-death classification" under "Cause of death.")

Quality of data

Completeness of registration

All States have adopted laws requiring the registration of births and deaths. It is believed that more than 99 percent of the births and deaths occurring in this country are registered.

Massachusetts data--The 1964 statistics for deaths exclude approximately 6,000 deaths registered in Massachusetts, primarily to residents of that State. Microfilm copies of these records were not received by NCHS. Figures for the United States and the New England Division are affected also.

Amended records for Alaska--Numbers of deaths for selected causes occurring in Alaska for 1995 are in error because NCHS did not receive changes resulting from amended records. An estimate of the effect of these omissions can be derived by comparing NCHS counts of records processed through the VSCP with counts prepared by Alaska as shown in table D. Differences are concentrated among selected causes of death, principally Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (ICD-9 Nos. 780-799) and external causes.

Quality control procedures

Demographic items on the death certificate--As previously indicated, for 1995 the mortality data for these items were obtained from two sources--photocopies of the original certificates furnished by Guam and electronic data records furnished by the 50 States, the District of Columbia, New York City, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. For Guam, which sent only copies of the original certificates, the demographic items were coded for 100 percent of the death certificates. The demographic coding for 100 percent of the certificates was independently verified.

For areas sending electronic data records, a sample of 70-80 records per month for each registration area is used to monitor quality of coding. Under this procedure, each sample record is independently coded by NCHS staff and compared to the State code assignments. NCHS/State differences are adjudicated to ascertain the source of the error and need for corrective action. The estimated average outgoing error rate for all demographic items in 1995 was 0.25 percent. The error rate is a combined measure of State coding, key entry and processing errors made in the process of preparing the statistical file. These types of errors are not necessarily randomly distributed in the file and may therefore escape detection through sample verification. To reduce some systematic errors other NCHS procedures such as detailed computer edits, tabular evaluation, and procedure review are used.

Medical items on the death certificate--The same procedures used for demographic data are used for the medical items. For the 41 States sending electronic files, the average outgoing error rate in 1995 was estimated at 2.8 percent for underlying cause data, and 5.5 percent for multiple cause-of-death data.

For the remaining 9 States, the District of Columbia, New York City, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, NCHS coded the medical items for all the death records. A 1-percent sample of the records was coded independently for quality control purposes. The estimated average error rate for underlying cause for these areas was 3.6 percent.

Other control procedures--After coding and data entry are completed, record counts are balanced against control totals for each shipment of records from a registration area. Editing procedures ensure that records with inconsistent or impossible codes are modified. Inconsistent codes are those, for example, indicating a contradiction between cause of death and age or sex of the decedent. Records so identified during the computer editing process are either corrected by reference to the source record or adjusted by arbitrary code assignment (36). Further, conditions specified on a list of infrequent or rare causes of death are confirmed by the certifier or a State health officer. All subsequent operations in tabulating and in preparing tables are verified during the computer processing or by statistical clerks.

Estimates of errors arising from 50-percent sample for 1972--Death statistics for 1972 are based on a 50-percent sample of all deaths occurring in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. A description of the sample design and a table of the percent errors of the estimated numbers of deaths by size of estimate and total deaths in the area are shown in the Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1972.

Computation of rates and other measures

Population bases

Population bases from which death rates are computed are prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rates for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the censuses for those years. Rates for all other years use the estimated midyear (July 1) population. Death rates for the United States, individual States, and metropolitan areas are based on the total resident populations of the respective areas. Except as noted, these populations exclude the Armed Forces abroad but include the Armed Forces stationed in each area.

The resident populations of the birth- and death-registration States for 1900-32, and of the United States for 1900-95 are shown in table E. In addition, the population including Armed Forces abroad is shown for the United States. Table F lists the sources for these populations.

Populations for 1995--Population estimates of the United States by age, race, and sex for 1995 are shown in table G (37). The 1995 estimates are consistent with those for 1990-94. Population estimates for each State by age for 1995 are shown in table H (38). Since these population estimates are based on demographic analysis, they are not subject to sampling variability.

In addition the following estimates are shown:

- ! Estimated population by 5-year age groups, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995 (see table I) (15)
- ! Estimated population for ages 15 years and over by 5-year age groups, marital status, race, and sex: United States, 1995 (see table J) (15)
- ! Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995 (see table K) (15)

Population estimates by specified Hispanic origin and by marital status groups are based on the Bureau of the Census' Current Population Survey (a sample-based survey) adjusted to control totals. As a result, these estimates are subject to sampling variation (see "Random variation and sampling errors").

Population for 1990--In the 1980 and 1990 censuses, a substantial number of persons did not specify a racial group that could be classified as any of the white, black, American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut, Asian, or Pacific Islander categories on the census form (39). In 1980 the number of persons of "Other" race was 6,758,319; in 1990, it was 9,804,847. In both censuses the large majority of these persons were of Hispanic origin (based on responses to a separate question on the form), and many wrote in their Hispanic origin (for example, Mexican and Puerto Rican) as their race. In 1980 and 1990 persons of unspecified race were allocated to one of the four tabulated racial groups (white, black, American Indian, Asian or Pacific Islander) based on their response to the Hispanic origin question. These four race categories conform with OMB Directive 15 (the standards for recordkeeping, collection, and presentation of data on race and ethnicity in Federal statistical activities and program administrative reporting) (40) and are more consistent with the race categories in vital statistics.

In 1980 the allocation of unspecified race was determined using cross-tabulations of age, sex, race, specified Hispanic origin, and county of residence. Persons of Hispanic origin and unspecified race were allocated to either white or black based on their specific Hispanic origin. Persons of "Other" race and Mexican origin were categorically assumed to be white, while persons in other Hispanic categories were distributed to white and black pro rata within the county-age-sex group. For "Other race-not-specified" persons who were not Hispanic, race was allocated to white, black, or Asian or Pacific Islander based on proportions gleaned from sample data. The 20-percent sample (respondents who were enumerated on the longer census form) provided a highly detailed coding of race, which allowed identification of otherwise unidentifiable responses with a specified race category. Thus, allocation proportions were established at the State level and were used to distribute the non-Hispanic persons of "Other" race in the 100-percent tabulations.

In 1990 the race modification procedure was implemented using individual census records. Persons whose race could not be specified were assigned to a racial category using a pool of "race donors" that consisted of persons of

specified race who had the identical responses to the Hispanic origin question and who were within the auspices of the same census district office. As in the 1980 census, it appeared that the underlying assumption made in the 1990 census was that the Hispanic origin response was the major criterion for allocating race. Unlike those responding to the 1980 census who could be assigned only to the racial group white or black, persons of Hispanic origin, including Mexicans, responding to the 1990 census could be assigned to any racial group. Also, in the 1990 census, the non-Hispanic component of "Other" race was allocated primarily on the basis of geography (district office), rather than detailed characteristic.

The means by which respondent's age was determined were fundamentally different for the two censuses; therefore, the problems that necessitated the modification were different. In 1980 respondents reported year of birth and quarter of birth (within year) on the census form. When census results were tabulated, persons born in the first quarter of the year (before April 1) had age equal to 1980 minus year of birth, while persons born in the last three quarters had age equal to 1979 minus year of birth.

In 1990 quarter year of birth was not reported on the census form, so direct determination of age from year of birth was not possible. In 1990 census publications, age is based on respondents' direct reports of age at last birthday. This definition proved inadequate for postcensal estimates as it was apparent that many respondents had reported their age at time of either completion of the census form or interview by an enumerator that could occur several months after the April 1 reference date. As a result, age was biased upward. For most respondents, modification was based on a respecification of age, by year of birth, with allocation to first quarter (persons aged 1990 minus year of birth) and last three quarters (aged 1989 minus year of birth) based on a historical series of registered births by month. This process partially restored the 1980 logic for assignment of age. It was not considered necessary to correct for age overstatement and heaping in 1990, because the availability of age and year of birth on the census form had provided for the elimination of spurious year-of-birth reports in the census data before modification occurred.

Population estimates for 1981-89--Death rates for 1981-89 are based on revised populations that are consistent with the 1990 census level (39). They are, therefore, not comparable with death rates published in *Vital Statistics* of the *United States* for 1981-89, and in other NCHS publications for those years. The 1990 census counted approximately 1.5 million fewer persons than had been estimated earlier for April 1, 1990.

Populations for 1980--Death rates for 1980 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the 1980 census (41). The figures by race have been modified as described.

Population estimates for 1971-79--Death rates for 1971-79 used revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1980 census levels. The 1980 census enumerated approximately 5.5 million more persons than had been estimated for April 1, 1980 (42). These revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex are published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 917. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. For Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, revised estimates are published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 919.

Population estimates for 1961-69--Death rates for 1961-69 are based on revised estimates of the population and thus may differ slightly from rates published before 1976. Rates, life table values, and population estimates for each year during 1961-69 have been revised to reflect modified population bases as published in the U.S. Bureau of the Census, *Current Population Reports*, Series P-5, Number 519.

New Jersey--As previously indicated, data by race are not available for New Jersey for 1962 and 1963. Therefore, for 1962 and 1963, NCHS estimated a population by age, race, and sex that excluded New Jersey for rates shown by race. The methodology used to estimate the revised population excluding New Jersey is discussed in the Technical Appendixes of the 1962 and 1963 volumes.

Rates and ratios based on live births--Infant and maternal mortality rates are computed on the basis of the number of live births. Counts of live births are published annually in Vital Statistics of the United States.

Net census undercount

Errors can be introduced into the annual rates as a result of underenumeration of deaths and the misreporting of demographic characteristics. Errors in rates can also result from enumeration errors in the latest decennial census. This is because annual population estimates for the postcensal interval, which are used in the denominator for calculating death rates, are computed using the decennial census count as a base (39). Net census undercount

results from the miscounting and misreporting of demographic characteristics such as age. Age-specific death rates are affected by the net census undercount and the misreporting of age on the death certificate (43). To the extent that the net undercount is substantial and that it varies among subgroups and geographic areas, it may have important consequences for vital statistics measures.

Because death rates based on a population adjusted for net census undercount may be more accurate than rates based on an unadjusted population, the possible impact of net census undercount on death rates must be considered. This can be done on a national basis using results of studies conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census on the completeness of coverage of the U.S. population (including underenumeration and misstatement of age, race, and sex). Such studies were conducted in the last five decennial censuses--1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990. From this work have come estimates of the national population that were not counted by age, race, and sex (44-47). The reports for 1990 (unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census) include estimates of net underenumeration and overenumeration for age, sex, and racial subgroups of the national population modified for race consistency with previous population counts as described in the section "Population bases." These studies indicate that, although coverage was improved over previous censuses, there was differential coverage among the population subgroups; that is, some age, race, and sex groups were more completely counted than others.

Because estimates of net census undercount are not available by age, race, and sex for individual States and counties, it is not feasible to adjust for net census undercount when presenting rates in routine tabulations. Nevertheless, it is important to be aware that net census undercounts can affect levels of observed vital rates.

Age, race, and sex--If adjustments were made for net census undercount, the size of denominators of the death rates generally would increase and the rates, therefore, would decrease. The adjusted rates for 1995 can be computed by multiplying the reported rates by ratios of the census-level resident population to the resident population adjusted for the estimated net census undercount (table L). A ratio of less than 1.0 indicates a net census undercount and, when applied, results in a corresponding decrease in the death rate. A ratio greater than 1.0-indicating a net census overcount--when multiplied by the reported rate results in an increase in the death rate.

Coverage ratios for all ages show that, in general, females were more completely enumerated than males and the white population more completely enumerated than the black population in the 1990 Census of Population. Underenumeration varied by age group for the total population, with the greatest differences found for persons aged 85 years and over. All other age groups were overcounted or undercounted by less than 4.0 percent. Among the age-sex-race groups, underenumeration was highest (13.3 percent) for black males aged 25-34 years. In contrast, white females in this age group were underenumerated by 2.5 percent.

If vital statistics measures were calculated with adjustments for net census undercounts for each population subgroup, the resulting rates would be differentially reduced from their original levels; that is, rates for those groups with the greatest estimated undercounts would show the greatest relative reductions due to these adjustments. Similar effects would be evident in the opposite direction for groups with overcounts. Consequently, the ratio of mortality between the rates for males and females and between the rates for the white population and the black population usually would be reduced.

Similarly, the differences between the death rates among subgroups of the population by cause of death would be affected by adjustments for net census undercounts. For example, in 1990 for the age group 35-39 years, the ratio of the unadjusted death rate for Homicide and legal intervention for black males to that for white males is 7.54, whereas the ratio of the death rates adjusted for net census undercount is 6.92. For Ischemic heart disease for males aged 40-44 years, the ratio of the death rate for the black population to that for the white population is 1.38 using the unadjusted rates, but it is 1.26 when adjusted for estimated underenumeration.

Summary measures—The effect of net census undercount on age-adjusted death rates and life table values depends on the underenumeration of each age group and on the distribution of deaths by age. Thus, the age-adjusted death rate in 1990 for All causes would decrease from 520.2 to 512.7 per 100,000 population if the age-specific death rates were corrected for net census undercount (table M). For Diseases of heart, the age-adjusted death rate for white males would decrease from 202.0 to 198.2 per 100,000 population, a decline of 2.0 percent. For black males, the change from an unadjusted rate of 275.9 to an adjusted rate of 256.7 would amount to a decrease of 7.0 percent. For HIV infection, the rate for black males would decrease from 44.2 to 39.0 and for white males from 15.0 to 14.4.

If death rates by age were adjusted, the corresponding life expectancy at birth computed from these rates would change. When calculating life expectancy, the impact of an undercount or overcount is greatest at the younger

ages. In general, the effect of correcting the death rates is to increase the estimate of life expectancy at birth. For example, adjustment for net census undercount would increase life expectancy in 1990 by an estimated 0.2 years, from 75.4 years to 75.6 years for the total U.S. population.

Adjustment for differential underenumeration among race-sex groups would lead to greater changes in life expectancy for some groups than for others. For males and females, increases would be 0.3 and 0.1 years, respectively; for the black population and white population, 0.6 and 0.2 years, respectively. The largest increase would be for black males, 1.2 years, followed by white males (0.3 years), black females (0.2 years), and white females (0.2 years).

Age-adjusted death rates

Age-adjusted death rates are used to compare relative mortality risk across groups and over time. However, they should be viewed as constructs or indexes rather than as direct or actual measures of mortality risk. Statistically, they are weighted averages of the age-specific death rates, where the weights represent the fixed population proportions by age (48). Age-adjusted death rates were computed by the direct method, that is, by applying age-specific death rates for a given cause of death to the U.S. standard population (relative age distribution of 1940 enumerated population of the United States totaling 1,000,000 (30)). By using the same standard population, the rates for the total population and for each race-sex group were adjusted separately. It is important not to compare age-adjusted death rates with crude rates. The U.S. standard population and corresponding weights (w_i) are as follows:

Age	Number	Weights (w_i)
All ages	1,000,000	1.000000
Under 1 year	15,343	0.015343
1-4 years	64,718	0.064718
5-14 years	170,355	0.170355
15-24 years	181,677	0.181677
25-34 years	162,066	0.162066
35-44 years	139,237	0.139237
45-54 years	117,811	0.117811
55-64 years	80,294	0.080294
65-74 years	48,426	0.048426
75-84 years	17,303	0.017303
85 years and over	2,770	0.002770

Age-adjusted death rates by marital status are computed using the age groups 25 years and over. Therefore, the United States standard population aged 25 years and over and corresponding weights (w_i) are as follows:

Age	Number	Weights (w_i)
25 years and over	567,907	1.000000
25-34 years	162,066	0.285374
35-44 years	139,237	0.245176
45-54 years	117,811	0.207448
55-64 years	80,294	0.141386
65-74 years	48,426	0.085271
75 years and over	20,073	0.035346

Life tables

U.S. abridged life tables are constructed by reference to a standard table (49). Life tables for the decennial period 1979-81 are used as the standard life tables in constructing the 1980-95 abridged life tables. Life table

values for 1981-89 are based on revised intercensal estimates of the populations for those years. Therefore, these life table values may differ from life table values of those years published previously.

Life tables for the decennial period 1969-71 are used as the standard life tables in constructing the 1970-79 abridged life tables. Life table values for 1970-73 were first revised in *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1977; before 1977, life table values for 1970-73 were constructed using the 1959-61 decennial life tables. In addition, life table values for 1951-59, 1961-69, and 1971-79 are based on revised intercensal estimates of the populations for those years. As such, these life table values may differ from life table values previously published.

The annual abridged life table series was initiated for selected race-sex groups in 1945. Because of the increased interest in the average length of life (${}^{\circ}e_{o}$) for years prior to 1945, estimates were prepared for the following race and sex groups and data years (50).

Years	Race and sex groups
1900-45	Total
1900-47	Male
1900-47	Female
1900-50	White
1900-44	White, male
1900-44	White, female
1900-50	All other
1900-44	All other, male
1900-44	All other, female

The geographic areas covered in life tables before 1929-31 were limited to the death-registration areas. Life tables for 1900-02 and 1909-11 were constructed using mortality data from the 1900 death-registration States--10 States and the District of Columbia, and for 1919-21, from the 1920 death-registration States--34 States and the District of Columbia. The tables for 1929-31 through 1958 cover the conterminous United States. Decennial life table values for the 3-year period 1959-61 were derived from data that include Alaska and Hawaii for each year. Data for each year include Alaska beginning in 1959 and Hawaii beginning in 1960. It is believed that the inclusion of these two States does not materially affect life table values.

Random variation and sampling errors

Deaths--The number of deaths reported for an area represent complete counts of such events (except for 1972 when the data were based on a 50-percent sample because of resource constraints). As such, they are not subject to sampling error, although they are subject to non-sampling errors in the registration process. However, when the figures are used for analytical purposes, such as the comparison of rates over time or for different areas, the number of events that actually occurred may be considered as one of a large series of possible results that could have arisen under the same circumstances (51). The probable range of values may be estimated from the actual figures according to certain statistical assumptions.

In general, distributions of vital events may be assumed to follow the binomial distribution. When the number of events is large, the relative standard error is usually small. When the number of events is small (perhaps less than 100) and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the data. Such infrequent events may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. As a result, the numbers of deaths, death rates, and mortality rates are subject to random variation. Estimates of relative standard errors (RSE)--a measure of variability--, 95-percent confidence intervals, and tests of statistical significance under this assumption are shown below. Mortality data may also be subject to non-sampling errors.

Populations-Population estimates of the United States and for each State by age, race, total Hispanic origin, and sex for 1995 are based on demographic methods and, therefore, are not subject to sampling variability. However, population estimates by specified Hispanic origin (Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, and Other Hispanics) and by specified marital status groups (never married, married, widowed, and divorced) are based on the Bureau of the Census' Current Population Survey (CPS) adjusted to control totals and, therefore, are subject to sampling variation. As a result, death rates based on the CPS-based population estimates are subject to both

random variation of the deaths and sampling error of the population estimates. Estimates of relative standard errors, 95-percent confidence intervals, and tests of statistical significance under these assumptions are shown below. All population estimates may also be subject to non-sampling errors.

Computation of population-based death rates—Death rates for a single calendar year are computed by dividing the number of deaths for a class for that year by the population of a similarly-defined class for the same year and multiplying that result by 100,000 (or 1,000). Rates thus computed are per 100,000 (or 1,000) estimated population residing in selected areas of the United States. The 3-year average death rates are computed by dividing the total number of deaths for a class for a three-year period by the sum of the population estimates of a similarly defined class for the same period and multiplying that result by 100,000 (or 1,000).

Computation of live birth-based mortality rates—Maternal mortality rates and infant mortality rates are computed by dividing the number of deaths for a class for a specified year by the number of live births of a similarly defined class for that year and multiplying that result by 100,000 (or 1,000). Rates thus computed are per 100,000 (or 1,000) live births residing in selected areas of the United States. The 3-year average infant mortality rates for the three-year period are computed by dividing the total number of infant deaths for a class for that period by the sum of the live births of a similarly defined class for the three-year period and multiplying that result by 100,000 (or 1,000).

Relative Standard Errors and 95% Confidence Intervals--Formulas for computing approximate RSE's and confidence intervals (CI's) for crude, age-specific death rates, and age-adjusted death rates are shown below.

Beginning with 1989 data, an asterisk has been shown in place of a rate based on fewer than 20 deaths, which is the equivalent of an RSE of 22.94 percent or more. An RSE of this magnitude is considered statistically unreliable. That procedure has been used for mortality data except death rates based on CPS-based population estimates, for which sampling variation must be considered in addition to random variation. Formulas for computing RSE's for CPS population-based rates are presented below and an asterisk is shown in place of a rate when the RSE is 22.94 percent or more. RSE's for CPS population-based rates were introduced beginning with specified Hispanic-origin data for 1994 and subsequently for rates by marital status.

The formulas below are shown separately for rates based on demographically estimated populations, sample-based populations, and rates based on live births. Further, separate discussions are provided for rates based on less than 100 events, and rates based on 100 events or more. Specific examples are given to illustrate the use of the formulas.

The following formulas are used for demographically-estimated population-based death rates for all races, white, black, American Indian, Asian or Pacific Islander, all origins, total Hispanic, total non-Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black for **all** marital status groups combined:

Age-specific and crude death rates--

$$RSE(R) = RSE(D) = 100\sqrt{\frac{1}{D}}$$

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 100 or more deaths

Lower: R - 1.96 * S(R)Upper: R + 1.96 * S(R)

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 1-99 deaths

Lower: $R * L(1-\alpha = .95,D)$ Upper: $R * U(1-\alpha = .95,D)$

where

R = rate (deaths per 100,000 population)

D =total number of deaths upon which rate is based

$$S(R) = R * \frac{RSE(R)}{100} = standard \ error \ of \ rate$$

 $L(1-\alpha=.95,D)$ and $U(1-\alpha=.95,D)$ are lower and upper 95% confidence limit factors and are shown in table N

Age-adjusted death rates--

$$RSE(R'') = 100 \frac{\sqrt{\sum \left\{ w_i^2 R_i^2 \left(\frac{1}{D_i} \right) \right\}}}{R''}$$

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 100 or more deaths

Lower: R'' - 1.96 * S(R'')Upper: R'' + 1.96 * S(R'')

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 1-99 deaths

Lower: $R'' * L(1-\alpha = .95, D_{adj})$ Upper: $R'' * U(1-\alpha = .95, D_{adi})$

where

R'' = age-adjusted rate (per 100,000 population) = $\sum w_i R_i$

 $w_i = i^{th}$ age-specific Standard Population such that $\overline{\sum}(w_i) = 1.0$

 R_i = age-specific rate (per 100,000) for the i^{th} age group

 D_i = total number of deaths for the i^{th} age group upon which age-specific rate is based

$$S(R'') = R'' * \frac{RSE(R'')}{100} = standard error of age-adjusted rate$$

 $L(1-\alpha=.95,D_{adj})$ and $U(1-\alpha=.95,D_{adj})$ are lower and upper 95% confidence limit factors and are shown in table N

$$D_{adj} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{RSE(R'')}{100}\right)^2}$$
 adjusted number of deaths rounded to nearest integer

The following formulas are used for CPS population-based death rates for all races, white, black, American Indian, Asian or Pacific Islander, all origins, total Hispanic, total non-Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black by **specified** marital status group (never married, married, widowed, and divorced)

OR

for Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Other Hispanic for **all** marital status groups combined and by **specified** marital status group (never married, married, widowed, and divorced):

Age-specific and crude death rates--

$$RSE(R) = 100 \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{D}\right) + f\left(a + \frac{b}{P}\right)}$$

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 100 or more deaths

Lower: R-1.96*S(R)Upper: R+1.96*S(R)

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 1-99 deaths

Lower:
$$R * L (1-\acute{a}=.96, D) * \left(1-2.576\sqrt{f\left(a+\frac{b}{P}\right)}\right)$$

Upper:
$$R * U (1-\acute{a}=.96, D) * \left(1+2.576\sqrt{f\left(a+\frac{b}{P}\right)}\right)$$

where

R = rate (deaths per 100,000 population).

D = total number of deaths upon which rate is based

f = factor that depends on whether the population estimate is based on demographic analysis or CPS and the number of years used (see below)

a and b factors are CPS standard error parameters (see below)

P = total estimated population upon which rate is based (if rate is based on a 3-year average, then an approximate P would be three times the population for the most recent year)

$$S(R) = R * \frac{RSE(R)}{100} = standard \ error \ of \ rate$$

 $L(1-\alpha=.96,D)$ and $U(1-\alpha=.96,D)$ are lower and upper 96% confidence limit factors and are shown in table N

Age-adjusted death rates--

$$RSE(R'') = 100 \frac{\sqrt{\sum \left(w_i^2 * R_i^2 \left(\frac{1}{D_i} + f\left(a + \frac{b}{P_i}\right)\right)\right)}}{R''}$$

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 100 or more deaths

Lower: R'' - 1.96 * S(R'')Upper: R'' + 1.96 * S(R'')

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 1-99 deaths

Lower: $R'' * L(1-\alpha = .96, D_{adj}) * (1-2.576 * RSE(P_{adj}))$ Upper: $R'' * U(1-\alpha = .96, D_{adj}) * (1+2.576 * RSE(P_{adj}))$

where

R'' = age-adjusted rate (per 100,000 population) = $\sum w_i R_i$

 $w_i = i^{th}$ age-specific Standard Population such that $\sum_{i=1}^{t} (w_i) = 1.0$

 R_i = age-specific rate (per 100,000) for the i^{th} age group

 D_i = total number of deaths for the i^{th} age group upon which age-specific rate is based

f = factor that depends on whether the population estimate is based on demographic analysis or CPS and the number of years used (see below)

a and b factors are CPS standard error parameters (see below)

 P_i = total estimated population for the i^{th} age group upon which the rate is based (if rate is based on 3-year average, then combined P_i would be three times the population for the most recent year)

$$S(R'') = R'' * \frac{RSE(R'')}{100} = standard error of age-adjusted rate$$

L(1- α =.96, D_{adj}) and U(1- α =.96, D_{adj}) are lower and upper 96% confidence limit factors and are shown in table N

 $P_{adj} = \sum (w_i * P_i)$ = adjusted estimated population rounded to nearest integer

$$RSE(P_{adj}) = \frac{\sqrt{\sum \left(w_i^2 * P_i^2 * f\left(a + \frac{b}{P_i}\right)\right)}}{P_{adi}}$$

If D_{adj} is negative, set D_{adj} to $\sum (D_i)$

Shown below are the "a", "b", and "f" factors for various race, origin, and marital status classifications, by whether the population-based rate was based on a single year or 3-year average:

Race, origin, and marital status	Rate based on 1 year	Rate based on 3 years
All races, white, American Indian, all origins, total Hispanic, total non-Hispanic, non-Hispanic white; by never married, married, widowed, divorced	f = 0.670 $a = -0.000017$ $b = 4,786$	f = 0.440 $a = -0.000017$ $b = 14,358$
Black, non-Hispanic black; by never married, married, widowed, divorced	f = 0.670 $a = -0.000204$ $b = 6,865$	f = 0.440 $a = -0.000204$ $b = 20,595$
Asian or Pacific Islander; by never married, married, widowed, divorced	f = 0.670 $a = -0.000719$ $b = 6,865$	f = 0.440 $a = -0.000719$ $b = 20,595$
Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Other Hispanic; all marital status groups combined, never married, married, widowed, divorced	f = 0.670 a = -0.000297 b = 6,865	f = 0.440 $a = -0.000297$ $b = 20,595$

The following formulas may be used for live birth-based mortality rates:

The formulas for the RSE and 95-percent CI's of an infant mortality rate (IMR) are as follows:

$$RSE(IMR) = 100\sqrt{\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{B}}$$

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 100 or more infant deaths

Lower: *IMR* - 1.96 * S(*IMR*) Upper: *IMR* + 1.96 * S(*IMR*)

Approximate 95% Confidence Interval: 1-99 infant deaths

Lower: IMR * L(1- α =.95, D_{adj}) Upper: IMR * U(1- α =.95, D_{adj})

where

IMR = infant mortality rate (infant deaths per 100,000 live births)

D = total number of infant deaths upon which rate is based

B = total number of live births upon which IMR is based

$$S(IMR) = IMR * \frac{RSE(IMR)}{100} = standard error of infant mortality rate$$

L(1- α = .95, D_{adj}) and U(1- α = .95, D_{adj}) are lower and upper 95% confidence limit factors and are shown in table N

$$D_{adj} = \frac{D * B}{D + B} = rac{adjusted number of infant deaths that takes}{into account the RSE of the number of infant deaths and live births}$$

Statistical tests

For testing the equality of two rates, R_1 and R_2 , the z-test may be used (when both rates are based on 100 deaths or more) or the overlap of 95% CI's of the rates may be used (when either or both of the rates are based on less than 100 deaths).

The *z*-test is determined as follows:

$$z = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\sqrt{R_1^2 \left(\frac{RSE(R_1)}{100}\right)^2 + R_2^2 \left(\frac{RSE(R_2)}{100}\right)^2}}$$

to define a significance test statistic. If |z| is greater than or equal 1.96, then the difference would be considered statistically significant at the 0.05 level; and if |z| is less than 1.96, the difference is not statistically significant.

As a hypothetical example, if the three-year average death rate for Mexicans, R_1 , is 36.4 (based on D=120 deaths and P=330,000 population for the three years combined) and the three-year rate for non-Hispanic whites, R_2 , is 13.8 (based on D=180 deaths and P=1,300,000 population for the three years combined), then using the formulas above the RSE's and z-test are computed as follows:

$$RSE(R_1) = 100\sqrt{\frac{1}{120} + 0.440 * \left(-.000297 + \frac{20,595}{330,000}\right)} = 18.88\%$$

$$RSE(R_2) = 100\sqrt{\frac{1}{180}} = 7.45\%$$

and

$$z = \frac{36.4 - 13.8}{\sqrt{36.4^2 \left(\frac{18.88}{100}\right)^2 + 13.8^2 \left(\frac{7.45}{100}\right)^2}} = 3.25$$

Since |z| is greater than 1.96, the difference between the two rates is statistically significant at the 0.05 level of significance.

If either of two rates is based on less than 100 deaths, then one may determine if the 95% CI's overlap as an indication of a statistically significant or non-significant difference.

As a hypothetical example, if the three-year average death rate for Cubans, R_3 , is 26.7 (based on D=40 deaths and P=150,000 population for the three years combined) and the three-year rate for non-Hispanic blacks, R_4 , is 61.5 (based on D=400 deaths and P=650,000 population for the three years combined), then the 95% CI's are computed using information from the following formulas and table N:

95% CI for R_3

Lower: =
$$26.7 * 0.70266 \left(1-2.576 \sqrt{0.44 * \left(-.000297 + \frac{20,595}{150,000} \right)} \right) = 6.9$$

Upper: =
$$26.7 * 1.37991 \left(1 + 2.576 \sqrt{0.44 * \left(-.000297 + \frac{20,595}{150,000} \right)} \right) = 60.1$$

95% CI for R_4

$$RSE(R_4) = 100\sqrt{\frac{1}{400}} = 5.00\%$$

Lower =
$$61.5 - \left(1.96 * 61.5 * \frac{5.00}{100}\right) = 55.5$$

$$Upper = 61.5 + \left(1.96 * 61.5 * \frac{5.00}{100}\right) = 67.5$$

Since the CI's overlap, the difference between R_3 and R_4 is not statistically significant.

References

- 1. Tolson GC, Barnes JM, Gay GA, Kowaleski JL. The 1989 revision of the U.S. standard certificates and reports. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 4(28). 1991.
- 2. Hetzel AM. U.S. vital statistics system: major activities and developments, 1950-95. National Center for Health Statistics. 1997.
- 3. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, demographic classification, and coding instructions for death records, 1995. NCHS instruction manual; part 4. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1995.
- 4. McCarthy MA. Comparison of the classification of place of residence on death certificates and matching census records: United States, May-August 1960. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital and Health Stat 2(30), 1969.
- 5. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, vital records geographic classification, 1994. NCHS instruction manual; part 8. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1993.
- 6. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population of metropolitan areas and component geography: 1990 and 1980 (6-30-93 definitions). CPH-L-145. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1993.
- 7. U.S. Department of Commerce. Metropolitan statistical areas classification. Federal register; vol 45 no 2. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 956-62. 1980.
- 8. U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical areas, rev. ed. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 89-90. 1975.
- 9. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1990 Census of population. General population characteristics; (1990 CP-1-1). Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1992.
- 10. National Center for Health Statistics. Public use data file documentation: Mortality detail 1995 data. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1997.
- 11. National Center for Health Statistics. Public use data file documentation: Multiple cause of death for ICD-9 1995 data. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1997.
- 12. Hambright TZ. Comparability of marital status, race, nativity, and country of origin on the death certificate and matching census record: United States, May-August 1960. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(34). 1969.
- 13. Sorlie PD, Rogot E, Johnson NJ. Validity of demographic characteristics on the death certificate. Epidemiology 3(2):181-4. 1992.
- 14. Poe GS, Powell-Griner E, McLaughlin JK, et al. Comparability of the death certificate and the 1986 national mortality followback survey. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(118). 1993.
- 15. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population estimates based on unpublished tabulations prepared by the Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division. 1996.
- 16. Klebba AJ. Mortality from selected causes by marital status: United States, parts A and B. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(8a) and 20(8b). 1970.
- 17. National Center for Health Statistics. Industry and occupation coding for death certificates, 1993. NCHS instruction manual, Part 19. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1992.
- 18. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Classified index of industries and occupations. 1990 Census of Population and Housing. Washington: U.S. Department of commerce. 1992.
- World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, based on the recommendations of the Ninth Revision Conference, 1975. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1977.
- 20. National Center for Health Statistics. Estimates of selected comparability ratios based on dual coding of 1976 death certificates by the Eighth and Ninth Revisions of the International Classification of Diseases. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 28 no 11, suppl. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1980.
- 21. Gittelsohn A, Royston PN. Annotated bibliography of cause-of-death validation studies, 1958-80. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(89). 1982.
- 22. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, ICD-9 ACME decision tables for classifying underlying causes of death, 1991. NCHS instruction manual; part 2c. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.

- 23. National Center for Health Statistics. Instructions for classifying multiple causes of death. NCHS instruction manual; part 2b. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 24. National Center for Health Statistics. Nonindexed terms, standard abbreviations, and state geographic codes used in mortality data classification. NCHS instruction manual; part 2e. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 25. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, data entry instructions for the mortality medical indexing, classification, and retrieval system (MICAR). NCHS instruction manual; part 2g. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 26. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, dictionary of valid terms for the mortality medical indexing, classification, and retrieval system (MICAR). NCHS instruction manual; part 2h. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 27. Harris KW, Rosenberg HM, Kochanek KD, et al. Evaluation of an automated multiple causes of death coding system. In: American Statistical Association. 1993 Proceedings of the social statistics section. Alexandria, Virginia: American Statistical Association. 262-5. 1993.
- 28. Hoyert DL. Effect on mortality rates of the 1989 change in tabulating race. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(25). 1994.
- 29. Guralnick L, Winter ED. A note on cohort infant mortality rates. Public Health Rep 80:692-4. 1965.
- 30. Grove RD, Hetzel AM. Vital statistics rates in the United States, 1940-60. National Center for Health Statistics. Washington: Public Health Service. 1968.
- 31. McCarthy B, Terry J, Rochat R, et al. The underregistration of neonatal deaths: Georgia 1974-77. Am J Public Health 70:977-82. 1980.
- 32. Linder FE, Grove RD. Vital statistics rates in the United States, 1900-40. Washington: National Office of Vital Statistics. 1947.
- 33. Chase HC, Weiner L, Garfinkel J. Vital signs present at birth. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital and Health Stat 2(46). 1972.
- 34. Frost F, Shy KK. Racial differences between linked birth and infant death records in Washington State. Am J Public Health 70:974-6. 1980.
- 35. MacDorman MF, Atkinson JO. Infant mortality statistics from the 1996 period linked birth/infant death data set. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 46 no 12, supp. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 1998.
- 36. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, computer edits for mortality data, effective 1989. NCHS instruction manual; part 11. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1989.
- 37. U.S. Bureau of the Census. U.S. population estimates by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: July 1, 1995. Census file RESD0795. 1996.
- 38. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of the resident population of States by age and sex for July 1, 1995. Census file 95AG795. 1996.
- 39. U.S. Bureau of the Census. U.S. population estimates by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1980-91. Current population reports; series P-25, no 1095. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1993.
- 40. Executive Office of the President. Office of Management and Budget. Statistical Policy Directive No. 15. Race and ethnic standards for federal statistics and administrative reporting.
- 41. National Center for Health Statistics. Technical appendix. Vital statistics of the United States, 1980, vol II, mortality, part A. Washington: Public Health Service. 1985.
- 42. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coverage of the national population in the 1980 census by age, sex, and race. Preliminary estimates by demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 115. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1982.
- 43. Hambright TZ. Comparability of age on the death certificate and matching census records: United States, May-August 1960. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(29). 1968.
- 44. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of coverage of the population by sex, race, and age--demographic analysis: 1970 census of population and housing. PHC(E)-4. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1974.

- 45. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Developmental estimates of the coverage of the population of States in the 1970 census--demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 65. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1977.
- 46. Passel JS, Robinson JG. Revised demographic estimates of the coverage of the population by age, sex, and race in the 1980 Census. Unpublished memorandum, U.S. Bureau of the Census. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1985.
- 47. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of population of the United States by age, sex, and race: 1980 to 1985. Current population reports; series P-25, no 985. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1986.
- 48. Feinleib M, Zarate AO, eds. Reconsidering age adjustment procedures: Workshop proceedings. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 4(29). 1992.
- 49. Sirken MG. Comparison of two methods of constructing abridged life tables by reference to a "standard" table. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(4). 1966.
- 50. Greville TNE, Carlson GA. Estimated average length of life in the death-registration States. Vital statistics—Special reports. vol 33 no 9. National Center for Health Statistics. Washington: Public Health Service. 1951.
- 51. Chiang CL. Standard error of the age-adjusted death rate. Vital statistics-Special reports. vol 47 no 9. National Center for Health Statistics. Washington: Public Health Service. 1961.
- 52. Pearson, ES, Hartley, HO. Biometrika tables for statisticians, vol I. Cambridge University Press. 1966.

	7/05 00W/T							U.S. STA	NDAR	KD .					
1	TYPEPRINT IN	roc	AL FILE NUMBER				CERTI	FICATE	OF	DEAT	TH	s	TATE FILE NU	MBER	
	PERMANENT BLACK INK FOR	1. DECEDE	NT'S NAME IFIIS	t, Middle, Las	,								2. SEX	3. DATE OF	DEATH (Month, Day, Year)
	INSTRUCTIONS SEE OTHER SIDE AND HANDBOOK		SECURITY NUM		E-Last Birthday ars)	56. UNI Months	Days	5c. (JNDER	1 DAY		ATE OF BIRTH	(Month,	7. BIRTHPLAC Foreign Co	CE (City and State or untry)
	DECEDEN	8. WAS DE	CEDENT EVER IN	I U.S.			94.	PLACE OF D			ly one; se	e instructions	on other side	e)	
	DEGENER	(Yes or r	FORCES?	HOS	PITAL: Inpa	tient 🗌	ER/Outpatien	11 DO	4 01	HER:	Nursing H	tome Re	sidence [Other (Spe	ncifyl
		96. FACILIT	Y NAME III not	institution, g	ve street and no	umber)			9c. CIT	Y, TOWN	, OR LOC	ATION OF DE	ATH		9d. COUNTY OF DEATH
	CTIONS	Never N	L STATUS – Mar farried, Widowed d (Specify)		IRVIVING SPOU , give maiden n		10	2a. DECEDE Give kind of Do not use re	work d				126. KIN	D OF BUSINE	SSANDUSTRY
1 '	INSTRUCTIONS OTHER SIDE	13a. RESIDE	NCE-STATE	136. COUN	τΥ	13c. CI	TY, TOWN, C	OR LOCATIO	N		13d.	STREET AND	NUMBER		
*CEDEN1	SEE	13e. INSIDE LIMITS (Yes or		CODE	(Specify	y No or Yes n, Puerto Ri	OF HISPANIC s-If yes, spe- ican, etc.l	cify Cuban,	? Yes	Blac	CE—Ameri ck, White, ecify/	ican Indian, etc.	(Spec	cify only high	IT'S EDUCATION est grade completed) IO-12 College (1-4 or 5+
1 8	PARENTS	17. FATHER	S NAME IFWSI,	Middle,Last)					18. A	MOTHER	S NAME //	First, Middle, M.	iden Surnen	ne/	·····
NAME		19a. INFORM	MANT'S NAME (Type/Print)		1:	96. MAILING	ADDRESS (Street	and Numb	ber or Rura	I Route Numb	er, City or T	own, State, 2	Tip Code)
z	INFORMANT														
REVISION		Ī	OD OF DISPOSITI		1		E OF DISPOS placel	SITION (Name	e of cer	netery, cr	rematory, (or 20c. LO	CATION-C	ity or Town,	State
1989			Cremation Other (S		al from State							1			
ics -	CISPOSITION	21a. SIGNA	TURE OF FUNER	AL SERVICE	LICENSEE OR			CENSE NUM	BER	22. N	AME AND	ADDRESS OF	FACILITY		
	EE DEFINITION N OTHER SIDE	▶ PERSO	N ACTING AS S	JCH				or Exceriseer							
	RONDUNCING HYSICIAN ONLY	when certify not available	ims 23a-c only ing physician is at time of death		and Title	nowledge, d	death occurre	d at the time	, date,	and place	stated.	236. LICENSE	NUMBER	23c	. DATE SIGNED (Month,Day,Year)
E BE C	IS 24-26 MUST COMPLETED BY SON WHO	to certify car			PRONOUNCED	DEAD (Mo	nth,Day,Year)	1				26. WAS CAS		TO MEDICA	L EXAMINER/CORONER?
CE - NATIONAL	NOUNCES DEAT		CAUSE (Final	ies, injuries,	or complications e. List only one			Do not ent	er the n	node of d	lying, such	es cardiac or	respiratory		Approximate Interval Between Onset and Death
	INSTRUCTIONS	resulting in de		•	DUE TO (OR	AS A COM	NSEQUENCE (OF):							
MUC HEAL	other side	Sequentially li if any, leading cause. Enter I CAUSE (Disea	to immediate UNDERLYING	6	DUE TO IOR	AS A CON	VSEQUENCE (OF):							
CE - 100		that initiated or resulting in de	events	c. ——	DUE TO IOR	AS A CON	ISEQUENCE (OFI:							
M M	CAUSE OF	PART N. Othe	er significant con	ditions contri	buting to death	but not res	sulting in the	underlying c	ouse giv	ren in Par	t I.	28a. WAS A		1 4	RE AUTOPSY FINDINGS
W HUMAN	DEATH				~							PERFOI (Yes or			MPLETION OF CAUSE DEATH? (Yes or no.)
DEPANIMENT UP NEALIN AND MUMAR		29. MANNER Natura	el Pending	,	DATE OF INJ (Month, Day, Ye		b. TIME OF INJURY		PRY AT		30d. DE	SCRIBE HOW	INJURY OCC	CURRED	
EPANIMENI		Suicid	Could r		PLACE OF INJU				, office	301. LC	DCATION	(Street and No	imber or Rur	el Route Num	ber, City or Town, State)
SE	DEFINITION OTHER SIDE	31a. CERTIFII (Check of one)	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>		YSICIAN <i>(Phys</i> ny knowledge, d							nounced death	and comple	eted Item 23j	
4	CEDITIES.				AND <u>CERTIFYIN</u> ny lunowledge, d									d.	
	CERTIFIER				INER/CORONER		pation, in miy	apinion, des	th eccu	rred at th	ie time, de	nte, and place,	and due to	the cause(s)	and manner as stated.
	l	316. SIGNATI	URE AND TITLE	OF CERTIFIE	1					31	ic, LICEN	SE NUMBER	31	Id. DATE SIG	NED (Month, Day, Year)
		32. NAME A	NO ADDRESS OF	PERSON W	HO COMPLETED	CAUSE O	F DEATH (ITI	EM 27) <i>(Typ</i>	e/Printl						
	REGISTRAR	33. REGISTRA	AR'S SIGNATURE	I									34	. DATE FILE	D (Month,Day,Year)
	r-003														

Figure 7-A. U.S. Standard Certificate of Death

Table A. Comparison of percent agreement and ratio of deaths for census or survey record to deaths by race for matching death certificate: 1960 and 1979-85

	Cer	nsus	NLMS ¹			
Race	Ratio Percent census/ agreement death certificate		Percent agreement	Ratio CPS ² / death certificate		
White	99.8	1.00	99.2	1.00		
Black	98.2	1.00	98.2	1.00		
American Indian	79.2	1.12	73.6	1.22		
Asian			82.4	1.12		
Japanese	97.0	1.04				
Chinese	90.3	1.07				
Filipino	72.6	1.28				

⁻⁻⁻ Data not available.

SOURCES: Hambright TZ. Comparability of marital status, race, nativity, and country of origin on the death certificate and matching census record: U.S., May-August 1960. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(34). 1969; Sorlie PD, Rogot E, Johnson NJ. Validity of demographic characteristics on the death certificate. Epidemiology 3(2):181-4. 1992.

^{...} Category not applicable.

¹NLMS is defined as National Longitudinal Mortality Study.

² CPS is defined as Current Population Survey.

Table B. Infant mortality rates by race of infant from the death certificate and by race of mother from the birth certificate, and ratio of rates, 1995-96

[Rates per 1,000 live births in specified group]

	Infant mo	Ratio	
Race	Race from	Race from	birth/
	death certificate	birth certificate	death
All races	7.5	7.4	0.99
White	6.2	6.2	1.00
Black	14.9	14.4	0.97
American Indian	8.3	9.5	1.14
Asian or Pacific Islander	4.1	5.2	1.27
Chinese	2.9	3.5	1.21
Japanese	2.3	4.7	2.04
Hawaiian	7.2	6.1	0.85
Filipino	3.4	5.7	1.68
Other Asian or Pacific Islander	4.8	5.6	1.17

SOURCE: Rosenberg H, Maurer JD, Sorlie PD, Johnson NJ, MacDorman M, Hoyert DL, Spitler JF, Scott C. Quality of death rates by race and Hispanic origin: a summary. National vital statistics reports (forthcoming).

Table C. Infant mortality rates by Hispanic origin of infant from the death certificate and by race of mother from the birth certificate, and ratio of rates, 1996

[Rates per 1,000 live births in specified group]

	Infant mor			
Race	Hispanic origin from death certificate ¹	Hispanic origin from birth certificate	Ratio linked file/ birth/death	
All origins ²	7.3	7.4	1.01	
Total Hispanic	5.9	6.2	1.05	
Mexican	5.9	5.9	1.00	
Puerto Rican	7.8	8.7	1.12	
Cuban	5.1	5.2	1.02	
Other Hispanic ³	5.3	5.9	1.11	
Non-Hispanic total ⁴	7.6	7.7	1.01	
Non-Hispanic white	6.1	6.2	1.02	
Non-Hispanic black	14.7	14.4	0.98	

¹ Data excludes Oklahoma which did not have a question on Hispanic origin on its death certificate.

SOURCE: Rosenberg H, Maurer JD, Sorlie PD, Johnson NJ, MacDorman M, Hoyert DL, Spitler JF, Scott C. Quality of death rates by race and Hispanic origin: a summary. National vital statistics reports (forthcoming).

² Includes Hispanic origin not stated.

³ Includes Central and South American and Other and unknown Hispanic.

⁴ Includes races other than white and black.

Table D. Numbers of deaths and ratios of deaths for selected causes as tabulated by State of occurrence and NCHS, 1995

[Data by place of occurrence include deaths of nonresidents. Numbers after causes of death are category numbers of the Ninth Revision, International Classification of Diseases, 1975]

Causes	Alaska	NCHS	Ratio AK/NCHS
All causes	2,546	2,546	1.00
Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions780-799	42	43	0.98
Accidents and adverse effectsE800-E949	368	376	0.98
Motor vehicle accidentsE810-E825	105	96	1.09
All other accidents and adverse effectsE800-E807,E826-E949	263	280	0.94
SuicideE950-E959	118	105	1.12
Homicide and legal interventionE960-E978	56	55	1.02
All other external causesE980-E999	7	11	0.64

Table E. Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-1995

[Population enumerated as of April 1 for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years]

	United	-	,	United		Birth-	registration States	Death-	registration States
Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Number of States ²	Population residing in area	Number of States ²	Population residing in area
1005	262 022 069	262 755 270	1047	144 126 000	142 446 000				
1995 1994		262,755,270		144,126,000	143,446,000 140,054,000				
1994		260,340,990 257,783,004		141,389,000 139,928,000			• • •		• • •
1994		257,783,004		139,928,000	132,481,000 132,885,000		• • •		• • •
1992	252,688,000	252,177,000		136,739,000	134,245,000				
1991	249,225,000	248,709,873	1943	134,860,000	134,243,000		• • •		• • •
1989		246,819,000		133,402,000	133,121,000				• • •
1988	247,342,000	244,499,000		131,820,000	133,121,000				
1987	242,804,000	242,289,000		131,028,000	130,879,718				
1986	240,651,000	240,133,000	1938	129,969,000	129,824,939				
1985	238,466,000	237,924,000	1937	128,961,000	128,824,829				
1984		235,825,000	1936	128,181,000	128,053,180		• • •		
1983		233,792,000	1935	127,362,000	127,250,232				
1982		231,664,000	1934	126,485,000	126,373,773				
1981	229,966,000	229,466,000	1933	125,690,000	125,578,763				
1980	227,061,000	226,545,805		124,949,000	124,840,471	47	118,903,899	47	118,903,899
1979		224,567,000		124,149,000	124,039,648	46	117,455,229	47	118,148,987
1978	222,585,000	222,095,000		123,188,000	123,076,741	46	116,544,946		117,238,278
1977	220,239,000	219,760,000			121,769,939	46	115,317,450	46	115,317,450
1976	218,035,000	217,563,000	1928		120,501,115	44	113,636,160	44	113,636,160
1975	215,973,000	215,465,000	1927		119,038,062	40	104,320,830	42	107,084,532
1974		213,342,000			117,399,225	35	90,400,590	41	103,822,683
1973		211,357,000	1925		115,831,963	33	88,294,564	40	102,031,555
1972		209,284,000	1924		114,113,463	33	87,000,295	39	99,318,098
1971	207,661,000	206,827,000	1923		111,949,945	30	81,072,123	38	96,788,197
1970	204,270,000	203,211,926	1922		110,054,778	30	79,560,746	37	92,702,901
1969	202,677,000	201,385,000	1921		108,541,489	27	70,807,090	34	87,814,447
1968	200,706,000	199,399,000	1920		106,466,420	23	63,597,307	34	86,079,263
1967	198,712,000	197,457,000	1919	105,063,000	104,512,110	22	61,212,076	33	83,157,982
1966	196,560,000	195,576,000	1918	104,550,000	103,202,801	20	55,153,782	30	79,008,412
1965	194,303,000	193,526,000	1917	103,414,000	103,265,913	20	55,197,952	27	70,234,775
1964	191,889,000	191,141,000	1916		101,965,984	11	32,944,013	26	66,971,177
1963	189,242,000	188,483,000	1915		100,549,013	10	31,096,697	24	61,894,847
1962	186,538,000	185,771,000	1914		99,117,567			24	60,963,309
1961	183,691,000	182,992,000	1913		97,226,814			23	58,156,740
1960	179,933,000	179,323,175	1912		95,331,300			22	54,847,700
1959	177,264,000	176,513,000	1911		93,867,814			22	53,929,644

Table E. Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-1995

[Population enumerated as of April 1 for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years]

	United	States ¹		United	States ¹		registration States		registration States
Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Number of States ²	Population residing in area	Number of States ²	Population residing in area
1958	174,141,000	172,320,000	1910		92,406,536			20	47,470,437
1957	171,274,000	170,371,000	1909		90,491,525			18	44,223,513
1956	168,221,000	167,306,000	1908		88,708,976			17	38,634,759
1955	165,275,000	164,308,000	1907		87,000,271			15	34,552,837
1954	162,391,000	161,164,000	1906		85,436,556			15	33,782,288
1953	159,565,000	158,242,000	1905		83,819,666			10	21,767,980
1952	156,954,000	155,687,000	1904		82,164,974			10	21,332,076
1951	154,287,000	153,310,000	1903		80,632,152			10	20,943,222
1950	151,132,000	150,697,361	1902		79,160,196			10	20,582,907
1949	149,188,000	148,665,000	1901		77,585,128			10	20,237,453
1948	146,631,000	146,093,000	1900		76,094,134			10	19,965,446

⁻⁻⁻ Data not available.

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

^{...} Category not applicable.

¹ Alaska included beginning 1959 and Hawaii, 1960.

² The District of Columbia is not included in "Number of States," but it is represented in all data shown for each year.

Table F. Source for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900-32, and United States, 1900-95

Year	Source
1995	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Electronic Data File, RESD0795, and unpublished data.
1994	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Electronic Data File, RESD0794, and unpublished data.
1993	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Electronic Data File, RESP0793, and unpublished data.
1992	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Electronic Data File, RESP0792, and unpublished data.
1991	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095, 1993.
1990	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Unpublished data from the 1990 census, 1990 CPH-L-74 and unpublished data
	consistent with Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095.
1981-89	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1095, 1993.
1980	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1980, Number of Inhabitants, PC-80-1A1,
	United States Summary, 1983.
1971-79	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 917, July 1982.
1970	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1970, Number of Inhabitants, Final Report PC(1)-A1,
1061 60	United States Summary, 1971.
1961-69	
1960	U.S. Bureau of the Census, <i>U.S. Census of Population: 1960, Number of Inhabitants</i> , PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1964.
1951-59	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 310, June 30, 1965.
1940-50	
1930-39	U.S. Bureau of the Census, <i>Current Population Reports</i> , Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973, and National Office of Vital Statistics, <i>Vital Statistics Rates in the United States</i> , 1900-1940, 1947.
1920-29	
1917-19	
1900-16	

Table G. Estimated population of the United States, by 5-year age groups, race, and sex: July 1, 1995

[Figures include Armed forces stationed in the United States and exclude those stationed outside the United States]

		All races		White			All other					
Age	D-41	M-1-	F1-	D - 41	M-1-	F1-		Total		_	Black	
	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female
All ages	262,755,270	128,313,798	134,441,472	218,085,421	106,993,635	111,091,786	44,669,849	21,320,163	23,349,686	33,141,148	15,721,074	17,420,074
Under 1 year	3,848,106	1,969,872	1,878,234	3,014,707	1,547,420	1,467,287	833,399	422,452	410,947	621,144	314,438	306,706
1-4 years	15,743,042	8,055,333	7,687,709	12,436,458	6,376,721	6,059,737	3,306,584	1,678,612	1,627,972	2,478,716	1,255,910	1,222,806
5-9 years	19,219,956	9,843,300	9,376,656	15,236,617	7,818,268	7,418,349	3,983,339	2,025,032	1,958,307	3,025,305	1,534,797	1,490,508
10-14 years	18,914,532	9,685,241	9,229,291	15,039,772	7,720,711	7,319,061	3,874,760	1,964,530	1,910,230	2,876,972	1,459,558	1,417,414
15-19 years	18,064,517	9,265,025	8,799,492	14,362,303	7,390,200	6,972,103	3,702,214	1,874,825	1,827,389	2,821,796	1,430,218	1,391,578
20-24 years	17,882,118	9,087,045	8,795,073	14,317,137	7,323,846	6,993,291	3,564,981	1,763,199	1,801,782	2,637,568	1,299,324	1,338,244
25-29 years	19,005,343	9,529,765	9,475,578	15,402,702	7,795,910	7,606,792	3,602,641	1,733,855	1,868,786	2,594,461	1,239,775	1,354,686
30-34 years	21,867,796	10,902,150	10,965,646	17,984,412	9,062,225	8,922,187	3,883,384	1,839,925	2,043,459	2,825,366	1,325,134	1,500,232
35-39 years	22,248,914	11,071,207	11,177,707	18,458,496	9,282,016	9,176,480	3,790,418	1,789,191	2,001,227	2,787,896	1,307,303	1,480,593
40-44 years	20,218,805	9,990,476	10,228,329	16,929,523	8,460,555	8,468,968	3,289,282	1,529,921	1,759,361	2,390,339	1,108,770	1,281,569
45-49 years	17,448,898	8,559,836	8,889,062	14,858,289	7,370,499	7,487,790	2,590,609	1,189,337	1,401,272	1,854,835	846,389	1,008,446
50-54 years	13,629,862	6,621,815	7,008,047	11,725,262	5,754,226	5,971,036	1,904,600	867,589	1,037,011	1,380,983	619,729	761,254
55-59 years	11,084,606	5,317,251	5,767,355	9,540,786	4,625,549	4,915,237	1,543,820	691,702	852,118	1,137,905	499,639	638,266
60-64 years	10,046,478	4,726,807	5,319,671	8,723,606	4,152,335	4,571,271	1,322,872	574,472	748,400	988,458	425,295	563,163
65-69 years	9,927,958	4,505,822	5,422,136	8,725,874	3,993,037	4,732,837	1,202,084	512,785	689,299	920,412	393,354	527,058
70-74 years	8,831,205	3,836,272	4,994,933	7,918,213	3,461,716	4,456,497	912,992	374,556	538,436	696,791	280,476	416,315
75-79 years	6,681,247	2,720,385	3,960,862	6,038,810	2,470,292	3,568,518	642,437	250,093	392,344	509,967	194,449	315,518
80-84 years	4,463,733	1,609,321	2,854,412	4,069,152	1,469,402	2,599,750	394,581	139,919	254,662	318,168	107,311	210,857
85 years and over	3,628,154	1,016,875	2,611,279	3,303,302	918,707	2,384,595	324,852	98,168	226,684	274,066	79,205	194,861

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

Table H. Estimated population, by age, for the United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1995

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area, and exclude Armed Forces stationed outside the United States]

Division and State	Total	Under 5 years	15-19 years	20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over
United States	262,755,270	19,591,148	56,199,005	101,222,976	52,209,844	33,532,297
Geographic divisions:						
New England	13,312,412	895,898	2,627,215	5,258,704	2,667,863	1,862,732
Middle Atlantic	38,153,221	2,721,237	7,634,671	14,472,477	7,894,731	5,430,105
East North Central	43,456,141	3,128,414	9,409,884	16,616,553	8,729,381	5,571,909
West North Central	18,347,676	1,264,639	4,112,086	6,831,914	3,617,041	2,521,996
South Atlantic	46,995,266	3,325,490	9,509,928	18,160,132	9,555,646	6,444,070
East South Central	16,066,495	1,135,805	3,461,262	6,101,786	3,339,026	2,028,616
West South Central	28,827,781	2,320,898	6,706,183	11,030,113	5,560,170	3,210,417
Mountain	15,645,168	1,244,762	3,684,177	5,897,743	3,051,888	1,766,598
Pacific	41,951,110	3,554,005	9,053,599	16,853,554	7,794,098	4,695,854
New England:						
Maine	1,241,382	74,513	262,980	472,162	259,582	172,145
New Hampshire	1,148,253	76,269	245,451	467,324	222,709	136,500
Vermont	584,771	37,092	124,782	231,079	121,369	70,449
Massachusetts	6,073,550	412,862	1,156,540	2,444,165	1,199,376	860,607
Rhode Island	989,794	67,570	193,057	385,682	187,680	155,805
Connecticut	3,274,662	227,592	644,405	1,258,292	677,147	467,226
Middle Atlantic:						
New York	18,136,081	1,359,704	3,631,631	6,990,701	3,730,227	2,423,818
New Jersey	7,945,298	577,194	1,577,326	3,037,472	1,663,133	1,090,173
Pennsylvania	12,071,842	784,339	2,425,714	4,444,304	2,501,371	1,916,114

Table H. Estimated population, by age, for the United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1995

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area, and exclude Armed Forces stationed outside the United States]

Division and State	Total	Under 5 years	15-19 years	20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over
East North Central:						
Ohio	11,150,506	772,833	2,391,427	4,215,895	2,279,935	1,490,416
Indiana	5,803,471	407,943	1,245,848	2,230,373	1,186,217	733,090
Illinois	11,829,940	920,982	2,521,591	4,564,415	2,338,816	1,484,136
Michigan	9,549,353	682,697	2,099,165	3,672,566	1,913,132	1,181,793
Wisconsin	5,122,871	343,959	1,151,853	1,933,304	1,011,281	682,474
West North Central:						
Minnesota	4,609,548	320,664	1,048,040	1,778,168	889,575	573,101
Iowa	2,841,764	183,794	622,313	1,023,882	579,737	432,038
Missouri	5,323,523	369,321	1,156,726	1,979,691	1,077,359	740,426
North Dakota	641,367	41,830	148,246	236,343	122,192	92,756
South Dakota	729,034	52,310	176,704	258,281	136,919	104,820
Nebraska	1,637,112	114,141	376,888	599,452	318,954	227,677
Kansas	2,565,328	182,579	583,169	956,097	492,305	351,178
South Atlantic:						
Delaware	717,197	51,616	145,089	287,082	142,759	90,651
Maryland	5,042,438	368,055	1,023,354	2,051,902	1,027,382	571,745
District of Columbia .	554,256	39,909	85,456	241,384	110,267	77,240
Virginia	6,618,358	463,688	1,324,642	2,733,999	1,358,594	737,435
West Virginia	1,828,140	106,460	371,332	656,509	414,624	279,215
North Carolina	7,195,138	513,888	1,476,269	2,824,410	1,481,113	899,458
South Carolina	3,673,287	262,833	787,894	1,430,888	751,769	439,903
Georgia	7,200,882	551,180	1,572,524	2,944,887	1,414,385	717,906
Florida	14,165,570	967,861	2,723,368	4,989,071	2,854,753	2,630,517

Table H. Estimated population, by age, for the United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1995

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area, and exclude Armed Forces stationed outside the United States]

Division and State	Total	Under 5 years	15-19 years	20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over
East South Central:						
Kentucky	3,860,219	261,108	827,133	1,473,939	811,474	486,565
Tennessee	5,256,051	365,477	1,088,517	2,022,370	1,121,476	658,211
Alabama	4,252,982	300,663	904,543	1,609,445	885,871	552,460
Mississippi	2,697,243	208,557	641,069	996,032	520,205	331,380
West South Central:						
Arkansas	2,483,769	172,617	550,258	883,203	518,417	359,274
Louisiana	4,342,334	336,295	1,040,537	1,624,199	846,822	494,481
Oklahoma	3,277,687	230,362	743,577	1,184,260	677,267	442,221
Texas	18,723,991	1,581,624	4,371,811	7,338,451	3,517,664	1,914,441
Mountain:						
Montana	870,281	56,982	205,670	305,673	188,295	113,661
Idaho	1,163,261	89,426	298,399	415,220	227,661	132,555
Wyoming	480,184	32,257	119,801	175,179	99,674	53,273
Colorado	3,746,585	268,950	814,019	1,501,226	786,087	376,303
New Mexico	1,685,401	138,303	412,650	620,969	330,092	183,387
Arizona	4,217,940	355,808	949,809	1,561,024	790,771	560,528
Utah	1,951,408	183,818	568,951	721,790	304,842	172,007
Nevada	1,530,108	119,218	314,878	596,662	324,466	174,884
Pacific:						
Washington	5,430,940	385,897	1,178,182	2,145,740	1,093,387	627,734
Oregon	3,140,585	209,591	672,424	1,168,806	663,899	425,865
California	31,589,153	2,809,826	6,801,330	12,830,615	5,684,563	3,462,819
Alaska	603,617	52,882	155,312	249,856	115,784	29,783
Hawaii	1,186,815	95,809	246,351	458,537	236,465	149,653

Table H. Estimated population, by age, for the United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1995

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area, and exclude Armed Forces stationed outside the United States]

Division and State	Total	Under 5 years	15-19 years	20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over
Puerto Rico	3,731,006	319,833	967,608	1,367,887	699,770	375,908
Virgin Islands	111,950	11,746	30,308	36,893	24,731	8,272
Guam	143,855	20,016	38,101	56,922	21,526	7,290

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

Table I. Estimated population by 5-year age groups, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, July 1, 1995

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in the United States and exclude those stationed outside the United States]

				Hispanic	Non-Hispanic				
Sex and age	All origins	Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Other Hispanic ¹	Total ²	White	Black
Both sexes									
All ages	259,504,615	26,903,271	17,355,772	2,769,337	1,131,663	5,646,499	232,601,344	190,850,619	31,362,664
Under 1 year	3,809,275	655,554	470,799	64,678	8,367	111,710	3,153,721	2,384,798	578,805
1-4 years	15,580,062	2,543,683	1,831,485	212,544	52,849	446,805	13,036,379	9,978,680	2,320,160
5-9 years	19,012,420	2,651,648	1,827,552	275,458	67,706	480,932	16,360,772	12,660,787	2,847,501
10-14 years	18,630,833	2,417,045	1,640,556	285,618	54,814	436,057	16,213,788	12,623,204	2,710,259
15-19 years	17,819,048	2,270,583	1,461,401	270,401	59,450	479,331	15,548,465	12,107,989	2,664,902
20-24 years	17,672,363	2,328,759	1,581,229	200,083	67,422	480,025	15,343,604	12,039,504	2,485,920
25-29 years	18,817,030	2,494,511	1,700,668	212,113	77,023	504,707	16,322,519	12,972,578	2,442,477
30-34 years	21,650,105	2,524,224	1,611,589	227,880	89,061	595,694	19,125,881	15,498,672	2,667,118
35-39 years	21,991,526	2,150,017	1,310,414	233,753	99,407	506,443	19,841,509	16,292,926	2,635,411
40-44 years	19,954,489	1,716,147	1,015,553	190,484	78,309	431,801	18,238,342	15,157,873	2,257,012
45-49 years	17,220,601	1,307,489	751,352	158,791	81,819	315,527	15,913,112	13,475,210	1,765,840
50-54 years	13,430,283	958,448	556,698	129,488	49,707	222,555	12,471,835	10,678,551	1,318,856
55-59 years	10,925,387	758,260	432,167	98,311	60,555	167,227	10,167,127	8,705,433	1,088,923
60-64 years	9,899,196	632,954	358,687	76,178	65,229	132,860	9,266,242	8,008,598	950,312
65-69 years	9,812,348	540,568	304,944	45,729	63,881	126,014	9,271,780	8,127,172	884,416
70-74 years	8,702,959	403,168	219,217	40,286	56,636	87,029	8,299,791	7,420,570	676,445
75-79 years	6,583,805	254,182	120,665	18,276	51,868	63,373	6,329,623	5,714,811	493,861
80-84 years	4,408,015	167,139	96,302	15,701	19,958	35,178	4,240,876	3,861,759	307,575
85 years and over	3,584,870	128,892	64,494	13,565	27,602	23,231	3,455,978	3,141,504	266,871
Male									
All ages	126,752,625	13,628,500	8,974,090	1,303,169	568,949	2,782,292	113,124,125	93,270,479	14,828,366
Under 1 year	1,950,448	336,434	248,742	30,711	5,199	51,782	1,614,014	1,227,497	290,941
1-4 years	7,974,893	1,302,113	927,676	99,554	32,451	242,432	6,672,780	5,121,759	1,175,545
5-9 years	9,735,795	1,356,198	914,348	144,442	39,111	258,297	8,379,597	6,497,997	1,445,807
10-14 years	9,536,570	1,233,877	808,092	161,091	27,560	237,134	8,302,693	6,486,892	1,372,005
15-19 years	9,143,122	1,162,112	774,039	129,647	26,834	231,592	7,981,010		1,352,603

Table I. Estimated population by 5-year age groups, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, July 1, 1995

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in the United States and exclude those stationed outside the United States]

				Hispanic	Non-Hispanic				
Sex and age	All origins	Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Other Hispanic ¹	Total ²	White	Black
20-24 years	8,979,149	1,227,296	857,708	88,891	36,259	244,438	7,751,853	6,125,276	1,220,795
25-29 years	9,446,366	1,340,052	940,380	91,997	44,081	263,594		6,503,109	1,160,579
30-34 years	10,791,780		879,990	102,131	45,048	301,315		7,754,055	1,244,394
35-39 years	10,951,099	1,107,376	695,076	114,486	54,313	243,501	9,843,723	8,179,225	1,229,869
40-44 years	9,851,416	860,573	534,558	84,524	37,865	203,626	8,990,843	7,567,522	1,041,341
45-49 years	8,472,709	642,933	395,439	66,350	35,143	146,001	7,829,776	6,714,254	799,865
50-54 years	6,510,211	460,474	268,781	64,902	26,641	100,150	6,049,737	5,241,592	589,922
55-59 years	5,243,725	356,245	207,394	37,720	34,963	76,168	4,887,480	4,231,003	478,799
60-64 years	4,656,801	292,546	174,331	33,902	27,778	56,535	4,364,255	3,819,584	408,331
65-69 years	4,453,305	240,855	139,365	19,085	31,018	51,387	4,212,450	3,722,238	377,203
70-74 years	3,780,240	176,596	98,650	18,121	27,892	31,933	3,603,644	3,242,236	272,714
75-79 years	2,680,830	102,125	51,452	6,232	21,487	22,954	2,578,705	2,337,566	188,359
80-84 years	1,584,091	59,655	37,819	3,391	5,150	13,295	1,524,436	1,391,899	101,425
85 years and over	1,010,075	42,556	20,250	5,992	10,156	6,158	967,519	871,867	77,869
Female									
All ages	132,751,990	13,274,771	8,381,682	1,466,168	562,714	2,864,207	119,477,219	97,580,140	16,534,298
Under 1 year	1,858,827	319,120	222,057	33,967	3,168	59,928	1,539,707	1,157,301	287,864
1-4 years	7,605,169	1,241,570	903,809	112,990	20,398	204,373	6,363,599	4,856,921	1,144,615
5-9 years	9,276,625	1,295,450	913,204	131,016	28,595	222,635	7,981,175	6,162,790	1,401,694
10-14 years	9,094,263	1,183,168	832,464	124,527	27,254	198,923	7,911,095	6,136,312	1,338,254
15-19 years	8,675,926	1,108,471	687,362	140,754	32,616	247,739	7,567,455	5,873,081	1,312,299
20-24 years	8,693,214	1,101,463	723,521	111,192	31,163	235,587	7,591,751	5,914,228	1,265,125
25-29 years	9,370,664	1,154,459	760,288	120,116	32,942	241,113	8,216,205	6,469,469	1,281,898
30-34 years	10,858,325	1,195,740	731,599	125,749	44,013	294,379	9,662,585	7,744,617	1,422,724
35-39 years	11,040,427	1,042,641	615,338	119,267	45,094	262,942	9,997,786	8,113,701	1,405,542
40-44 years	10,103,073	855,574	480,995	105,960	40,444	228,175	9,247,499	7,590,351	1,215,671

Table I. Estimated population by 5-year age groups, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, July 1, 1995

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in the United States and exclude those stationed outside the United States]

	All origins			Hispanic	Non-Hispanic				
Sex and age		Total	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban	Other Hispanic ¹	Total ²	White	Black
45-49 years	8,747,892	664,556	355,913	92,441	46,676	169,526	8,083,336	6,760,956	965,975
50-54 years	6,920,072	497,974	287,917	64,586	23,066	122,405	6,422,098	5,436,959	728,934
55-59 years	5,681,662	402,015	224,773	60,591	25,592	91,059	5,279,647	4,474,430	610,124
60-64 years	5,242,395	340,408	184,356	42,276	37,451	76,325	4,901,987	4,189,014	541,981
65-69 years	5,359,043	299,713	165,579	26,644	32,863	74,627	5,059,330	4,404,934	507,213
70-74 years	4,922,719	226,572	120,567	22,165	28,744	55,096	4,696,147	4,178,334	403,731
75-79 years	3,902,975	152,057	69,213	12,044	30,381	40,419	3,750,918	3,377,245	305,502
80-84 years	2,823,924	107,484	58,483	12,310	14,808	21,883	2,716,440	2,469,860	206,150
85 years and over	2,574,795	86,336	44,244	7,573	17,446	17,073	2,488,459	2,269,637	189,002

¹ Includes Central and South American and Other and unknown Hispanic.

² Includes races other than white and black.

Table J. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, race, and sex:
United States, 1995

		tiiv	ose stationed	outside the	Office State	20]			
Race, sex, and marital status	15 years and over	15-17 years	18-19 years	20-24 years	25-29 years	30-34 years	35-39 years	40-44 years	45-49 years
White, male									
Never married	23,750,005	4,474,440	2,809,114	5,787,809	3,792,697	2,346,118	1,692,627	1,057,271	627,638
Married	51,250,071	17,889	75,290	1,445,577	3,661,405	5,893,078	6,543,111	6,326,628	5,730,011
Widowed	2,104,997	1,189	315	-	5,229	15,259	24,800	36,068	50,687
Divorced	6,425,431	9,449	2,509	90,457	336,578	807,768	1,021,478	1,040,588	962,156
White, female									
Never married	18,192,353	4,173,426	2,483,742	4,458,856	2,373,723	1,426,763	901,826	616,717	417,743
Married	51,742,023	52,788	246,917	2,306,878	4,675,165	6,540,284	6,942,335	6,442,465	5,700,667
Widowed	10,320,547	588	339	10,808	16,713	40,393	90,459	114,322	209,813
Divorced	8,572,453	5,886	8,425	216,745	541,191	914,759	1,241,857	1,295,463	1,159,578
Black, male									
Never married	5,217,613	869,069	547,531	1,165,762	810,062	620,472	477,994	286,672	154,253
Married	4,701,195	6,327	1,253	122,128	386,772	615,821	698,148	620,641	560,293
Widowed	319,907	420	-	-	-	1,671	4,512	10,195	8,819
Divorced	917,652	5,620	-	11,437	42,935	87,161	126,653	191,268	123,026
Black, female									
Never married	5,138,791	840,458	528,317	1,129,588	805,603	620,945	460,771	267,489	183,942
Married	4,893,415	5,999	14,155	188,803	460,700	698,877	732,533	697,581	572,248
Widowed	1,424,088	1,265	-	1,462	2,439	16,808	23,599	37,657	47,931
Divorced	1,526,366	-	1,389	18,400	85,952	163,599	263,691	278,838	204,324

Table J. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, race, and sex:
United States, 1995

those stationed outside the Officed States]										
Race, sex, and marital status	15 years and over	15-17 years	18-19 years	20-24 years	25-29 years	30-34 years	35-39 years	40-44 years	45-49 years	
American Indian, male										
Never married	286,152	64,551	34,302	67,805	50,189	30,361	17,887	11,178	2,303	
Married	376,812	-	2,311	26,325	35,866	47,744	50,088	47,904	49,245	
Widowed	23,787	-	-	-	-	1,399	559	-	2,855	
Divorced	83,983	-	-	470	7,022	13,541	18,767	16,288	6,367	
American Indian, female										
Never married	255,491	60,821	32,249	58,903	51,487	15,086	9,519	9,396	2,218	
Married	371,103	1,275	2,517	28,290	29,671	57,865	66,221	50,887	41,817	
Widowed	78,422	1,170	1,494	-	-	3,170	504	2,365	5,068	
Divorced	97,808	-	-	3,121	5,986	16,756	13,529	17,535	15,035	
Asian or Pacific Islander, male										
Never married	1,271,962	212,391	128,562	339,586	261,374	149,950	81,197	49,431	19,571	
Married	1,882,658	1,578	910	29,691	129,989	261,779	291,809	270,396	245,044	
Widowed	38,375	-	-	-	2,981	-	-	-	791	
Divorced	109,445	-	-	-	6,666	10,018	21,586	25,956	16,772	
Asian or Pacific Islander, female										
Never married	988,646	204,348	122,714	276,193	200,872	70,303	47,973	23,894	11,872	
Married	2,231,482	1,695	5,462	92,109	219,864	354,566	361,861	341,791	267,472	
Widowed	257,286	1,686	-	2,175	-	5,650	5,244	2,915	14,143	
Divorced	179,343	-	384	2,752	6,216	19,832	15,786	29,008	35,191	

Table J. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, race, and sex: United States, 1995

Race, sex, and marital status	50-54 years	55-59 years	60-64 years	65-69 years	70-74 years	75-79 years	80-84 years	85 years and over
White, male								
Never married	303,354	216,138	165,215	170,503	138,637	70,242	53,787	44,415
Married	4,725,765	3,829,836	3,482,112	3,280,266	2,793,139	1,932,538	1,047,438	465,988
Widowed	66,695	84,525	141,186	267,914	338,555	363,694	328,760	380,121
Divorced	658,417	495,051	363,831	274,354	191,383	103,814	39,412	28,186
White, female								
Never married	270,307	202,164	157,323	168,623	164,555	152,077	94,783	129,725
Married	4,522,863	3,581,871	3,199,446	2,874,328	2,332,948	1,402,859	635,805	284,404
Widowed	281,180	416,694	662,822	1,286,623	1,664,854	1,844,560	1,767,488	1,912,891
Divorced	896,684	714,500	551,687	403,262	294,140	169,022	101,682	57,572
Black, male								
Never married	106,276	58,275	47,769	20,723	17,486	20,436	5,786	9,047
Married	388,332	358,855	280,399	254,459	190,307	112,829	60,007	44,624
Widowed	12,310	14,624	39,342	76,454	44,445	48,051	33,766	25,298
Divorced	112,813	67,882	57,782	41,722	28,235	13,130	7,752	236
Black, female								
Never married	77,869	64,840	54,710	38,477	31,162	11,400	10,456	12,764
Married	413,236	353,999	274,069	213,925	148,331	74,521	31,111	13,327
Widowed	92,370	123,976	158,261	193,880	196,599	208,224	157,003	162,614
Divorced	177,782	95,449	76,124	80,777	40,219	21,376	12,290	6,156

Table J. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, race, and sex: United States, 1995

those stationed outside the Office states]												
Race, sex, and marital status	50-54 years	55-59 years	60-64 years	65-69 years	70-74 years	75-79 years	80-84 years	85 years and over				
American Indian, male												
Never married	1,720	3,289	1,712	-	855	-	-	-				
Married	37,235	24,960	19,752	15,595	10,821	3,417	2,203	3,346				
Widowed	977	1,006	646	1,582	2,378	6,793	4,133	1,459				
Divorced	4,974	4,922	4,977	4,132	2,523	-	-	-				
American Indian, female												
Never married	5,068	3,147	784	1,814	837	4,162	-	-				
Married	29,950	23,681	15,634	10,843	7,516	2,499	2,437	-				
Widowed	9,711	5,138	7,907	10,522	7,228	6,377	7,590	10,178				
Divorced	3,811	6,074	6,621	2,297	5,242	1,741	60	-				
Asian or Pacific Islander, male												
Never married	6,939	5,546	4,065	1,788	6,772	2,580	-	2,210				
Married	182,835	141,898	112,177	85,898	60,604	34,521	23,859	9,670				
Widowed	1,250	1,863	2,121	8,333	8,020	8,334	2,407	2,275				
Divorced	11,935	8,571	3,727	2,105	2,109	-	-	-				
Asian or Pacific Islander, female												
Never married	10,239	3,507	2,130	6,213	2,713	1,759	3,916	-				
Married	177,853	136,391	98,592	84,827	50,379	25,076	10,587	2,957				
Widowed	17,575	16,157	36,410	39,890	44,085	33,461	19,212	18,683				
Divorced	21,544	19,759	17,158	5,836	4,122	1,755	-	-				

⁻ Quantity zero.

Table K. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995

			stationed of	utside the On	ited States				
Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status	15 years and over	15-17 years	18-19 years	20-24 years	25-29 years	30-34 years	35-39 years	40-44 years	45-49 years
Mexican, male									
Never married	2,322,825	457,701	295,170	603,495	446,087	233,563	153,949	64,788	30,410
Married	3,297,451	4,766	14,340	250,615	459,330	572,445	480,813	417,848	313,727
Widowed	97,547	-	-	-	-	3,866	3,890	1,393	4,244
Divorced	357,424	2,058	-	3,599	34,963	70,119	56,433	50,526	47,059
Mexican, female									
Never married	1,527,024	397,750	222,502	338,783	213,449	135,568	79,024	43,925	26,901
Married	3,270,290	16,313	49,763	365,743	511,615	539,485	453,673	364,736	271,323
Widowed	316,760	-	1,030	703	3,663	4,430	8,781	10,153	15,657
Divorced	396,077	-	-	18,291	31,561	52,118	73,856	62,180	42,029
Puerto Rican, male									
Never married	352,630	81,264	46,075	72,249	47,956	35,726	29,008	14,880	9,564
Married	428,958	-	2,309	14,628	41,501	60,257	72,807	54,520	47,801
Widowed	17,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Divorced	68,187	-	-	2,016	2,540	6,150	12,670	15,123	8,986
Puerto Rican, female									
Never married	381,157	88,517	44,689	75,968	45,798	34,808	26,400	21,252	15,225
Married	504,684	2,096	5,446	30,619	69,279	75,260	71,154	64,426	64,314
Widowed	73,505	-	-	1,126	-	829	2,050	3,108	3,193
Divorced	104,311	-	-	3,478	5,042	14,851	19,669	17,177	9,706

Table K. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995

Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status	15 years and over	15-17 years	18-19 years	20-24 years	25-29 years	30-34 years	35-39 years	40-44 years	45-49 years
Cuban, male									
Never married	127,649	18,460	8,374	29,216	20,293	8,986	17,857	5,421	5,160
Married	275,402	-	-	7,043	19,810	32,399	28,346	26,224	24,246
Widowed	15,165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	385
Divorced	46,410	-	-	-	3,977	3,663	8,108	6,218	5,352
Cuban, female									
Never married	85,168	20,684	11,199	15,642	5,958	6,385	2,857	1,122	3,595
Married	266,532	-	417	12,810	21,520	33,535	34,489	29,803	34,845
Widowed	66,612	-	317	-	-	-	-	3,275	2,459
Divorced	64,993	-	-	2,712	5,469	4,095	7,749	6,245	5,777
Other Hispanic, male									
Never married	811,525	137,554	90,555	195,234	151,429	109,091	58,023	27,421	14,241
Married	1,052,273	786	2,493	46,322	104,886	177,503	170,945	151,462	118,370
Widowed	20,520	-	-	-	-	-	699	-	474
Divorced	108,327	204	-	2,880	7,283	14,720	13,827	24,744	12,916
Other Hispanic, female									
Never married	652,747	138,608	96,065	150,142	87,517	55,678	33,588	28,225	14,084
Married	1,152,917	2,453	9,687	79,230	138,913	212,729	178,841	160,235	114,654
Widowed	155,806	-	-	162	403	1,748	5,534	2,190	8,844
Divorced	216,890	647	283	6,056	14,284	24,226	44,980	37,525	31,949

Table K. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995

			Statione o		itea states				
Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status	15 years and over	15-17 years	18-19 years	20-24 years	25-29 years	30-34 years	35-39 years	40-44 years	45-49 years
White non-Hispanic, male									
Never married	20,245,460	3,791,468	2,364,743	4,921,513	3,171,758	1,966,046	1,449,787	945,579	569,893
Married	45,917,584	12,372	55,016	1,120,573	3,041,677	5,060,787	5,775,486	5,645,516	5,214,272
Widowed	1,937,395	1,204	322	-	5,327	9,645	17,761	34,476	43,993
Divorced	5,835,874	7,229	2,562	83,194	284,340	717,579	936,187	941,947	886,100
White non-Hispanic, female									
Never married	15,651,617	3,536,170	2,107,657	3,914,191	2,042,535	1,212,558	768,114	528,100	360,996
Married	46,281,708	32,608	182,978	1,807,332	3,931,751	5,682,767	6,190,751	5,804,934	5,160,216
Widowed	9,611,884	591	-	7,941	12,785	33,430	71,147	93,739	175,274
Divorced	7,721,626	5,300	7,777	184,765	482,408	815,861	1,083,684	1,163,574	1,064,472
Black non-Hispanic, male									
Never married	4,907,358	817,919	521,577	1,101,958	755,604	582,615	445,606	261,366	146,790
Married	4,455,660	6,205	974	107,570	363,817	577,442	660,156	588,671	529,153
Widowed	311,121	412	-	-	-	1,592	4,363	9,892	8,517
Divorced	869,940	5,513	-	11,270	41,157	82,747	119,748	181,415	115,406
Black non-Hispanic, female									
Never married	4,873,265	791,914	500,438	1,066,678	763,932	590,471	442,735	250,464	178,356
Married	4,664,116	5,845	11,518	179,998	433,189	662,237	693,235	660,860	548,337
Widowed	1,369,955	1,233	-	1,425	1,965	14,395	22,691	35,111	46,526
Divorced	1,454,540	-	1,355	17,029	82,817	155,622	246,875	269,234	192,752

Table K. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-year age groups, marital status, specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995

Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status	15 years and over	15-17 years	18-19 years	20-24 years	25-29 years	30-34 years	35-39 years	40-44 years	45-49 years
Other non-Hispanic,									
Never married	1,396,459	244,585	142,916	358,637	278,624	168,659	90,900	55,942	21,431
Married	2,060,114	1,542	4,455	46,344	149,892	276,226	310,852	289,723	271,561
Widowed	54,000	-	-	-	2,692	1,125	506	-	2,390
Divorced	164,061	-	-	802	11,413	18,839	32,374	36,315	20,277
Other non-Hispanic, female									
Never married	1,110,439	232,766	138,648	297,934	228,728	74,581	53,086	33,765	10,519
Married	2,386,733	2,501	5,746	109,426	228,818	377,255	393,806	358,167	284,324
Widowed	299,185	2,417	-	2,012	-	7,829	5,369	5,296	15,319
Divorced	256,594	-	-	3,027	7,286	35,580	26,281	44,247	46,243

Table K. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-Year age groups, marital status, race, and specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995

Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status	50-54 years	55-59 years	60-64 years	65-69 years	70-74 years	75-80 years	80-84 years	85 years and over			
Mexican, male											
Never married	13,033	10,797	6,540	443	2,667	1,374	1,492	1,316			
Married	215,691	169,838	152,478	112,339	72,136	27,478	23,277	10,330			
Widowed	7,435	4,208	5,330	13,565	19,613	15,628	10,942	7,433			
Divorced	32,620	22,558	9,984	13,017	4,240	6,971	2,108	1,169			
Mexican, female											
Never married	19,117	14,829	10,923	8,662	8,148	3,183	1,055	3,205			
Married	216,305	166,481	117,679	106,910	47,547	18,006	17,154	7,557			
Widowed	15,002	20,289	35,002	38,568	47,286	43,767	39,766	32,663			
Divorced	37,490	23,179	20,754	11,442	17,590	4,259	510	818			
Puerto Rican, male											
Never married	9,601	3,105	1,444	1,290	468	-	-	-			
Married	44,131	29,314	27,958	12,638	12,511	4,286	2,867	1,430			
Widowed	1,271	387	2,324	2,872	4,523	1,138	524	4,561			
Divorced	9,901	4,914	2,175	2,285	618	809	-	-			
Puerto Rican, female											
Never married	8,350	6,204	3,501	5,241	986	2,055	888	1,275			
Married	42,335	28,874	23,653	11,895	9,724	3,185	1,337	1,087			
Widowed	5,417	12,016	7,910	8,458	8,065	6,036	10,086	5,211			
Divorced	8,485	13,491	7,210	1,050	3,388	764	-	-			

Table K. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-Year age groups, marital status, race, and specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995

stationed outside the Officer States]											
Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status	50-54 years	55-59 years	60-64 years	65-69 years	70-74 years	75-80 years	80-84 years	85 years and over			
Cuban, male											
Never married	2,241	5,367	405	1,585	1,659	1,410	-	1,215			
Married	21,701	24,306	25,859	23,720	17,258	13,996	5,150	5,344			
Widowed	-	-	333	2,747	3,330	6,082	-	2,288			
Divorced	2,698	5,288	1,182	2,969	5,645	-	-	1,310			
Cuban, female											
Never married	2,010	3,291	2,681	1,748	2,446	2,655	1,464	1,431			
Married	14,709	16,049	29,335	17,843	8,711	6,557	3,474	2,435			
Widowed	-	932	2,209	5,355	11,808	17,290	9,867	13,100			
Divorced	6,347	5,319	3,225	7,917	5,780	3,878	-	480			
Other Hispanic, male											
Never married	10,912	5,307	6,752	1,747	766	1,040	1,453	-			
Married	79,200	60,827	41,963	43,361	21,221	17,703	9,617	5,614			
Widowed	-	4,920	2,232	3,653	5,533	1,998	466	545			
Divorced	10,043	5,112	5,589	2,624	4,414	2,212	1,759	-			
Other Hispanic, female											
Never married	12,922	8,740	4,302	10,334	5,525	3,919	1,710	1,388			
Married	86,550	56,533	48,604	33,638	15,819	8,468	4,930	1,633			
Widowed	8,132	10,369	15,231	23,716	26,283	24,842	14,301	14,051			
Divorced	14,797	15,418	8,189	6,937	7,471	3,188	940	-			

Table K. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-Year age groups, marital status, race, and specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995

Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status	50-54 years	55-59 years	60-64 years	65-69 years	70-74 years	75-80 years	80-84 years	85 years and over
White non-Hispanic, male								
Never married	265,431	191,875	150,639	165,098	132,961	66,643	50,033	41,993
Married	4,319,697	3,509,268	3,196,765	3,060,082	2,635,630	1,837,941	991,877	440,625
Widowed	57,619	73,973	128,368	242,289	302,201	339,713	316,175	364,329
Divorced	598,845	455,883	343,804	254,767	171,442	93,266	33,805	24,924
White non-Hispanic, female								
Never married	230,024	170,109	135,542	144,467	149,188	141,215	87,966	122,785
Married	4,129,325	3,286,163	2,950,324	2,684,533	2,215,955	1,344,292	606,109	271,670
Widowed	252,262	372,870	602,457	1,201,982	1,555,852	1,735,208	1,677,545	1,818,801
Divorced	825,342	645,286	500,702	373,951	257,351	156,523	98,240	56,390
Black non-Hispanic, male								
Never married	100,207	55,756	46,579	19,504	17,247	20,022	5,714	8,894
Married	368,346	342,800	268,571	245,912	185,192	108,401	58,578	43,872
Widowed	12,048	14,311	37,299	74,146	43,243	47,074	33,353	24,871
Divorced	109,319	65,935	55,880	37,643	27,031	12,865	3,779	232
Black non-Hispanic, female								
Never married	75,195	62,307	52,547	35,516	29,585	10,524	10,295	12,308
Married	394,359	341,342	266,416	207,257	143,074	73,496	29,678	13,275
Widowed	90,194	115,516	149,294	188,306	191,525	200,401	154,083	157,290
Divorced	169,182	90,957	73,729	76,135	39,544	21,079	12,100	6,130

Table K. Estimated population for ages 15 years and over, by 5-Year age groups, marital status, race, and specified Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, and sex: Total of 49 States and the District of Columbia, 1995

Hispanic origin, race for non-Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status	50-54 years	55-59 years	60-64 years	65-69 years	70-74 years	75-80 years	80-84 years	85 years and over
Other non-Hispanic, male								
Never married	7,512	8,008	5,454	1,658	7,442	2,509	-	2,182
Married	191,294	156,044	122,064	97,199	68,446	36,116	26,798	11,558
Widowed	2,184	2,605	2,662	9,124	8,203	14,155	4,310	4,044
Divorced	17,234	11,023	6,158	5,026	4,600	-	-	-
Other non-Hispanic, female								
Never married	12,222	6,151	2,817	6,373	3,231	5,685	3,933	-
Married	193,559	142,152	104,518	91,834	51,740	27,468	11,553	3,866
Widowed	24,677	20,167	37,256	42,250	52,450	33,290	24,900	25,953
Divorced	25,751	26,619	26,401	6,722	6,664	1,729	44	-

⁻ Quantity zero

Table L. Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990

		All races			White			Black		
Age	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	
All ages	0.9815	0.9721	0.9906	0.9802	0.9728	0.9873	0.9432	0.9151	0.9699	
Under 5 years	0.9632	0.9634	0.9629	0.9677	0.9685	0.9669	0.9160	0.9139	0.9182	
Under 1 year	0.9686	0.9684	0.9689	0.9770	0.9734	0.9725	0.9239	0.9214	0.9264	
1-4 years	0.9617	0.9621	0.9613	0.9664	0.9674	0.9723	0.9239	0.9214	0.9204	
1-4 years	0.9017	0.9021	0.9013	0.9004	0.9074	0.9034	0.9139	0.9119	0.9139	
5-14 years	0.9761	0.9768	0.9753	0.9740	0.9750	0.9730	0.9410	0.9402	0.9418	
5-9 years	0.9649	0.9655	0.9642	0.9657	0.9665	0.9649	0.9241	0.9230	0.9252	
10-14 years	0.9882	0.9891	0.9873	0.9830	0.9841	0.9818	0.9591	0.9586	0.9595	
15.04	1 0001	1 0000	1.0072	1 0022	1 0052	1 0010	0.0700	0.0522	0.0055	
15-24 years	1.0081	1.0088	1.0073	1.0032	1.0053	1.0010	0.9789	0.9723	0.9855	
15-19 years	1.0166	1.0198	1.0133	1.0094	1.0128	1.0059	0.9988	1.0016	0.9959	
20-24 years	1.0002	0.9987	1.0017	0.9975	0.9985	0.9966	0.9593	0.9432	0.9753	
25-34 years	0.9639	0.9463	0.9821	0.9614	0.9480	0.9755	0.9126	0.8666	0.9580	
25-29 years	0.9591	0.9439	0.9748	0.9558	0.9441	0.9681	0.9123	0.8732	0.9510	
30-34 years	0.9687	0.9487	0.9892	0.9669	0.9518	0.9828	0.9129	0.8599	0.9651	
25.44	0.0042	0.0000	0.0006	0.0016	0.0700	0.0025	0.0250	0.0067	0.0010	
35-44 years	0.9842	0.9689	0.9996	0.9816	0.9700	0.9935	0.9350	0.8867	0.9810	
35-39 years	0.9790	0.9628	0.9954	0.9764	0.9643	0.9888	0.9303	0.8808	0.9778	
40-44 years	0.9901	0.9758	1.0044	0.9875	0.9764	0.9988	0.9410	0.8943	0.9850	
45-54 years	0.9780	0.9628	0.9929	0.9772	0.9649	0.9894	0.9322	0.8805	0.9799	
45-49 years	0.9775	0.9633	0.9916	0.9762	0.9648	0.9877	0.9302	0.8807	0.9762	
50-54 years	0.9785	0.9623	0.9944	0.9784	0.9651	0.9914	0.9346	0.8802	0.9844	
	0.0024	0.0510	0.0007	0.0020	0.0504	0.00.52	0.05.15	0.0077	1.0120	
55-64 years	0.9824	0.9640	0.9995	0.9828	0.9684	0.9962	0.9545	0.8875	1.0138	
55-59 years	0.9794	0.9609	0.9968	0.9801	0.9656	0.9941	0.9426	0.8790	0.9999	
60-64 years	0.9854	0.9671	0.1002	0.9853	0.9712	0.9982	0.9675	0.8969	1.0287	

Table L. Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990

	All races		White			Black			
Age	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female
65-74 years	0.9960	0.9784	1.0101	0.9935	0.9781	1.0060	1.0211	0.9704	1.0596
65-69 years	0.9980	0.9776	1.0152	0.9943	0.9762	1.0096	1.0336	0.9786	1.0773
70-74 years	0.9934	0.9795	1.0040	0.9926	0.9807	1.0017	1.0049	0.9589	1.0376
75-84 years	1.0021	1.0046	1.0006	1.0038	1.0066	1.0021	0.9971	0.9913	1.0004
75-79 years	1.0082	1.0064	1.0094	1.0077	1.0065	1.0085	1.0258	1.0126	1.0337
80-84 years	0.9927	1.0015	0.9881	0.9978	1.0068	0.9931	0.9524	0.9547	0.9512
85 years and over	0.9411	0.9592	0.9342	0.9512	0.9696	0.9444	0.8503	0.8827	0.8373

SOURCE: Unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Table M. Age-adjusted death rates for selected causes by race and sex, unadjusted and adjusted for estimated net census undercount: United States, 1990

[Based on age-specific death rates per 100,000 population in specified group. Age-adjusted death rates per 100,000 U.S. standard population. Numbers after causes of deaths are numbers of the Ninth Revision, International Classification of Diseases, 1975.

Beginning 1987 includes category numbers *042-*044. See section "Cause of death"]

Race, sex, and adjustment for net census undercount	All causes	Human immunodeficiency virus infection (*042-*044)	Malignant neoplasms, including neoplasms of lymphatic and hematopoietic tissues (140-208)	Diabetes mellitus (250)	Diseases of heart (390-398,402, 404-429)	Cerebrovascular diseases (430-438)	Homicide and legal intervention (E960-E978)
All races							
Both sexes:							
Unadjusted	520.2	9.8	135.0	11.7	152.0	27.7	10.2
Adjusted	512.7	9.6	133.3	11.5	149.9	27.3	10.1
Male:	312.7	7.0	133.3	11.5	119.9	27.3	10.1
Unadjusted	680.2	17.7	166.3	12.3	206.7	30.2	16.3
Adjusted	664.3	17.0	162.4	12.1	202.1	29.6	15.9
Female:							
Unadjusted	390.6	2.1	112.7	11.1	108.9	25.7	4.2
Adjusted	387.9	2.1	112.6	11.0	107.9	25.4	4.2
White							
Both sexes:							
Unadjusted	492.8	8.0	131.5	10.4	146.9	25.5	5.9
Adjusted	485.9	7.8	129.9	10.4	145.0	25.2	5.7
Male:	403.7	7.0	12).)	10.2	145.0	23.2	3.7
Unadjusted	644.3	15.0	160.3	11.3	202.0	27.7	8.9
Adjusted	631.0	14.4	156.9	11.1	198.2	27.3	8.7
Female:	031.0	1	130.5	11.1	190.2	27.3	0.7
Unadjusted	369.9	1.1	111.2	9.5	103.1	23.8	2.8
Adjusted	367.0	1.0	110.8	9.5	102.2	23.5	2.7
J							
Black							
ъ. 1							
Both sexes:	700.0	25.7	100.0	24.0	212.5	40.4	20.5
Unadjusted	789.2	25.7	182.0	24.8	213.5	48.4	39.5
Adjusted Male:	760.0	23.9	177.0	24.1	207.2	46.9	37.4
Unadjusted	1,061.3	44.2	248.1	23.6	275.9	56.1	68.7
Adjusted	980.8	39.0	230.9	21.9	256.7	52.3	62.9
Female:	700.0	39.0	230.9	21.9	230.7	32.3	02.9
Unadjusted	581.6	9.9	137.2	25.4	168.1	42.7	13.0
Adjusted							
Tajastoa	579.4	9.7	138.4	25.7	168.2	42.7	12.7

Table N. Lower and upper 95% and 96% confidence limit factors for a death rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 deaths, D or D_{adj}

D or D_{adj}	L(1- a=.95, <i>D</i>)	U(1- a =.95, <i>D</i>)	L(1- a = .96, D) or L(1- a = .96, D_{adj})	U(1- a = .96,D) or $U(1- a = .96,D_{adj})$
1	0.02532	5.57164	0.02020	5.83392
2	0.12110	3.61234	0.10735	3.75830
3	0.20622	2.92242	0.18907	3.02804
4	0.27247	2.56040	0.25406	2.64510
5	0.32470	2.33367	0.30591	2.40540
6	0.36698	2.17658	0.34819	2.23940
7	0.40205	2.06038	0.38344	2.11666
8	0.43173	1.97040	0.41339	2.02164
9	0.45726	1.89831	0.43923	1.94553
10	0.47954	1.83904	0.46183	1.88297
11	0.49920	1.78928	0.48182	1.83047
12	0.51671	1.74680	0.49966	1.78566
13	0.53246	1.71003	0.51571	1.74688
14	0.54671	1.67783	0.53027	1.71292
15	0.55969	1.64935	0.54354	1.68289
16	0.57159	1.62394	0.55571	1.65610
17	0.58254	1.60110	0.56692	1.63203
18	0.59266	1.58043	0.57730	1.61024
19	0.60207	1.56162	0.58695	1.59042
20	0.61083	1.54442	0.59594	1.57230
21	0.61902	1.52861	0.60435	1.55563
22	0.62669	1.51401	0.61224	1.54026
23	0.63391	1.50049	0.61966	1.52602
24	0.64072	1.48792	0.62666	1.51278
25	0.64715	1.47620	0.63328	1.50043
26	0.65323	1.46523	0.63954	1.48888

Table N. Lower and upper 95% and 96% confidence limit factors for a death rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 deaths, D or D_{adj}

D or D_{adj}	L(1- a=.95, <i>D</i>)	U(1- a =.95, <i>D</i>)	L(1- a = .96, D) or L(1- a = .96, D_{adj})	U(1- a = .96,D) or $U(1- a = .96,D_{adj})$
27	0.65901	1.45495	0.64549	1.47805
28	0.66449	1.44528	0.65114	1.46787
29	0.66972	1.43617	0.65652	1.45827
30	0.67470	1.42756	0.66166	1.44922
31	0.67945	1.41942	0.66656	1.44064
32	0.68400	1.41170	0.67125	1.43252
33	0.68835	1.40437	0.67575	1.42480
34	0.69253	1.39740	0.68005	1.41746
35	0.69654	1.39076	0.68419	1.41047
36	0.70039	1.38442	0.68817	1.40380
37	0.70409	1.37837	0.69199	1.39743
38	0.70766	1.37258	0.69568	1.39134
39	0.71110	1.36703	0.69923	1.38550
40	0.71441	1.36172	0.70266	1.37991
41	0.71762	1.35661	0.70597	1.37454
42	0.72071	1.35171	0.70917	1.36938
43	0.72370	1.34699	0.71227	1.36442
44	0.72660	1.34245	0.71526	1.35964
45	0.72941	1.33808	0.71816	1.35504
46	0.73213	1.33386	0.72098	1.35060
47	0.73476	1.32979	0.72370	1.34632
48	0.73732	1.32585	0.72635	1.34218
49	0.73981	1.32205	0.72892	1.33818
50	0.74222	1.31838	0.73142	1.33431
51	0.74457	1.31482	0.73385	1.33057
52	0.74685	1.31137	0.73621	1.32694

Table N. Lower and upper 95% and 96% confidence limit factors for a death rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 deaths, D or $D_{\it adj}$

$D \ ext{or} \ D_{adj}$	L(1- a=.95, <i>D</i>)	U(1- a =.95, <i>D</i>)	L(1- a = .96, D) or L(1- a = .96, D_{adj})	U(1- a = .96, D) or U(1- a = .96, D_{adj})
53	0.74907	1.30802	0.73851	1.32342
54	0.75123	1.30478	0.74075	1.32002
55	0.75334	1.30164	0.74293	1.31671
56	0.75539	1.29858	0.74506	1.31349
57	0.75739	1.29562	0.74713	1.31037
58	0.75934	1.29273	0.74916	1.30734
59	0.76125	1.28993	0.75113	1.30439
60	0.76311	1.28720	0.75306	1.30152
61	0.76492	1.28454	0.75494	1.29873
62	0.76669	1.28195	0.75678	1.29601
63	0.76843	1.27943	0.75857	1.29336
64	0.77012	1.27698	0.76033	1.29077
65	0.77178	1.27458	0.76205	1.28826
66	0.77340	1.27225	0.76373	1.28580
67	0.77499	1.26996	0.76537	1.28340
68	0.77654	1.26774	0.76698	1.28106
69	0.77806	1.26556	0.76856	1.27877
70	0.77955	1.26344	0.77011	1.27654
71	0.78101	1.26136	0.77162	1.27436
72	0.78244	1.25933	0.77310	1.27223
73	0.78384	1.25735	0.77456	1.27014
74	0.78522	1.25541	0.77598	1.26810
75	0.78656	1.25351	0.77738	1.26610
76	0.78789	1.25165	0.77876	1.26415
77	0.78918	1.24983	0.78010	1.26223
78	0.79046	1.24805	0.78143	1.26036

Table N. Lower and upper 95% and 96% confidence limit factors for a death rate based on a Poisson variable of 1 through 99 deaths, D or D_{adj}

$D \ ext{or} \ D_{adj}$	L(1- a=.95, <i>D</i>)	U(1- a =.95, <i>D</i>)	L(1- a = .96, D) or L(1- a = .96, D_{adj})	U(1- a = .96,D) or $U(1- a = .96,D_{adj})$
79	0.79171	1.24630	0.78272	1.25852
80	0.79294	1.24459	0.78400	1.25672
81	0.79414	1.24291	0.78525	1.25496
82	0.79533	1.24126	0.78648	1.25323
83	0.79649	1.23965	0.78769	1.25153
84	0.79764	1.23807	0.78888	1.24987
85	0.79876	1.23652	0.79005	1.24824
86	0.79987	1.23499	0.79120	1.24664
87	0.80096	1.23350	0.79233	1.24507
88	0.80203	1.23203	0.79344	1.24352
89	0.80308	1.23059	0.79453	1.24201
90	0.80412	1.22917	0.79561	1.24052
91	0.80514	1.22778	0.79667	1.23906
92	0.80614	1.22641	0.79771	1.23762
93	0.80713	1.22507	0.79874	1.23621
94	0.80810	1.22375	0.79975	1.23482
95	0.80906	1.22245	0.80074	1.23345
96	0.81000	1.22117	0.80172	1.23211
97	0.81093	1.21992	0.80269	1.23079
98	0.81185	1.21868	0.80364	1.22949
99	0.81275	1.21746	0.80458	1.22822

NOTE: Table N was generated using the SAS $^{\otimes}$ code below. Users can compute other level Confidence Intervals by changing the alpha-value. Table N is a modified version of Table 40 (52).

```
* Program to compute confidence intervals for expectations of Poisson variables;

* Specify alpha for alpha*100% Confidence Interval;

%let alpha = .95;

data CI;

alo = (1-&alpha)/2;
ahi = (&alpha+1)/2;

do n = 1 to 99;

L = Gaminv (alo,n)/n;
U = Gaminv (ahi,n+1)/n;

output;
end;

proc print data= CI;
var n L U;

run;
```