# Public Use Data File Documentation

Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set: 1989 Birth Cohort



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics

Hyattsville, Maryland June 1995

This tape documentation was prepared in the Division of Vital David Johnson of the Systems and Programming Branch Statistics. and Kate Prager, previously of the Mortality Statistics Branch were responsible for developing the linked birth/infant death data set documentation. Linda Biggar of the Systems and Programming Branch and Marian MacDorman of the Mortality Statistics Branch were responsible for providing all needed modifications to keep it up-to-date. Bettie L. Hudson of the Mortality Statistics Branch coordinated preparation of the Mortality Technical Appendices. Joyce A. Martin of the Natality, Marriage and Divorce Statistics Branch coordinated preparation of the Natality Technical Appendix. The Registration Methods Branch and the Technical Services Branch provided consultation to State vital statistics offices regarding collection of birth and death certificate data.

Questions concerning the documentation or general questions concerning the linked file should be directed to the Systems and Programming Branch, Division of Vital Statistics, NCHS, 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 840, Hyattsville, MD 20782 Ph: (301) 436-8900.

Questions concerning the Mortality Technical Appendices or substantive questions concerning the data should be directed to the Mortality Statistics Branch, Division of Vital Statistics, NCHS, 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 840, Hyattsville, MD 20782 Ph: (301) 436-8884.

## Table of Contents

1.	Introduction, Methodology, and Classification of Data.
2.	Machine used, file and data characteristics.
3.	List of data elements and locations.
4.	Record layout and definition of items and codes.
5.	County geographic codes available on the public-use file.
6.	City geographic codes available on the public-use file.
7.	Titles and codes for the 61 cause-of-death list.
8.	Documentation tables 1-6.
9.	Technical Appendix for the 1989 Natality file.
10.	Technical Appendix for the 1989 Mortality file.
11.	Technical Appendix for the 1990 Mortality file.

## SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES

Symbol	Explanation
	Data not available
	Category not applicable
-	Quantity zero
0.0	Quantity more than 0 but less than 0.05
*	Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision

## Introduction

The Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set, 1989 Birth Cohort consists of three separate data files. The first file includes linked records of live births and infant deaths for the 1989 birth cohort -- also referred to as the numerator file. The second file is the live birth file for 1989, with a few minor modifications -- referred to as the denominator-plus file. The files are offered as a numerator/denominator data set to give users the means to compute infant mortality rates. The third file contains information from the death certificate for all infant death records which could not be linked to their corresponding birth certificates -- referred to as the unlinked death file.

The 1989 linked file is comprised of deaths to infants born in 1989 who died in 1989 or 1990 before their first birthday. Infant death records were extracted from the 1989 and 1990 National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) mortality statistical files. Linked birth records were extracted from a denominator file that contained the 1989 NCHS natality statistical file and a small number of late-filed birth certificates. Refer to the Methodology section for a more detailed explanation of records added to the statistical file. The denominator file is not identical with the NCHS natality statistical file.

The linked file of live births and infant deaths <u>includes</u> linked records for births and deaths that occurred in the United States to U.S. residents and to U.S. nonresidents. <u>Excluded</u> are deaths that occurred outside the United States to infants born in the U.S.; deaths that occurred in the United States to foreign-born infants; and births and deaths that occurred outside the United States to U.S. residents.

Sources for denominator data and for birth records included in the numerator file are described in detail in the 1989 Technical Appendix from the Natality Annual Volume; sources for death records included in the numerator file are described in detail in the 1989 and 1990 Technical Appendices, from the Mortality Annual Volumes. Copies of these Technical Appendices are included in this tape documentation.

Because of confidentiality concerns, only those counties of 250,000 or more population and only those cities of 250,000 or more population are identified in this data set. The population counts are based on the results of the 1980 census. Users should refer to the geographic code outline in this document for the list of available areas and codes.

In tabulations of linked data and denominator data, events occurring in the United States to U.S. nonresidents are <u>included</u> in tabulations that are by place of occurrence, and <u>excluded</u> from tabulations by place of residence. For linked data, these exclusions are based on the usual place of residence item of the mother. This item is contained in both the denominator file and the birth section of the numerator (linked) file. U.S. nonresidents are identified by a code 4 in location 11 of these files.

## <u>Methodology</u>

The methodology used to create the national file of linked birth and infant death records takes advantage of two existing data sources:

- 1. State linked files for the identification of linked birth and infant death certificates; and
- 2. NCHS natality and mortality computerized statistical files, the source of computer records for the two linked certificates.

Virtually all States routinely link infant death certificates to their corresponding birth certificates for legal and statistical purposes. When the birth and death of an infant occur in different States, linking the two records that are filed in different jurisdictions requires State cooperation for the exchange of records. In accordance with the terms of the "Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics Agreement for Administering the Vital Records Exchange System," copies of the records are exchanged by the State of death and State of birth in order to effect a link. In addition, if a third State is identified as the State of residence at the time of birth or death, that State is also sent a copy of the appropriate certificate by the State where the birth or death occurred.

The NCHS natality and mortality files, produced annually, include statistical data from birth and death certificates that are provided to NCHS by States under the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP). The data have been coded according to uniform coding specifications, have passed rigid quality control standards, have been edited and reviewed, and are the basis for official U.S. birth and death statistics.

To initiate processing, NCHS obtained computerized linked files from States that had them and extracted <u>only</u> the birth and death certificate numbers for linked records and State and year of occurrence. The States of Arizona and Nevada provided linkage information by posting birth certificate numbers on a

computer-generated list of infant death certificate numbers that was provided by NCHS. A file that contained only State-provided identifiers for linked certificates was then matched to the NCHS mortality and natality statistical files. Individual birth and death records were selected from their respective files and linked into a single statistical record, thereby establishing a national linked record file.

After the initial linkage, NCHS returned to the States of death copies or computer lists of unlinked infant death certificates for followup linking. If the birth occurred in a State different from the State of death, the State of birth identified on the death certificate was contacted to obtain the linking birth certificate.

If the linking birth certificate from another State had been renumbered, the State of death requested the original certificate number from the State of birth. If the linked birth certificate had been filed after NCHS closed its statistical files, States provided NCHS with a copy of the late-filed birth certificate. These certificates were coded, keyed, processed, <u>added to the</u> <u>denominator file</u> and then linked to the infant death record. Approximately 300 late-filed records were added to the denominator.

The birth record in the denominator file includes an item in tape location 1 that identifies whether or not the record is linked to an infant death. This item is included in the denominator record for users who would want to identify individual records for which the infant died in the first year of life, or survived.

### Changes Beginning with the 1989 Birth Cohort

Beginning with data for 1989, the U.S. Standard Certificate of Birth was redesigned to add a number of new items and to expand some previously reported items. Items that were added or changed from an open-ended to a checkbox format include: medical risk factors for the pregnancy, smoking, alcohol use, weight gain of the mother during pregnancy, obstetric procedures, complications of labor and/or delivery, method of delivery, abnormal conditions of the newborn, and congenital anomalies of child. An item on clinical estimate of gestation was also added, and the Hispanicorigin reporting area was expanded substantially.

The addition of these new items nearly doubled the record length of the 1989 Natality data tape. Because of this, the linked file record layout was redesigned beginning with 1989 data to create a more compact record layout while including all of the new information from the expanded birth certificate. In addition, a

number of innovations were added to the linked file, primarily to respond to requests from data users.

Selected variables from the numerator file have been added to the denominator file to facilitate processing. These variables are age at death (and recodes), underlying cause of death (and the 61-cause recode), autopsy, and place of accident. These variables are the most widely used variables from the numerator file. With the previous file format it was sometimes necessary to combine the numerator and denominator files when performing certain multivariate statistical techniques. In fact, NCHS received several calls each year asking how best to combine the numerator and denominator files while eliminating duplicate records. Now, when the number of variables required from the numerator file is limited, the denominator file may be used by itself for ease of programming. It is hoped that this small alteration in file structure will make the linked birth/infant death data set more convenient to use.

Infant death identification numbers have been added to both the numerator and denominator files, so that the same infant can be uniquely identified and matched between the two files. These numbers bear no relationship to birth or death certificate numbers, but are sequential numbers created solely for the purpose of identifying records for the same infant between the numerator and denominator files. This innovation will enhance processing of the file, as additional data from the numerator file can now be directly matched and imported into the denominator file.

Other new variables added to the file in 1989 include: exact age at death of the infant in days, day of the week of birth and death, and month of the year of birth and death.

Finally, a separate file of infant death records which could not be linked to their corresponding birth records has been added to provide additional information on unlinked records. The unlinked record file uses the same record layout as the numerator file of linked birth and infant death records. However, except as noted below, tape locations 1-88, reserved for information from the matching birth certificate, are blank since no matching birth certificate could be found for these records. Both race and sex of child (tape locations 209-210 and 77-78, respectively) contain information as reported on the death certificate, rather than the information as reported on the birth certificate as is the case with the linked record file. Also, date of birth as reported on the death certificate is used to generate age at death. This information is used in place of date of birth from the birth certificate, which is not available. This unlinked file has been added to provide additional information on unmatched records so

that data users who wish to make adjustments to the data (such as weighting) can do so.

## Percent of Records Linked

The 1989 birth cohort linked file includes 38,605 linked records representing 97.4 percent of the infant deaths to the 1989 birth cohort. After followup, records for some 1,029 infant deaths, or 2.6 percent of the deaths to the birth cohort, remained unlinked. These records are contained in the unlinked file. Documentation table 6 presents summary information about the unlinked death The table shows counts of unlinked records by race and records. age at death for each State of residence. The user is cautioned in using table 6 that the race and residence items are based on information reported at the time of death; whereas, tables 2-5 present data from the linked file in which the race and residence items are based on information reported at the time of birth. For more information, see discussions about race and residence on pages 4-5 of the Natality Technical Appendix and about infant deaths on pages 11-14 of the Mortality Technical Appendix in this documentation.

While the overall percent linked for infant deaths in the 1989 birth cohort is 97.4%, there are differences in percent linked by certain variables. These differences have important implications for how the data is analyzed.

Table 1 shows the percent of infant deaths linked by State of residence. While most States link a high percentage of infant deaths, linkage rates for some States are well below the national average. Note in particular the percent linked for Louisiana (91.4), Ohio (90.9) and Oklahoma (83.4). When a high percentage of deaths remain unlinked, infant mortality rates computed for these States are underestimated. Thus, caution must be used in comparing infant mortality rates by State from the linked file.

The percent of infant deaths linked by race and age at death is shown in Table 2. The percent linked for black infants is 96.9, lower than the percent linked for white infants (97.7). In general, a higher percentage of postneonatal (97.9), than neonatal deaths (97.1) are linked, and the percentage for early neonatal deaths (96.9) is lower still. Again, the lower the percent linked the more likely that infant mortality rates computed for these groups will be slightly underestimated. Also, since most early neonatal deaths are to very low birthweight infants, and since black infants are more likely to be born at very low birthweight, the patterns in percentage linked provide

Table 1. Percent of infant deaths linked by State of residence: United States, 1989 birth cohort

(For linked infant deaths, State of residence is at the time of birth. For unlinked infant deaths, State of residence is at the time of death.)

United States	97.48	Montana	98.5%
Alabama	100.0%	Nebraska	99.5%
Alaska	96.3%	Nevada	98.8%
Arizona	99.2%	New Hampshire	100.0%
Arkansas	98.9%	New Jersey	96.8%
California	96.0%	New Mexico	98.3%
Colorado	99.8%	New York	97.9%
Connecticut	98.6%	Upstate	97.9%
Delaware	100.0%	City	97.9%
District of C	olumbia 96.6%	North Carolina	98.6%
Florida	99.8%	North Dakota	100.0%
Georgia	99.9%	Ohio	90.9%
Hawaii	97.4%	Oklahoma	83.4%
Idaho	99.4%	Oregon	100.0%
Illinois	98.5%	Pennsylvania	95.4%
Indiana	97.3%	Rhode Island	100.0%
Iowa	99.4%	South Carolina	100.0%
Kansas	98.8%	South Dakota	99.1%
Kentucky	98.4%	Tennessee	99.6%
Louisiana	91.4%	Texas	95.6%
Maine	100.0%	Utah	99.3%
Maryland	96.2%	Vermont	100.0%
Massachusetts	99.3%	Virginia	98.0%
Michigan	99.3%	Washington	99.7%
Minnesot <u>a</u>	100.0%	West Virginia	98.1%
Mississippi	98.4%	Wisconsin	97.8%
Missouri	99.5%	Wyoming	98.3%

Table 2. Percent of infant deaths linked by race and age at death: United States, 1989 birth cohort

(Infant deaths are under 1 year. Neonatal deaths are under 28 days; early neonatal, 0-6 days; late neonatal, 7-27 days, and postneonatal, 28 days through 11 months)

	All races	White	Black
Infant	97.4%	97.7%	96.9%
Total Neonatal	97.1%	97.5%	96.4%
Early Neonatal	96.9%	97.4%	96.0%
Late Neonatal	98.2%	98.2%	98.6%
Postneonatal	97.9%	97.9%	97.7%

indirect evidence of lower linkage rates for very low birthweight infants. This hypothesis is supported by relatively low infant mortality rates for infants with birthweights under 500 grams for a few States (data not shown). Variations in percent matched by underlying cause of death have also been noted, particularly a slightly lower percent matched for ICD-9 No. 765 - Disorders relating to short gestation and unspecified low birthweight (data not shown). So, although the data is generally of good quality, variations in the percent of records linked should be taken into account when comparing infant mortality rates for particular States, race groups, age, or birthweight categories.

## Demographic and Medical Classification

The documents listed below describe in detail the procedures employed for demographic classification on both the birth and death records and medical classification on death records. While not absolutely essential to the proper interpretation of the data for a number of general applications, these documents should nevertheless be studied carefully prior to any detailed analysis of demographic or medical (especially multiple cause) data variables. In particular, there are a number of exceptions to the ICD rules in multiple cause-of-death coding which, if not treated properly, may result in faulty analysis of the data.

- A. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and the Cause-of-Death, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) Volumes 1 and 2.
- B. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation Part 2a, Vital Statistics Instructions for Classifying the Underlying Cause-of-Death. Published annually.
- C. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 2b, Vital Statistics Instructions for Classifying Multiple Cause-of-Death. Published annually.
- D. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 2c, Vital Statistics ICD-9 ACME Decision Tables for Classifying Underlying Causes-of-Death. Published annually.
- E. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 2d, Vital Statistics NCHS Procedures for Mortality Medical Data System File Preparation and Maintenance, Effective 1985.
- F. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Tabulation, Part 2f, Vital Statistics ICD-9 TRANSAX Disease Reference Tables for Classifying Multiple Causes-of-Death, 1982-85.

- G. NCHS Instruction Manual Part 2g, Vital Statistics, Data Entry Instructions for the Mortality Medical Indexing, Classification, and Retrieval system (MICAR). Published annually.
- H. NCHS Instruction Manual Part 2h, Vital Statistics, Dictionary of Valid Terms for the Mortality Medical Indexing, Classification, and Retrieval System (MICAR). Published annually.
- I. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 3a, Vital Statistics Classification and Coding Instructions for Live Birth Records. Published annually.
- J. NCHS Instruction Manual Data Preparation, Part 4, Vital Statistics Demographic Classification and Coding Instructions for Death Records. Published annually.
- K. NCHS Instruction Manual Tabulation, Part 11, Vital Statistics Computer Edits for Mortality Data, Effective 1989.

Volumes 1 and 2 of the ICD-9 may be purchased from WHO Publication Center USA, 49 Sheridan Avenue, Albany, New York, 12210. The remaining documents may be requested from the Chief, Data Preparation Branch, Division of Data Processing, National Center for Health Statistics, P.O.Box 12214, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709.

In addition, the user should refer to the Technical Appendices of the <u>Vital Statistics of the United States</u> for information on the source of data, coding procedures, quality of the data, etc. The Technical Appendices for natality and mortality are part of this documentation package.

### Cause-of-Death Data

Mortality data are traditionally analyzed and published in terms of underlying cause-of-death. The underlying cause-of-death data are coded and classified as described in the 1989 and 1990 Mortality Technical Appendices. NCHS has augmented underlying cause-of-death data with data on multiple causes reported on the death certificate. The linked file includes both underlying and multiple cause-of-death data.

The multiple cause of death codes were developed with two objectives in mind. First, to facilitate etiological studies of the relationships among conditions, it was necessary to reflect accurately in coded form each condition and its location on the death certificate in the exact manner given by the certifier.

Secondly, coding needed to be carried out in a manner by which the underlying cause of death could be assigned through computer applications. The approach was to suspend the linkage provisions of the ICD for the purpose of condition coding and code each entity with minimum regard to other conditions present on the certification. This general approach is hereafter called entity coding.

Unfortunately, the set of multiple cause codes produced by entity coding is not conducive to a third objective -- the generation of person based multiple cause statistics. Person based analysis requires that each condition be coded within the context of every other condition on the same certificate and modified or linked to such conditions as provided by ICD-9. By definition, the entity data cannot meet this requirement since the linkage provisions distort the character and placement of the information originally recorded by the certifying physician.

Since the two objectives are incompatible, NCHS has chosen to create from the original set of entity codes a new code set called record axis multiple cause data. Essentially, the axis of classification has been converted from an entity basis to a record (or person) basis. The record axis codes are assigned in terms of the set of codes that best describe the overall medical certification portion of the death certificate.

This translation is accomplished by a computer system called TRANSAX (TRANSLATION OF AXIS) through selective use of traditional linkage and modification rules for mortality coding. Underlying cause linkages which simply prefer one code over another for purposes of underlying cause selection are not Each entity code on the record is examined and included. modified or deleted as necessary to create a set of codes which are free of contradictions and are the most precise within the constraints of ICD-9 and medical information on the record. Repetitive codes are deleted. The process may (1) combine two entity axis categories together to a new category thereby eliminating a contradiction or standardizing the data; or (2) eliminate one category in favor of another to promote specificity of the data or resolve contradictions. The following examples from ICD-9 illustrate the effect of this translation:

Case 1: When reported on the same record as separate entities, cirrhosis of liver and alcoholism are coded to 5715 (cirrhosis of liver without mention of alcohol) and 303 (alcohol dependence syndrome). Tabulation of records with 5715 would on the surface falsely imply that such records had no mention of alcohol. A preferable codification would be 5712 (alcoholic cirrhosis of liver) in lieu of both 5715 and 303.

- Case 2: If "gastric ulcer" and "bleeding gastric ulcer" are reported on a record they are coded to 5319 (gastric ulcer, unspecified as acute or chronic, without mention of hemorrhage or perforation) and 5314 (gastric ulcer, chronic or unspecified, with hemorrhage). A more concise codification would be to code 5314 only since the 5314 shows both the gastric ulcer and the bleeding.
- A. Entity Axis Codes

The original conditions coded for selection of the underlying cause of death are reformatted and edited prior to creating the public-use tape. The following paragraphs describe the format and application of entity axis data.

FORMAT: Each entity-axis code is displayed as an overall seven byte code with subcomponents as follows:

1.	Line indicator:	The first byte represents the line of the certificate on which the code appears. Six lines (1-6) are allowable with the fourth and fifth denoting one or two written in "due to"s beyond the three lines provided in Part I of the U.S. standard death certificate. Line "6" represents Part II of the certificate.
2.	Position indicator:	The next byte indicates the position of the code on the line, i.e., it is the first

- 3. Cause category:
- 4. Nature of injury flag:

the ICD-9 cause code. ICD-9 uses the same series of

The next four bytes represent

(1), second (2), third (3),... eighth (8) code on the line.

numbers (800-999) to indicate nature of injury (N codes) and external cause codes (E codes). This flag distinguishes between the two with a one (1) representing nature of injury codes and a zero (0) representing all other cause codes.

A maximum of 20 of these seven byte codes are captured on a record for multiple-cause purposes. This may consist of a maximum of 8 codes on any given line with up to 20 codes distributed across three or more lines depending on where the subject conditions are located on the certificate. Codes may be omitted from one or more lines, e.g., line 1 with one or more codes, line 2 with no codes, line 3 with one or more codes.

In writing out these codes, they are ordered as follows: line 1 first code, line 1 second code, etc. ----- line 2 first code, line 2 second code, etc. ----- line 3 ----line 4 ----- line 5 ----- line 6. Any space remaining in the field is left blank. The specifics of locations are contained in the record layout given later in this document.

EDIT: The original conditions are edited to remove invalid codes, reverify the coding of certain rare causes of death, and assure age/cause and sex/cause compatibility. Detailed information relating to the edit criteria and the sets of cause codes which are valid to underlying cause coding and multiple cause coding are provided in Part 11 of the NCHS Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series.

ENTITY AXIS APPLICATIONS: The entity axis multiple cause data is appropriate to analyses which require that each condition be coded as a stand alone entity without linkage to other conditions and/or require information on the placement of such conditions in the certificate. Within this framework, the entity data are appropriate to the examination of etiological relationships among conditions, accuracy of certification reporting, and the validity of traditional assumptions in underlying cause selection.

Additionally, the entity data provide in certain categories a more detailed code assignment which is linked out in the creation of record axis data. Where such detail is needed for a study, the user should selectively employ entity data. Finally, the researcher may not wish to be bound by the assumptions used in the axis translation process preferring rather to investigate hypotheses of his own predilection.

By definition, the main limitation of entity axis data is that an entity code does not necessarily reflect the best code for a condition when considered within the context of the medical certification as a whole. As a result certain entity codes can be misleading or even contradict other codes in the record. For example, category 5750 is titled "Acute cholecystitis without mention of calculus". Within the framework of entity codes this is interpreted to mean

that the codable entity itself contained no mention of calculus rather than that calculus was not mentioned anywhere on the record. Tabulation of records with a "5750" as a count of persons having acute cholecystitis without mention of calculus would therefore be erroneous. This illustrates the fact that under entity coding the ICD-9 titles cannot be taken literally. The user must study the rules for entity coding as they relate to his/her research prior to utilization of entity data. The user is further cautioned that the inclusion notes in ICD-9 which relate to modifying and combining categories are seldom applicable to entity coding (except where provided in Part 2b of the Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series).

In tabulating the entity axis data, one may count codes with the resultant tabulation of an individual code representing the number of times the disease(s) represented by the code appears in the file. In this kind of tabulation of morbid condition prevalence, the counts among categories may be added together to produce counts for groups of codes. Alternatively, subject to the limitations given above, one may count persons having mention of the disease represented In this instance it is not correct to by a code or codes. add counts for individual codes to create person counts for groups of codes. Since more than one code in the researcher's interest may appear together on the certificate, totaling must account for higher order interactions among codes. Up to 20 codes may be assigned on a record; therefore, a 20-way interaction is theoretically possible. All totaling must be based on mention of one or more of the categories under investigation.

B. Record Axis Codes

The following paragraphs describe the format and application of record-axis data. Part 2f of the Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series describes the TRANSAX process for creating record axis data from entity axis data.FORMAT: Each record (or person) axis code is displayed in five bytes. Location information is not relevant. The Code consists of the following components:

1.	Cause category:	The first four bytes represent the ICD-9 cause code.
2.	Nature of injury flag:	The last byte contains a 0 or 1 with the 1 indicating that the cause is a nature of injury category.

Again, a maximum of 20 codes are captured on a record for multiple cause purposes. The codes are written in a 100-byte field in ascending code number (5 bytes) order with any unused bytes left blank.

EDIT: The record axis codes are edited for rare causes and age/cause and sex/cause compatibility. Likewise, individual code validity is checked. The valid code set for record axis coding is the same as that for entity coding.

RECORD AXIS APPLICATIONS: The record axis multiple cause data set is the basis for NCHS core multiple cause tabulations. Location of codes is not relevant to this data set and conditions have been linked into the most meaningful categories for the certification. The most immediate consequence for the user is that the codes on the record already represent mention of a disease assignable to that particular ICD-9 category. This is in contrast to the entity code which is assigned each time such a disease is reported on two different lines of the certification. Secondly, the linkage implies that within the constraints of ICD-9 the most meaningful code has been assigned. The translation process creates for the user a data set which is edited for contradictions, duplicate codes, and imprecisions. In contrast to entity axis data, record axis data are classified in a manner comparable to underlying cause of death classification thereby facilitating joint analysis of these variables. Likewise, they are comparable to general morbidity coding where the linkage provisions of ICD-9 are usually utilized. A potential disadvantage of record axis data is that some detail is sacrificed in a number of the linkages.

The user can take the record axis codes as literally representing the information conveyed in ICD-9 category titles. While knowledge of the rules for combining and linking and coding conditions is useful, it is not a prerequisite to meaningful analysis of the data as long as one is willing to accept the assumptions of the axis translation process. The user is cautioned, however, that due to special rules in mortality coding, not all linkage notes in ICD-9 are utilized. (See Part 2f of the Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series.) The user should proceed with caution in using record axis data to count conditions as opposed to people with conditions since linkages have been invoked and duplicate codes have been eliminated. As with entity data, person based tabulations which combine individual cause categories must take into account the possible interaction of up to 20 codes on a single certificate.

In using the NCHS multiple cause data, the user is urged to review the information in this document and its references. The instructional material does change from year to year and revision to revision. The user is cautioned that coding of specific ICD-9 categories should be checked in the appropriate instruction manual. What may appear on the surface to be the correct code by ICD-9 may in fact not be correct as given in the instruction manuals.

If on the surface it is not obvious whether entity axis or record axis data should be employed in a given application, detailed examination of Part 2f of the Vital Statistics Instruction Manual Series and its attachments will probably provide the necessary information to make a decision. It allows the user to determine the extent of the trade-offs between the two sets of data in terms of specific categories and the assumptions of axis translation. In certain situations, a combination of entity and record axis data may be the more appropriate alternative. Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set: 1989 Birth Cohort Machine/File/Data Characteristics:

- I. Denominator File:
  - A. Machine used:
  - B. Language used:
  - C. File Organization:
  - D. Record Format:
  - E. Record count:
  - F. Record length:
  - G. Blocksize:
  - H. Recording mode:
  - J. Last block
  - I. Code Scheme
  - K. Data counts:

- IBM/3081 PL/I One file, multiple tapes Blocked, fixed format 4,045,881 225 32625 IBM/EBCDIC 8-bit code May be a short block Numeric/Alphabetic/Blank a. By occurrence: 4,045,881 b. By residence: 4,041,146
  - c. To foreign residents: 4,735

- II. Numerator File:
  - A. Machine used:
  - B. Language used:
  - C. File Organization:
  - D. Record Format:
  - E. Record count:
  - F. Record length:
  - G. Blocksize:
  - H. Recording mode:
  - J. Last block
  - I. Code Scheme
  - K. Data counts:

III. Unlinked File:

- A. Machine used:
  B. Language used:
  C. File Organization:
  D. Record Format:
  E. Record count:
  F. Record length:
  G. Blocksize:
  H. Recording mode:
  J. Last block
  I. Code Scheme
  K. Data counts:
- IBM/3081
  PL/I
  One file, one tape
  Blocked, fixed format
  38,605
  535
  32635
  IBM/EBCDIC 8-bit code
  May be a short block
  Numeric/Alphabetic/Blank
  a. By occurrence: 38,605
  b. By residence: 38,578
  c. To foreign residents: 27
- IBM/3081 PL/I One file, one tape Blocked, fixed format 1,029 535 32635 IBM/EBCDIC 8-bit code May be a short block Numeric/Alphabetic/Blank a. By occurrence: 1,029 b. By residence: 1,027 c. To foreign residents: 2

## Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set

## List of Data Elements and Locations

		Denominator-	Numerator	File Un	linked
	<u>Data_Items</u>	<u>Plus File</u>	<u>Birth</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>File</u>
•	Ceneral				
	Match status	1	1		1
a. h	Infant death number	2-6	2-6		
р. С	Vear of hirth	7-10	<b>7~1</b> 0		7-10*
с. а	Vear of death			522-525	522-525
е.	Resident status	11	11	505	505
2.	Occurrence				
 a.	FTPS state	14-15	14-15	506-507	506-507
b.	FIPS county	16-18	16-18	508 <b>-</b> 510	508-510
3.	Residence				
a.	FIPS state	19-20	19-20	511-512	511-512
b.	FIPS county	21-23	21-23	513-515	513-515
с.	NCHS state	24-25	24-25	516-517	516-517
d.	NCHS city	26-28	26-28	518-520	518-520
4.	Infant				
a.	Age	213-216		213-216	213-216+
b.	Race	209-210	209-210		209-210*
c.	Sex	77-78	77-78		77-78*
d.	Gestation	72 <b>-</b> 76	72-76		
e.	Birthweight	79-85	79-85		
f.	Plurality	86-87	86-87		
g.	Apgar score	88-91	88-91		
h.	Day of week of birth/death	u 207	207	528	528
i.	Month of birth/death	69-71	69-71	526-527	526-527
5.	Mother				
a.	Age	29-32	29-32		
b.	Race	35-38	35-38		
с.	Education	39-41	39-41		
d.	Marital status	42-43	42-43		
e.	Place of birth	44-46	44-46		
f.	Hispanic origin	33-34	33-34		
6.	Father				
a.	Age	60-62	60-62		
b.	Race	65 <b>-</b> 66	65-66		
c.	Education	67-68	67-68		
d.	Hispanic origin	63-64	63-64		

## Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set

,

## List of Data Elements and Locations

	<u>Data Items</u>	Denominator- <u>Plus File</u>	Numerato <u>Birth</u>	r File Un <u>Death</u>	nlinked <u>File</u>
7.	Pregnancy items				
a.	Interval since last live				
	birth	57-59	57-59		
b.	Month prenatal care began	51-53	51-53		
c.	Number of prenatal visits	54-55	54-55		
d.	Adequacy of care recode	56	56		
e.	Total birth order	47-48	47-48		
f.	Live birth order	49-50	49-50		
8.	Medical and Health Data				
a.	Method of delivery	92-99	92-99		
b.	Medical risk factors	101-117	101-117		
c.	Other risk factors				
	Tobacco	118 <del>-</del> 121	118-121		
	Alcohol	122-125	122-125		
	Weight gain during pregnan	cy 126-128	126-128		
d.	Obstetric procedures	130-136	130-136		
e.	Complications of labor and	/or			
	delivery	138-153	138-153		~-
f.	Abnormal conditions of the				
	newborn	155-163	155 <del>-</del> 163		
g.	Congenital anomalies	165-186	165-186		
h.	Underlying cause of death	219-222		219-222	219-222
i.	61 Infant cause recode	223-225		223-225	223-225
j.	Multiple conditions			261-504	261-504
9.	Other items				
a.	Place of delivery	12	12		
b.	Attendant at birth	13	13		
с.	Hospital and patient statu	s		521	521
d.	Autopsy performed	217			217
e.	Place of accident	218			218
f.	Residence reporting flags	187-204	187-204		

\* For the unlinked file, these items are from the death certificate. See section on <u>Changes Beginning with 1989 Data</u> for explanation.

+ For the unlinked file, date of birth as reported on the death certificate is used to generate age at death. See section on <u>Changes Beginning with 1989 Data</u> for explanation.

Item Location	Ite <b>m</b> <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code</u>	Outline
1	1	<u>MATCHS</u> Match_Status	
		1 2	Matched Birth/Infant Death Record Late Filed Matched Birth/Infant Death Record
		3 4 <i></i>	Surviving infant record Unmatched infant death record Note: This code is used in the unlinked record file only.
2-6	5	IDNUMBER	

## Infant Death Number

This number uniquely identifies the same infant in the numerator and denominator-plus files.

Locations 7-212 of the linked file contain data from the Birth Certificate. Locations 213-535 of linked file contain data from the Death Certificate.

Residence items in the Denominator Record and in the natality section of the Numerator (linked) Record refer to the usual place of residence of the Mother; whereas in the mortality section of the Numerator (Linked) Record, these items refer to the residence of the Decedent.

7-10	4	<u>BIRYR</u>
		Year of Birth

1

1

1989 ... Born in 1989

11

## RESSTATE

Resident Status - Birth

1		RESIDENTS: State and county of
		occurrence and residence are the same.
2	•••	INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of
		same, but county is different.
3	•••	INTERSTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of
		different, but both are in the 50
		States and D.C.
4		FOREIGN RESIDENTS: State of
		occurrence is one of the 50 States
		or the District of Columbia, but
		place of residence of mother is
		outside of the 50 States and D.C.

1	
_	

PLDEL

#### Place or Facility of Delivery

1	 Hospital
2	 Freestanding Birthing Center
3	 Clinic or Doctor's Office
4	 A Residence
5	 Other
9	 Unknown or Not Stated

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
13	1	<u>BIRATTND</u> Attendant at Delivery
		<ol> <li>1 Doctor of Medicine (M.D.)</li> <li>2 Doctor of Osteopathy (D.O.)</li> <li>3 Certified Nurse Midwife (C.N.M.)</li> <li>4 Other Midwife</li> <li>5 Other</li> <li>9 Unknown or not stated</li> </ol>
14-18	5	<u>FIPSOCCB</u> <u>Federal Information Processing Standards</u> <u>(FIPS) Geographic Codes (Occurrence) - Birth</u>
		Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.
14-15	2	<u>STOCCFIPB</u> State of Occurrence (FIPS) - Birth
		<pre>01 Alabama 02 Alaska 04 Arizona 05 Arkansas 06 California 08 Colorado 09 Connecticut 10 Delaware 11 District of Columbia 12 Florida 13 Georgia 15 Hawaii 16 Idaho 17 Illinois 18 Indiana 19 Iowa 20 Kansas 21 Kentucky 22 Louisiana 23 Maine 24 Maryland 25 Massachusetts 26 Michigan 27 Minnesota 28 Mississippi 29 Missouri 30 Mohana 31 Nebraska 32 Nevada 33 New Hampshire</pre>

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline
14-15	2	STOCCFIPB
		<u>State of Occurrence (FIPS) - Birth (Cont'd)</u>
		34New Jersey35New Mexico36New York37North Carolina38North Dakota39Ohio40Oklahoma41Oregon42Pennsylvania44Dakota
		44Rhode Island45South Carolina46South Dakota47Tennessee48Texas49Utah50Vermont51Virginia53Washington54West Virginia55Wisconsin56Wyoming
16-18	3	CNTOCFIPB County of Occurrence (FIPS) - Birth 001-nnn Counties and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State. (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be used.) 999 County with less than 250,000 population
19-23	5	FIPSRESB Federal Information_Processing Standards (FIPS) Geographic Codes (Residence) - Birth Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.

•

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
19-20	2	<u>STRESFIPB</u> State of Residence (FIPS) - Birth
<u>19-20</u>		STRESFIPEState of Residence (FIPS) - Birth00Foreign residents01Alabama02Alaska04Arizona05Arkansas06California08Colorado09Connecticut10Delaware11District of Columbia12Florida13Georgia15Hawaii16Idaho17Illinois18Indiana19Iowa20Kansas21Kentucky22Louisiana23Maine24Maryland25Minnesota26Michigan27Minnesota28Mississippi29New Hampshire34New Hampshire34New York37North Carolina38North Dakota39Ohio40Oklahoma41Oregon42Pennsylvania44Rhode Island45South Carolina46South Carolina47 <t< th=""></t<>
		51 Virginia 53 Washington 54 West Virginia 55 Wisconsin 56 Wyoming

1989

Item Location	Item Length	Variable Name Item_and_Code	s, e Outline
21-23	3	<u>CNTYRFPB</u> County of Res	sidence (FIPS) - Birth
		000 001-nnn 999	Foreign residents Counties and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be used.) County with less than 250,000
	-		population
24-25	2	<u>BRSTATE</u> <u>State_Resider</u>	nce - NCHS Codes - Birth
		01        A         02        A         03        A         04        A         05        C         06        C         07        C         08        F         10        F         11        C         12        F         13        F         13        F         13        F         14        F         18        F         20        F         21        F         22        F         23        F         24        F         29        F         30        F         31        F         33        F         34        F         35        F <tr td="">       F         36<td>Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Dichigon Pennsylvania</td></tr>	Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Dichigon Pennsylvania
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Dichigon Pennsylvania			

Item Location	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
24-25	2	<u>BRSTATE</u> State of Residence - NCHS Codes - Birth (Cont'd)
		40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming52-57,59Foreign Residents52Puerto Rico53Virgin Islands54Guam
		55 Canada 56 Cuba 57 Mexico 59 Remainder of the World
26-28	3	CITYRESB City of Residence - NCHS Codes - Birth A complete list of cities is shown in the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document. 001-nnn Cities are numbered alphabetically within each State and identify each city with a population of 250.000 or
		more in 1980. (Note: To uniquely identify a city, both the State and city codes must be used. State, county and city codes may also be used.) 999 Entire county, balance of county, or city less than 250,000 population ZZZ Foreign residents
29	1	MAGEFLG Age of Mother Flaq This position is flagged whenever age is imputed or the mother's reported age is used. The reported age is used, if valid, when computed age derived from the date of birth is not available or when it is outside the 10-49 code range.
		Blank Not imputed and reported age is not used 1 Reported age is used 2 Age is imputed

•

1989

## Denominator-Plus Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline
30-31	2	<u>DMAGE</u> Age of Mother
		This item is: a) computed using dates of birth of mother and of delivery; b) reported; or c) imputed. This is the age item used in NCHS publications.
		10-49 Age in single years
32	1	<u>MAGER8</u> Age of Mother Recode 8
		1        Under 15 years         2        15 - 19 years         3        20 - 24 years         4        25 - 29 years         5        30 - 34 years         6        35 - 39 years         7        40 - 44 years         8        45 - 49 years
33	1	<u>ORMOTH</u> Hispanic Origin of Mother
		Origin is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.
		0 Non-Hispanic 1 Mexican 2 Puerto Rican 3 Cuban 4 Central or South American 5 Other and unknown Hispanic 9 Origin unknown or not stated
34	1	<u>ORRACEM</u> Hispanic Origin and Race of Mother Recode
		Origin is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.
		1Mexican2Puerto Rican3Cuban4Central or South American5Other and unknown Hispanic6Non-Hispanic White7Non-Hispanic Black8Non-Hispanic other races9Origin unknown or not stated
35	1	MRACEIMP Race of Mother Imputation Flag
		Blank Race is not imputed 1 Race is imputed

•

**..** .

It <b>em</b> <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Na Item and Co	ame, ode Out	<u>line</u>
36-37	2	<u>MRACE</u> Race of Mot	<u>ther</u>	
		Race code previous	es effe years.	ective with 1989 data differ from
		01		White
		02		Black
		03	•••	American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)
		04		Chinese
		05		Japanese
		06		Hawaiian (includes Part-Hawaiian)
		07		Filipino
		08		Other Asian or Pacific Islander
		09	• • •	All other Races
38	1	MRACE3		
		Race of Mot	<u>cher Ke</u>	
		1		White
		2		Races other than White or Black
		3	•••	Black
39-40	2	<u>DMEDUC</u> Education of	of Moth	ner Detail
		Education	n is no g flags	ot reported by all areas. See
		00		No formal advertion
		01-08	•••	Vears of elementary school
		01-08	• • •	l woar of bigh gabool
		10	•••	2 years of high school
		11	•••	2 years of high school
		12		A years of high school
		13		1 year of college
		14		2 years of college
		15		3 years of college
		16		4 years of college
		17		5 or more years of college
		99	•••	Not stated
41	1	MEDUC6		
		<u>Education c</u>	of_Moth	<u>ler_Recode</u>
		Education reporting	n is no g flags	ot reported by all areas. See S.
		1		0 - 8 years
		2		9 - 11 vears
		Ĩ		12 years
		2 4		1 <b>3 -</b> 15 years
		5		16 years and over
		6		Not stated

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable M Item and C	lame, Code_Outl	ine
42	1	<u>DMARIMP</u> <u>Marital St</u>	atus of	Mother Imputation Flag
		Blank 1	•••	Marital status is not imputed Marital status is imputed
43	1	<u>DMAR</u> <u>Marital St</u>	atus of	Mother
		1 2	•••	Married Unmarried
44-45	2	<u>MPLBIR</u> <u>Place of F</u>	li <u>rth of</u>	Mother
		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30		Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire
		31 32 33 34	••••	New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina

ţ.

Item Location	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
44-45	2	<u>MPLBIR</u> Place of Birth of Mother Cont'd
		35 North Dakota
		36 Ohio
		37 Oklahoma
		38 Oregon
		39 Pennsylvania
		40 Rhode Island
		41 South Carolina
		42 South Dakota
		43 Tennessee
		44 Texas
		45 Utah
		46 Vermont
		47 Virginia
		48 Washington
		49 West Virginia
		50 Wisconsin
		51 Wyoming 52 Buerto Dico
		52 Fuerco Rico
		54 Guam
		55 Canada
		56 Cuba
		57 Mexico
		59 Remainder of the world
		99 Not Classifiable
46	1	MPLBIRR Place of Birth of Mother Perode
		THEE OF BITCH OF MOUNCE ACCORE
		1 Born in the 50 States and D.C.
		2 Born outside the 50 States and D.C.
		3 Unknown or not stated
47-48	2	<u>DTOTORD</u> Detail Total Birth Order
		Sum of live birth order and other terminations of pregnancy. If either item is unknown, this item is made unknown.
		01-40 Total number of live births and
		99 Unknown
49-50	2	DLIVORD
		<u>Detail Live Birth Order</u>
		00-31 Number of children born alive to mother
		99 Unknown

1989

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	
51-52	2	<u>MONPRE</u> Detail Month of Pregnancy Prenatal Care Began	
		00 No prenatar care	
		01 $2nd$ month	
		03 3rd month	
		04 4th month	
		05 5th month	
		06 6th month	
		07 7th month	
		08 8th month	
		09 9th month	
		99 Unknown or not stated	
53	1	MPRE5	
		<u>Month Prenatal Care Began Recode 5</u>	
		1 1st Trimester (1st-3rd month)	
		2 2nd Trimester (4th-6th month)	
		3 3rd Trimester (7th-9th month)	
		4 No prenatal care	
		5 Unknown or not stated	
54-55	2	NPREVIST	
		<u>Total Number of Prenatal Visits</u>	
		00 No prenatal visits	
		01-48 Stated number of visits	
		49 49 or more visits	
		99 Unknown or not stated	
56	1	ADEQUACY	
		<u>Adequacy of Care Recode (Kessner Index)</u>	
		This code is based on a modified Kessner criteric Month Prenatal Care Began, Number of Prenatal	on.
		Visits, and Gestation are the items used to gener this recode.	rate
		1 Adequate	
		2 Intermediate	
		3 Inadequate	
		4 Unknown	

.

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code_Outline</u>
57-59	3	<u>DISLLB</u> Interval Since Last Live Birth
		This item was computed using date of birth of the child and date of last live birth.
		777 No previous live birth 000 Zero months (plural birth) 001-468 One - four hundred sixty-eight months
		999 Unknown
60	1	<u>FAGERFLG</u> Reported Age of Father Used Flag
		This position is flagged whenever the father's reported age in years is used. The reported age is used, if valid, when age derived from date of birth is not available or when it is less than 10.
		Blank Reported age is not used 1 Reported age is used
61-62	2	<u>DFAGE</u> Age of Father
		This item is either computed from date of birth of father and of child or is the reported age. This is the age item used in NCHS publications.
		10-98 Age in single years 99 Unknown or not stated
63	1	<u>ORFATH</u> Hispanic Origin of Father
		Origin is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.
		0Non-Hispanic1Mexican2Puerto Rican3Cuban4Central or South American5Other and unknown Hispanic9Origin unknown or not stated

Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
1	ORRACEF Hispania Origin and Pace of Father Perode		
	Alspanic origin and kace of Facher Recode		
	Origin is not reported by all areas. See		
	reporting flags.		
	1 Mexican		
	2 Puerto Rican		
	3 Cuban		
	4 Central or South American		
	5 Other and Unknown Hispanic		
	7 Non-Hispanic Black		
	8 Non-Hispanic other or unknown race		
	9 Origin unknown or not stated		
2	<u>FRACE</u> Race of Father		
	Race codes effective with 1989 data differ		
	from previous years.		
	01 White		
	02 Black		
	03 American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)		
	04 Chinese		
	05 Japanese		
	06 Hawallan (Includes Part-Hawallan)		
	07 FILIPINO 08 Other Asian or Pacific Islander		
	09 All other races		
	99 Unknown or not stated		
2	<u>DFEDUC</u> Education of Father Detail		
	Education is not reported by all areas. See		
	reporting flags		
	00 No formal education		
	01-08 Years of elementary school		
	09 1 year of high school		
	10 2 years of high school		
	11 J years of high school		
	12 4 years of nigh school		
	14 2 years of college		
	15 3 years of college		
	16 4 years of college		
	17 5 or more years of college		
	99 Not stated		
	1 1 2		

Item . Location	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>	
69	1	<u>CDOBMIMP</u> Month of Birth of Child Imputation Flag	
		Blank Month is not imputed 1 Month is imputed	
70-71	2	<u>BÍRMON</u> Month of Birth	
		01        January         02        February         03        March         04        April         05        May         06        June         07        July         08        August         09        September         10        October         11        November         12        December	
72	1	<u>GESTFLG</u> <u>Clinical Estimate of Gestation Used or Gestation</u> <u>Imputed Flag</u> This position is flagged whenever gestation is imputed or the clinical estimate of gestation is used. It is used whenever gestation could not be computed or when the computed gestation is outside the 17-47 code range.	
		Blank Not imputed and the clinical estimate of gestation is not used 1 Clinical estimate is used 2 Gestation is imputed	
73-74	2	<u>GESTAT</u> <u>Gestation - Detail in Weeks</u>	
		This item is: a) computed using dates of birth of child and last normal menses; b) imputed from LMP date; c) the clinical estimate; or d) unknown when there is insufficient data to impute or no valid clinical estimate. This is the gestation item used in NCHS publications.	
		17-47 17th through 47th week of gestation 99 Unknown	
75-76	2	<u>GESTAT 10</u> <u>GESTATION RECODE 10</u>	
		01 Under 20 weeks 02 20 - 27 weeks 03 28 - 31 weeks	

.

1989 Denominator-Plus Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

It <b>em</b> <u>Location</u>	It <b>en</b> <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code (</u>	Dutline
75-76	6 2 <u>GESTAT 10</u> <u>GESTATION RECODE 10 (</u>		DE 10 (Cont'd)
		05 06 07 08 09 10	36 weeks 37 - 39 weeks 40 weeks 41 weeks 42 weeks and over Not stated
77	1	<u>CSEXIMP</u> Sex Imputation_Flag	
		Blank 1	. Sex is not imputed Sex is imputed
78	1	<u>CSEX</u> <u>Sex</u>	
		1 2	. Male . Female
79-82	4	<u>DBIRWT</u> <u>Birth Weight Detail in Grams</u>	
		0227-8165 9999	. Number of grams . Not stated birth weight
83-84	2	<u>BIRWT12</u> Birth Weight Recode 12	
95	1	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	499 grams or less 500-999 grams 1000-1499 grams 1500-1999 grams 2000-2499 grams 2500-2999 grams 3000-3499 grams 3500-3999 grams 4000-4499 grams 5000-8165 grams Unknown or not stated
85	1	<u>BIRWT4</u> <u>Birth Weight Re</u> 1 2 3 4	ecode <u>4</u> . 1499 grams or less . 1500-2499 grams . 2500 grams or more . Unknown or not stated
86	1	<u>PLURIMP</u> <u>Plurality Imput</u>	cation Flag
		Blank 1	. Plurality is not imputed . Plurality is imputed

.

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
87	1	DPLURAL Plurality		
		1Single2Twin3Triplet4Quadruplet5Quintuplet or higher		
88-89	2	<u>OMAPS</u> One Minute Apgar Score		
		Apgar score is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.		
		00-10 A score of 1-10 99 Unknown or not stated		
90-91	2	<u>FMAPS</u> Five Minute Apgar Score		
		Apgar score is not reported by all areas. See reporting flags.		
		00-10 A score of 0-10 99 Unknown or not stated		
92-186	95	<u>MEDINFO</u> Medical and Health Data		
		Some States do not report an entire item while other States do not report all of the categories within an item.		
		If an item is not reported, it is indicated by code zero in the appropriate reporting flag.		
		If a category within an item is not reported it is indicated by code 8 in the position for that category.		
92-99	8	<u>DELMETH</u> <u>Method of Delivery</u>		
		Each method is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each method (position) is:		
		1The method was used2The method was not used8Method not on certificate9Method unknown or not stated		
92	1	VAGINAL Vaginal		
Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
-------------------------	-----------------------	--		
93	1	<u>VBAC</u> Vaginal Birth After Previous C-Section		
94	1	PRIMAC Primary_C-Section		
95	1	<u>REPEAC</u> Repeat C-Section		
96	1	FORCEP Forceps		
97	1	VACUUM Vacuum		
98	1	<u>R1</u> Reserved Position		
99	1	<u>DELMETH5</u> Method of Delivery Recode		
		1 Vaginal (excludes Vaginal after		
		previous C-section)		
		2 Vaginal birth after previous C section		
		3 Primary C-section		
		4 Repeat C-Section		
100	1	<u>R2</u> Reserved Position		
101-117	17	<u>MEDRISK</u> Medical Risk Factors		
		Each risk factor is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each risk factor (position) is:		
		1 Factor reported		
		2 Factor not reported		
		9 Factor not on certificate 9 Factor not classifiable		
101		ANDWEA		
101	1	Anemia (Hct.<30/Hgb.<10)		
102	1	<u>CARDIAC</u> Cardiac disease		
103	1	<u>LUNG</u> Acute or chronic lung disease		
104	1	DIABETES Diabetes		
105	1	<u>HERPES</u> Genital herpes		

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
106	1	<u>HYDRA</u> Hydramnios/Oliqohydramni <u>os</u>		
107	1	HEMO Hemoglobinopathy		
108	1	<u>CHYPER</u> Hypertension, chronic		
109	1	<u>PHYPER</u> <u>Hypertension, pregnancy-associated</u>		
110	1	<u>ECLAMP</u> Eclampsia		
111	1	<u>INCERVIX</u> Incompetent cervix		
112	1	<u>PRE4000</u> Previous infant 4000+ grams		
113	1	<u>PRETERM</u> Previous preterm or small-for-gestational-age infant		
114	1	RENAL Renal disease		
115	1	RH Rh sensitization		
116	1	<u>UTERINE</u> Uterine bleeding		
117	1	<u>OTHERMR</u> Other Medical Risk Factors		
118-128	11	<u>OTHERRSK</u> Other Risk Factors for this Pregnancy		
118-121	4	<u>TOBACRSK</u> <u>Tobacco Risks</u>		
118	1	<u>TOBACCO</u> Tobacco Use During Pregnancy		
		1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown or not stated		
119-120	2	<u>CIGAR</u> Average Number_of Cigarettes_Per_Day		
		00-97 As stated 98 98 or more cigarettes per day 99 Unknown or not stated		

Item <u>Location</u>	Ite <b>m</b> <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline
121	1	<u>CIGAR6</u> Average Number of Ciqarettes Per_Day Recode
		0Nonsmoker11-5 cigarettes per day26-10 cigarettes per day311-20 cigarettes per day421-40 cigarettes per day541 or more cigarettes per day6Unknown or not stated
122-125	4	ALCOHRSK Alcohol
122	1	ALCOHOL Alcohol_Use_During_Pregnancy
		1 Yes 2 No 9 Unknown or not stated
123-124	2	<u>DRINK</u> Average_Number of Drinks Per_Week
		00-97 As stated 98 98 or more drinks per week 99 Unknown or not stated
125	1	<u>DRINK5</u> Average Number of Drinks Per Week Recode
		0 Non drinker 1 1 drink per week 2 2 drinks per week 3 3-4 drinks per week 4 5 or more drinks per week 5 Unknown or not stated
126-128	3	WTGANRSK Weight Gain During Preqnancy
126-127	2	<u>WTGAIN</u> Weight Gain
		00-97 Stated number of pounds 98 98 pounds or more 99 Unknown or not stated

Item Location	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u> <u>WTGAIN9</u> <u>Weight Gain Recode</u>		
128	1			
-		1        Less than 16 pounds         2        16-20 pounds         3        21-25 pounds         4        26-30 pounds         5        31-35 pounds         6        36-40 pounds         7        41-45 pounds         8        46 or more pounds         9        Unknown or not stated		
129	1	<u>R3</u> Reserved Position		
130-136	7	<u>OBSTETRC</u> <u>Obstetric Procedures</u>		
		Each procedure is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each procedure (position) is:		
		1Procedure reported2Procedure not reported8Procedure not on certificate9Procedure not classifiable		
130	1	AMNIO Amniocentesis		
131	1	<u>MONITOR</u> Electronic fetal monitoring		
132	1	INDUCT Induction of labor		
133	1	<u>STIMULA</u> Stimulation of labor		
134	1	<u>TOCOL</u> Tocolysis		
135	1	ULTRAS Ultrasound		
136	1	OTHEROB Other Obstetric Procedures		
137	1	<u>R4</u> <u>Reserved_Position</u>		

1989

Denominator-Plus Record and Natality Section of Numerator (Linked) Record

It <b>em</b> <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item_and_Code_Outline		
138-153	16	LABOR Complications of Labor and/or Delivery		
		Each complication is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each complication (position) is:		
		1Complication reported2Complication not reported8Complication not on certificate9Complication not classifiable		
138	1	<u>FEBRILE</u> Febrile (>100 degrees F. or 38 degrees C.)		
139	1	MECONIUM Meconium, moderate/heavy		
140	1	<u>RUPTURE</u> Premature rupture of membrane (>12 hours)		
141	1	ABRUPTIO Abruptio placenta		
142	1	<u>PREPLACE</u> <u>Placenta previa</u>		
143	1	EXCEBLD Other excessive_bleeding		
144	1	<u>SEIZURE</u> Seizures_during_labor		
145	1	<u>PRECIP</u> Precipitous labor (<3 hours)		
146	1	<u>PROLONG</u> Prolonged labor (>20 hours)		
147	1	<u>DYSFUNC</u> Dysfunctional labor		
148	1	<u>BREECH</u> Breech/Malpresentation		
149	1	<u>CEPHALO</u> <u>Cephalopelvic disproportion</u>		
150	1	CORD Cord prolapse		
151	1	<u>ANESTHE</u> <u>Anesthetic complications</u>		

-

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
152	1	<u>DISTRESS</u> Fetal distress
153	1	<u>OTHERLB</u> Other Complication of Labor and/or Delivery
154	1	<u>R5</u> <u>Reserved Position</u>
155-163	9	<u>NEWBORN</u> Abnormal conditions of the <u>Newborn</u>
		Each condition is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each condition (position) is:
		1Condition reported2Condition not reported8Condition not on certificate9Condition not classifiable
155	1	<u>NANEMIA</u> Anemia Hct.>39/Hgb.<13)
156	1	<u>INJURY</u> Birth injury
157	1	ALCOSYN Fetal alcohol syndrome
158	1	<u>HYALINE</u> Hyaline membrane disease
159	1	<u>MECONSYN</u> Meconium aspiration syndrome
160	1	<u>VENL30</u> Assisted ventilation, less than 30 minutes
161	1	<u>VEN30M</u> Assisted ventilation, 30 minutes or more
•		

1989

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>		
162	1	<u>NSEIZ</u> Seizures		
163	1	<u>OTHERAB</u> Other_Abnormal_Conditions of the_Newborn		
164	1	<u>R6</u> Reserved Position		
165-186	22	<u>CONGENIT</u> Congenital Anomalies		
		Each anomaly is assigned a separate position, and the code structure for each anomaly (position) is:		
		1Anomaly reported2Anomaly not reported8Anomaly not on certificate9Anomaly not classifiable		
165	1	ANEN Anencephalus		
166	1	<u>SPINA</u> Spina bifida/Meningocele		
167	1	<u>HYDRO</u> Hydrocephalus		
168	1	MICROCE Microcephalus		
169	1	<u>NERVOUS</u> Other central nervous system anomalies		
170	1	HEART Heart malformations		
171	1	<u>CIRCUL</u> Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies		
172	1	<u>RECTAL</u> <u>Rectal atresia/stenosis</u>		
173	1	<u>TRACHEO</u> Tracheo-esophageal fistula/Esophageal atresia		
174	1	OMPHALO Omphalocele/Gastroschisis		
175	1	<u>GASTRO</u> Other gastrointestinal anomalies		
176	1	<u>GENITAL</u> Malformed <u>genitalia</u>		

•

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline
177	1	<u>Renalage</u> <u>Renal agenesis</u>
178	1	<u>UROGEN</u> Other urogenital anomalies
179	1	<u>CLEFTLP</u> <u>Cleft lip/palate</u>
180	1	<u>ADACTYLY</u> Polydactyly/Syndactyly/Adactyly
181	1	<u>CLUBFOOT</u> Club foot
182	1	<u>HERNIA</u> Diaphragmatic hernia
183	1	<u>MUSCULO</u> Other musculoskeletal/integumental anomalies
184	1	DOWNS Down's syndrome
185	1	<u>CHROMO</u> Other chromosom <u>al anomalies</u>
186	1	<u>OTHERCON</u> Other congenital anomalies
187-206	20	<u>FLRES</u> Reporting Flags for Place of Residence
		These positions contain flags to indicate whether or not the specified item is included on the birth certificate of the State of residence or of the SMSA of residence. The code structure of each flag (position) is:
		0 The item is not reported 1 The item is reported or partially reported.
187	1	<u>ORIGM</u> Origin of mother
188	1	<u>ORIGF</u> <u>Origin of father</u>
189	1	EDUCM Education of mother
190	1	EDUCF Education of father

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>		
191	1	<u>GESTE</u> Clinical estimate of gestation		
192	1	<u>OMAPSRF</u> <u>1-minute Apqar score</u>		
193	1	<u>FMAPSRF</u> 5-minute Apgar score		
194	1	<u>DELMETRF</u> <u>Method of delivery</u>		
195	1	<u>MEDRSK</u> Medical risk factors		
196	1	<u>TOBUSE</u> Tobacco use		
197	1	ALCUSE Alcohol use		
198	1	<u>WTGN</u> Weight gain		
199	1	<u>OBSTRC</u> Obstetric procedures		
200	1	<u>CLABOR</u> Complications of labor and/or delivery		
201	1	ABNML Abnormal conditions of newborn		
202	1	<u>CONGAN</u> Congenital anomalies		
203	1	<u>R7</u> <u>Reserved position</u>		
204	l	<u>EDUCSMSA</u> Education of Mother (Based on SMSA)		
205-206	2	<u>R8</u> <u>Reserved positions</u>		
207	1	WEEKDAYB Day of Week Child Born		
		1Sunday2Monday3Tuesday4Wednesday5Thursday6Friday7Saturday		

Item Location	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline		
208	1	<u>R9</u> Reserved position		
209-210	2	CRACE Race of Child		
		Race codes effective with 1989 data differ from previous years.		
		01 White		
		02 Black		
		03 American Indian (includes Aleuts and Eskimos)		
		04 Chinese		
		05 Japanese		
		06 Hawaiian (includes part-Hawaiian)		
		07 Filipino		
		08 Other Asian or Pacific Islander		
		09 All other races		
211-212	2	<u>R10</u>		
		Reserved positions		

,

It <b>em</b> <u>Location</u>	It <b>em</b> <u>Length</u>	Variable Name <u>Item and Code</u>	' <u>Outline</u>	
		Locations 213 Certificate. on both the nu Data in locat numerator file Denominator Re Numerator (Li residence of t section of the	-535 cont Data in umerator ions 226- e only. ecord and nked) Rec the <u>Mothe</u> e Numerat residence	ain data from the Death locations 213-225 are included and denominator-plus files. 535 are included in the Residence items in the in the natality section of the ord refer to the usual place of <u>r</u> ; whereas in the mortality or (linked) Record, these items of the <u>Decedent</u> .
213-215	3	<u>AGED</u> Age at Death :	in Days	
		The generated from the date minus the date unless the repo- then the repo- birth and/or o	age at d of death e of birt ported ag rted age death is	eath in days is calculated on the death certificate h on the birth certificate e of death is less than 2 days, is used. If the exact date of unknown, the age is imputed.
		000-364		Number of days
216	1	<u>AGER5</u> Infant Age Rec	code 5	
		1 2 3 4 5	···· ··· ···	Under 1 hour 1-23 hours 1-6 hours 7-27 days (late neonatal) 28 days and over (postneonatal)
217	1	<u>AUTOPSY</u> <u>Autopsy_Perfo</u>	rmed	
		1 2 8 9	···· ····	Yes No Autopsy performed not on certificate Autopsy performed not <b>stated</b>
218	1	<u>ACCIDPL</u> <u>Place of Accie</u>	lent for	Causes E850-E869 and E880-E928
		Blank		Causes other than E850-E869 and E880-E928
		0		Home
		1	•••	Farm Mino and guarry
		2		Industrial place and premises
		4		Place for recreation and sport
		5		Street and highway
		6		Public building
		/		Resident institution
		9	•••	Place of accident not specified

Item <u>Location</u>	Item Length	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline
219-222	4	<u>UCOD</u> ICD Code (9th Revision)
		See the "International Classification of Diseases". 1975 Revision, Volume 1. For injuries and poisoning, the external cause is coded (E800-E999). rather than the Nature of Injury (800-899) These positions do not include the letter E for the external cause of injury. For those causes that do not have a 4th digit, location 222 is blank.
223-225	З	<u>UCODR61</u> 61 Infant Cause Recode
		A recode of the ICD cause code into 61 groups for NCHS publications. Further back in this document is a complete list of recodes and the causes included.
		010-680 Code range (not inclusive)
Here ends the on the next p	e Denominator-plus Dage.	file. The layout for the Numerator (Linked) file continues

It <b>em</b> Location	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
226-260	35	<u>R11</u> <u>Reserved Positions</u>
261-504	244	<u>MULTCOND</u> <u>Multiple Conditions</u>
		See the "International Classification of Di <b>seases",</b> 1975 Revision, Volume 1. Both the entity-axis and record-axis conditions are coded according to this revision (9th).
261-262	2	<u>EANUM</u> Number of Entity-Axis Conditions
		00-20 Code range
263-402	140	<u>ENTITY</u> - AXIS CONDITIONS
		Space has been provided for maximum of 20 conditions. Each condition takes 7 positions in the record. Records that do not have 20 conditions are blank in the unused area.
		Position 1: Part/line number on certificate
		1        Part I, line 1 (a)         2        Part I, line 2 (b)         3        Part I, line 3 (c)         4        Part I, line 4 (d)         5        Part I, line 5 (e)         6        Part II,
		Position 2: Sequence of condition within part/line
		1-7 Code range
		Position 3 - 6: Condition code (ICD 9th Revision)
		Position 7: Nature of Injury Flag
		<ol> <li>Indicates that the code in positions</li> <li>3-6 is a Nature of Injury code</li> <li>All other codes</li> </ol>
263-269	7	1st Condition
270-276	7	2nd Condition
277-283	7	3rd Condition
284-290	7	4th Condition
291-297	7	5th Condition
298-304	7	6th Condition

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
263-402	140	<u>ENTITY</u> ENTITY - AXIS CONDITIONS (Cont'd)
305-311	7	7th Condition
312-318	7	8th Condition
319-325	7	9th Condition
326-332	7	10th Condition
333-339	7	11th Condition
340-346	7	12th Condition
347-353	7	13th Condition
354-360	7 <i>`</i>	14th Condition
361-367	7	15th Condition
368-374	7	16th Condition
375-381	7	17th Condition
382-388	7	18th Condition
389-395	7	19th Condition
396-402	7	20th Condition
403-404	2	RANUM Number of Record-Axis Conditions
405 504	100	00-20 Code range
405-504	100	<u>RECORD</u> RECORD - AXIS CONDITIONS
		Space has been provided for a maximum of 20 conditions. Each condition takes 5 positions in the record. Records that do not have 20 conditions are blank in the unused area.
		Positions 1-4: Condition code (ICD 9th Revision)
		Position 5: Nature of Injury Flag
		<ol> <li>Indicates that the code in positions</li> <li>1-4 is a Nature of Injury code</li> <li>All other codes</li> </ol>
405-409	5	lst Condition
410-414	5	2nd Condition

Item <u>Location</u>	Item Length	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline	
405-504	100	<u>RECORD</u> <u>RECORD - AXIS CONDITIONS Cont'd)</u>	
405-419	5	3rd Condition	
420-424	5	4th Condition	
425-429	5	5th Condition	
430-434	5	6th Condition	
435-439	5	7th Condition	
440-444	5	8th Condition	
445-449	5	9th Condition	
450-454	5	10th Condition	
455-459	5	11th Condition	
460-464	5	12th Condition	
465-469	5	13th Condition	
470-474	5	14th Condition	
475-479	5	15th Condition	
480-484	5	16th Condition	
485-489	5	17th Condition	
490-494	5	18th Condition	
495-499	5	19th Condition	
500-504	5	20th Condition	
505	1	<u>RESSTATD</u> <u>Resident Status - Death</u>	
		<ol> <li>RESIDENTS: State and county of occurrence and residence are the same.</li> <li>INTRASTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and residence are the same, but</li> </ol>	

- county is different. 3 ... INTERSTATE NONRESIDENTS: State of occurrence and residence are different, but both are in the 50 States and D.C.
- 4 ... FOREIGN RESIDENTS: State of occurrence is one of the 50 States or the District of Columbia, but place of residence of mother is outside of the 50 States and D.C.

Item <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>		
506-510 5		<u>FIPSOCCD</u> <u>Federal Information Processing Standards</u> (FIPS) Geographic Codes (Occurrence) - Death		
		Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.		
506-507	2	<u>STOCCFIPD</u> <u>State of Occurrence (FIPS) - Death</u>		
		<pre>01 Alabama 02 Alaska 04 Arizona 05 Arkansas 06 California 08 Colorado 09 Connecticut 10 Delaware 11 District of Columbia 12 Florida 13 Georgia 15 Hawaii 16 Idaho 17 Illinois 18 Indiana 19 Iowa 20 Kansas 21 Kentucky 22 Louisiana 23 Maine 24 Maryland 25 Massachusetts 26 Michigan 27 Minnesota 28 Mississippi 29 Mississippi 29 Missouri 30 Motana 31 Nebraska 32 New da 33 New Hampshire 34 New Jersey 35 New Mexico 36 New York 37 North Carolina 38 North Dakota 39 Oklahoma 41 Oregon 42 Pennsylvania</pre>		

It <b>em</b> <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, <u>Item and Code Outline</u>
506-507	5	<u>STOCCFIPD</u> State of Occurrence (FIPS) - Death (Cont'd)
		44Rhode Island45South Carolina46South Dakota47Tennessee48Texas49Utah50Vermont51Virginia53Washington54West Virginia55Wisconsin56Wyoming
508-510	3	<u>CNTOCFIPD</u> <u>County of Occurrence (FIPS) - Death</u>
		001-nnn Counties and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State. (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be used.) 999 County with less than 250,000 population
511-515	5	FIPSRESD Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Geographic Codes (Residence) - Death
		Refer to the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document for a detailed list of areas and codes. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) publications.
511-512	2	<u>STRESFIPD</u> <u>State of Residence (FIPS) - Death</u>
		<pre>00 Foreign residents 01 Alabama 02 Alaska 04 Arizona 05 Arkansas 06 California 08 Colorado 09 Colorado 09 Connecticut 10 Delaware 11 District of Columbia 12 Florida 13 Georgia 15 Hawaii 16 Idaho 17 Illinois 18 Indiana</pre>

1989				
Mortality	Section	of	Linked	Record

511-512	2	STRESFIPD State of Re	sidence_(FIPS) - Death (Cont'd) -
		19	_
		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Towa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Carolina South Carolina South Carolina South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin
513-515	3	<u>CNTYRFPD</u> <u>County of R</u>	Residence (FIPS) - Death
		000 001-nnn 999	Foreign residents Counties and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State (Note: To uniquely identify a county, both the State and county codes must be used.) A complete list of counties is shown in the Geographic Code Outline further back in this document. County with less than 250,000

516-517     2     DESTATE State of Residence - NGES Codes - Death       01     Alabama       02     Alabama       03     Arkansas       03     Arkansas       05     California       06     Colorado       07     Connecticut       08     Delaware       09     District of Columbia       10     Florida       11     Georgia       12     Hawaii       13     Indiana       16     Iowa       17     Kansas       20     Massachusetts       23     Michigan       24     Minnesota       25     Missisipi       26     Missisipi       27     Montana       28     New Jersey       30     New Jersey       31     New Jersey       32     New Mexico       33     New Hampshire       31     South Carolina       35     Ohio       36     Ohio       37     Oklahoma       38     Netraka       39     Pennessee       44     Routh Carolina       35	Item <u>Location</u>	It <b>en</b> Length	Variable <u>Item and</u>	Name, Code Out	line
01Alabama02Alaska03Arizona04Arkansas05California06Colorado07Connecticut08Delaware09Pistrict of Columbia10Florida11Georgia12Hawaii13Idana14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Nasachusetts23Michigan24Nississippi25Nississippi26Nevada30New Warka33New Jersey34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Cregon39Pennsylvania40Texase41South Dakota43Tennessee44Texase45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48 <t< th=""><th>516-517</th><th>2</th><th><u>DRSTATE</u> State of</th><th><u>Res</u>idence</th><th>e - NCHS Codes - Death</th></t<>	516-517	2	<u>DRSTATE</u> State of	<u>Res</u> idence	e - NCHS Codes - Death
<pre>display="block"&gt;</pre>			01		Alabama
<pre>Arizona Arizona 04 Arkansas 04 Arkansas 05 California 06 Colorado 07 Connecticut 08 Delaware 09 District of Columbia 10 Florida 10 Florida 11 Georgia 12 Hawaii 13 Idaho 14 Illinois 15 Indiana 16 Iowa 17 Kansas 18 Kentucky 19 Louisiana 20 Maine 21 Maryland 22 Massachusetts 23 Michigan 24 Minnesota 25 Missisippi 26 Newada 30 New Hampshire 31 New Jersey 32 New York 34 North Carolina 35 North Carolina 36 Ohio 37 Oklahoma 38 North Carolina 39 New York 34 North Carolina 36 Ohio 37 Oklahoma 38 North Carolina 39 Pensey 32 New York 34 North Carolina 35 North Carolina 36 Ohio 37 Oklahoma 38 Orth Carolina 39 Pensey 31 New York 34 North Carolina 35 North Carolina 36 Ohio 37 Oklahoma 38 Orth Carolina 39 Pensey 31 New York 34 Tennessee 34 Tennessee 35 Utah 46 Vianin 47 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 40 Wisonin 40 Wisonin 40 Wisonin 41 South Carolina 42 South Carolina 43 Tennessee 44 Tennessee 45 Utah 46 Wisonin 47 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 40 Wisonin 40 Wisonin 41 Virginia 42 Washington 43 West Virginia 44 Washington 45 Wisonin 46 Wisonin 47 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 40 Wisonin 41 Wisonin 42 Washington 43 West Virginia 44 Wisonin 45 Wisonin 46 Wisonin 47 Wisonin 48 Washington 49 Wisonin 40 Wisonin 41 Wisonin 41 Wisonin 42 Wisonin 43 Wisonin 44 Wisonin 45 Wisonin 46 Wisonin 47 Wisonin 48 Washington 49 Wisonin 40 Wisonin 41 Wisonin 41 Wisonin 42 Wisonin 43 Wisonin 44 Wisonin 45 Wisonin 46 Wisonin 47 Wisonin 48 Wisonin 4</pre>			02	•••	Alaska
OdArkanaas05California06Colorado07Connecticut08Delaware09District of Columbia10Florida11Georgia12Hawaii13Idaho14Illinois15Indiana16Indiana16Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maiseachusetts21Massachusetts23Michigan24Minnesota25Missouri27Montana28New Jarsey30New Jarsey31New Manpshire33New York34North Carolina36Otho38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42Washington43Tennessee44Washington45Utah46Vermont47Washington48Washington49Washington49			03		Arizona
05California06Colorado07Connecticut08Delaware09District of Columbia10Florida11Georgia12Hawaii13Idaho14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Massachusetts23Michigan24Minnesota25Mississippi26Mississippi27Mortana28Nevada30New Hamshire31New York34North Dakota36Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Carolina43Tenessee44Tenessee45Utah40Yermont41South Carolina42South Carolina43Tenessee44Tenessee45Utah46West Vir			04		Arkansas
06Colorado07Connecticut08Delaware09District of Columbia10Florida11Georgia12Hawaii13Idaho14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Massachusetts23Michigan24Minnesota25Mississippi26Missouri27Montana28New Hampshire31New Hampshire32New York33New York34North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Roode Island41South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Washington48Washington49Wastorsin49Wastorsin <td></td> <td></td> <td>05</td> <td></td> <td>California</td>			05		California
OCConnecticut08Delaware09District of Columbia10Florida11Georgia12Hawaii13Tdaho14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Massachusetts23Michigan24Mississippi26Missouri27Montana28Nebraska29New Mampshire31New Jersey32North Carolina33New York34North Dakota35Notha38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48West Virginia49West Virginia40West Virginia41South Carolina<			06	•••	Colorado
08Delaware09District of Columbia10Florida11Georgia12Hawaii13Idaho14Illinois15Indiana16Jowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Minesota23Minesota24Minesota25Mississippi26Mississippi27Montana28New Jarsey30New Jarsey31New Jarsey32New Jarsey33New York34Orth Dakota35North Carolina36Ortegon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Tenses45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49Wisconsin49Wisconsin40Wisconsin			07		Connecticut
09District of Columbia10Plorida11Georgia12Hawaii13Idaho14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Mascachusetts23Michigan24Minnesota25Montana28Nebraska29New Hampshire31New Jersey32North Carolina33New York34North Dakota35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Tenasee43Tenasee44Yermont47Virginia48West Virginia49West Virginia40West Virginia41Soush Carolina42South Dakota43Tenasee44Yermont45Utah46Virginia47West Virginia <td></td> <td></td> <td>08</td> <td></td> <td>Delaware</td>			08		Delaware
10Florida11Georgia12Hawaii13Idan14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Maseachusetts23Michigan24Mississippi25Mississippi26Nevada29Nevada29Nevada30New HampShire31Nevada33North Carolina34Oregon35North Dakota36Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Dakota42South Dakota43Texas44Yermont45Utah46Yermont47Yirginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin			09		District of Columbia
11Georgia12Hawaii13Idaho14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Masachusetts23Michigan24Minnesota25Missouri26Missouri27Montana28Nebraska29New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Hampshire33New York34North Carolina35North Carolina36Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Dakota43Yermont44Yermont45Utah46Yermont47Yirginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin			10		Florida
12Hawali13Idaho14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Maseachusetts23Michigan24Minesota25Mississippi26Mississippi27Montana28Nebraska29New Hampshire31New York33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Carolina43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin			11		Georgia
13Idaho14Illinois15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Masachusetts23Michigan24Mississippi25Mississippi26Missouri27Mostaka28Nebraska29New Hampshire31New York34North Dakota35North Dakota36Ohio37South Dakota38Tenase44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48West Virginia49West Virginia49Wisconsin51Wyoming			12		Hawaii
14141516171819202122232425262728292021222324252627282920202122232425262728292020212223242526272829202021222324252627282920212223			13		Idaho
15Indiana16Iowa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Massachusetts23Michigan24Minesota25Mississippi26Missouri27Montana28Nebraska29Nevada30New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Cregon39Fennesylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42With Dakota43Tennessee44Yermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			14		Tllinois
1610wa17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maryland21Massachusetts23Michigan24Minnesota25Missouri26Missouri27Montana28Nevada30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Wermont47Wisconsin49West Virginia50Wyoning			15		Indiana
17Kansas18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Massachusetts23Michigan24Mississippi26Mississippi26Mississippi26Mississippi27Montana28Nebraska29New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Maxico33New Kork34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Carolina43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wyoning			16		Towa
18Kentucky19Louisiana20Maine21Maryland22Missachusetts23Michigan24Minnesota25Missouri26Missouri27Montana28Nebraska29Newada30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wyoming			17		Kansas
1919202122Massachusetts23242526272829202829202021222324252627Montana282920New Hampshire313233New Mexico3334353637383939313132333435363738393939310311322333343354364375385396 <td></td> <td></td> <td>18</td> <td></td> <td>Kentucky</td>			18		Kentucky
20 Maine 21 Maryland 22 Massachusetts 23 Michigan 24 Minesota 25 Missisippi 26 Missouri 27 Montana 28 Nebraska 29 Nevada 30 New Hampshire 31 New Jersey 32 New Mexico 33 New York 34 North Carolina 35 North Dakota 36 Ohio 37 Oklahoma 38 Oregon 39 Pennsylvania 40 Rhode Island 41 South Carolina 42 South Carolina 43 Tennessee 44 Texas 45 Utah 46 Vermont 47 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 50 Wigconsin			19		Louisiana
21 Maryland 22 Massachusetts 23 Michigan 24 Minnesota 25 Mississippi 26 Missouri 27 Montana 28 Nebraska 29 Newada 30 New Hampshire 31 New Jersey 32 New Mexico 33 New York 34 North Carolina 35 North Dakota 36 Ohio 37 Oklahoma 38 Oregon 39 Pennsylvania 40 Rhode Island 41 South Carolina 42 South Dakota 43 Texas 44 Texas 45 Utah 46 Vermont 47 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 49 West Virginia 40 Wisconsin 49 West Virginia 40 Wisconsin 40 Wisconsin			20		Maine
22 Massachusetts 23 Michigan 24 Minnesota 25 Mississippi 26 Missouri 27 Montana 28 Nebraska 29 Nevada 30 New Hampshire 31 New Jersey 32 New Mexico 33 New York 34 North Carolina 35 North Dakota 36 Ohio 37 Oklahoma 38 Oregon 39 Pennsylvania 40 Rhode Island 41 South Carolina 42 South Carolina 43 Tennessee 44 Texas 45 Utah 46 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 51 Wyoming			21		Marvland
23Michigan24Minesota25Mississippi26Missouri27Montana28Nebraska29Nevada30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New York34North Carolina35North Carolina36Oklahoma37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wyoming			22		Massachusetts
24Minnesota25Mississippi26Missouri27Montana28Nebraska29Newada30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Carolina36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Carolina43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49Wisconsin51Wyoming			23		Michigan
25 Mississippi 26 Missouri 27 Montana 28 Nebraska 29 Newada 30 New Hampshire 31 New Jersey 32 New Mexico 33 New York 34 North Carolina 35 North Dakota 36 Ohio 37 Oklahoma 38 Oregon 39 Pennsylvania 40 Rhode Island 41 South Carolina 42 South Carolina 43 Tennessee 44 Texas 45 Utah 46 Vermont 47 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 50 Wisconsin 51 Wyoming			24		Minnesota
26Missouri27Montana28Nebraska29Nevada30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49Wisconsin50Wisconsin51Wyoming			25		Mississippi
27Montana28Nebraska29Nevada30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wyoming			26		Missouri
28Nebraska29Nevada30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wyoming			27		Montana
29Nevada30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			28		Nebraska
30New Hampshire31New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49Wisconsin50Wisconsin51Wyoming			29		Nevada
31New Jersey32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Carolina43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			30		New Hampshire
32New Mexico33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Carolina43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			31		New Jersey
33New York34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wyoming			32		New Mexico
34North Carolina35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49Wisconsin50Wisconsin51Wyoming			33		New York
35North Dakota36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			34		North Carolina
36Ohio37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			35		North Dakota
37Oklahoma38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			36		Ohio
38Oregon39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			37		Oklahoma
39Pennsylvania40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			38		Oregon
40Rhode Island41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			39		Pennsylvania
41South Carolina42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			40		Rhode Island
42South Dakota43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			41		South Carolina
43Tennessee44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			42		South Dakota
44Texas45Utah46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			43		Tennessee
45 Utah 46 Vermont 47 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 50 Wisconsin 51 Wyoming			44		Texas
46Vermont47Virginia48Washington49West Virginia50Wisconsin51Wyoming			45		Utah
47 Virginia 48 Washington 49 West Virginia 50 Wisconsin 51 Wyoming			46		Vermont
48 Washington 49 West Virginia 50 Wisconsin 51 Wyoming			47		Virginia
49 West Virginia 50 Wisconsin 51 Wyoming			48		Washington
50 Wisconsin 51 Wyoming			49		West Virginia
51 Wyoming			50		Wisconsin
			51	• • •	Wyoming

1989			
Mortality	Section of	Linked	Record

Item <u>Location</u>	Item Length	Variable Nam <u>Item and Co</u> d	e, e <u>Outline</u>
516-517	2	<u>DRSTATE</u> <u>State of R</u> es	<u>idence - NCHS Codes - Death (Cond't)</u>
		52-57,59	Foreign Residents
		52	Puerto Rico
		53	Virgin Islands
		54	Guam
		55	Canada
		56	Cuba
		57	Mexico
		59	Remainder of the World
518-520	3	<u>CITYRESD</u> City of Resi	<u>dence - NCHS Codes - Death</u>
		A complete Geographic document.	list of cities is shown in the Code Outline further back in this
		001-nnn	Cities are numbered alphabetically within each State and identify each city with a population of 250,000 or more in 1980. (Note: To uniquely identify a city, both the State and city codes must be used. State, county and city codes may also be used.)
		999	Balance of county
521	1	822 BOSPD	Foreign residents
JEI	-	Hospital and	Patient Status
		1	Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Inpatient
		2	Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center - Outpatient or admitted to Emergency Room
		3	Hospital, clinic or medical center - Dead on arrival
		4	Hospital, Clinic or Medical Center -
		5	Nursing home
		6	Residence
		7	Other
		. 9	Place of death unknown
522-525	4	DTHYR	_
		<u>Year of Deat</u>	<u>h</u>
		1989	Death occurred in 1989
		1990	Death occurred in 1990

1989			
Mortality	Section of	Linked	Record

It <b>em</b> <u>Location</u>	Item <u>Length</u>	Variable Name, Item and Code Outline
526-527	2	<u>DTHMON</u> Month of Death
		01January02February03March04April05May06June07July08August09September10October11November12December
528	1	<u>WEEKDAYD</u> Day of Week of Death
		1Sunday2Monday3Tuesday4Wednesday5Thursday6Friday7Saturday9Unknown
529-535	7	<u>R12</u> Reserved positions

#### Linked Birth/Infant Death Data Set

#### Geographic Code Outline

The following pages show the geographic codes used by the Division of Vital Statistics in the processing of vital event data occurring in the United States. For the linked data set, counties and cities with a population of 250,000 or more are identified.

Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) State and County Codes: For the 1989 linked file, the county codes and the State code immediately preceding them are FIPS codes. These codes were effective with the 1989 data year and are based on the results of the 1980 Census. County and county equivalents (independent and coextensive cities) are numbered alphabetically within each State. When an event occurs to a nonresident of the United States, residence data are coded only to the "State" level, or to the remainder of the world. For an explanation of FIPS codes, reference should be made to various National Bureau of Standards (NBS) publications.

<u>NCHS State and City Codes:</u> The city codes and the State codes immediately preceding them are NCHS codes. These codes were effective with the 1982 data year and are based on the results of the 1980 Census. Cities are numbered alphabetically within each State. When an event occurs to a nonresident of the United States, residence data are coded only to the "State" level; several western hemisphere countries or the remainder of the world are uniquely identified.

Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1989 Data Page 1

State	County	State and County Name
01		Alabama
0.	073	Jefferson
	097	
	037	MODITE
02		Alaska
04		Arizona
•	013	Maricopa
	019	Pima
05		Anlengon
05	110	
	113	FUIESKI
06		California
	001	Alameda
	013	Contra Costa
	019	Fresno
	029	Kern
	037	Los Angeles
	053	Monterey
	059	Orange
	065	Riverside
	067	Sacramento
	071	San Bernardino
	073	San Diego
	075	San Francisco, coext, with San Francisco city
	077	San Joaquin
	081	San Mateo
	083	Santa Barbara
	085	Santa Clara
	097	Sonoma
	099	Stanislaus
	111	Ventura
08		Calarada
08	005	Colorado
	005	
	031	El Dero
	041	
	033	
09		Connecticut
	001	Fairfield
	003	Hartford
	009	New Haven
10		Delawana
10	003	New Castle
11		District of Columbia
	001	District of Columbia
12		Florida
. –	009	Brevard
	011	Broward
	025	
	021	
	057	Hillsborough
	095	Crance
	000	Palm Beach
	103	
	105	Polk
	100	
		ry:will

.

Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1989 Data Page 2

State	County	State and County Name
13		Georgia
	067	Cobb
	089	De Kalb
	121	Fulton
15		Hawait
	003	Honolulu
16		Idaho
10		Idano
17		Illinois
	031	COOK
	049	Du Page
	089	kane
	097	
	103	
	197	Will
	201	w minebago
18	000	Indiana
	003	Allen
	089	
	097	Marton
19		Iowa
	153	Polk
20		Kansas
	091	Johnson
	173	Sedgwick
21		Kentucky
	111	Jefferson
22		Louisiana
	017	Caddo
	033	East Baton Rouge
	051	Jefferson
	071	Orleans, coext. with New Orleans city
23		Maine
24		Marvland
	003	Anne Arundel
	005	Baltimore
	510	Baltimore city
	031	Montgomery
	033	Prince George's
25		Massachusetts
	005	Bristol
	009	Essex
	013	Hampden
	017	Middlesex
	021	Norfolk
	023	Plymouth
	025	Suffolk
	027	WORCESTER
26	<b>•</b> • •	Michigan
	049	Genesee
	065	Ingnam
	081	Kent
	099	Macomb
	125	vakianu Washtenaw
	101	
	103	

#### Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1989 Data Page 3

State	County	State and County Name
27		Minnesota
21	050	
	053	nannep (n
	123	Ramsey
28		Mississippi
	049	Hinds
29		Missouri
	095	Jackson
	189	St. Louis
	510	St. Louis city
	0.0	ott Louid only
20		Montana
30		montalia
24		Nebraska
31	055	Deuglas
	055	Douglas
32		Nevada
	003	Clark
33		New Hampshire
	011	Hillsborough
	•••	
24		New Jonsov
34		
	003	Bergen
	005	Burlington
	007	Camden
	013	Essex
	017	Hudson
	021	Mercer
	021	Middless.
	023	Middlesex
	025	Monmouth
	027	Morris
	029	Ocean
	031	Passaic
	039	Union
	555	
35		New Mexico
55	001	Bonnalila
	001	Bernallilo
_		
36		New York
	001	Albany
	029	Erie
	025	Nonce
	035	Monart
	059	Nassau
	005	New York city
	065	Oneida
	067	Onondaga
	071	Orange
	007	Bookland
		RUCKTANO
	103	Suffolk
	119	Westchester
37		North Carolina
	081	Guilford
	119	Mecklenburg
	183	Wake
	103	TERS
20		North Dakota
38		NUP UN DAKOTA
		064-
39		UNIO
	017	Butler
	035	Cuyahoga
	049	Franklin
	061	Hamilton
	003	lorain
	033	
	095	
	099	Mahoning
	113	Montgomery
	151	Stark
	453	Summit+
	(23	Schuld I C

Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1989 Data Page 4

.

State	County	State and County Name
40		Oklahoma
	109	Oklahoma
	143	Tulsa
41		Oregon
	039	Lane
	051	Multnomah
42		Pennsylvania
	003	Allegheny
	011	Berks
	017	Bucks
	029	Chester
	045	Delaware
	049	Erie
	071	Lancaster
	077	Lehigh
	079	Luzerne
	091	Montgomerv
	101	Philadelphia, coext, with Philadelphia city
	129	Westmoreland
	133	York
44		Rhode Island
	007	Providence
45		South Carolina
	019	
	045	Greenville
	079	Richland
	010	
46		South Dakota
		_
47		Tennessee
	037	Davidson
	065	Hamilton
	093	Knox
	157	Shelby
40		
48		lexas
	029	Bexar
	113	
	141	El Paso
	201	Harris
	215	Hidaigo
	245	Jerrerson
	355	Nueces
	439	Tarrant
	453	Travis
40		
49	·005	Utan
	035	Salt Lake
50		M
50		vermont
<b>E</b> 4		
51	050	virginia Estera
	059	
	710	Norfolk city
	810	virginia Beach city
50		Washington
33	000	washington
	033	Ning Déserves
	053	Pierce
	061	Snoromisn
	063	spokane

# Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1989 Data Page 5

State	County	State and County Name	
54		West Virginia	
55	025 079 133	Wisconsin Dane Milwaukee Waukesha	
56		Wyoming	

	Vital Stat	istics	Geographic	Code	Outline	Effective	With	1989	Data	Page	6
State	County	State	and County	Name							

	<b>,</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
00	000	Puerto Rico
00	000	Virgin Islands
00	000	Guam
00	000	Canada
00	000	Cuba
00	000	Mexico
00	000	Remainder of World

•

#### Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1982 Data

Page 1

State	City	State and City Name
01	008	Alabama Birmingham
02		Alaska
03		Arizona
	011	Phoenix
	016	Tucson
04		Arkansas
05		California
	112	Long Beach
	115	Los Angeles
	146	Oakland
	186	Sacramento
	194	San Diego San Francisco
	200	San Jose
06		Colorado
	009	Denver
07		Connecticut
-		
08		Delaware
09		District of Columbia
00	001	Washington
10		Florida
	033	Jacksonville
	047	
	086	l anpa
11	004	Georgia Atlanta
12		Hawaii
	004	Honolulu
4.9		T
13		Idano
14		Illinoıs
	032	Chicago
15	017	Indiananolis
	027	
16		Iowa
17	000	Kansas
	033	WICHITA
18		Kentucky
	016	Louisville
40		
19	024	Louisiana New Orleans
	024	New Offeding
20		Maine
		Manufand
∠1	003	Baltimore
	000	
22		Massachusetts
	012	Boston
27		Michigan
~~	023	Detroit

Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1982 Data Page 2

State	City	State and City Name
24	035 055	Minnesota Minneapolis St. Paul
25		Mississippi
26	026 044	Missouri Kansas City St. Louis
27		Montana
28	011	Nebraska Omáha
29		Nevada
30		New Hampshire
31	094	New Jersey Newark
32	002	New Mexico Albuquerque
33	009 010 043 060 077 078	New York Bronx borough, Bronx county Buffalo Brooklyn borough, Kings county Manhattan borough, New York county Queens borough, Queens county Staten Island borough, Richmond county
34	008	North Carolina Charlotte
35		North Dakota
36	028 030 032 126	Ohio Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Toledo
37	023 031	Oklahoma Oklahoma City Tulsa
38	023	Oregon Portland
39	096 098	Pennsylvania Philadelphia Pittsburgh
40		Rhode Island
41		South Carolina
42		South Dakota
43	026 030	Tennessee Memphis Nashville-Davidson
44	009 036 047 052 066 121	Texas Austin Dallas El Paso Fort Worth Houston San Antonio

## Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1982 Data

State	City	State and City Name
45		Utah
46		Vermont
47	021 032	Virginia Norfolk Virginia Beach
48	030	Washington Seattle
49		West Virginia
50	032	Wisconsin Milwaukee
51		Wyoming

Page 3

and a second second

-

Vital Statistics Geographic Code Outline Effective With 1982 Data Page 4

State	City	State and City Name
52	ZZZ	Puerto Rico
53	ZZZ	Virgin Islands
54	ZZZ	Guam
55	ZZZ	Canada
56	ZZZ	Cuba
57	ZZZ	Mexico
59	ZZZ	Remainder of World

Ninth Revision 61 Causes of Death Adapted for use by DVS Page 1 ST: 1 = Subtotal Limited: Sex: 1 = Males; 2 = Females Length = of Cause Title Age: 1 = 5 & Over; 2 = 10-54; 3 = 28 Days & Over \*\*\*\*\* Cause Subtotals are not Identified in this File \*\*\*\*\* 61 S Limited Len-Recode T Sex Age gth Cause Title And ICD-9 Codes Included 010 039 Certain intestinal infections (008-009) 020 020 Whooping cough (033) 030 029 Meningococcal infection (036) 016 Septicemia (038) 040 3 050 024 Viral diseases (045-079) 060 025 Congenital syphilis (090) 070 110 Remainder of infectious and parasitic diseases (001-007,010-032,034-035,037,039-041,\*042-\*044,080-088, 080 089 Malignant neoplasms, including neoplasms of lymphatic and hematopoietic tissues (140-208) 090 108 Benign neoplasms, carcinoma in situ, and neoplasms of uncertain behavior and of unspecified nature (210-239) 100 030 Diseases of thymus gland (254) 110 023 Cystic fibrosis (277.0) 120 052 Diseases of blood and blood-forming organs (280-289) 130 020 Meningitis (320-322) 140 059 Other diseases of nervous system and sense organs (323-389) 150 044 Acute upper respiratory infections (460-465) 160 042 Bronchitis and bronchiolitis (466,490-491) 170 033 Pneumonia and influenza (480-487) 1 Pneumonia (480-486) 180 021 190 Influenza (487) 017 200 O61 Remainder of diseases of respiratory system (470-478,492-519) 093 Hernia of abdominal cavity and intestinal obstruction without 210 mention of hernia (550-553,560) 220 075 Gastritis, duodenitis, and noninfective enteritis and colitis (535,555-558) 230 067 Remainder of diseases of digestive system (520-534,536-543,562-579) 240 1 030 Congenital anomalies (740-759) 250 Anencephalus and similar anomalies (740) 042 260 020 Spira bifida (741) 270 034 Congenital hydrocephalus (742.3) 280 092 Other congenital anomalies of central nervous system and eye (742.0-742.2,742.4-742.9,743) 290 041 Congenital anomalies of heart (745-746) 300 056 Other congenital anomalies of circulatory system (747) 310 050 Congenital anomalies of respiratory system (748) Congenital anomalies of digestive system (749-751) 320 052 330 Congenital anomalies of genitourinary system (752-753) 056 340 058 Congenital anomalies of musculoskeletal system (754-756) 350 025 Down's syndrome (758.0) 360 043 Other chromosomal anomalies (758.1-758.9) 370 062 All other and unspecified congenital anomalies (744,757,759)

Ninth Revision 61 Causes of Death Adapted for use by DVS Page 2 Limited: Sex: 1 = Males; 2 = Females ST: 1 = Subtotal Length = of Cause Title Age: 1 = 5 & Over; 2 = 10-54; 3 = 28 Days & Over \*\*\*\*\* Cause Subtotals are not Identified in this File \*\*\*\*\* 61 S Limited Len-T Sex Age gth Cause Title And ICD-9 Codes Included Recode 064 Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period (760-779) 091 Newborn affected by maternal conditions which may be unrelated to 380 1 390 present pregnancy (760) Newborn affected by maternal complications of pregnancy (761) 400 063 Newborn affected by complications of placenta, cord, and 074 410 membranes (762) Newborn affected by other complications of labor and 420 069 delivery (763) Slow fetal growth and fetal malnutrition (764) .430 048 Disorders relating to short gestation and unspecified low 440 077 birthweight (765) Disorders relating to long gestation and high birthweight (766) 450 065 460 020 Birth trauma (767) Intrauterine hypoxia and birth asphyxia (768) 470 1 047 Fetal distress in liveborn infant (768.2-768.4) 480 051 Birth asphyx1a (768.5-768.9) 490 032 500 037 Respiratory distress syndrome (769) 04Ź Other respiratory conditions of newborn (770) 510 Infections specific to the perinatal period (771) 520 051 530 027 Neonatal hemorrhage (772) Hemolytic disease of newborn, due to isoimmunization, and other 094 540 perinatal jaundice (773-774) 550 088 Syndrome of "infant of a diabetic mother" and neonatal diabetes mellitus (775.0-775.1) Hemorrhagic disease of newborn (776.0) 560 040 All other and ill-defined conditions originating in the perinatal period (775:2-775.9,776.1-779) 098 570 053 Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (780-799) 038 Sudden infant death syndrome (798.0) 580 1 590 600 075 Symptoms, signs, and all other ill-defined conditions (780-797,798.1-799) 041 Accidents and adverse effects (E800-E949) 610 1 Inhalation and ingestion of food or other object causing 620 118 obstruction of respiratory tract or suffocation (E911-E912) 630 042 Accidental mechanical suffocation (E913) Other accidental causes and adverse effects (E800-E910,E914-E949) 640 067 650 020 Homicide (E960-E969) 1 Child battering and other maltreatment (E967) 660 047 Other homicide (E960-E966,E968-E969) 670 038 027 All other causes (Residual) 680



#### LIVE BIRTHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE RESIDENCE AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE. 1989 BIRTH COHORT

#### (RESIDENCE AT BIRTH IS OF THE MOTHER. RESIDENCE AT DEATH IS OF THE DECEDENT)

	LIVE B	IRTHS	INFANT DEATHS				
AREA	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	AT BI	RTH	AT DEATH		
			OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	
UNITED STATES	4,045,881	4,041,146	38,605	38,578	38,605	38,576	
ALABAMA	61,914	62,568	761	768	778	765	
ALASKA	11,524	11,666	101	103	98	104	
AR I ZONA	67,290	67,196	610	621	626	623	
ARKANSAS	34,618	35,911	338	360	346	362	
CALIFORNIA	570,396	570,024	4,691	4,690	4,676	4,670	
COLORADO,	52,964	52,711	493	466	506	465	
CONNECTICUT	49,348	49,471	429	425	418	426	
DELAWARE	11,369	10,738	138	132	135	134	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	22,220	11,789	408	253	475	259	
FLORIDA	192,931	193, 137	1,898	1,894	1,901	1,903	
GEORGIA	111,359	110,272	1,360	1,361	1,342	1,353	
HAWAII	19,428	19,367	153	147	151	147	
IDAHO,	15,830	15,883	147	162	127	158	
ILLINOIS	186,851	190,310	2,089	2,155	2,059	2,162	
INDIANA	83,594	83,475	822	851	824	846	
IOWA	39,395	39,018	335	333	319	334	
KANSAS	37,572	38,738	320	333	303	333	
KENTUCKY	52,754	53,430	481	500	467	502	
LOUISIANA	73,249	72,752	753	753	758	753	
MAINE	16,874	17,466	117	124	113	126	
MARYLAND	70,874	78,275	651	767	612	774	
MASSACHUSETTS	93,381	91,548	720	704	752	700	
MICHIGAN,	146,715	148,557	1,604	1,616	1,611	1,624	
MINNESO1A	67,255	67,519	473	470	498	472	
MISSISSIPPI	42,309	43,047	477	496	456	499	
MISSOURI	79,877	77,880	<b>B</b> 19	766	886	769	

.



#### LIVE BIRTHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE RESIDENCE AND INFANT DEATHS BY STATE OF OCCURRENCE AND BY STATE OF RESIDENCE: 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(RESIDENCE AT BIRTH IS OF THE MOTHER. RESIDENCE AT DEATH IS OF THE DECEDENT)

AREA	LIVE BIRTHS		INFANT DEATHS			
	DCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	AT BIRTH		AT DEATH	
			OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE	OCCURRENCE	RESIDENCE
MONTANA	11,432	11,678	116	130	96	128
NEBRASKA	24,517	24,216	206	196	224	199
NEVADA	19,378	19,606	162	161	163	166
NEW HAMPSHIRE	17,475	17,809	144	152	133	149
NEW JERSEY	118,748	121,842	1,057	1,118	970	1,109
NEW MEXICO	26,937	27,356	· 227	226	216	235
NEW YORK	292,493	291,450	3,003	2,998	3,005	3,000
UPSTATE	155,240	158,613	1,307	1,339	1,276	1,336
СІТҮ	137,253	132,837	1,696	1,659	1,729	1,664
NORTH CAROLINA	102,752	102,105	1,120	1,102	1,112	1,101
NORTH DAKOTA	10,871	9,570	84	77	86	. 77
OHIO	164,894	163,952	1,503	1,471	1,498	1,466
OKLAHOMA	46,379	47,385	358	368	345	367
OREGON	42,710	41,281	396	367	407	366
PENNSYLVANIA	169,872	168,828	1,667	1,619	1,760	1,622
RHODE ISLAND	15,377	14,768	154	147	152	146
SOUTH CAROLINA	55,115	57.330	692	716	692	716
SOUTH DAKOTA	11,108	11,086	113	114	102	112
TENNESSEE	77,678	73,178	878	779	880	782
TEXAS	312,280	307,665	2,679	2,666	2,688	2,654
UTAH	36,544	35,567	319	285	329	288
VERMONT	8.123	8.494	58	58	57	58
VIRGINIA	94.089	96,798	921	962	906	964
WASHINGTON	74.038	75,368	673	697	673	697
WEST VIRGINIA	23,118	22,163	204	207	208	204
WISCONSIN	71,578	72,002	644	653	631	646
WYOMING	6,484	6,901	39	59	35	- 61
FOREIGN RESIDENTS		4,735		27		29

.
#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY RACE OF MOTHER, SEX AND BIRTH WEIGHT OF CHILD: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)

ALL RACES 1/ BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 4.041,146 5,512 9.312 10.619 12,197 14,258 54,308 178,315 9,751,351 5.2 LIVE BIRTHS 4.041,146 5,512 9.312 10.619 12,197 14,258 54,308 178,315 9,751,351 5.2 INF.ANDT.RATE 9.5 902.9 574.4 256.0 149.3 86,3 44.7 17.4 3.8 227 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 2.069,590 2.686 4,588 5,531 6,221 7,267 26,838 81,162 1,932,499 2.7 INF.ANDT.RATE 10.5 904.7 744.6 347.9 186.5 100.3 49.8 20.4 4.4 256 FEMALE DIVE BIRTHS 1,971,556 2,826 4,724 5,088 5,976 6,991 27,470 97,153 1,818,852 2.4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 5.3 148,852 2.4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 5.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,547 2,869 1,271 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INF.MORT.RATE 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,664 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INF.MORT.RATE 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,710 44,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INF.MORT.RATE 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFAND TEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFAND TEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFAND TEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFAND TEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFAND TEATHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,350 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,350 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,350 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,350 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 ,2 INF.MORT.RATE 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 640 913 4,176 ,2 INF.MORT.RATE 10,2 545 1,335 4,4146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT D	RACE OF MOTHER AND SEX	TOTAL	<500 GRAMS	500-749 GRAMS	750-999 GRAMS	1000-1249 GRAMS	1250-1499 GRAMS	1500-1999 GRAMS	2000-2499 GRAMS	2500 GRAMS OR MORE	NOT STATED
BOTH SEXES    LIVE BIRTHS    4,041,146    5,512    9,312    10,619    12,197    14,258    54,308    178,315    3,751,351    5,2      INFANT DEATHS    38,578    4,977    6,280    3,143    1,821    1,231    2,425    3,104    14,399    1,1      INF.MORT.RATE    9,5    902.9    674.4    296.0    148.3    86.3    44.7    17.4    3.8    227      INF.MORT.RATE    10.5    904.7    744.6    347.9    186.5    100.3    49.8    20.4    4.4    256      LIVE BIRTHS    1,971,556    2,826    4,724    5,088    5,976    6,991    27,470    97,153    1,818,852    2,4      LIVE BIRTHS    1,6774    2,587    2,864    1,219    661    502    1,089    1,446    5,935    4      INF.MORT.RATE    8,5    901.3    606.3    239.6    110.6    71.8    39.6    14.9    3.493      WHITE    BOT    S2,	ALL RACES 1/										
LEVE BIRHS 4,04,146 5,512 9,312 10,619 12,197 14,258 54,306 178,315 3,51,351 5,2 INFANDRT RATE 9,5 902.9 674.4 296.0 149.3 86.3 44.7 17.4 3.8 227 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 2,069,590 2,686 4,588 5,531 6,221 7,267 26,838 81,162 1,332,499 2,7 INFAND EATHS 10,5 904.7 744.6 347.9 186.5 100.3 49.8 20.4 4.4 256 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,971,556 2,826 4,724 5,088 5,976 6,991 27,470 97,153 1,818,852 2,4 INF.MORT.RATE 10,5 904.7 744.6 347.9 186.5 100.3 49.8 20.4 4.4 256 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,971,556 2,826 4,724 5,088 5,976 6,991 27,470 97,153 1,818,852 2,4 INF.MORT.RATE 8,5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 3.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 25,060 2,714 3,639 1,969 1,211 827 1,662 2,063 10,302 6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1.9 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 374.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 6,379 1,457,360 1.7 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 6,379 1,457,360 1,7 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 6,3159 1,457,360 1,7 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4, INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,999 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29.463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 354 INF.MORT.RATE 331,455 1,222 1,999 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29.463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 331,455 1,222 1,999 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29.463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 331,455	BOTH SEXES		5 540		40.040	40.407	44 050	54 000	470.045		5 074
INF.ANT DEATHS 38.578 4.977 6.280 3.142 1.221 1.231 2.425 3.104 14.999 1.1 INF.ANT DEATHS 9.5 902.9 674.4 286.0 149.3 86.3 44.7 17.4 3.8 227 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 2.069.590 2.686 4.588 5.531 6.221 7.267 26,838 81.162 1.932,499 2.7 INF.ANT DEATHS 2.1,834 2.430 3.416 1.924 1.160 729 1.336 1.656 8.464 7 INF.ANGT.RATE 10.5 904.7 744.6 347.9 186.5 100.3 49.8 20.4 4.4 256 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1.971,556 2.826 4.724 5.088 5.976 6.991 27,470 97,153 1.818,852 2.4 INF.ANGT.RATE 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 3.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3,192,457 2.970 5.214 6.159 7.279 8.770 34,603 117,409 3.006,374 3.6 INF.ANGT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1.637,655 1.440 2.597 3.299 3.730 4.552 17,264 53,830 1.549,014 1.9 INF.ANGT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 1.927 774 499 922 1.140 6.126 4 INF.ANGT.RATE 1554,802 1.530 2.617 2.860 3.549 4.218 17,339 63,579 1.457,360 1.7 INF.ANGT.RATE 0.654 1.399 1.643 742 4.37 386 740 913 4.212 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1.554,802 1.530 2.617 2.860 3.549 4.218 17,339 63,579 1.457,360 1.7 INF.ANGT.RATE 0.654 1.399 1.643 742 4.37 386 740 913 4.176 2.9 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 1.7.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 320 74 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 355 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.	LIVE BIRTHS	4,041,146	5,512	9,312	10,619	12,197	14,258	54,308	178,315	3,751,351	5,274
INF. MORT.RATE    9.5    902.9    674.4    296.0    149.3    86.3    44.7    17.4    3.8    227      MALE    LIVE BIRTHS    2,069,590    2,686    4,568    5,531    6,221    7,267    26,838    81,162    1,932,499    2,7      INF.MORT.RATE    10.5    904.7    744.6    347.9    186.5    100.3    49.8    20.4    4.4    256      FEMALE    INF.MORT.RATE    10,71,556    2,826    4,724    5,088    5,976    6,991    27,470    97,153    1,818,852    2,4      INF.MORT.RATE    8.5    901.3    606.3    239.6    110.6    71.8    39.6    14.9    3.3    194      WHITE    BOTH SEXES    1005.2    2,050    2,714    3,639    1,969    1,211    827    1,662    2,053    1,030    6      INF.MORT.RATE    7.8    913.8    697.9    319.7    166.4    94.3    48.0    17.5    3.4    185	INFANT DEATHS	38,578	4,977	6,280	3,143	1,821	1,231	2,425	3,104	14,399	1,198
MALE    LIVE BIRTHS    2,069,590    2,686    4,588    5,531    6,221    7,267    26,838    81,162    1,932,499    2,7      INFANT DEATHS    21,834    2,430    3,416    1,924    1,160    729    1,336    1,658    8,464    7      INFAND DEATHS    10.5    904.7    744.6    347.9    186.5    100.3    49.8    20.4    4.4    256      LIVE BIRTHS    16,744    2,547    2,864    1,219    661    502    1,089    1,446    5,935    4      NF.MORT.RATE    8.5    901.3    606.3    239.6    110.6    71.8    39.6    14.9    3.3    194      WHTE    BOTH SEXES    LIVE BIRTHS    25,060    2,714    3,639    1,969    1,211    827    1,662    2,063    10,302    6      NF.MORT.RATE    7.8    913.8    697.9    319.7    166.4    94.3    48.0    17.5    3.4      LIVE BIRTHS	INF.MORT.RATE	9.5	902.9	674.4	296.0	149.3	86.3	44.7	17.4	3.8	227.2
LIVE BIRTHS 2,069,590 2,686 4,988 5,51 6,221 7,267 26,838 81,162 1,922,499 2,7 INF.MORT.RATE 10.5 904.7 744.6 347.9 186.5 100.3 49.8 20.4 4.4 256 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,971,556 2,826 4,724 5,088 5,976 6,991 27,470 97,153 1,818,852 2,4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 3.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3,192,457 2,970 5,214 6,159 7,279 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1.9 INF.ANGT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,77 INF.ANGT.RATE 6,9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 INF.MORT.RATE 6,53 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 INF.MORT.RATE 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,77 INF.ANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 7.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 7.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 7.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356	MALE										
INFANT DEATHS 21,834 2,430 3,416 1,924 1,160 729 1,336 1,658 8,464 7 INF, MORT,RATE 10.5 904.7 744.6 347.9 186.5 100.3 49.8 20.4 4.4 256 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,971,556 2,826 4,724 5,088 5,976 6,991 27,470 97,153 1,818,852 2,4 INFANT DEATHS 16,744 2,547 2,864 1,219 661 502 1,089 1,446 5,935 4 INFANT DEATHS 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 3.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3,192,457 2.970 5,214 6,159 7,279 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INFANT DEATHS 25,060 2,714 3,639 1,969 1,211 827 1,662 2,053 10,302 6 INFANT DEATHS 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 36.6 0907 3,360 4,14 INF.MORT.RATE 6,59 14.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BLA	LIVE BIRTHS	2,069,590	2,686	4,588	5,531	6,221	7,267	26,838	81,162	1,932,499	2,798
INF.MQRT.RATE 10.5 904.7 744.6 347.9 186.5 100.3 49.8 20.4 4.4 256 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,971,556 2.826 4.724 5.088 5.976 6.991 27,470 97,153 1,818,852 2.4 INF.ANT DEATHS 16,744 2.547 2.864 1,219 661 502 1.089 1,446 5.935 4 INF.MQRT.RATE 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 3.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3,192,457 2.970 5.214 6.159 7.279 8.770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INF.MQRT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 NMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2.597 3.299 3.730 4.552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1.9 INF.MQRT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2.597 3.299 3.730 4.552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1.9 INF.MQRT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,654,802 1,530 2.617 2.860 3,549 4.218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,77 INF.MQRT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 INF.MQRT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 INF.MQRT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 11,979 2.138 2.475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MQRT.RATE 17.8 B89.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MQRT.RATE 17.8 B89.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 354 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356	INFANT DEATHS	21,834	2,430	3,416	1,924	1,160	729	1,336	1,658	8,464	717
FEMALE  LIVE BIRTHS	INF.MORT.RATE	10.5	904.7	744.6	347.9	186.5	100.3	49.8	20.4	4.4	256.3
LIVE BIRTHS 1,971,556 2,826 4,724 5,088 5,976 6,991 27,470 97,153 1,818,852 2,4 INF.MORT.DEATHS 16,744 2,547 2,864 1,219 661 502 1,089 1,446 5,935 4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 3.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3,192,457 2,970 5,214 6,159 7,279 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INF.MORT.RATE 673,208 2,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INF.MORT.RATE 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.762.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 1,1553, 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,449 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 LIVE BIRTHS 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.5 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.5 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.0 716.6 306.5 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 LIVE BIRTHS 11.979 2,132 2,475 1,065 545 363 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE	FEMALE										
INFANT DEATHS 16,744 2,547 2,864 1,219 661 502 1,089 1,446 5,935 4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 3.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3,192,457 2,970 5,214 6,159 7,279 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INF.AND.RT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 64.25 27.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 64.25 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 64.25 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 64.25 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298.920 7 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 398.9 21 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 398.9 21 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 396.2 21.1 197 148 302 463 245.15 6 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356	LIVE BIRTHS	1,971,556	2,826	4,724	5,088	5,976	6,991	27,470	97,153	1,818,852	2,476
INF.MORT.RATE 8.5 901.3 606.3 239.6 110.6 71.8 39.6 14.9 3.3 194 WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3,192,457 2,970 5,214 6,159 7,279 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INFANT DEATHS 25,060 2,714 3,639 1,969 1.211 827 1,662 2,053 10,302 6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INF.MORT.RATE 6,9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 88,2475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 847.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 855.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 717.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 71.7 71	INFANT DEATHS	16,744	2,547	2,864	1,219	66 1	502	1,089	1,446	5,935	481
WHITE BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3,192,457 2,970 5,214 6,159 7,279 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INFANT DEATHS 25,060 2,714 3,639 1,969 1,211 827 1,662 2,053 10,302 6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 22 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 22 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 19	INF.MORT.RATE	8.5	901.3	606.3	239.6	110.6	71.8	39.6	14.9	3.3	194.3
BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 3, 192,457 2,970 5,214 6,159 7,279 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INFANT DEATHS 25,060 2,714 3,639 1,969 1,211 827 1,662 2,053 10,302 6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17.264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,647,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17.264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,647,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17.264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,554,802 1,510 2,617 2,860 3,71.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17,58 82,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT DEATHS 17,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 31,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFEMILE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 31,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 331,455 1,2	WHITE										
LIVE BIRTHS 3, 192, 457 2,970 5,214 6,159 7,279 8,770 34,603 117,409 3,006,374 3,6 INFANT DEATHS 25,060 2,714 3,639 1,969 1,211 827 1,662 2,053 10,302 6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,554,802 1,315 1,996 1,227 774 489 922 1,140 6,126 4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17,88 889.7 642.5 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 22 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 396 22 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MU DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MU DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MU DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MU DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MU DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MU DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MU DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MU DEATHS 341,55 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115	BOTH SEXES										
INFANT DEATHS 25,060 2,714 3,639 1,969 1,211 827 1,662 2,053 10,302 6 INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MI DEATHS 341,753 1,181 1,40 435 197 148 302 463 14452 1	LIVE BIRTHS	3,192,457	2,970	5,214	6,159	7,279	8,770	34,603	117,409	3,006,374	3,679
INF.MORT.RATE 7.8 913.8 697.9 319.7 166.4 94.3 48.0 17.5 3.4 185 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 14,406 1,315 1,996 1,227 774 489 922 1,140 6,126 4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INF.MORT.RATE 0,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 346,145 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 5, 463 1,057 1,335 1,22 1,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 11,155 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 5, 463 1,057 1,335 1,22 1,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 INF.MORT.RATE 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 5,463 1,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 5,465 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.MORT.RATE 5,465 1,452 1	INFANT DEATHS	25,060	2,714	3,639	1,969	1,211	827	1,662	2,053	10,302	683
MALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 14,406 1,315 1,996 1,227 774 489 922 1,140 6,126 4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 673,208 2,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT DEATHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFEMORE.RATES 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFEMORE.RATES 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFEMORE.RATES 31,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFEMORE.RATES 31,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFEMORE.RATES 5,416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302	INF.MORT.RATE	7.8	913.8	697.9	319.7	166.4	94.3	48.0	17.5	3.4	185.6
LIVE BIRTHS 1,637,655 1,440 2,597 3,299 3,730 4,552 17,264 53,830 1,549,014 1,9 INFANT DEATHS 14,406 1,315 1,996 1,227 774 489 922 1,140 6,126 4 INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENTICE ATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENTICE ATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENTICE ATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENTICE ATHS 341,753 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENTICE ATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENTICE ATHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENTICE ATHS 5,416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 465 128,115 118	MALE										
INFANT DEATHS 14,406 1,315 1,996 1,227 774 489 922 1,140 6,126 4 INF.MQRT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MQRT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MQRT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MQRT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENIL DEATHS 5 416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	LIVE BIRTHS	1,637,655	1,440	2,597	3,299	3,730	4,552	17,264	53,830	1,549,014	1,929
INF.MORT.RATE 8.8 913.2 768.6 371.9 207.5 107.4 53.4 21.2 4.0 216 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 673,208 2,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT DEATHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFENT LIVE BIRTHS 5 416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 14.452 1	INFANT DEATHS	14,406	1,315	1,996	1,227	774	489	922	1,140	6,126	417
FEMALE  LIVE BIRTHS  1,554,802  1,530  2,617  2,860  3,549  4,218  17,339  63,579  1,457,360  1,7    INFANT DEATHS  10,654  1,399  1,643  742  437  338  740  913  4,176  2    INF.MORT.RATE  6.9  914.4  627.8  259.4  123.1  80.1  42.7  14.4  2.9  152    BLACK  BOTH SEXES	INF.MORT.RATE	8,8	913.2	768.6	371.9	207.5	107.4	53.4	21.2	4.0	216.2
LIVE BIRTHS 1,554,802 1,530 2,617 2,860 3,549 4,218 17,339 63,579 1,457,360 1,7 INFANT DEATHS 10,654 1,399 1,643 742 437 338 740 913 4,176 2 INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BDTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 673,208 2,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT DEATHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INF.ANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 22 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF.ANT DEATHS 5,416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	FEMALE										
INFANT DEATHS  10,654  1,399  1,643  742  437  338  740  913  4,176  2    INF.MORT.RATE  6.9  914.4  627.8  259.4  123.1  80.1  42.7  14.4  2.9  152    BLACK  BOTH SEXES  LIVE BIRTHS  673,208  2,403  3,852  4,146  4,499  4,967  17,745  53,167  581,035  1,3    INF.MORT.RATE  11,979  2,138  2,475  1,065  545  363  660  907  3,360  4    INF.MORT.RATE  17.8  889.7  642.5  256.9  121.1  73.1  37.2  17.1  5.8  334    MALE  LIVE BIRTHS  341,753  1,181  1,863  2,055  2,279  2,419  8,581  23,704  298,920  7    INF.MORT.RATE  6,563  1,057  1,335  630  348  215  358  444  1,908  2    INF.MORT.RATE  19.2  895.0  716.6  306.6  152.7  88.9  41.7	LIVE BIRTHS	1,554,802	1,530	2,617	2,860	3,549	4,218	17,339	63,579	1,457,360	1,750
INF.MORT.RATE 6.9 914.4 627.8 259.4 123.1 80.1 42.7 14.4 2.9 152 BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 673,208 2,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT DEATHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 22 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFANI DEATHS 5 416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	INFANT DEATHS	10,654	1,399	1,643	742	437	338	740	913	4,176	266
BLACK BOTH SEXES LIVE BIRTHS 673,208 2,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT DEATHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 B89.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFANT DEATHS 5 416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	INF.MORT.RATE	6.9	914.4	627.8	259.4	123.1	80.1	42.7	14.4	2.9	152.0
LIVE BIRTHS 673,208 2,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT DEATHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFANT DEATHS 5 416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	BLACK										
LIVE BIRTHS 673,208 2,403 3,852 4,146 4,499 4,967 17,745 53,167 581,035 1,3 INFANT DEATHS 11,979 2,138 2,475 1,065 545 363 660 907 3,360 4 INF.MORT.RATE 17.8 889.7 642.5 256.9 121.1 73.1 37.2 17.1 5.8 334 MALE LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INF AND DEATHS 5 416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	BUIH SEXES		0 400	0 050	4 146	4 400	4 067	47 745	E9 467	E84 025	4 004
INFANT DEATHS  11,979  2,138  2,475  1,065  545  363  660  907  3,360  4    INF.MORT.RATE  17.8  889.7  642.5  256.9  121.1  73.1  37.2  17.1  5.8  334    MALE  LIVE BIRTHS  341,753  1,181  1,863  2,055  2,279  2,419  8,581  23,704  298,920  7    INFANT DEATHS  6,563  1,057  1,335  630  348  215  358  444  1,908  2    INF.MORT.RATE  19.2  895.0  716.6  306.6  152.7  88.9  41.7  18.7  6.4  356    FEMALE  LIVE BIRTHS  331,455  1,222  1,989  2,091  2,220  2,548  9,164  29,463  282,115  6    LIVE BIRTHS  5,416  1,081  1,140  435  197  148  302  463  1,452  1	LIVE BIRTHS	673,208	2,403	3,852	4,140	4,499	4,907	17,745	53,167	581,035	1,394
INF. MORT.RATE  17.8  889.7  642.5  256.9  121.1  73.1  37.2  17.1  5.8  334    MALE  LIVE BIRTHS  341,753  1,181  1,863  2,055  2,279  2,419  8,581  23,704  298,920  7    INFANT DEATHS  6,563  1,057  1,335  630  348  215  358  444  1,908  2    INF.MORT.RATE  19.2  895.0  716.6  306.6  152.7  88.9  41.7  18.7  6.4  356    FEMALE  LIVE BIRTHS  331,455  1,222  1,989  2,091  2,220  2,548  9,164  29,463  282,115  6    LIVE BIRTHS  5,416  1,081  1,140  435  197  148  302  463  1,452  1	INFANT DEATHS	11,979	2,138	2,4/5	1,065	040	101	000	907	3,300	400
MALE    LIVE BIRTHS  341,753  1,181  1,863  2,055  2,279  2,419  8,581  23,704  298,920  7    INFANT DEATHS  6,563  1,057  1,335  630  348  215  358  444  1,908  2    INF.MORT.RATE  19.2  895.0  716.6  306.6  152.7  88.9  41.7  18.7  6.4  356    FEMALE  LIVE BIRTHS  331,455  1,222  1,989  2,091  2,220  2,548  9,164  29,463  282,115  6    LIVE BIRTHS  5,416  1,081  1,140  435  197  148  302  463  1,452  1	INF.MURI.RAIE	17.8	889.7	642.5	256.9	121.1	13.1	37.2	17.1	5.8	334.3
LIVE BIRTHS 341,753 1,181 1,863 2,055 2,279 2,419 8,581 23,704 298,920 7 INFANT DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFANT DEATHS 5 416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	MALE						- · · · -				
INFANI DEATHS 6,563 1,057 1,335 630 348 215 358 444 1,908 2 INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFANI DEATHS 5 416 1.081 1.140 435 197 148 302 463 1.452 1	LIVE BIRINS.	341,753	1,181	1,863	2,055	2,279	2,419	8,581	23,704	298,920	751
INF.MORT.RATE 19.2 895.0 716.6 306.6 152.7 88.9 41.7 18.7 6.4 356 FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFANT DEATHS 5 416 1.081 1.140 435 197 148 302 463 1.452 1	INFANT DEATHS	6,563	1,057	1,335	630	348	215	358	444	1,908	268
FEMALE LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFANT DEATHS 5,416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	INF.MORT.RATE	19.2	895.0	716.6	306.6	152.7	88.9	41.7	18.7	6.4	356.9
LIVE BIRTHS 331,455 1,222 1,989 2,091 2,220 2,548 9,164 29,463 282,115 6 INFANT DEATHS 5,416 1,081 1,140 435 197 148 302 463 1,452 1	FEMALE										_
TNEANT DEATHS 5 416 1.081 1.140 435 197 148 302 463 1.452 1	LIVE BIRTHS	331,455	1,222	1,989	2,091	2,220	2,548	9,164	29,463	282,115	643
	INFANT DEATHS	5,416	1,081	1,140	435	197	148	302	463	1,452	198
INF MORT.RATE . 16.3 884.6 573.2 208.0 88.7 58.1 33.0 15.7 5.1 307	INF MORT.RATE .	16.3	884.6	573 2	208.0	88.7	58.1	33.0	15.7	5.1	307.9

1/ INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

.

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT. RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

	(RATES	ARE	PER	1000	LIVE	BIRTHS.)	
--	--------	-----	-----	------	------	----------	--

					GESTA	TION				
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS OR MORE	NOT STATED
ALL RACES 1/		. <u></u>								
TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,041,146 38,578 9,5	28,425 13,685 481,4	49,191 3,689 75,0	201,550 3,578 17.8	142,648, 1,397 9,8	1,617,847 7,442 4.6	893,514 3,084 3.5	571,848 1,909 3.3	478,267 2,187 4.6	57,956 1,607 27.7
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	284,521 22,981 80.8	26,109 13,119 502,5	33,635 3,473 103.3	82,963 2,558 30.8	28,136 595 21.1	74,716 1,569 21.0	14,884 336 22.6	7,772 205 26.4	10,240 295 28.8	6,066 831 137.0
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	5,512 4,977 902.9	4,998 4,548 910,0	202 179 886,1	15 15 1000,0	1 0 -	1 0 -	5 4 800.0	1 1 1000,0	4 4 1000.0	285 226 793.0
500-749 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS Infant Deaths Inf. Mort. Rate	9.312 6,280 674.4	7,703 5,404 701,5	1,028 527 512.6	131 60 458.0	8 6 750,0	21 10 476.2	5 5 1000.0	4 1 250.0	5 5 1000.0	407 262 643.7
750-999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS Infant Deaths Inf. Mort. Rate	10,619 3,143 296,0	6,494 2,184 336.3	3,005 697 231,9	469 107 228.1	60 8 133.3	139 30 215.8	69 11 159.4	35 6 171.4	38 5 131.6	310 95 306,5
1,000-1,249 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	12,197 1,821 149.3	3,140 647 206.1	5,870 749 127.6	1,865 238 127.6	184 27 146.7	468 54 115,4	122 15 123.0	87 8 92.0	114 18 157.9	347 65 187.3
I,250-1,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS Infant Deaths Inf. Mort. Rate	14,258 1,231 86.3	I,184 166 140.2	7,076 569 80,4	3,866 298 77.1	444 38 85.6	862 84 97.4	170 17 100,0	108 6 55.6	185 13 70,3	363 40 110.2
1,500-1,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS Infant Deaths Inf. Mort. Rate	54,308 2,425 44.7	1,487 140 94.1	11,060 659 50.5	24,868 884 35.5	4,277 171 40 0	8,193 433 52.8	1,316 63 47.9	739 50 67.7	1,189 57 47.9	1,179 68 57,7
2,000-2,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT RATE	178,315 3,104 17,4	1,103 30 27.2	5,394 193 35,8	51,749 956 18.5	23,162 345 14.9	65,032 958 14.7	13,197 221 16.7	6,798 133 19.6	8,705 193 22.2	3,175 75 23.6
2,500-2,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS / INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT RATE	649,012 4,685 72	1,598 29 18.1	6,006 91 15.2	51,649 554 10 /	50,570 441 8.7	325,557 2,052 6.3	99,198 609 6.1	51,116 358 7.0	53,489 445 8.3	9,829 106 10,8
3.000-3.499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF MORT RATE	1,477,635 5,426 3.7	- -	6,407 58 9 1	42,213 28/ 68	42,198 226 5,4	672,561 2,341 35	335,927 1,109 3.3	191,604 625 3.3	166,792 662 4 0	19,933 118 5.9
3,500-3,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF MORT RATE	1,183,054 3,180 2 7	- - -	2,952 21 7 1	19,246 97 5 0	16,884 89 53	424,306 1,122 2 6	319,783 741 2 3	217,280 502 2 3	167,882 545 32	14,721 63 4 3

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

					GESTAT	ION				
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS Or More	NOT STATED
ALL RACES <u>1</u> /		<b>·</b>				•				
4,000-4,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	368,154 834 2.3		- - -	4,437 20 4.5	3,875 25 6.5	102,149 227 2.2	103,900 211 2.0	84,749 162 1.9	64,465 172 2.7	4,579 17 3.7
4,500-4,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	65,196 197 3.0		-	654 7 10.7	648 5 7.7	15,559 47 3.0	17,300 54 3.1	16,8-14 30 1.8	13,376 42 3.1	845 12 14.2
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	B,300 77 9.3	-	-	129 7 54.3	122 0 -	2,047 15 7.3	1,994 12 6.0	2,130 11 5.2	1,726 7 4.1	152 25 164.5
NOT STATED LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	5,274 1,198 227.2	718 537 747.9	191 46 240, B	259 48 185,3	115 16 139,1	952 69 72.5	528 12 22.7	383 16 41,8	297 19 64.0	1,831 435 237.6

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS.)

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE.

-

-

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE B)	ERTHS.	)
-----------------------------	--------	---

					GESIA	TION				
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	าย พยิเหรี	37-39 Weeks	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS OR MORE	NOT STATED
WHITE							-			
TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	3,192,457 25,060 7.8	15,285 7,888 516.1	29,010 2,366 81.6	132,335 2,408 18.2	101,574 948 9,3	1,263,374 5,223 4.1	735,952 2,257 3.1	482,819 1,428 3.0	389,021 1,584 4.1	43,087 958 22.2
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	182,404 14,075 77.2	14,139 7,576 535.8	20,370 2,241 110.0	54,754 1,745 31 9	18,924 417 22.0	49,234 1,049 21.3	9,754 222 22.8	5,110 130 25,4	6,523 198 30.4	3,596 497 138.2
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	2,970 2,714 913.8	2,6 <del>9</del> 0 2,483 923.0	107 96 897.2	5 5 1000.0	1 0 -	-	3 2 666.7	1 1 1000.0	4 4 1000.0	159 123 773.6
500~749 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS Infant Deaths Inf. Mort. Rate	5,214 3,639 697,9	4,300 3,136 729.3	579 303 523.3	77 34 441.6	8 6 750.0	14 7 500,0	2 2 1000.0	3 1 333.3	4 4 1000.0	227 146 643,2
750-999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS Infant Deaths Inf, Mort, Rate.,,,	6,159 1,969 319.7	3,664 1,340 365.7	1,800 443 246.1	292 79 270.5	30 6 _ 00 0	95 20 210.5	49 7 142.9	28 5 178.6	27 3 111.1	174 66 379.3
I,000-I,249 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	7,279 1,211 166.4	1,783 428 240.0	3,574 500 139.9	1,144 166 145.1	101 16 15명,4	287 36 125.4	64 9 140,6	54 3 55,6	73 14 191.8	199 39 196.0
1,250-1,499 GRAMS LIVE BIATHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	8,770 827 94,3	583 98 168.1	4,520 401 88.7	2,381 199 83.6	313 23 73.5	515 56 108.7	98 7 71.4	64 6 93.8	104 9 86.5	192 28 145, B
1,500-1,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	34,603 1,662 48.0	641 79 123,2	6,952 376 54.1	16,192 620 38 3	∠,798 122 43.6	5,286 303 57,3	848 45 53.1	462 35 75.8	726 36 49.6	698 46 65,9
2,000-2,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	117,409 2,053 17.5	478 12 25.1	2,838 122 43.0	34,663 642 18.5	15,673 244 15.6	43,037 627 14.6	8,690 150 17,3	4,498 79 17.6	5,585 128 22.9	1 , 947 49 25 , 2
2,500-2,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	455,051 3,126 6.9	774 17 22.0	2,951 52 17.6	33,401 368 11 0	35,802 290 8 1	229,685 1,393 6.1	71,237 413 5.8	37,419 246 6 6	37,332 283 7.6	6,450 64 9.9
3,000-3,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF MORT, RATE	1,152,667 3,841 3.3	:	3,622 32 8.8	26,739 183 6 8	4/7 145 4/8	522,781 1,651 3.2	268,148 809 3.0	155,390 467 3.0	130,643 473 3 6	14,867 81 5.4
3,500-3,999 GRAMS Live Births Infant Deaths Inf Mort Rate	1,005,115 2,459 2 4	-	1,964 14 7.1	13,346 Бо З 7	12 614 62 4 9	356,472 863 2 4	275,548 579 2 1	190,006 410 22	143,073 437 3 1	12,092 44 3 6

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

					GESTA	Í I ON				
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	30 WEEKS	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS Or More	NOT STATED
WHITÉ										
4,000-4,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF, MORT. RATE	327,570 670 2.0		- - -	3,344 16 4.8	3,088 19 6.2	89,185 182 2.0	93,401 172 1,8	77,105 132 1.7	57,499 138 2.4	3,948 11 2.8
4,500-4,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	58,747 154 2.6	- -	- - -	493 5 10.1	514 5 9.7	13,626 33 2.4	15,714 45 2,9	15,507 24 1.5	12,160 37 3.0	733 5 6.8
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	7,224 52 7.2	- -		95 3 31.6	77 0 -	1,701 10 5,9	1,723 9 5.2	1,960 9 4.6	1,555 6 3.9	113 15 132.7
NOT STATED LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	3,679 683 185.6	372 295 793_0	103 27 262.1	163 38 233.1	78 10 128.2	690 42 60,9	427 8 18.7	322 10 31.1	236 12 50.8	1,288 241 187.1

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS.)

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE.

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

					GLSTAT	ION				
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS Or More	NOT STATED
BLACK										
TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	673,208 11,979 17.8	12,358 5,428 439,2	18,415 1,209 65.7	60,210 1,026 17.0	34,604 372 10.8	276,461 1,835 6.6	120,749 651 5.4	68,524 380 5.5	71,296 511 7.2	10,591 567 53.5
ESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	90,779 8,153 89.8	11,269 5,190 460.6	12,196 1,130 92,7	25,169 716 28.4	8,096 155 (9,1	21,930 437 19.9	4,406 88 20.0	2,320 65 28.0	3,325 85 25,6	2,068 287 138,8
LESS THAN 500 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	2,403 2,138 889.7	2,185 1,954 894.3	89 78 876.4	10 10 1000.0	-	1 0 -	2 2 1000.0	-	-	116 94 810,3
500-749 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	3,852 2,475 642,5	3.211 2.136 665.2	420 208 495.2	49 23 469.4	Ē	7 3 428.6	2 2 1000.0	1 0 -	1 1 1000,0	161 102 633,5
750-999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,146 1,065 256,9	2,643 767 290.2	1,125 236 209.8	158 22 139.2	24 1 41.7	40 10 250.0	15 1 66.7	7 1 142.9	9 2 222.2	125 25 200.0
I,000-1,249 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,499 645 121.1	1,267 199 157.1	2,088 223 106.8	658 62 94,2	77 10 129,9	159 16 100.6	54 5 92.6	32 5 156.3	36 4 111.1	128 21 164.1
I,250-1,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,967 363 73.1	564 60 106.4	2,329 155 66.6	1,325 87 65.7	1 : 6 13 1 12 : 1	307 27 87,9	63 8 127.0	38 0 -	76 4 52.6	149 9 60.4
1,500-1,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	17,745 660 37,2	807 58 71.9	3,753 163 43.4	7,836 234 29.9	i 333 44 33.0	2,546 101 39.7	410 15 36.6	241 12 49.8	421 16 38.0	398 17 42.7
2,000-2,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	53,167 907 17.1	592 16 27.0	2,392 67 28.0	15,133 278 18,4	ປຸຣິສ6 87 13 3	18,870 280 14 8	3,860 55 14.2	2,001 47 23.5	2,782 58 20.8	991 19 19,2
2,500-2,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF MORT. RATE	159,428 1,349 8,5	764 12 15 7	2,785 36 12 9	15,920 168 เปีย	12,519 126 10 1	77,301 572 7 4	22,663 163 7.2	11,255 91 8.1	13,711 144 10.5	2,510 37 14.7
3,000-3,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS. INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT RATE	253,469 1,301 5,1	- -	2,484 20 8.1	13,140 90 6 8	ษ,719- 60 ธิ2	115,353 572 5.0	51,972 238 4.6	28,149 129 4.6	29,227 160 5.5	3,399 32 9.4
3,500-3,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF MORT RATE	133,425 542 4 1	-	866 6 6 9	4,899 33 3	3,445 19 5.5	50,632 191 3 8	32,653 123 3 8	20,266 62 3 1	19,002 90 47	1,662 13 7 8

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS.)

SEE FOOTNOTES AT END OF TABLE.

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND GESTATIONAL AGE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

					GESTA	TION				
BIRTH WEIGHT	TOTAL	<28 WEEKS	28-31 WEEKS	32-35 WEEKS	36 WEEKS	37-39 WEEKS	40 WEEKS	41 WEEKS	42 WEEKS OR MORE	NOT STATED
BLACK										
4,000-4,499 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	29,383 119 4.0	- -	- - -	846 2 2_4	630 6 9.5	9,402 32 3.4	7,603 28 3.7	5,453 24 4.4	5,062 23 4.5	387 4 10.3
4,500-4,999 GRAMS LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	4,578 29 6,3	- -	- - -	117 1 8.5	110 0 -	1,383 9 6.5	1,172 6 5.1	909 4 4.4	820 3 3.7	67 6 89.6
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	752 20 26.6	-	- -	27 3 111.1	31 0 -	245 3 12.2	196 3 15,3	121 2 16.5	102 0 -	30 9 300,0
NOT STATED LIVE BIRTHS INFANT DEATHS INF. MORT. RATE	1,394 466 334,3	325 226 695,4	日4 17 202,4	86 8 93.0	34 6 176.5	215 19 88.4	84 2 23.8	51 3 58.8	47 6 127.7	468 179 382.5

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS.)

1/ INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

.

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

#### (INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
ALL RACES <u>1</u> /						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS)NUMBER	4,041,146	38,578	24,426	20,128	4,298	14,152
Rate		9.5	6.0	Б.О	1.1	3.5
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER	284,521	22,981	18,397	15,999	2,398	4,584
Rate		80.8	64,7	56.2	8.4	16.1
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER	5,512	4,977	4,944	4,871	73	33
Rate		902.9	897.0	883.7	13.2	6.0
500-749 GRAMS NUMBER	9,312	6,280	5,726	5,191	535	554
Rate		674,4	614.9	557,5	57.5	59,5
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER	10,619	3,143	2,521	1,989	532	622
Rate		296,0	237.4	187.3	60.1	58.6
1,000-1,249 GRAMS	12,197	1,821 149.3	1,335 109.5	1,016 83.3	319 26.2	486 39,8
1,250-1,499 GRAMS	14,258	1,231 86,3	865 60.7	668 46.9	197 13.8	366 25.7
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER	54,308	2,425	1,497	1,167	330	928
RATE		44.7	27.6	21,5	6.1	17,1
2,000-2,499 GRAMSNUMBER	178,315	3,104	1,509	1,097	412	1,595
RATE		17.4	8.5	6.2	2.3	8.9
2,500-2,999 GRAMS	649,012	4,685 7.2	1,786 2.8	1,162 1.8	624 1.0	2,899 4.5
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER	1,477,635	5,426	1,689	1,014	675	3,737
Rate		3.7	1,1	.7	.5	2.5
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER	1,183,054	3,180	1,003	613	390	2,177
Rate		2.7	.8	,5	.3	1,8
4,000-4,499 GRAMS	368,154	834 2.3	279 .8	173	106 . 3	555 1.5
4,500-4,999 GRAMS	65,196	197 3.0	82 1.3	52 ,8	30 .5	115 1.8
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE NUMBER	8,300	77	54	48	. <del>6</del>	23
RATE.		9.3	6,5	5.8	. 7	2.8
NOT STATED	5,274	1,198 227.2	1,136 215.4	1,067 202.3	69 13.1	62 11.8

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH: UNITED STATES,1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
WHITE						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS)NUMBER	3,192,457	25,060	15,874	12,985	2,889	9,186
RATE		7.8	5.0	4.1	.9	2.9
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER	182,404	14,075	11,516	10,023	1,493	2,559
Rate		77.2	63.1	54.9	8,2	14.0
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER	2,970	2,714	2,699	2,660	39	15
Rate		913.8	908.8	895.6	13.1	5.1
500-749 GRAMSNUMBER	5,214	3,639	3,385	3,072	313	254
Rate		697.9	649.2	589,2	60.0	48.7
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER	6,159	1,969	1,646	1,326	320	323
Rate		319.7	267.3	215.3	52.0	52.4
1,000-1,249 GRAMSNUMBER	7,279	1,211	960	752	208	251
RATE		166.4	131.9	103.3	28.6	34.5
1,250-1,499 GRAMSNUMBER	8,770	827	611	487	124	216
RATE		94.3	69.7	55.5	14.1	24.6
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER	34,603	1,662	1,115	888	227	547
RATE		48.0	32,2	25.7	6.6	15 8
2,000-2,499 GRAMSNUMBER	117,409	2,053	1,100	838	262	953
RATE		17.5	9.4	7.1	2.2	8.1
2,500-2,999 GRAMSNUMBER	455,051	3,126	1,328	886	442	1,798
Rate		6,9	2.9	1.9	1.0	4,0
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER Rate	1,152,667	3,841 3.3	1,263 1.1	770	493 .4	2,578 2.2
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER	1,005,115	2,459	794	491	303	1,665
RATE		2,4	. B	.5	, 3	1.7
4,000-4,499 GRAMSNUMBER	327,570	670	221	141	80	449
Rate		2,0	.7	.4	.2	1.4
4,500-4,999 GRAMSNUMBER	58,747	154	61	34	27	93
RATE		2.6	1,0	.6	.5	1.6
5,000 GRAMS OR MORENUMBER	7,224	52	37	32	5	15
RATE		7.2	5.1	4.4	. 7	2.1
NOT STATED	3,679	683 185.6	654 177.8	608 165.3	46 12,5	29 7.9

#### LIVE BIRTHS, INFANT DEATHS, AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY BIRTH WEIGHT, RACE OF MOTHER, AND AGE AT DEATH: UNITED STATES,1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, 0-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST~ NEONATAL
BLACK						
TOTAL (ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS)NUMBER	673,208	11,979	7,711	6,483	1,228	4,268
RATE		17.8	11.5	9.6	1.8	6.3
LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMSNUMBER	90,779	8,153	6,298	5,486	812	1,855
RATE		89.8	69.4	60,4	8.9	20.4
LESS THAN 500 GRAMSNUMBER	2,403	2,138	2,122	2,090	32	16
Rate		889.7	883.1	869,7	13.3	6.7
500-749 GRAMSNUMBER	3,852	2,475	2,189	1,976	213	286
Rate		642.5	568.3	513.0	55.3	74.2
750-999 GRAMSNUMBER	4,146	1,065	782	590	192	283
Rate		256.9	188.6	142.3	46.3	68.3
I,000-1,249 GRAMSNUMBER	4,499	545	333	23B	95	212
Rate		121.1	74.0	52.9	21.1	47.1
1,250-1,499 GRAMSNUMBER	4,967	363	228	161	67	135
Rate		73.1	45,9	32.4	13.5	27.2
1,500-1,999 GRAMSNUMBER	17,745	660	314	225	89	346
Rate		37,2	17.7	12.7	Б.О	19.5
2,000-2,499 GRAMS	53,167	907 17.1	330 6.2	206 3.9	124 2.3	577 10,9
2,500-2,999 GRAMSNUMBER	159,428	1,349	385	226	159	964
RATE		8,5	2,4	1.4	1.0	6.0
3,000-3,499 GRAMSNUMBER	253,469	1,301	357	205	152	944
RATE		5.1	1.4	. 8	.6	3.7
3,500-3,999 GRAMSNUMBER	133,425	542	159	93	66	383
RATE		4.1	1.2	. 7	.5	2.9
4,000-4,499 GRAMS	29,383	119 4.0	46 1.6	27 .9	19 . 6	73 2.5
4,500-4,999 GRAMSNUMBER	4,578	29	16	15	1	13
RATE		6.3	3.5	3.3	. 2	2.8
5,000 GRAMS OR MORE	752	20 26.6	13 17,3	12 16.0	1 1.3	7 9.3
NOT STATEDNUMBER	1,394	466	437	419	18	29
RATE		334.3	313.5	300.6	12.9	20.8

(RATES ARE PER 1000 LIVE BIRTHS)-C

1/ INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

#### LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE	INFANT	TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST-
	BIRTHS	DEATHS	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL
ALL RACES <u>1</u> /, ALL BIRTH WEIGHTS					-	
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	4.041,146	38,578	24,426	20,128	4,298	14,152
RATE		954.6	604.4	498.1	106.4	350.2
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER		7,991	5,793	4,497	1,296	2,198
RATE		197.7	143.4	111.3	32,1	54.4
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER		5,569	391	46	345	5,178
RATE		137.8	9.7	1 - 1	8.5	128,1
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER		3,785	3,738	3,696	42	47
RATE		93.7	92.5	91.5	1.0	1.2
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		3,509	3,286	2,763	523	223
RATE		86.8	81.3	68.4	12.9	5.5
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER		1,489	1,481	1,472	9	B
RATE		36.8	36.6	36.4	. 2	. 2
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER		932	86	35	51	846
RATE		23.1	2.1	.9	1.3	20,9
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER		935	927	892	35	8
RATE		23.1	22.9	22.1	. 9	. 2
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER		876	822	479	343	54
RATE		21.7	20.3	11.9	8,5	1.3
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER		698	632	511	121	66
RATE		17.3	15.6	12.6	3.0	1.6
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		622	101	37	64	521
RATE		15.4	2.5	.9	1,6	12,9
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)		1,586 39,2	608 15.0	384 9.5	224 5.5	978 24.2

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE	INFANT	TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST-
	BIRTHS	DEATHS	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL
ALL RACES <u>1</u> /, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS					-	
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	284,521	22,981	18,397	15,999	2,398	4,584
RATE		8,077.1	6,466.0	5,623.1	842.8	1,611.1
CONGENITAL ANDMALIES (740-759)NUMBER		3,938	3,150	2,675	475	788
RATE		1,384.1	1,107.1	940.2	166.9	277.0
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER		1,035	49	6	43	986
RATE		363.8	17.2	2 . 1	15.1	346,5
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER		3,393	3,349	3,308	4 1	44
RATE		1,192.5	1,177.1	1,162.7	14 . 4	15 <sub>-</sub> 5
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		3,319	3,114	2,614	500	205
RATE		1,166.5	1,094.5	918.7	175.7	72.1
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER		1,323	1,318	1,310	8	5
RATE		465.0	463.2	460.4	2.8	1.8
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER		152	31	20	11	121
RATE		53.4	10.9	7.0	3.9	42.5
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER		767	762	746	16	5
RATE		269.6	267.8	262.2	5.6	1_8
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER		642	606	336	270	36
RATE		225.6	213.0	118.1	94.9	12.7
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		342 120.2	325 114.2	289 101.6	36 12.7	17 6.0
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		252	48	17	31.	204
RATE		88.6	16.9	6.0	10.9	71.7
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)NUMBER		692	327	222	105	365
RATE		243.2	114.9	78.0	36.9	128.3

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES. 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE	INFANT	TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST-
	BIRTHS	DEATHS	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL
ALL RACES <u>1</u> /, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE				·		<u> </u>
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	3,751,351	14,399	4,893	3,062	1,831	9,506
RATE		383.8	130.4	81.6	48.8	253.4
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER		3,897	2,498	1,694	804	1,399
RATE		103.9	66.6	45.2	21.4	37.3
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER		4,517	341	40	301	4,176
RATE		120.4	9.1	1 . 1	8.0	111.3
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER		59	56	55	1	3
RATE		1.6	1.5	1.5	.0	. 1
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		113	99	81	18	14
RATE		3.0	2.6	2.2	. 5	. 4
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER		23	20	19	1	3
RATE		.6	.5	. 5	.0	. 1
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER		774	52	12	40	722
RATE		20.6	1.4	. 3	1 , 1	19.2
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER		108	105	86	19	3
-RATE		2.9	2.8	2.3	.5	. 1
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER		218	200	135	65	18
RATE		5.8	5.3	3.6	1.7	. 5
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER		313	266	190	76	47
RATE		8.3	7.1	5.1	2.0	1.3
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		369	53	20	33	316
RATE		9.8	1.4	.5	. 9	8.4
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)		868 23.1	257 6.9	144 38	113 3.0	611 16.3

#### LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
ALL RACES <u>1</u> /, NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	5,274	1,198 22,715.2	1,136 21,539.6	1,067 20,231.3	69 1,308.3	62 1,175.6
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		156 2,957.9	145 2,749.3	128 2,427.0	17 322.3	11 208.6
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER RATE		17 322.3	1 19.0	-	1 19.0	16 303.4
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		333 6,314.0	333 6,314.0	333 6,314.0	-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		77 1,460.0	73 1,384.1	68 1,289.3	5 94.8	4 75.8
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		143 2,711.4	143 2,711.4	143 2,711.4	-	-
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		6 113.8	3 56.9	3 56.9	-	3 56.9
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER RATE		60 1,137.7	60 1,137.7	60 1,137.7	-	-
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		16 303.4	16 303 . 4	8 151.7	8 151.7	-
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		43 815.3	41 777.4	32 606.8	9 170.6	2 37.9
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		1 19.0	-	-	-	1 19.0
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)NUMBER RATE		26 493.0	24 455.1	18 341.3	6 113.8	2 37.9

(RATES ARE PER 100,000 LIVE BIRTHS)

.

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL. 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE	INFANT	TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST-
	BIRTHS	DEATHS	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL
WHITE. All birth weights						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	3,192,457	25,060	15,874	12,985	2,889	9,186
RATE		785.0	497.2	406.7	90.5	287.7
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER		6,209	4,581	3,604	977	1,628
RATE		194.5	143.5	112.9	30.6	51 <sub>-</sub> 0
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER		3,780	259	27	232	3,521
RATE		118.4	8.1	.8	7.3	110.3
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER		1,871	1,847	1,826	21	24
RATE		58.6	57.9	57.2	.7	.8
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		2,295	2,165	1,812	353	130
RATE		71.9	67.8	56.8	11.1	4 . 1
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER		958	955	947	8	3
RATE		30.0	29.9	29.7	. 3	. 1
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER		589	57	23	34	532
RATE		18.4	1.8	. 7	1.1	16.7
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER		637	631	605	26	6
RATE		20.0	19.8	19.0	.8	. 2
INFECTIONS (771)RATE		557 17.4	532 16.7	331 10,4	201 6.3	25 .8
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER		449	408	331	77	41
RATE		14.1	12.8	10.4	2.4	1.3
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		378	62	25	37	316
RATE.,		11、8	1.9	. 8	1.2	9.9
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)		1,042 32.6	424 13.3	279 8.7	145 4.5	618 19.4

(RATES ARE PER 100,000 LIVE BIRTHS)

۹

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES. 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER LIVE INFANT TOTAL EARLY BIRTHS DEATHS NEONATAL NEONATAL

LATE

NEONATAL

POST-

NEONATAL

LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	182,404	14,075	11,516	10,023	1,493	2,559
RATE		7,716.4	6,313.5	5,494.9	818.5	1,402.9
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER		2,996	2,455	2,123	332	541
RATE		1,642.5	1,345.9	1,163.9	182.0	296.6
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER		593	26	5	21	567
RATE		325.1	14.3	2.7	11.5	310.8
PREMATURITY (765)RATE		1,681 921.6	1,659 909.5	1,639 898.6	20 11.0	22 12.1
RESP,IRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		2,166	2,045	1,711	334	121
RATE		1,187.5	1,121.1	938.0	183.1	66.3
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER		866	863	856	7	3
RATE		474.8	473.1	469.3	3.8	1.6
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER		68	18	11	7	50
RATE		37.3	9.9	6.0	3.8	27.4
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER		504	50 1	491	10	3
RATE		276.3	274 - 7	269.2	5 <sub>-</sub> 5	1.6
INFECTIONS (771)RATE		386 211.6	370 202.8	216 118.4	154 84.4	16 8.8
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER		197	189	169	20	8
RATE		108.0	103.6	92.7	11.0	4.4
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		132	26	9	17	106
RATE		72.4	14.3	4.9	9.3	58.1
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)NUMBER		416	208	157	51	208
RATE		228.1	114.0	86.1	28.0	114.0

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE	INFANT	TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST-
	BIRTHS	DEATHS	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL
WHITE, 2,500 GRAMS OR MORE			<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	3,006,374	10,302	3,704	2,354	1,350	6,598
RATE		342.7	123.2	78.3	44.9	219.5
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER		3,089	2,010	1,378	632	1,079
RATE		102.7	66.9	45.8	21.0	35.9
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER		3,178	232	22	210	2,946
RATE		105.7	7.7	.7	7.0	98.0
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER		30	28	27	1	2
RATE		1.0	.9	.9	. 0	. 1
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		78	71	55	16	7
RATE		2.6	2.4	1.8	. 5	. 2
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		13 . 4	13 . 4	12 . 4	1 .0	-
ACCIDENTS (EBOO-E949)NUMBER		518	37	10	27	481
RATE		17.2	1.2	. 3	.9	16.0
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER		91	88	72	16	3
-RATE		3.0	2.9	2.4	. 5	. 1
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER		162	153	109	44	9
RATE		5.4	5.1	3.6	1.5	. 3
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER		232	199	146	53	33
RATE		7.7	6.6	4.9	1.8	1.1
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		246	36	16	20	210
RATE		8.2	1.2	. 5	. 7	7.0
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)		607 20.2	198 6.6	110 3.7	88 2.9	409 13.6

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL. 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

					1	
CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
WHITE, NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	3,679	683 18,564.8	654 17,776.6	608 16,526.2	46 1,250.3	29 788.3
CONGENITAL ANDMALIES (740-759)NUMBER RATE		124 3,370.5	116 3,153.0	103 2,799.7	13 353.4	8 217.5
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER RATE		9 244.6	1 27.2	-	1 27.2	В 217.5
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		160 4,349.0	160 4,349.0	160 4,349.0	-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		51 1,386.2	49 1,331.9	46 1,250.3	3 81.5	2 54,4
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		79 2,147.3	79 2,147.3	79 2,147.3	-	-
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		3 81.5	2 54.4	2 54.4	- -	1 27_2
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER RATE		42 1,141.6	42 1,141.6	42 1,141.6	-	-
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		9 244.6	9 244.6	6 163.1	3 81.5	-
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		20 543.6	20 543.6	16 434.9	4 108.7	- -
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		-	-	-	-	-
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)NUMBER RATE		19 516.4	18 489.3	12 326.2	6 163.1	1 27.2

(RATES ARE PER 100,000 LIVE BIRTHS)

-

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

LIVE	INFANT	TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST-
BIRTHS	DEATHS	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL
673,208	11,979	7,711	6,483	1,228	4,268
	1,779.4	1,145.4	963.0	182.4	634.0
	1,407	959	708	251	448
	209.0	142.5	105.2	37.3	66.5
	1,525	118	19	99	1,407
	226.5	17.5	2.8	14.7	209.0
	1,815	1,793	1,772	21	22
	269.6	266.3	263.2	3.1	3.3
	1,108	1,027	878	149	<b>81</b>
	164.6	152.6	130.4	22 <sub>-</sub> 1	12.0
	493	488	487	1	5
	73.2	72.5	72.3	. 1	. 7
	294	21	11	10	273
	43.7	3.1	1.6	1 <i>.</i> 5	40.6
	267	265	256	9	2
	39.7	39.4	38.0	1.3	. 3
	291	263	132	131	28
	43.2	39.1	19.6	19.5	4.2
	211	190	153	37	21
	31.3	28.2	22.7	5.5	3.1
	210	30	10	20	180
	31.2	4.5	1.5	3.0	26.7
	479	167	94	73	312
	71.2	24.8	14.0	10.8	46.3
	LIVE BIRTHS 673,208	LIVE BIRTHS INFANT- DEATHS 673,208 11,979 1,779.4 1,407 209.0 1,525 226.5 1,815 269.6 1,108 164.6 493 73.2 294 43.7 294 43.7 294 43.7 291 43.2 211 31.3 210 31.2	LIVE BIRTHS    INFANT DEATHS    TOTAL NEONATAL      673,208    11,979 1,779.4    7,711 1,145.4      1,407    959 209.0    142.5      1,525    118 226.5    1,75      1,815    1,793 269.6    266.3      1,108    1,027      164.6    152.6      493    488      73.2    72.5      294    21      43.7    3.1      267    265      39.7    39.4      291    263      43.2    39.1      211    190      31.3    28.2      210    30      31.2    4.5	LIVE BIRTHS    INFANT- DEATHS    TOTAL NEONATAL    EARLY NEONATAL      673,208    11,979 1,779.4    7,711 1,145.4    6,483 963.0      1,407    959 209.0    708 142.5    105.2      1,525    118 1,815    19 226.5    17.5    2.8      1,815    1,793 269.6    266.3    263.2      1,108    1,027 164.6    878 164.6    152.6    130.4      493    488 487 73.2    488 72.5    487 72.5    32.3      294    21 43.7    11 3.1    1.6    267 265 256 39.7    263 39.1    132 43.2      291    263 31.3    132 22.7    19.6    130 43.2    10 30 10      211    190 31.2    30 4.5    1.5    1.5      479    167 71.2    94 71.2    24.8    14.0	LIVE BIRTHS    INFANT: DEATHS    TOTAL NEONATAL    EARLY NEONATAL    LATE NEONATAL      673,208    11,979 1,779.4    7,711 1,145.4    6,483 963.0    1,228 182.4      1,407    959 209.0    708 142.5    251 105.2    37.3      1,525    118 19 269.6    19 266.3    99 226.5    17.5    2.8      1,108 164.6    152.6    130.4    22.1      493 73.2    488 72.5    487 72.5    1 1    10 43.7      294 291    21 11    11 0 43.7    1.3    1.6      294 291    21 11    10 19.6    1.3      291 39.7    29.4    31.3    38.0    1.3      291 31.3    28.2    22.7    5.5    5      210 31.2    30 1.5    10 20 31.2    20 4.5    1.5    3.0

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE	INFANT	TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST-
	BIRTHS	DEATHS	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL
BLACK, LESS THAN 2,500 GRAMS						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	90,779	8,153	6,298	5,486	812	1,855
RATE		8,981.2	6,937.7	6,043.2	894.5	2,043.4
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER		751	550	438	112	201
RATE		827.3	605,9	482.5	123.4	221.4
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER		412	20	1	19	392
RATE		453.8	22.0	1.1	20.9	431.8
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER		1,625	1,604	1,583	21	21
RATE		1,790.1	1,766.9	1,743.8	23.1	23.1
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		1,053	980	835	145	73
RATE		1,160.0	1,079.5	919.8	159.7	80.4
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER		424	422	421	1	2
RATE		467.1	464.9	463.8	1.1	2.2
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER		75	11	8	3	64
RATE		82.6	12_1	8.8	3.3	70.5
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER		234	232	226	6	2
RATE		257 <sub>-</sub> 8	255.6	249.0	6.6	2.2
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER		240	221	111	110	19
RATE		264 <sub>-</sub> 4	243.4	122.3	121.2	20.9
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER		134	125	112	13	9
RATE		147.6	137.7	123.4	14.3	9.9
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		109	19	7	12	90
RATE		120.1	20.9	7.7	13.2	99.1
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)NUMBER		260	112	61	51	148
RATE		286.4	123.4	67.2	56.2	163.0

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

			-	т		
CAUSE OF DEATH. BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE	INFANT	TOTAL	EARLY	LATE	POST-
	BIRTHS	DEATHS	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL	NEONATAL
BLACK. 2,500 grams dr More						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER	581,035	3,360	976	578	398	2,384
RATE		578.3	168.0	99.5	68,5	410.3
CONGENITAL ANOMALIES (740-759)NUMBER		632	388	251	137	244
RATE		108.8	66.8	43.2	23.6	42.0
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER		1,106	98	18	80	1,008
RATE		190.3	16.9	3.1	13.8	173.5
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER Rate		29 5.0	28 4.8	28 4.8	-	1 . 2
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER		30	24	22	2	6
RATE		5.2	4.1	3.8	. 3	1.0
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER		7	4	4	-	3
RATE		1.2	. 7	. 7	-	. 5
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER		217	9	2	7	208
Rate		37.3	1.5	. 3	1.2	35.8
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA,ETC. (762)NUMBER RATE		16 2.8	16 2.8	13 2.2	3 . 5	-
INFECTIONS (771)RATE		45 7.7	36 6.2	20 3.4	16 2,8	9 1.5
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER		62	52	31	21	10
RATE		10.7	8.9	5.3	3.6	1 . 7
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER		100	11	3	8	89
RATE		17.2	1.9	, 5	1.4	15.3
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL) NUMBER		212	49	27	22	163
RATE		36.5	8,4	4.6	3.8	28.1

LIVE BIRTHS BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND RACE OF MOTHER AND INFANT DEATHS AND INFANT MORTALITY RATES BY AGE AT DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER FOR 10 MAJOR CAUSES OF INFANT DEATH: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

CAUSE OF DEATH, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND RACE OF MOTHER	LIVE BIRTHS	INFANT DEATHS	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
BLACK. NOT STATED BIRTH WEIGHT						
ALL CAUSESNUMBER RATE	1,394	466 33,429.0	437 31,348.6	419 30,057.4	18 1,291.2	29 2,080.3
CONGENITAL ANDMALIES (740-759)NUMBER Rate		24 1,721.7	21 1,506.5	19 1,363.0	2 143.5	3 215.2
SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME (798.0)NUMBER RATE		7 502.2	-	-	-	7 502.2
PREMATURITY (765)NUMBER RATE		161 11,549.5	161 11,549.5	161 11,549.5	-	-
RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME (769)NUMBER RATE		25 1,793.4	23 1,649.9	21 1,506.5	2 143.5	2 143.5
MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS (761)NUMBER RATE		62 4,447.6	62 4,447.6	62 4,447.6	-	-
ACCIDENTS (E800-E949)NUMBER RATE		2 143.5	1 71.7	1 71.7	-	1 71.7
COMPLICATIONS OF PLACENTA.ETC. (762)NUMBER RATE		17 1,219.5	17 1,219.5	17 1,219.5	-	-
INFECTIONS (771)NUMBER RATE		6 430.4	6 430.4	1 71.7	5 358.7	-
HYPOXIA AND ASPHYXIA (768)NUMBER RATE		15 1,076.0	13 932.6	10 717.4	3 2 15 <sub>-</sub> 2	2 143.5
PNEUMONIA AND INFLUENZA (480-487)NUMBER RATE		1 71.7	- -	- -	-	1 71.7
ALL OTHER CAUSES (RESIDUAL)NUMBER Rate		7 502.2	6 430.4	6 430.4	-	1 71.7

(RATES ARE PER 100,000 LIVE BIRTHS)

1/ INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

#### UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

#### (INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD 1/	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
UNITED STATES	f , 027	7 18	641	77	309
	602	405	353	52	197
	386	286	268	18	100
ALABAMA	- - -	- -	- -	- - -	. · - - -
ALASKA	4	3	2	1	1
	2	1	1	-	1
	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	5	2	2	-	3
	5	2	2	-	3
	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	. 4	3	2	1	1
	2	1	-	1	1
	2	2	2	-	-
CALIFORNIA	194	153	145	8	4 1
	127	98	92	6	29
	53	43	4 1	2	10
COLORADO	1 - -	-	- -	-	1 - -
CONNECTICUT	6	3	2	1	3
	6	3	2	1	3
	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	- -	-	- -	- -	- -
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	9	4	4	-	5
WHITE	3	2	2	-	1
BLACK	6	2	2	-	4

#### UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL. 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD <u>1</u> /	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
FLORIDA	4	2	1	1	2
BLACK	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA	1	-	-	-	1
WHITEBLACK	· 1 -	-	-	-	1 -
HAWAII	4	2	-	2	2
WHITEBLACK	1	-	-	-	1
IDAH0	1	-	-	-	1
WHITEBLACK.	1	-	-	-	1
ILLINDIS	33	26	26	-	7
WHITEBLACK	13 20	11 · 15	11 15	-	2 5
INDIANA	24	14	7	7	10
BLACK	17 7	10 4	4 3	6	3
IOWA	2	2	2	-	-
BLACK	1	1 1	1 1	-	-
KANSAS	4	1	1	-	3
BLACK	-	-	1 -	-	-
KENTUCKY	8	5	5	-	3
BLACK.	7	4 1	4 1	-	3
LOUISIANA	71	58	56	2	13
BLACK	20 51	17 41	16 40	1 1	3 10

#### UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE. AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

(INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD <u>1</u> /	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
MA INE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	30	2 1	16	5	
WHITE BLACK	15 14	11 9	7 8	4 1	4 5
MASSACHUSETTS	5	Э	2	1	2
WHI1E BLACK	5	3	2	1	2
MICHIGAN	11	4	1	Э	7
BLACK	2	2	1	2 1	-
MINNESDTA	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	· -	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI	8	1	-	1	7
BLACK	4	- 1	-	-	4
MISSOURI	4	1	1	-	3
BLACK	3	- 1	- 1	-	1 2
MONTANA	2	-	-	-	2
BLACK	2	-	-		2 -
NEBRASKA	1	-	-	-	1
WHITE	1	-	-	-	1
NEVADA	2	1	-	1	1
WHITE	1 -	-		-	1 -

#### UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

# (INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD <u>1</u> /	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
		_	_	_	
WHITEBLACK	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY	37 18	20 8	18 6	2 2	17 10
BLACK	18	11	11	-	7
NEW MEXICOWHITE	4 4	3 3	2 2	1 1	1 1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	29 21 7	13 10 3	11 8 3	2 2 -	16 11 4
NEW YORK CITY	35 18	21	16 9	5 3	14 6
BLACK	16	8	7	1	8
NORTH CAROLINA. WHITEBLACK	16 10 6	6 2 4	6 2 4	- -	10 B 2
NORTH DAKOTA	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO	147 81 64	116 64 50	1 10 6 1 4 7	6 3 3	31 17 14
OKLAHOMA	73 52	39 29	30 23	9 6	34 23
BLACK	13	7	6	1	6
OREGON.	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-

#### UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

# (INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD <u>1</u> /	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE	POST- NEONATAL
PENNSYLVANIA	78 39	65	62	3	13
BLACK	39	33	32	1	6
RHODE ISLAND	-	-	-	-	·' _
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA	1	-	-	-	1
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	3	3	2	1	-
WHITE	2	2	1	1	-
BLACK	1	· 1	1	-	-
TEXAS	122	103	92	11	19
WHITE,	76	63	57	6	13
BLACK	45	39	34	5	6
UTAH	2	1	-	1	1
WHITE	2	1	-	1	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE	-	-	-	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA	20	14	13	1	6
WHITE	8	5	4	1	Э
BLACK	11	8	8	-	3
WASHINGTON	2	1	1	-	1
WHITE	2	ſ	Í.	-	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-

#### UNLINKED INFANT DEATHS BY RACE, AGE AT DEATH, AND STATE OF RESIDENCE: UNITED STATES, 1989 BIRTH COHORT

#### (INFANT DEATHS ARE UNDER 1 YEAR. NEONATAL DEATHS ARE UNDER 28 DAYS; EARLY NEONATAL, O-6 DAYS; LATE NEONATAL, 7-27 DAYS; AND POSTNEONATAL, 28 DAYS THROUGH 11 MONTHS)

(DATA IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFANT DEATHS TO THE 1989 BIRTH COHORT NOT INCLUDED IN THE LINKED FILE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT LINKED WITH THEIR CORRESPONDING BIRTH CERTIFICATES. SEE METHODOLOGY SECTION. RESIDENCE IS OF INFANT DECEDENT; RACE IS FROM DEATH CERTIFICATE.)

AREA AND RACE OF CHILD <u>1</u> /	INFANT	TOTAL NEONATAL	EARLY NEONATAL	LATE NEONATAL	POST- NEONATAL
· · · · · ·					• • •
WEST VIRGINIA	4	3	2	1	1
WHITE	4	3	2	1	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN	15	1	1	-	
WHITE	13	1	1	-	12
BLACK	2	-	-	-	2
WYOMING	1	-	-	-	1
WHITE	1	-	-	-	1
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-
FOREIGN RESIDENTS	2	2	2	-	-
WHITE	2	2	2	-	-
BLACK	-	-	-	-	-

1/ TOTALS FOR GEOGRAPHIC AREAS INCLUDES RACES OTHER THAN WHITE AND BLACK

**TECHNICAL APPENDIX FROM** 

# VITAL STATISTICS OF THE UNITED STATES

# 1989

**VOLUME I - NATALITY** 



# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

# Section 4. Technical Appendix

	Page
Definition of live birth	1
History of birth-registration area	1
Sources of data	1
Natality statistics	1
Standard Certificate of Live Birth	1
Classification of data	3
Classification by occurrence and residence	4
Geographic classification	4
Race or national origin	5
Age of mother	6
Age of father	7
Live-birth order and parity	7
Date of last live birth	7
Educational attainment	7
Marital status	10
Place of delivery and attendant at birth	10
Birth weight	11
Period of gestation	11
Month of pregnancy prenatal care began	12
Number of prenatal visits	12
Ардат score	12
Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy	12
Weight gain during pregnancy	12
Medical risk factors for this pregnancy	12

	Page
Obstetric procedures	13
Complications of labor and/or delivery	13
Abnormal conditions of the newborn	14
Congenital anomalies of child	14
Method of delivery	15
Hispanic parentage	15
Quality of data	15
Completeness of registration	16
Completeness of reporting	16
Quality control procedures	16
Small frequencies	17
Computation of rates and other measures	17
Population bases	17
Net census undercounts and overcounts	19
Cohort fertility tables	19
Age-sex-adjusted birth rates	20
Total fertility rate	20
Intrinsic vital rates	20
Seasonal adjustment of rates	20
Computation of percents, medians, and means.	20
Symbols used in tables	20
References	21

# Figure

4-A.	U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth	: 1989 Revision	 2

# Text tables

А.	Percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated: United States and Each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam, 1989	8
B.	Sources for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900–1932, and United States, 1900–1989	18
C.	Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount, by age, race, and sex: United States, April 1, 1980	19

# Population tables

4–1.	Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900–1932, and United States, 1900–1989	22
4–2.	Estimated population of the United States, by age, race, and sex: July 1, 1989	23
4–3.	Estimated total population and female population aged 15-44 years: United States, each division and State,	
	Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1989	24

## **DEFINITION OF LIVE BIRTH**

Every product of conception that gives a sign of life after birth, regardless of the length of the pregnancy, is considered a live birth. This concept is included in the definition set forth by the World Health Organization (1):

Live birth is the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of pregnancy, which, after such separation, breathes or shows any other evidence of life, such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movément of voluntary muscles, whether or not the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached; each product of such a birth is considered liveborn.

This definition distinguishes in precise terms a live birth from a fetal death (see section on fetal deaths in the Technical Appendix of volume II of this report). In the interest of comparable natality statistics, both the Statistical Commission of the United Nations and the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) have adopted this definition (2,3).

## **HISTORY OF BIRTH-REGISTRATION AREA**

The national birth-registration area was proposed in 1850 and established in 1915. By 1933 all 48 States and the District of Columbia were participating in the registration system. The organized territories of Hawaii and Alaska were admitted in 1929 and 1950, respectively; data from these areas were prepared separately until they became States – Alaska in 1959 and Hawaii in 1960. At present the birth-registration system of the United States covers the 50 States, the District of Columbia, the independent registration area of New York City, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. However, in the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia. Tabulations for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam are shown separately in section 3 of this volume.

The original birth-registration area of 1915 consisted of 10 States and the District of Columbia. The growth of this area is indicated in table 4-1. This table also presents for each year through 1932 the estimated midyear population of the United States and of those States included in the registration system.

Because of the growth of the area for which data have been collected and tabulated, a national series of geographically comparable data before 1933 can be obtained only by estimation. Annual estimates of births have been prepared by P.K. Whelpton for the period 1909-34 (4) (table 1-1). These estimates include adjustments both for underregistration and for States that were not part of the birth-registration area before 1933.

#### Natality statistics

Since 1985 natality statistics for all States and the District of Columbia have been based on information from the total file of records. The information is received on computer data tapes coded by the States and provided to NCHS through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. NCHS receives these tapes from the registration offices of all States, the District of Columbia, and New York City. Information for Puerto Rico is also received on computer tapes through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. Information for the Virgin Islands and Guam is obtained from microfilm copies of original birth certificates, and is based on the total file of records for all years.

Birth statistics presented in this report for years before 1951 and for 1955 are based on the total file of birth records. Statistics for 1951-54, 1956-66, and 1968-71 are based on 50-percent samples with the exception of data for Guam and the Virgin Islands, which are based on all records filed. During the processing of the 1967 data the sampling rate was reduced from 50 percent to 20 percent. For details of this procedure and its consequences for the 1967 data see Vital Statistics of the United States, 1967, volume I, pages 3-9 to 3-11. From 1972 to 1984, statistics are based on all records filed in the States submitting computer tapes and on a 50-percent sample of records in all other States.

Information for years prior to 1970 for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam is published in the annual vital statistics reports of the Department of Health of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Department of Public Health of the Virgin Islands, the Department of Public Health and Social Services of the Government of Guam, and in selected Vital Statistics of the United States annual reports.

U.S. natality data are limited to births occurring within the United States, including those occurring to U.S. residents and nonresidents. Births to nonresidents of the United States have been excluded from all tabulations by place of residence beginning in 1970. (See "Classification by occurrence and residence" for further discussion.) Births occurring to U.S. citizens outside the United States are not included in any tabulations in this report. Similarly the data for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam are limited to births registered in these areas.

#### Standard Certificate of Live Birth

The U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, issued by the Public Health Service, has served for many years as the principal means of attaining uniformity in the content of the documents used to collect information on births in the United States. It has been modified in each State to the extent required by the particular State's needs or by special provisions of the State's vital statistics law. However, most State certificates conform closely in content to the standard certificate.

#### SOURCES OF DATA

The first standard certificate of birth was developed in 1900. Since then it has been revised periodically by the national vital statistics agency through consultation with State health officers and registrars; Federal agencies concerned with vital statistics; national, State, and county medical societies; and others working in the fields of public health, social welfare, demography, and insurance. This procedure has assured careful evaluation of each item for its current and future usefulness for legal, medical, demographic, and research purposes. New items have been added when necessary, and old items have been modified to ensure better reporting or, in some cases, dropped when their usefulness appeared to be limited.

1989 revision – Effective January 1, 1989, a revised U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth (figure 4-A) replaced the 1978 revision. This revision provides a wide



TYPE/PRINT IN			U.S. S	TANDARD			
PERMANENT BLACK INK	LOCAL FILE NUMBER CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH						
INSTRUCTIONS	1. CHILD'S NAME (First, Middle, Last)				2. DATE OF BIR	TH (Month,Day,Year)	3. TIME OF BIATH
HANDBOOX	4. SEX 5. CITY, TOWN, OR LOCATION OF BIRTH				L		м
CHILD					S. COUT	ITY OF BIRTH	
	7. PLACE OF BIRTH:   Hospital   Free	standing Birthing Center		8. FACILIT	Y NAME (if not in	stitution, give street and num	beri
	Chric/Doctor's Office	🗆 Residence					
	Other (Specify)			<u></u>			
	place and time and on the date stated.	n ine 10.	DATE SIGNED (Month,Day,Year)	11. ATTENDANT	'S NAME AND TIT	LE (If other then certifier) (T	ype/Print)
CERTIFIER/	Signature			□ M,D. □ Other (Specify	□ p.o. □ c.r	N.M. 🗆 Other Midwife	
DEATH UNDER	12. CERTIFIER'S NAME AND TITLE (Type)	(Print)		13. ATTENDANT	S MAILING ADDR	ESS (Street and Number or I	lural Route Number,
ONE YEAR OF	Name			City or Town,	State, Zip Code)		
Enter State File Number of death	Other (Specify)	aizəl Admin. 🗆 C.N.M.	Other Midwife				
certificate (er Lhis child	14. REGISTRAR'S SIGNATURE				16. DATE F	LED BY REGISTRAR (Month,	Day, Year)
MOTHER	Total Molifien S NAME (First, Middle, Last)		16	5. MAIDEN SURNA	ME	17. DATE OF BIRT	H (Month,Dey,Yeer)
MOTHER	18. BIRTHPLACE (State or Foreign Country	V <sup>j</sup> 19a. R	ESIDENCE-STATE	19b. CO	UNTY	19c. CITY, TOWN,	OR LOCATION
	19d. STREET AND NUMBER	19	. INSIDE CITY LIMITS?	(Yes or no) 20, N	OTHER'S MAILIN	G ADDRESS (If same as resi	dence, enter Zip Code anty)
	21. FATHER'S NAME (Cont Alight Loss)						
FATHER			22. DA	E OF BIRTH (Month	,Dey,Year) 23.	BIRTHPLACE (State or For	ign Country)
INFORMANT	24. I certify that the personal information	provided on this certificate is	correct to the best of m	knowledge and be			
	Signature of Parent of Other Informan						
			CRAATION FOR MEDICA	L AND HEALTH USI		27. EDU	
	25. OF HISPANIC ORIGIN? (Specify No Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Ricen, etc.	or Yaa—If yes, specify )	26. RACE—American II (Specify below)	dian, Black, White,	elc.	(Specify only highes	t grade completed)
ИЛТИБА	25e. 🗆 No 🗆 Yes		76-			Elementary/Secondary (0-	12) College (1-4 or 5+)
mornen	Specify:		201.			278.	
FATHER	25b. ONO Yes Specify:		26Ь.			276.	
	28. PREGNANC	YHISTORY	29. MOTHER MA	RRIED? (At birth, co	inception, or	30. DATE LAST NORMA	MENSES BEGAN
	LIVE BIRTHS	OTHER TERMINATIONS	ing lime bet	ween) (Yes or no)		(Month.Day, Year)	
MULTIPLE BIRTHS Enter State File	(Do not include this child)	(Sponteneous and induced any time after conception	31. MONTH OF			32. PRENATAL VISITS - Total Number	
Number for Mate(s) LIVE BIRTH(S)	28a. Now Living 28b. Now Dead	28d.	BEGAN – Fir	l, Second, Third, en	: (Specify)	(if none, so state)	
	Number Number	Number		UT /Coasify unit			
FETAL DEATH(S)						34. CLINICAL ESTIMATE	OF GESTATION (Weeks)
5	28c. DATE OF LAST LIVE BIRTH	28. DATE OF LAST OTHE		<u></u>			
			(Specily)	-aingle, Iwin, Iripli	01, OIC.	356. IF NOT SINGLE BIRT Third, etc. (Specify)	H-Born First, Second,
	36. APGAR SCORE	37. MOTHER TRANSFERF	ED PRIOR TO DELIVERY		If Yes, enter nem	e of facility transferred from	
	36a. 1 Minute 36b. 5 Minutes					testinty transferring (fgm)	
		376. INFANT TRANSFERRE	D7 O No O Yes If 1	es, enter name of fa	icility transferred (		

## FIGURE 4-A. U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth: 1989 Revision - Con.

38a. MEDICAL RISK FACTORS FOR THIS PREGNANCY (Check all their apply)	40. COMPLICATIONS OF LABOR AND/OR DELIVERY (Check all that apply)	43. CONGENITAL ANOMALIES OF CHILD (Check all they apply)
(Check all that apply)    Anemia (Hct. <30/Hgb. <10)	(Check all that apply)    Feonie ( > 100 °F. or 38 °C.)  01 □    Meconium, moderate/heavy  02 □    Premature ruptore of membrane ( > 12 hours)  03 □    Abruptio placenta  04 □    Piscenta previa  06 □    Other excassive bleeding  06 □    Seizures during labor  07 □    Precipitous labor ( > 20 hours)  08 □    Prolonged labor ( > 20 hours)  09 □    Dysfunctional labor  10 □    Breech/Malpresentation  11 □	ICheck all ther apply)    Anencephakus  01    Spine bifids/Meningocels  02    Hydrocephakus  03    Microcephakus  03    Other central nervous system anemalies  04    Other central nervous system anemalies  05    Heart mailormations  06    Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies  07
Previous Infant 4000 + grams	Cephelopelvic disproportion    12      Cord prolapse    13      Anesthetic complications    14      Fetal distress    15      None    00      Other    16	Rectal stresie/stancels
None	(Specify) 41. METHOD OF DELIVERY (Check all their apply)	Mattormed genitalia
38b. OTHER RISK FACTORS FOR THIS PREGNANCY (Complete all litems)    Tobacco use during pregnancy	Vaginal    01      Vaginal birth after previous C-section    02      Primary C-section    03      Repeat C-section    04      Forceps    05      Vacuum    06	Cleft lip/palate
Weight gained during pregnancy Ibs. 39. OBSTETRIC PROCEDURES (Check ell thet apply)	42. ABNORMAL CONDITIONS OF THE NEWBORN (Check all that apply) Anomia (Hcl. <39/Hgb. <13)01	(Specify) 19 [] Down's syndrome
Amniocentesis  01    Electronic fetal monitoring  02    Induction of labor  03    Stimulation of labor  04    Tocolysis  05    Ultrasound  06    None  00    Other  07	Birth injury  .02    Fetal alcohol syndrome  .03    Hysine membrane disease/RDS  .04    Meconium aspiration syndrome  .05    Assisted ventilation syndrome  .06    Assisted ventilation ≥30 min  .06    Assisted ventilation ≥30 min  .07    Seizures  .08    None  .00    Other	(Specify) 21   None00   Other22     (Specify)

variety of new information on maternal and infant health characteristics, representing a significant departure from previous versions in both content and format. The most significant format change is the use of checkboxes to obtain detailed medical and health information about the mother and child. It has been demonstrated that this format produces higher quality and more complete information than open-ended items.

The reformatted items include "Medical Risk Factors for This Pregnancy," which combines the former items, "Complications of Pregnancy" and "Concurrent Illnesses or Conditions Affecting the Pregnancy." "Complications of Labor and/or Delivery" and "Congenital Anomalies of Child" also have been revised from the open-ended format. For each of these items, at least 15 specific conditions have been identified.

Several new items have been added to the revised certificate. Included are items to obtain information on tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy, weight gain during pregnancy, obstetric procedures, method of delivery, and abnormal conditions of the newborn. These items can be used to monitor the health practices of the mother that can affect pregnancy and the increased use of technology in childbirth, and to identify babies with specific abnormal conditions. When combined with other socioeconomic and health data, these new items will provide a wealth of information relevant to the etiology of low birth weight and other adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Another modification is the addition of an Hispanic identifier for the mother and father. Although NCHS recommended that States add items to identify the Hispanic or ethnic origin of the newborn's parents concurrent with the 1978 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, and reported data from the cooperating States since that year, the item is new to the U.S. Standard Certificate for 1989. As a consequence, more States included this item for 1989, and the fertility and health experience of the Hispanic population may be assessed with greater accuracy.

The revised certificate will also provide more detail than previously requested on the birth attendant and place of birth. This will permit a more in-depth analysis of the number and characteristics of births by attendant and type of facility and a comparison of differences in outcome. For further discussion, see individual sections for each item.

# **CLASSIFICATION OF DATA**

One of the principal values of vital statistics data is realized through the presentation of rates that are computed by relating the vital events of a class to the popula-

tion of a similarly defined class. Vital statistics and population statistics, therefore, must be classified according to similarly defined systems and tabulated in comparable groups. Even when the variables common to both, such as geographic area, age, race, and sex, have been similarly classified and tabulated, differences between the enumeration method of obtaining population data and the registration method of obtaining vital statistics data may result in significant discrepancies.

The general rules used to classify geographic and personal items for live births are set forth in "Vital Statistics Classification and Coding Instructions for Live Birth Records, 1989," NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 3a. The classification of certain important items is discussed in the following pages.

#### Classification by occurrence and residence

All but three tabulations for States and other areas within the United States are by place of mother's residence. These three tabulations (1-26, 1-27, and 2-1) show births by place of occurrence. Births to U.S. residents occurring outside this country are not reallocated to the United States. In tabulations by place of residence, births occurring within the United States to U.S. citizens and to resident aliens are allocated to the usual place of residence of the mother in the United States as reported on the birth certificate. Beginning in 1970, births to nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States are excluded from these tabulations. From 1966 to 1969, births occurring in the United States to mothers who were nonresidents of the United States were considered as births to residents of the exact place of occurrence; in 1964 and 1965 all such births were allocated to "balance of county" of occurrence even if the birth had occurred in a city. The change in coding beginning in 1970 to exclude births to nonresidents of the United States from residence data significantly affects the comparability of data with years before 1970 only for Texas.

For the total United States the tabulations by place of residence and by place of occurrence are not identical. Births to nonresidents of the United States are included in data by place of occurrence but excluded from data by place of residence, as previously indicated.

Residence error -A nationwide test of birthregistration completeness in 1950 provided measures of residence error for natality statistics. According to this test, errors in residence reporting for the country as a whole tend to overstate the number of births to residents of urban areas and to understate the number of births to residents of other areas. This tendency has assumed special importance because of a concomitant development the increased utilization of hospitals in cities by residents of nearby places — with the result that a number of births are erroneously reported as having occurred to residents of urban areas. Another factor that contributes to this overstatement of urban births is the customary procedure of using "city" addresses for persons living outside the city limits. Incomplete residence – Beginning in 1973 where only the State of residence is reported with no city or county specified and the State named is different from the State of occurrence, the birth is allocated to the largest city of the State of residence. Before 1973 such births were allocated to the exact place of occurrence.

### **Geographic classification**

The rules followed in the classification of geographic areas for live births are contained in the instruction manual mentioned previously. The geographic code structure for 1989 is given in another manual, "Vital Records Geographic Classification, 1982," NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 8.

United States—In the statistical tabulations, "United States" refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Alaska has been included in the U.S. tabulations since 1959 and Hawaii since 1960.

Standard metropolitan statistical areas – The standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) used in this report are those established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (5) from final 1980 census population counts and used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census except in the New England States.

Except in the New England States, an SMSA is a county or a group of contiguous counties containing either a city of 50,000 inhabitants or more, or an urbanized area of 50,000 with a total metropolitan population of at least 100,000. In addition to the county or counties containing such a city or urbanized area, contiguous counties are included in an SMSA if, according to specified criteria, they are essentially metropolitan in character and are socially and economically integrated with the central city or urbanized area (6).

In the New England States the U.S. Office of Management and Budget uses towns and cities rather than counties as geographic components of SMSA's. NCHS cannot, however, use the SMSA classification for these States because its data are not coded to identify all towns. Instead, the New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's) are used. These areas are established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (7) and are made up of county units.

Metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties – Independent cities and counties included in SMSA's or NECMA's are included in data for metropolitan counties; all other counties are classified as nonmetropolitan.

Population-size groups – Beginning in 1982 vital statistics data for cities and certain other urban places have been classified according to the population enumerated in the 1980 Census of Population. Data are available for individual cities and other urban places of 10,000 or more population. Data for the remaining areas not separately identified are shown in the tables under the heading "Balance of area" or "Ealance of county." Classification of areas for the years 1970–81 was determined by the population enumerated in the 1970 Census of Population. As a result of changes in the enumerated population between 1970 and 1980, some urban places identified in previous reports are no longer included, and a number of other urban places have been added.

Urban places other than incorporated cities for which vital statistics data are shown in this report include the following:

- Each town in New England, New York, and Wisconsin and each township in Michigan, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that had no incorporated municipality as a subdivision and had either 25,000 inhabitants or more, or a population of 10,000 to 25,000 and a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile.
- Each county in States other than those indicated above that had no incorporated municipality within its boundary and had a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile. (Arlington County, Virginia, is the only county classified as urban under this rule.)
- Each place in Hawaii with 10,000 or more population. (There are no incorporated cities in Hawaii.)

## Race or national origin

Beginning with the 1989 data year, birth data are tabulated primarily by race of mother. In 1988 and prior years, the race or national origin shown in tabulations was that of the newborn child. The race of the child was determined for statistical purposes by an algorithm based on the race of the mother and father as reported on the birth certificate. When the parents were of the same race, the race of the child was the same as the race of the parents. When the parents were of different races and one parent was white, the child was assigned to the other parent's race. When the parents were of different races and neither parent was white, the child was assigned to the father's race, with one exception. If either parent was Hawaiian, the child was assigned to Hawaiian. If race was missing for one parent, the child was assigned the race of the parent for whom race was reported. When information on race was missing for both parents, the race of the child was considered not stated and the birth was allocated according to rules discussed in the Vital Statistics of the United States, 1988, volume I, sec. 4, page 4. In 1989 the criteria for reporting the race of the parents has not changed and continues to reflect the response of the informant (usually the mother).

The most important factor influencing the decision to tabulate births by race of the mother is the decennial revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1989. This revision includes many more health questions that are directly associated with the mother, including alcohol and tobacco use, weight gain during pregnancy, medical risk factors, obstetric procedures, complications of labor and/or delivery, and method of delivery. Additionally, many of the other items that have been on the birth certificate for more than two decades also relate directly to the mother, for example, her marital status, her education level, and her receipt of prenatal care. It is more appropriate to use the race of the mother than the race of the child in tabulating these items.

A second factor has been the increasing incidence of interracial parentage. In 1989, 3.4 percent of births were to parents of different races, compared with just 1.0 percent 20 years ago. The majority of these births were to white mothers and fathers of another race. There have been two major consequences of the increasing interracial parentage. One is the effect on birth rates by race. The number of white births under the former procedures has been arbitrarily limited to infants both of whose parents were white (or one parent if only one parent's race was reported). At the same time, the number of births of other races has been arbitrarily increased to include all births to white mothers and fathers of other races. Thus, if race of mother had been used, birth rates per 1,000 white women in a given age group would have been higher, while comparable rates for black women and women of other races would have been lower. The other consequence of increasing interracial parentage is its impact on the racial differential in various characteristics of births, particularly in cases where there is generally a large racial disparity, such as the incidence of low birth weight. In this instance, the racial differential is larger when the data are tabulated by race of mother than by race of child. The same effect has been noted for characteristics such as nonmarital childbearing, preterm births, late or no prenatal care, and low educational attainment of mother.

The third factor influencing the change is the growing proportion of births with race of father not stated, 15 percent in 1989 compared with 7 percent in 1968. This reflects the increase in the proportion of births to unmarried women; in many cases no information is reported on the father. These births are already assigned the race of the mother on a de facto basis. Tabulating births by the race of the mother will provide for a more uniform approach, rather than a necessarily arbitrary combination of parental races.

The difference in the number of births classified by race of mother rather than race of child varies among the specific groups, reflecting differences in the extent of mixed parentage. With the new classification by race of mother, the number of births classified as white will go up and the number for all other racial groups will go down. The percent difference in the number of live births by race of mother compared with race of child for 1989 are as follows:

White	1.9
Black	5.1
American Indian	
Chinese	

Japanese	-17.8
Hawaiian	-31.0
Filipino	-5.7
Other Asian or Pacific Islander	-7.9

This change in the tabulation of births by race presents some problems when analyzing birth data by race, particularly trend data. The problem is likely to be acute for races other than white and black. In order to facilitate continuity and analysis of the data, key published tables for births in this volume, including all trend tables, show 1989 data for both race of mother and race of child. This makes it possible to distinguish the effects of this change from real changes in the data.

The categories for race or national origin are "White," "Black," "American Indian," "Chinese," "Japanese," "Hawaiian," "Filipino," "Other Asian or Pacific Islander," and "Other." Before 1978 the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" was not identified separately but included with "Other" races. The separation of this category allows identification of the category "Asian or Pacific Islander" by combining the new category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander" with Chinese, Japanese, Hawaiian, and Filipino.

White—The category "White" comprises births reported as white and births where race is reported as Hispanic. Before 1964, all births for which race or national origin was not stated were classified as white. Beginning in 1964, changes in the procedures for allocating race when race or national origin is not stated have changed the composition of this category. (See discussion on "Race or national origin not stated.")

All other-The category "All other" comprises black, American Indian, Chinese, Japanese, Hawaiian, and part-Hawaiian, Filipino, other Asian or Pacific Islander including Asian Indian, and "Other." Aleuts and Eskimos are included in "American Indian."

If the race or national origin of an Asian parent is ill-defined or not clearly identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification (for example, if "Oriental" is entered), an attempt is made to determine the specific race or national origin from the entry for place of birth. If the birthplace is China, Japan, or the Philippines, the parent's race is assigned to that category. When race cannot be determined from birthplace, it is assigned to the category "Other Asian or Pacific Islander."

Race or national origin not stated—If the race of the mother is not defined or not identifiable with one of the categories used in the classification, and the father's race is known, the race of the father is assigned as the mother's race. Where information for both parents is missing, the race of the mother is allocated electronically according to the specific race of the mother on the preceding record with a known race of mother. Data for both parents were missing for only 0.3 percent of birth certificates for 1989.

Nearly all statistics by race or national origin for the United States as a whole in 1962 and 1963 are affected by

a lack of information for New Jersey, which did not report parents' race in those years. Birth rates by race for those years are computed on a population base that excluded New Jersey. (For the method of estimating the U.S. population by age, sex, and race excluding New Jersey in 1962 and 1963, see *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1963,* volume I, page 4–8.) Estimates of births to unmarried mothers by race for the United States, which include special estimates for New Jersey for 1962 and 1963, have been prepared and are shown in table 1-76.

#### Age of mother

Beginning in 1989 the birth certificate asks for "Date of Birth." In previous years, "Age (at time of this birth)" was requested. Not all States have revised this item for 1989 and, therefore, the mother's age either is derived from the reported month and year of birth or coded as stated on the certificate. The age of mother is edited for upper and lower limits. When the mother's age is computed to be under 10 years or 50 years or over, the age of the mother is considered not stated and is assigned as described below.

Age-specific birth rates shown in this report are based on populations of women by age, which are prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. In census years the decennial census counts are used. In intercensal years, estimates of the population of women by age are published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*.

The 1980 Census of Population derived age in completed years as of April 1, 1980, from the responses to questions on age at last birthday and month and year of birth, with the latter given preference. In the 1960 and the 1970 Census of Population, age was also derived from month and year of birth. "Age in completed years" was asked in censuses before 1960. This was nearly the equivalent of the former birth certificate question, which the 1950 test of matched birth and census records confirms by showing a high degree of consistency in the reporting of age in these two sources (8).

Median age of mother—Median age is the value that divides an age distribution into two equal parts, one-half of the values being less and one-half being greater. Median ages of mothers for 1960 to the present have been computed from birth rates for 5-year age groups rather than from birth frequencies. This method eliminates the effects of changes in the age composition of the childbearing population over time. Changes in the median ages from year to year can thus be attributed solely to changes in the age-specific birth rates.

Not stated date of birth of mother – Beginning in 1964 birth records with date of birth of mother and/or age of mother not stated have had age imputed according to the age of mother from the previous birth record of the same race and total-birth order (total of fetal deaths and live births). (See "Vital Statistics Computer Edits for Natality Data," NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 12, page 9.) In
1963, birth records with age not stated were allocated according to the age appearing on the record previously processed for a mother of identical race and parity (number of live births). For 1960-62, not stated ages were distributed in proportion to the known ages for each racial group. Before 1960 this was done for age-specific birth rates but not for the birth frequency tables, which showed a separate category for age not stated.

## Age of father

Age of father is derived from the reported date of birth or coded as stated on the birth certificate. If the age is under 10 years, it is considered not stated and grouped with those cases for which age is not stated on the certificate. Information on father's age is often missing on birth certificates of children born to unmarried mothers, greatly inflating the number of "not stated" in all tabulations by age of father. In computing birth rates by age of father, births tabulated as age of father not stated are distributed in the same proportions as births with known age within each 5-year age classification of the mother. This procedure is done separately by race. The resulting distributions are summed to form a composite frequency distribution that is the basis for computing birth rates by age of father. This procedure avoids the distortion in rates that would result if the relationship between age of mother and age of father were disregarded.

## Live-birth order and parity

Live-birth order and parity classifications shown in this volume refer to the total number of live births the mother has had including the 1989 birth. Fetal deaths are excluded.

Live-birth order indicates what number the present birth represents; for example, a baby born to a mother who has had two previous live births (even if one or both are not now living) has a live-birth order of three. Parity indicates how many live births a mother has had. Before delivery a mother having her first baby has a parity of zero and a mother having her third baby has a parity of two. After delivery the mother of a baby who is a first live birth has a parity of one and the mother of a baby who is a third live birth has a parity of three.

Live-birth order and parity are determined from two items on the birth certificate, "Live births-now living" and "Live births-now dead."

Not stated birth order—Before 1969 if both of these items were blank, the birth was considered a first birth. Beginning in 1969, births for which the pregnancy history items were not completed have been tabulated as livebirth order not stated. As a result of this revised procedure, 22,686 births in 1969 that would have been assigned to the "First birth order" category under the old rules were assigned to the "Not stated" category.

All births tabulated in the "Not stated birth order" category are excluded from the computation of percents.

In computing birth rates by live-birth order, births tabulated as birth order not stated are distributed in the same proportion as births of known live-birth order.

## Date of last live birth

The date of last live birth was added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1968 for the purpose of providing information on child spacing. The interval since the last live birth is the difference between the date of last live birth and the date of present birth. For an interval to be computed, both the month and year of the last live birth must be valid. This interval is computed only for events to mothers who have had at least one previous live birth.

Births for which the interval since last live birth is not stated are excluded from the computation of percents and means.

Zero interval—An interval of zero months since the last live birth indicates the second born of a set of twins, the second or third born of a set of triplets, and so forth. Births with an interval of zero months are excluded from the computation of mean intervals.

## **Educational attainment**

Data on the educational attainment of both parents were collected beginning in 1968 and tabulated for publication in 1969 for the first time. In 1989 data on education were obtained from 48 States, New York City, and the District of Columbia as indicated in table A.

The educational attainment of either parent is defined as "the number of years of school completed." Only those years completed in "regular" schools, that is, a formal educational system of public schools or the equivalent in accredited private or parochial schools, are counted. Business or trade schools, such as beauty and barber schools, are not considered "regular" schools for the purposes of this item. No attempt has been made to convert years of school completed in foreign school systems, ungraded school systems, and so forth, to equivalent grades in the American school system. Such entries are included in the category "Not stated."

Persons who have completed only a partial year in high school or college are tabulated as having completed the highest preceding grade. For those certificates on which a specific degree is stated, years of school completed is coded to the level at which the degree is most commonly attained; for example, persons reporting B.A., A.B., or B.S. degrees are considered to have completed 16 years of school.

Education not stated – The category "Not stated" includes all records in reporting areas for which there is no information on years of school completed as well as all records for which the information provided is not compatible with coding specifications.

Births tabulated as education not stated are excluded from the computations of percents.

 Table A. Percent of Birth Records on Which Specified Items Were Not Stated: United States and Each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: 1989 (Page 1 of 2)
 1989

 (By place of residence)
 [By place of residence]

	Number of	Place	Attendant	Mother's	Father's	Hispanic origin		Educational attainment		Live-birth	Interval	Length of	Month	Number of
Area	births	of birth	at birth	place	agə	Mother	Father	Molher	Father	order	live birth	gestation	care began	visits
Total of reporting areas '	4,040,958	0,1	0.2	0.2	16 3	1.9	15.6	3.6	19.0	0.6	49	1.4	2.1_	2.8
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California	62,568 11,666 67,196 35,911 569,992	- 0.0 0. 0.	0.1 .4 1.8 .3 .0	0.1 .9 .2 .5	29.8 14.5 19.4 18,1 6.8	0.2 .1 .8 .5	29.9 11.8 22.7 18.4 3.0	1.1 1.5 4.0 1.7 1.4	31.2 14.8 26.1 19.2 5.7	0.3 .1 .4 .1	1.3 2.5 3.7 2.7 .8	0.2 .6 .3 .8 4.3	1,3 1,1 1,5 3,7 .7	1.3 1.0 2.7 5.5 2.1
Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida	52,711 49,464 10,730 11,789 193,131	0, 0, 0. 6.	- .1 .4 14.1 .0	.0 .8 .0 .5	19.7 11.9 27.9 63.1 17.9	.1 9.4 .1 .3	20.6 19.1 28.4 63.3 19.4	.9 14.2 .3 .6 .9	20.4 23.8 29.3 63.7 20.5	.1 9.2 .1 .3	1.0 15.4 1.5 1.5 1.2	.1 9.2 .3 .9 .4	.6 11.3 .3 .7 .9	.9 13.0 .5 1.4 1.4
Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana	110,272 19,367 15,883 190,308 83,469	0. - 0. 0.	.0 .0 .1 .5	.1 .2 .1	18.1 10.6 9.1 14.8 19.6	.6 .0 1.6 _4	19.5 10.4 9.1 7.4 16.8	.7 .2 2.3 .5 .8	20.5 10.8 11.6 13.5 18.8	.1 .0 .2 .1	1.7 .5 5.5 .9 1.8	.4 .5 1.3 .1 .4	1.4 7.4 3.3 .8 2.7	2.0 6.9 4.5 1.2 2.4
lowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine	39,018 38,737 53,424 72,752 17,466	0. - 0. 0.	0. 0. 0. 0.	.1 .0 .2 .0	15.3 10.2 21.7 29.5 13.5	.1 1.3 .8 5.8	16.7 11.8 22.7 19.2	ମ୍ ମ୍ ମ୍ ମ୍ ୟ	18.3 10.9 22.2 29.9 14.4	.1 .0 .1 .1	.9 .7 1.6 .5 1.7	2 2 2 3 4 2 4.2 .1	.9 .2 1.3 1.6	1.5 ,6 1.4 1.7 1.3
Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesola Mississippi	78,265 91,523 148,520 67,518 43,047	0. 0. 0. 0.	3.7 .1 .2 .0	.7 .0 .2 .1 .1	6.0 13.5 24.6 10.2 30.5	3.1 1.1 4.8 8.5 1.0	7.2 13.0 29.3 17.9 31.5	4.1 .7 .5 5.1 .3	11.4 13.1 25.3 17.7 31.3	1.8 .4 .1 1.1 .0	6.9 1.4 3.3 1.8 .3	4.2 .5 .1 3.4 .3	7.8 1.2 1.4 8.4 .7	14.4 1.5 2.6 8.3 2.0
Missouri Monlana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire	77,872 11,678 24,216 19,606 17,809	0. 0. - 0.	.0 .0 .0 .0	א. 10. 12. 12.	24.4 11.1 12.1 19.9 9.0	.2 4.2 1.2 .1	18.5 15.3 13.3 19.9	.9 .7 .1 .6 .7	21.4 12.0 12.3 20.4 9.9	.0 .1 .0 .2 .1	1.2 2.1 .5 1.5 1.1	.3 -1 -2.5 .3 .2	1.2 .7 .3 .7 1.4	2.5 .6 .2 1.2 1.6
New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota	121,841 27,353 291,449 102,105 9,570	9. - - -	- 6 6	.0 .8 .2 .0	13.6 25.2 19.1 18.4 8.6	.2 .0 3.5 .2 .6	11.8 24.0 19.6 18.2 10.3	6.6 3.3 * 6.2 .1 .1	19.4 28.4 27.7 18.4 9.9	1.2 1.1 2.4 .1 .0	7.6 6.2 19.8 .3 .6	.7 .8 .4 .1	.2 7.1 5.4 .3 1.5	1.5 6.7 4.0 .3 1.3
Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island	163,952 47,385 41,281 168,803 14,768	.0. .0. .0. -	.0. .0 .0 .0	.5 .1 .3 .4 .1	13.8 17.0 19.7 6.3 13.9	.1  .1 • 19-2	12.9 14.3 1.9 • 30.0	.7 5.4 1.7 1.5 1.6	13.8 23.2 19.2 5.6 15.2	.2 4.9 .1 .1 1.0	3.5 6.4 1.1 5.3 2.3	.1 9.9 .3 .2 .8	1.3 10.2 .2 1.9 1.9	2.5 9.8 .2 1.8 2.0
South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah	57,330 11,086 73,178 307,664 35,567	.0 .1 .1	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	.2 .1 .2 .2 .1	29.3 12.4 21.0 19.3 8.6	.1 .1 .0 .2 .1	28.1 13.9 20.9 19.8 7.2	1.6 .2 .4 24.8 .7	30.3 14.0 21.2 • 39.7 9.2	.0 .0 .1 .9	.6 .4 .5 * 17.1 6.7	.3 ,2 ,2 1.7 .4	.5 .6 1.2 2.3 2.6	.4 .6 1.0 3.6 2.3
Vermont Virginla Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	8,494 96,798 75,360 22,163 72,002 6,901	- 0. - 0. 0.	.1 .4 .5 .1 .0 -	.4 .2 .7 .4 .0 .2	5.0 23.9 19.7 21.3 18.4 10.9	12.6 • 23.3 2.5 .9 .1 .4	15.6 • 41.4 10.2 21.2 14.1 11.1	1.6 .5  .1 1.0	6.8 24.4 21.4 20.1 11.8	3.1 .2 .0 .2 .0 .3	6.2 3.5 6.3 1.5 1.4 2.3	.6 .2 1.7 .7 .1	4.8 .7 4.9 5.7 .2 1.6	4.3 1.3 5.7 7.2 .2 1.6
Puerto Rico Virgin Islands Guam	66,558 2,276 3,535	1	.3 5.4 .1	.1 .2 .3	1.3 30.2 24.4	6.0 .7	29.0 25.3	.2 1.3 .8	1.7 25.5 25.6	.0 .6 .2	1.4 2.4 1.7	³ 3.2 1.6 .6	.8 1.6 1.1	.3 2.3 1.8

## Table A. Percent of Birth Records on Which Specified Items Were Not Stated: United States and Each State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: 1989—Con. (Page 2 of 2) [By place of residence]

		Apgar score		Maderal	Tehoren	Alachal	Washt	0	Complica-	Method	Abnormei	Concentral
Area	weight	1-minute	5-minute	nsk factors	USC	LISE	gain	procedures	abor and/ or delivery	of delivery	conditions of newborn	Longental Enomalies
Total of reporting areas '	0.1	09	09	6.1	7.9	. 8.2	17.4	_55	60	47	86	71
Alabama	0.1 .2 .1	0.7 1.2 .7 3.9	07 1.2 .7 40	1.0 .1 .8 2.1	1.1 1.5 2.1 2.7	1.3 1.9 2.5 2.9	9.3 6.6 12.7 14.3	0.5 .1 .7 2.0	1.1 .1 .9 2.1	0.5 .7 .6 2.4	1.0 1 1.3 47	10 2 17 20
Celifornia	.0 .0 .1 .0	.5 7.6 .5	 .5 7.6 .5	. 1.1 20.2 1.7 • 16.9	4.9 24.0 1.4 • 16.8	5.3 26.0 3.1 4 16.9	14 B 50.6 3.2 • 17.8	.1 1.0 18.6 1.1 • 16.1	.1 20.3 1.7 • 16.8	.3 .8 17 1 2.5 ' 22 1	2 14 273 1.2 4 185	2 15 298 7 187
Flonda	.1 .1 .1	.6 .5 .7 .6	.6 .7 .6	1.6 2.2 .4 2.8 3.5	.5 1.6 2.0 4.5 2.1	.5 1.8 2.2 6.1 2.0	5.7 21.7 15.4 16.3 10.4	1.6 .1 2.8 2.8	1.5 .1 2.9 3.7	.9 .0 13 20	2.0 1 49	15 1 49
Indiana	.1 .0 .2	1.1 .3 .9	1.1 .3 .6	1.4 2.3 7 1.1 7.4	2.6 .9 5.7	.8 2.8 .9 5.9	3.3 5.5 1.2 13.6		.4 2.2 .9 7.1	4 2.3 2.6 4.7	4 2.3 1.0 7 1	.3 2.3 11 65
Maine Maryland Masaachusetts Michigan Minnesola	.1 .3 .2 .2 .1	.6 1.3 .4 .6 2.3	.9 1.3 .5 .5 2.3	.1 30.8 1.2 6.9 8.1	3.9 27.3 .3 2.5 10.0	4.0 28.3 .3 2.8 11.4	10.2 38 8 4.8 10.6 38 2	.1 26.3 1.2 2.8	.1 30.4 1.2 6.4 7.0	.6 1.1 1.2 4.1	1 37.0 • 1 3 8 6 12 3	1 383 14 86 130
Misaissippi Misaouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	.1 .0 .0	.7 .6 .4 .3 2.1	.8 .4 .3 2.1	1.6 .4 .2 .0	1.9 .5 .8 1.5	2.0 .5 1.0 1.7	11.8 2.6 3.9 7.5	1.5 _2 _1 	1.5 .2 .1 •96	1.3	23 4 1	2.3 .3 .1
New Hampshire	ง หลุ่มหา	.5 3.5 1.0 .7	.5 3.6 1.0 .8	1.9 1.0 " 3.5 .2	11.6 7.2 .6	1.0 12.0 7.7  1.1	2.6 24.7 15.4 24.9 5.2	2.0 .8 4.3 .2	.2 .9 .9 .2 .2	.3 1.8 1.0 1.5 .7	.1 2.7 9 10 20	-2 51 
Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pensylvania Pehode jeland		.6 .7 .5	.6 .7 .5	2.7 _5 _2.5 _3	1.3 1.3 1.1 1.5	2.0 1.5  1.3 1.7	46 4.7 8.5	.3  1.1 .3	.5  .3	.5 .2 .5	.B .1 7	29 7 .1 1.2
South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Ulah	.1	.5 .4 .4	.5 .4 .4	.5 8.6 1.8 • • • 33.7 1.8	.6 2.2 • 33.1 .3	.7 2.4 • 34.7 .3	4.5 9.4 18.2 • 47.3 2.7	.4 7.9 1.7 • 32.4 .3	.,4 8.6 1.8 ■ <sup>11</sup> 32 7 2.8	.6 78 1.7 • 31.7	.3 11 4 18 • • 335 19	4 11 3 1.8 • 33 8 2.2
Vermont	.3 .3 .3 .0 .0	.8 .8 1.2 .4 .5 .4	.8 .9 1.2 .4 .5 .4	7.3 • 25.0 14.1 1.1 .2 1.2	8.7 ■ 25.8 12.8 3.3 .3 2.0	13.5 * 26.2 17.8 4.4 .3 2.0	15.9 • 32.7 26.8 20.5 1.5 3 6	7.8 • 24.6 12.5 1.1 .1 .1	7.5 • 25 5 • 13.4 1.1 .2 1.2	.7 = 257 105 34 .1 1.2	11 9 • 255 17.2 1 1 ** 4 1 4	119 • 254 181 11 4 13
Puerto Rico Virgin Islanda Guam	.1 .4 .6	,4 5.3 1.2	.4 51 1.0	1.1 33.6 2.0	.9 32.7 1.4	1.0 33.3 1.9	18.4 41.4 2.6	1.1 34 8 3.3	1.4 31.4 21 1	.1 80 1.2	2 2 38 3 1 5	18 365 14

Excludes data for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam.
 Excludes data for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam.
 Educational attainment is reported by New York city only.
 Educational attainment is reported by New York city only.
 Educational attainment is reported by New York city only.
 Revised certificate with this item not implemented until March 1, 1989.
 Revised certificate with this item not implemented until March 1, 1989.
 Revised certificate with this item not implemented until March 1, 1989.
 Revised certificate with this item not implemented until March 1, 1989.
 Revised certificate with this item not implemented until March 1, 1989.
 Revised certificate with this item not implemented until March 1, 1989.
 Revised certificate with this item on temport birth injury.
 New actil does not report birth injury.
 New actil does not report birth listeres infant
 Cephalopelvic disproportion is reported by New York city only.
 New York City (but not New York City only new York city only.
 New York City only new York city on report assisted ventilation less than 30 minutes and assisted ventilation of 30 minutes or more.
 New York city (but not New York State) reports feasibility of any diverses and meconum appration syndrome.
 New York Carbin a ond meconum appration syndrome.
 New York Carbin a lose not report cord protapse.
 Texas does not report gential herpes and uterine bleeding.
 Texas does not report ord protocor complications, and fetal distress.
 Texas does not report gential herpes and uterine bleeding.
 Texas does not report bent alcoperiot complications, and fetal distress.
 Texas does not report fetal alcohol syndrome.

## **Marital status**

Beginning with 1980 data, national estimates of births to unmarried women are derived from two sources. In 1989 marital status was reported directly on the birth certificates of 44 States and the District of Columbia. In the remaining six States, which lack such an item (California, Connecticut, Michigan, Nevada, New York, and Texas), marital status is inferred from a comparison of the child's and parents' surnames. This procedure represents a substantial departure from the method used before 1980 to prepare national estimates of births to unmarried women, which assumed that the incidence of births to unmarried women in States with no direct question on marital status was the same as the incidence in reporting States in the same geographic division.

The current method uses related information on the birth certificate to improve the quality of national data on this topic, as well as to provide data for the individual nonreporting States. Beginning in 1980, a birth in a nonreporting State is classified as occurring to a married woman if the parents' surnames are the same or if the child's and father's surnames are the same and the mother's current surname cannot be obtained from the informant item of the birth certificate. A birth is classified as occurring to an unmarried woman if the father's name is missing, if the parents' surnames are different, or if the father's and child's surnames are different and the mother's current surname is missing.

Because of the continued substantial increases in nonmarital childbearing throughout the 1980's, the data have been intensively evaluated in each year, 1985-89. There has been continuing concern that the current method might overstate the number of births to unmarried women because it incorporates data based on a comparison of surnames. This is because births to women who have retained their maiden surname as their legal surname after marriage and who are frequently older, welleducated women, would be classified as nonmarital births. The evaluation included comparisons of trends in all measures of births to unmarried mothers in States with a marital status item on the birth certificate and those States providing inferential data based on the comparison of sumames. Comparisons were made for white and black births separately and by age of mother. The results for years 1985-88 were remarkably similar for both data sets. Nonmarital births increased at virtually the same rate for white and black women and for the various age-of-mother groups. For 1989 however, the results of the evaluation have been generally similar in both the reporting States and the States using inferential data, nonmarital births have increased at a slightly faster rate in the States with a marital status item on the birth certificate than in the States providing inferential data. This pattern was observed for both white and black births.

No adjustments are made during the data processing for errors in the reporting of marital status on the birth records of the 44 reporting States and the District of Columbia because the extent of this reporting problem is unknown. When marital status is not stated on the birth certificate of a reporting area, the mother is considered married.

When births to unmarried women are reported as second or higher order births, it is not known whether the mother was married or unmarried when the previous deliveries occurred, because her marital status at the time of these earlier births is not available from the birth record.

Rates for 1940 and 1950 are based on decennial census counts. In this volume, rates for 1955–89 are based on a smoothed series of population estimates (9). Because of sampling error, the original U.S. Bureau of the Census population estimates fluctuate erratically from year to year; therefore, they have been smoothed so that the rates do not show similar variations. The rates shown in this volume differ from those published in issues of *Vital Statistics of the United States* before 1969, which were based on the original estimates provided annually by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Birth rates by marital status for 1971–79 have been revised and differ from rates published before 1980 in issues of *Vital Statistics of the United States* (see "Computation of Rates and Other Measures").

## Place of delivery and attendant at birth

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth includes separate categories for freestanding birthing centers, the mother's residence, and clinic or doctor's office as the place of birth. In previous years, place of birth was classified simply as either "In hospital" or "Not in hospital." Births occurring in hospitals, institutions, clinics, centers, or homes were included in the category "In hospital." In this context, the word "homes" does not refer to the mother's residence but to an institution, such as a home for unmarried women. Birthing centers were included in either category, depending on each State's assessment of the facility. For 1989, births occurring in clinics and in birthing centers not attached to a hospital are classified as "Not in hospital." This change in classification may account in part for the lower proportion of "In hospital" births for 1989 compared with recent years. (The change in classification of clinics should have minor impact because comparatively few births occur in these facilities, but the effect of any change in classification of freestanding birthing centers is unknown.)

Beginning in 1975, the attendant at birth and place of delivery items were coded independently, primarily to permit the identification of the person in attendance at hospital deliveries. Tables 1–87 and 1–88 present this more detailed information for the years 1975–89. The 1989 certificate includes separate classifications for "M.D."(Doctor of Medicine), "D.O."(Doctor of Osteopathy), "C.N.M."(certified nurse midwife), "Other midwife," and "Other" attendants. In earlier certificates, births attended by certified nurse midwives were grouped with those attended by lay midwives. The new certificate also facilitates the identification of home births, births in freestanding birthing centers, and births in clinics or physician offices.

Data shown in this volume for the "In hospital" category for the years 1975-88 include all births in clinics or maternity centers, regardless of the attendant. Data for 1975-77 published before 1980 included clinic and center births in the category "In hospital" only when the attendant was a physician. Data shown for 1975-77 in tables 1-87 and 1-88, therefore, differ from data published before 1980. As a result of this change, for 1975 an additional 12,352 births are now classified as occurring in hospitals, raising the percent of births occurring in hospitals from 98.7 to 99.1. Similarly, for 1976 the number of births occurring in hospitals increased by 14,133 and the percent in hospitals raised from 98:6 to 99.1; for 1977 the increase is 15,937 and the percent in hospitals raised from 98.5 to 99.0. For 1974 and earlier, the "In hospital" category includes all births in hospitals or institutions and births in clinics, centers, or maternity homes only when attended by physicians.

The "Not in hospital" category includes births for which no information is reported on place of birth. Before 1975 births for which the stated place of birth was a "doctor's office" and delivery was by a physician were included in the category "In hospital." Beginning in 1975, these births were tabulated as "Not in hospital" and included with births delivered by physicians in this category. Although the actual number of such births is unknown, the effect of the change is minimal. In 1974, 0.3 percent of all births were delivered by physicians outside of hospitals; in 1975 this proportion was 0.4 percent.

Babies born on the way to or on arrival at the hospital are classified as having been born in the hospital. This may account for some of the hospital births not delivered by physicians or midwives.

The percent distributions by attendant at birth for 1975-81 shown in table 1-88 have been revised to exclude births for which the attendant was unspecified. In recent years, the number of births with unspecified attendant has fluctuated substantially. Excluding these births from the percent distributions allows for a more meaningful yearto-year comparison in the proportion of births for each specified attendant.

## **Birth weight**

Birth weight is reported in some areas in pounds and ounces rather than in grams. However, the metric system has been used in tabulating and presenting the statistics to facilitate comparison with data published by other groups.

The categories for birth weight were changed in 1979 to be consistent with the recommendations in the Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Disease (ICD-9).

The revised categories in gram intervals and their equivalents in pounds and ounces are:

Less than 500	grams = 1 lb 1 oz or less
500-999	grams = 1 lb 2 oz- 2 lb 3 oz
1,000–1,499	grams = 2 lb 4 oz - 3 lb 4 oz
1,5001,999	grams = 3 lb 5 oz - 4 lb 6 oz
2,000–2,499	grams = 4 lb 7 oz- 5 lb 8 oz
2,5002,999	grams = 5 lb 9 oz - 6 lb 9 oz
3,000–3,499	grams = 6 lb 10 oz- 7 lb 11 oz
3,500–3,999	grams = 7 lb 12 oz - 8 lb 13 oz
4,000–4,499	grams = 8 lb 14 oz - 9 lb 14 oz
4,500-4,999	grams = 9 lb 15 oz - 11 lb 0 oz
5,000 grams or	more = 11 lb l oz or more

The ICD-9 defines low birth weight as less than 2,500 grams. This is a shift of 1 gram from the previous criterion of 2,500 grams or less, which was recommended by the American Academy of Pediatrics in 1935 and adopted in 1948 by the World Health Organization in the Sixth Revision of the International Lists of Diseases and Causes of Death.

After data classified by pounds and ounces are converted to grams, median weights are computed and rounded before publication. To establish the continuity of class intervals needed to convert pounds and ounces to grams, the end points of these intervals are assumed to be half an ounce less at the lower end and half an ounce more at the upper end. For example, 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz is interpreted as 2 lb 3 1/2 oz-3 lb 4 1/2 oz.

Births for which birth weight is not reported are excluded from the computation of percents and medians.

## **Period of gestation**

The period of gestation is defined as beginning with the first day of the last normal menstrual period (LMP) and ending with the day of the birth. The LMP is used as the initial date as it can be more accurately determined than the date of conception, which usually occurs 2 weeks after the LMP.

Births occurring before 37 weeks of gestation are considered to be "preterm" or "premature" for purposes of classification. At 37–41 weeks gestation, births are considered to be "term," and at 42 weeks and over, "postterm." These distinctions are according to the ICD–9 definitions.

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth includes a new item, "clinical estimate of gestation," that is being compared with length of gestation computed from the LMP date when the latter appears to be inconsistent with birth weight. This is done for normal weight births of apparently short gestations and very low birth weight births reported to be full term. The clinical estimate also was used if the date of the LMP was not reported. The period of gestation for 3.7 percent of the births in 1989 was based on the clinical estimate of gestation. For all but 0.2 percent of these records, the clinical estimate was used because the LMP date was not reported. For the remaining 0.2 percent, the clinical estimate was used because it was compatible with the reported birth weight whereas the LMP-computed gestation was not. In cases where the reported birth weight was inconsistent with both the LMP-computed gestation and the clinical estimate of gestation, the LMP-computed gestation was used and birth weight was reclassified as "not stated." These changes result in a very small discontinuity in the data. For further information on the use of the clinical estimate of gestation see "Computer Edits for Natality Data, Effective 1989," NCHS Instruction Manual, Part 12, pages 34–36.

Before 1981 the period of gestation was computed only when there was a valid month, day, and year of LMP. However, length of gestation could not be determined from a substantial number of live birth certificates each year because the day of LMP was missing. Beginning in 1981 weeks of gestation have been imputed for records with missing day of LMP when there is a valid month and year. Each such record is assigned the gestational period in weeks of the preceding record that has a complete LMP date with the same computed months of gestation and the same 500-gram birth weight interval. The effect of the imputation procedure is to increase slightly the proportion of preterm births and to lower the proportion of births at 39, 40, 41, and 42 weeks of gestation. A more complete discussion of this procedure and its implications is presented in a previous report (10).

Because of post-conception bleeding or menstrual irregularities, the presumed date of LMP may be in error. In these instances the computed gestational period may be longer or shorter than the true gestational period, but the extent of such errors is unknown.

## Month of pregnancy prenatal care began

For those records in which the name of the month is entered for this item, instead of first, second, third, and so forth, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is determined from the month named and the month last normal menses began. For these births, if the item "Date last normal menses began" is not stated, the month of pregnancy in which prenatal care began is tabulated as not stated.

## Number of prenatal visits

Tabulations of the number of prenatal visits were presented for the first time in 1972. In 1989 these data were collected from the birth certificates of all States.

Percent distributions and the median number of prenatal visits exclude births to mothers who had no prenatal care.

## Apgar score

One- and 5-minute Apgar scores were added to the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth in 1978 to evaluate

the condition of the newborn infant at 1 and 5 minutes after birth. The Apgar score is a useful measure of the need for resuscitation and a predictor of the infant's chances of surviving the first year of life. It is a summary measure of the infant's condition based on heart rate, respiratory effort, muscle tone, reflex irritability, and color. Each of these factors is given a score of 0, 1, or 2; the sum of these 5 values is the Apgar score, which ranges from 0 to 10. A score of 10 is optimum, and a low score raises some doubts about the survival and subsequent health of the infant. In 1989 the 1- and 5-minute Apgar scores were included on the birth certificates of 47 States and the District of Columbia.

## Tobacco and alcohol use during pregnancy

The checkbox format allows for classification of a mother as a smoker or drinker during pregnancy and for the reporting of the average number of cigarettes smoked per day or drinks consumed per week. When smoking and/or drinking status is not reported or is inconsistent with the quantity of cigarettes or drinks reported, the status is changed to be consistent with the amount reported. For example, if the drinking status is reported as "no" but one or more average drinks a week are reported. the mother is classified as a drinker. If the number of cigarettes smoked per day is reported as one or more, the mother is considered a smoker. When one (or a fraction of one) drink a week is recorded, the mother is classified as a drinker. For records on which the number of drinks or number of cigarettes is reported as a span for example, 10-15, the lower number is used. The numbers of drinkers and number of drinks reported on birth certificates are believed to underestimate actual alcohol use.

Data on tobacco use were collected by 43 States and the District of Columbia in 1989. Information on alcohol use was included on the certificates of 44 States and the District of Columbia. See table A for a listing of reporting areas.

## Weight gain during pregnancy

Weight gain is reported in pounds. A loss of weight is reported as zero gain. Computations of median weight gain were based on ungrouped data.

This item was included on the certificates of 46 States and the District of Columbia. See table A for a listing of reporting areas.

## Medical risk factors for this pregnancy

This item, which includes 16 specific medical risk factors, was included on the birth certificates of 47 States and the District of Columbia. Several States, however, did not include all factors on their birth certificates. See table A for more detailed information.

The format allows for the designation of more than one risk factor and includes a choice of "None." Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "not stated."

The definitions that follow are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (11).

## **Definitions of medical terms**

Anemia – Hemoglobin level of less than 10.0 g/dl during pregnancy or a hematocrit of less than 30 percent during pregnancy.

Cardiac disease - Disease of the heart.

Acute or chronic lung disease. - Disease of the lungs during pregnancy.

Diabetes – Metabolic disorder characterized by excessive discharge of urine and persistent thirst; includes juvenile onset, adult onset, and gestational diabetes during pregnancy.

Genital herpes-Infection of the skin of the genital area by herpes simplex virus.

Hydramnios/Oligohydramnios – Any noticeable excess (hydramnios) or lack (oligohydramnios) of amniotic fluid.

*Hemoglobinopathy* – A blood disorder caused by alteration in the genetically determined molecular structure of hemoglobin (example: sickle cell anemia).

Hypertension, chronic – Blood pressure persistently greater than 140/90, diagnosed prior to onset of pregnancy or before the 20th week of gestation.

Hypertension, pregnancy-associated—An increase in blood pressure of at least 30 mm Hg systolic or 15 mm Hg diastolic on two measurements taken 6 hours apart after the 20th week of gestation.

*Eclampsia* – The occurrence of convulsions and/or coma unrelated to other cerebral conditions in women with signs and symptoms of pre-eclampsia.

Incompetent cervix – Characterized by painless dilation of the cervix in the second trimester or early in the third trimester of pregnancy, with prolapse of membranes through the cervix and ballooning of the membranes into the vagina, followed by rupture of membranes and subsequent expulsion of the fetus.

*Previous infant 4,000* + grams—The birth weight of a previous live-born child was over 4,000 grams (8 pounds 13 ounces).

Previous preterm or small-for-gestational-age infant – Previous birth of an infant prior to term (before 37 completed weeks of gestation) or of an infant weighing less than the 10th percentile for gestational age using a standard weight for age chart.

Renal disease - Kidney disease.

*Rh Sensitization*—The process or state of becoming sensitized to the Rh factor as when an Rh-negative woman is pregnant with an Rh-positive fetus.

Uterine bleeding—Any clinically significant bleeding during the pregnancy taking into consideration the stage of pregnancy; any second or third trimester bleeding of the uterus prior to the onset of labor.

## **Obstetric procedures**

This is a new item on the revised birth certificate. Six specific procedures, including a choice of "None" are offered. Not all States report each procedure. Birth records with "Obstetric procedures" left blank are considered "not stated." Data on obstetric procedures was reported by 47 States and the District of Columbia. See table A for a list of the reporting States.

The definitions that follow are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (11).

## Definitions of medical terms

Amniocentesis – Surgical transabdominal perforation of the uterus to obtain amniotic fluid to be used in the detection of genetic disorders, fetal abnormalities, and fetal lung maturity.

*Electronic fetal monitoring* – Monitoring with external devices applied to the maternal abdomen or with internal devices with an electrode attached to the fetal scalp and a catheter through the cervix into the uterus, to detect and record fetal heart tones and uterine contractions.

Induction of labor—The initiation of uterine contractions before the spontaneous onset of labor by medical and/or surgical means for the purpose of delivery.

Stimulation of labor – Augmentation of previously established labor by use of oxytocin.

*Tocolysis* – Use of medications to inhibit preterm uterine contractions to extend the length of pregnancy and therefore avoid a preterm birth.

Ultrasound - Visualization of the fetus and placenta by means of sound waves.

## Complications of labor and/or delivery

The new checkbox format allows for the selection of 15 specific complications and for the designation of more than one complication where appropriate. A choice of "None" is also included. Accordingly, if the item is not completed, it is classified as "not stated."

Forty-seven States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates. However, not all of the complications were reported by all reporting States (see table A).

The definitions that follow are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (11).

## **Definitions of medical terms**

Febrile – A fever greater than 100 degrees F or 38 C occurring during labor and/or delivery.

Meconium, moderate/heavy – Meconium consists of undigested debris from swallowed amniotic fluid, various

products of secretion, excretion and shedding by the gastrointestinal tract; moderate to heavy amounts of meconium in the amniotic fluid noted during labor and/or delivery.

Premature rupture of membranes (more than 12 hours)—Rupture of the membranes at any time during pregnancy and more than 12 hours before the onset of labor.

Abruptio placenta—Premature separation of a normally implanted placenta from the uterus.

*Placenta previa* – Implantation of the placenta over or near the internal opening of the cervix.

Other excessive bleeding—The loss of a significant amount of blood from conditions other than abruptio placenta or placenta previa.

Seizures during labor – Maternal seizures occurring during labor from any cause.

Precipitous labor (less than 3 hours) – Extremely rapid labor and delivery lasting less than 3 hours.

Prolonged labor (more than 20 hours) – Abnormally slow progress of labor lasting more than 20 hours.

Dysfunctional labor-Failure to progress in a normal pattern of labor.

*Breech/Malpresentation*—At birth, the presentation of the fetal buttocks rather than the head, or other malpresentation.

Cephalopelvic disproportion—The relationship of the size, presentation, and position of the fetal head to the maternal pelvis prevents dilation of the cervix and/or descent of the fetal head.

Cord prolapse—Premature expulsion of the umbilical cord in labor before the fetus is delivered.

Anesthetic complications – Any complication during labor and/or delivery brought on by an anesthetic agent or agents.

Fetal distress-Signs indicating fetal hypoxia (deficiency in the amount of oxygen reaching fetal tissues).

## Abnormal conditions of the newborn

This item provides information on eight specific abnormal conditions. More than one abnormal condition may be reported for a given birth or "None" may be selected. If the item is not completed it is tabulated as "not stated." This item was included on the birth certificates of 47 States in 1989. However, several States did not include all conditions (see table A).

The definitions that follow are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (11).

## Definitions of medical terms

Anemia-Hemoglobin level of less than 13.0 g/dl or a hematocrit of less than 39 percent.

Birth injury—Impairment of the infant's body function or structure due to adverse influences that occurred at birth. Fetal alcohol syndrome – A syndrome of altered prenatal growth and development occurring in infants born of women who consumed excessive amounts of alcohol during pregnancy.

Hyaline membrane disease/RDS – A disorder primarily of prematurity, manifested clinically by respiratory distress and pathologically by pulmonary hyaline membranes and incomplete expansion of the lungs at birth.

*Meconium aspiration syndrome*—Aspiration of meconium by the fetus or newborn, affecting the lower respiratory system.

Assisted ventilation (less than 30 minutes) -A mechanical method of assisting respiration for newborns with respiratory failure.

Assisted ventilation (30 minutes or more) – Newborn placed on assisted ventilation for 30 minutes or longer.

Seizures – A seizure of any etiology.

## Congenital anomalies of child

The data provided in this item relate to 21 specific anomalies or anomaly groups. It is well documented that congenital anomalies, except for the most visible and most severe, are incompletely reported on birth certificates. The completeness of reporting specific anomalies depends on how easily they are recognized in the short time between birth and birth registration.

Forty-five States and the District of Columbia included this item on their birth certificates (see table A). The format allows for the identification of more than one anomaly including a choice of "None" should no anomalies be evident. The category "not stated" includes birth records for which the item is not completed.

The definitions that follow are adapted and abbreviated from a set of definitions compiled by a committee of Federal and State health statistics officials for the Association for Vital Records and Health Statistics (11).

## Definitions of medical terms

Anencephalus – Absence of the cerebral hemispheres. Spina Bifida/meningocele – Developmental anomaly characterized by defective closure of the bony encasement of the spinal cord, through which the cord and meninges may or may not protrude.

*Hydrocephalus* – Excessive accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid within the ventricles of the brain with consequent enlargement of the cranium.

*Microcephalus* – A significantly small head.

Other central nervous system anomalies – Other specified anomalies of the brain, spinal cord, and nervous system.

Heart malformations - Congenital anomalies of the heart.

Other circulatory/respiratory anomalies – Other specified anomalies of the circulatory and respiratory systems.

*Rectal atresia/stenosis* – Congenital absence, closure, or narrowing of the rectum.

Tracheo-esophageal fistula/Esophageal atresia – An abnormal passage between the trachea and the esophagus; esophageal atresia is the congenital absence or closure of the esophagus.

Omphalocele/gastroschisis—An omphalocele is a protrusion of variable amounts of abdominal viscera from a midline defect at the base of the umbilicus. In gastroschisis, the abdominal viscera protrude through an abdominal wall defect, usually on the right side of the umbilical cord insertion.

Other gastrointestinal anomalies – Other specified congenital anomalies of the gastrointestinal system.

Malformed genitalia – Congenital anomalies of the reproductive organs.

Renal agenesis - One or both kidneys are completely absent.

Other urogenital anomalies – Other specified congenital anomalies of the organs concerned in the production and excretion of urine, together with organs of reproduction.

*Cleft lip/palate*—Cleft lip is a fissure of elongated opening of the lip; cleft palate is a fissure in the roof of the mouth. These are failures of embryonic development.

*Polydactyly/syndactyly, adactyly* – Polydactyly is the presence of more than five digits on either hands and/or feet; syndactyly is having fused or webbed fingers and/or toes; adactyly is the absence of fingers and/or toes.

*Club foot*-Deformities of the foot, which is twisted out of shape or position.

Diaphragmatic hernia – Herniation of the abdominal contents through the diaphragm into the thoracic cavity usually resulting in respiratory distress.

Other musculoskeletal/integumental anomalies – Other specified congenital anomalies of the muscles, skeleton, or skin.

Down's syndrome—The most common chromosomal defect with most cases resulting from an extra chromosome (trisomy 21).

Other chromosomal anomalies – All other chromosomal aberrations.

## Method of delivery

The new birth certificate contains a checkbox item on method of delivery. The choices include vaginal delivery, with the additional options of forceps, vacuum, and vaginal birth after previous cesarean section (VBAC), as well as a choice of primary or repeat cesarean. When only forceps, vacuum, or VBAC is checked, a vaginal birth is assumed. In 1989 this information was collected from the birth certificates of 45 States and the District of Columbia. See table A for a listing of reporting areas.

Several rates are computed for method of delivery. The overall cesarean section rate or *total cesarean* rate is computed as the proportion of all births that were delivered by cesarean section. The *primary cesarean* rate is a measure which relates the number of women having a primary cesarean delivery to all women giving birth who have never had a cesarean delivery. The denominator for this rate includes all births less those with method of delivery classified as repeat cesareans and vaginal birth after previous cesarean. The *repeat cesarean* rate is the proportion of all cesarean deliveries that were to women having their second (or subsequent) cesarean delivery. The rate for vaginal birth after previous cesarean (VBAC) delivery is computed by relating all VBAC deliveries to the sum of VBAC and repeat cesarean deliveries, that is, to women with a previous cesarean section.

## Hispanic parentage

The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth includes items to identify the Hispanic origin of the parents. Concurrent with the 1978 revision of the U.S. Certificate of Live Birth, the National Center for Health Statistics recommended that items to identify the Hispanic or ethnic origin of the newborn's parents be included on birth certificates and has tabulated and evaluated these data from the reporting States. Forty-seven States and the District of Columbia reported Hispanic origin of the parents for 1989. Based on data published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (12) and related unpublished tabulations, it is estimated that 99 percent of the Hispanic population resides in the 1989 reporting area.

In computing birth and fertility rates for the Hispanic population, births with origin of mother not stated are included with non-Hispanic births rather than being distributed. Thus, rates for the Hispanic population are underestimates of the true rates to the extent that the births in the reporting area with origin of mother not stated (1.9 percent) were actually to Hispanic mothers. In order to compute rates for the Hispanic population for the United States as a whole, estimates by Hispanic origin and age of mother were made by inflating the figure for the reporting areas by the proportion of the U.S. Hispanic population in the three nonreporting States, Louisiana, New Hampshire, and Oklahoma. This procedure was performed separately for each Hispanic origin sub-group. The resulting rates are, therefore, estimated for the United States.

The population with origin not stated was imputed. The effect on the rates is believed to be small.

## QUALITY OF DATA

Although vital statistics data are useful for a variety of administrative and scientific purposes, they cannot be correctly interpreted unless various qualifying factors and methods of classification are taken into account. The factors to be considered depend on the specific purposes for which the data are to be used. It is not feasible to discuss all the pertinent factors in the use of vital statistics tabulations, but some of the more important ones should be mentioned.

Most of the factors limiting the use of data arise from imperfections in the original records or from the impracticability of tabulating these data in very detailed categories. These limitations should not be ignored, but their existence does not vitiate the value of the data for most general purposes.

## **Completeness of registration**

An estimated 99.2 percent of all births occurring in the United States in 1989 were registered; for white births registration was 99.4 percent complete and for all other births, 98.5 percent complete. These estimates are based on the results of the 1964–68 test of birth registration completeness according to place of delivery (in or out of hospital) and race, and on the 1989 proportions of births in these categories. The primary purpose of the test was to obtain current measures of registration completeness for births in and out of hospital by race on a national basis. Data for States were not available as they had been from the previous birth-registration tests in 1940 and 1950. A detailed discussion of the method and results of the 1964–68 birth registration test is available (13).

The 1964-68 test has provided an opportunity to revise the estimates of birth-registration completeness for the years since the previous test in 1950 to reflect the improvement in registration. This has been done using registration completeness figures from the two tests by place of delivery and race. Estimates of registration completeness for four groups (based on place of delivery and race) for 1951-65 were computed by interpolation between the test results. (It was assumed that the data from the more recent test are for 1966, the midpoint of the test period.) The results of the 1964-68 test are assumed to prevail for 1966 and later years. These estimates were used with the proportions of births registered in these categories to obtain revised numbers of births adjusted for underregistration for each year. The overall percent of birth-registration completeness by race was then computed. The figures for 1951-68 shown in table 1-3 differ slightly from those shown in annual reports for years prior to 1969.

Data adjusted for underregistration for 1951–59 shown in tables 1–1, 1–4, 1–5, 1–9, 1–10, and 1–11 have been revised to be consistent with the 1964–68 test results and differ slightly from data shown in annual reports for years before 1969. For these years the published number of births and birth rates for both racial groups have been revised slightly downward because the 1964–68 test indicated that previous adjustments to registered births were slightly inflated. Because registration completeness figures by age of mother and by live-birth order are not available from the 1964–68 test, it must be assumed that the relationships among these variables have not changed since 1950.

Discontinuation of adjustment for underregistration, 1960 – Adjustment for underregistration of births was discontinued in 1960, when birth registration for the United States was estimated to be 99.1 percent complete. This removed a bias introduced into age-specific rates when adjusted births classified by age were used. Age-specific rates are calculated by dividing the number of births to an age group of mothers by the population of women in that age group. Tests have shown that population figures are likely to be understated through census undercounts; these errors compensate for underregistration of births. Adjustment for underregistration of births, therefore, removes the compensating effect of underenumeration, biasing the age-specific rates more than when uncorrected birth and population data are used. (For further details see *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1963*, volume I, page 4–11.)

The age-specific rates used in the cohort fertility tables (tables 1–15 through 1–22) are an exception to the above statement. These rates are computed from births corrected for underregistration and population estimates adjusted for underenumeration and misstatement of age. Adjusted births and population estimates are used for the cohort rates because they are an integral part of a series of rates, estimated with a consistent methodology. It was considered desirable to maintain consistency with respect to the cohort rates, even though it means that they will not be precisely comparable with other rates shown for 5-year age groups.

## **Completeness of reporting**

Interpretation of these data must include evaluation of the item completeness of reporting. The percent "not stated" is one measure of the quality of the data. Completeness of reporting varies among items and States. For 1989, the revised certificates of some States were implemented late affecting the level of completeness for new items. See table A for the percent of birth records on which specified items were not stated.

## Quality control procedures

States in the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program are required to have an error rate of less than 2.0 percent for each item for 3 consecutive data months during the initial qualifying period. Once a State is qualified, NCHS monitors the quality of data received through independent verification of a sample of records to ensure that the item error rate is not more than approximately 4 percent. In addition, there is verification at the State level before NCHS is sent the data.

After completion of coding, counts of the taped records are balanced against control totals for each shipment of records from a registration area. Impossible codes are eliminated during the editing processes on the computer and corrected on the basis of reference to the source record or adjusted by arbitrary code assignment. All subsequent operations involved in tabulation and table

preparation are verified during the computer processing or by statistical clerks.

## Small frequencies

The numbers of births reported for an area represent complete counts. As such, they are not subject to sampling error, although they are subject to errors in the registration process. However, when the figures are used for analytical purposes, such as the comparison of rates over a period of time or for different areas, the number of events that actually occurred may be considered as one of a large series of possible results that could have arisen under the same circumstances. The probable range of values may be estimated from the actual figures according to certain statistical assumptions.

In general, distributions of vital events may be assumed to follow the binomial distribution. Estimates of standard errors and tests of significance under this assumption are described in most standard statistics texts. When the number of events is large, the relative standard error, expressed as a percent of the number or rate, is usually small.

When the number of events is small (fewer than 100) and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the conditions described by the figures. Events of rare nature may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. For this distribution, a simple approximation may be used to estimate the error as follows:

If N is the number of births and R is the corresponding rate, the chances are 19 in 20 that

1. The "true" number of events lies between

$$N - 2\sqrt{N}$$
 and  $N + 2\sqrt{N}$ 

2. The "true" rate lies between

$$R - 2\frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$$
 and  $R + 2\frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$ 

If the rate  $R_1$  corresponding to  $N_1$  events is compared with the rate  $R_2$  corresponding to  $N_2$  events, the difference between the two rates may be regarded as statistically significant if it exceeds

$$2\sqrt{\frac{R_1^2}{\overline{N}_1} + \frac{R_2^2}{\overline{N}_2}}$$

For example, suppose that the observed birth rate for area A was 15.0 per 1,000 population and that this rate was based on 50 recorded births. Given prevailing conditions, the chances are 19 in 20 that the "true" or underlying birth rate for that area lies between 10.8 and 19.2 per 1,000 population. Let it be further supposed that the birth rate for area A of 15.0 per 1,000 population is being compared with a rate of 20.0 per 1,000 population for area B, which is based on 40 recorded births. Although the difference between the rates for the two areas is 5.0, this difference is less than twice the standard error of the difference

$$2\sqrt{\frac{(15.0)^2}{50} + \frac{(20.0)^2}{40}}$$

of the two rates that is computed to be 7.6. From this, it is concluded that the difference between the rates for the two areas is not statistically significant.

## COMPUTATION OF RATES AND OTHER MEASURES

## **Population bases**

The rates shown in this report were computed on the basis of population statistics prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rates for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, and 1980 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the censuses of those years. Rates for all other years are based on the estimated midyear (July 1) population for the respective years. Birth rates for the United States, individual States, and SMSA's are based on the total resident populations of the respective areas. Except as noted these populations exclude the Armed Forces abroad but include the Armed Forces stationed in each area.

The resident population of the birth- and deathregistration States for 1900-32 and for the United States for 1900-89 is shown in table 4-1. In addition, the population including Armed Forces abroad is shown for the United States. Table B shows the sources for these populations.

Population estimates for 1981–89 – The population of the United States by age, race, and sex for 1989 is shown in table 4–2. The population for each State is shown in table 4–3 and the monthly population figures were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 1067. Comparable data for the U.S. population by age, race, and sex and for the State populations for 1981–88, were shown, respectively, in tables 4–2 and 4–3 of *Vital Statistics of the United States*, volume I, for those years. Comparable monthly population data for 1981–88 were shown in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Numbers 931, 949, 961, 980, and 1001, 1021, 1023 and 1045. Data by race are consistent with the modified 1980 populations by race.

Populations for 1980—The population of the United States by age, race, and sex, and the population for each State are shown in tables 4–2 and 4–3 of Vital Statistics of the United States, 1980, volume I. The figures by race have been modified as described below. Monthly population

Table B: Sources for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900–1932, and United States, 1900–1989

Year	Source
1989	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1057, Mar. 1990.
1988	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1045, Jan. 1990.
1986-87	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1022, Mar. 1988.
1985	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1000, Feb. 1987.
1984	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 985, Apr. 1986.
1983	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 965, Mar. 1985.
1982	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 949, May 1984.
1981	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 929, May 1983.
1980	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1980, Number of Inhabitants, PC80-1-A1, United States Summary, 1983.
1971–79	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 917, July 1982.
1970	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1970, Number of Inhabitants, Final Report PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1971.
1961–69	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 519, April 1974.
1960	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, Number of Inhabitants, PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1964.
1951–59	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 310, June 30, 1965.
1940–50	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973.
1930–39	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973, and National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900–1940, 1947.
1920–29	National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900–1940, 1947.
1917–19	Same as for 1930–39.
1900–1916	Same as for 1920–29.

figures were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 899.

The racial counts in the 1980 census are affected by changes in racial reporting practices, particularly by the Hispanic population, and in coding and classifying racial groups in the 1980 census. One particular change has created a major inconsistency between the 1980 census data and historical data series, including censuses, and vital statistics. About 40 percent of the Hispanic population counted in 1980, over 5.8 million persons, did not mark one of the specified races listed on the census questionnaire but instead marked the "Other" category. In the 1980 census, coding procedures were modified for persons who marked "Other" race and wrote in a national origin designation of a Latin American country or a specific Hispanic origin group in response to the racial question. These persons remained in the "Other" racial category in 1980 census data; in previous censuses and in vital statistics such responses were almost always coded into the "White" category.

To maintain comparability, the "Other" racial category in the 1980 census was reallocated to be consistent with previous procedures. Persons who marked the "Other" racial category and reported any Spanish origin on the Spanish origin question (5,840,648 persons) were distributed to white and black races in proportion to the distribution of persons of Hispanic origin who reported their race to be white or black. This was done for each age-sex group.

As a result of this procedure, 5,705,155 persons were added to the white population and 135,493 persons to the black population. Persons who marked the "Other" racial category and reported that they were not of Spanish origin (916,338 persons) were distributed as follows: 20 percent in each age-sex group were added to the "Asian and Pacific Islander" category (183,268 persons), and 80 percent were added to the "White" category (733,070 persons). The count of American Indians, Eskimos, and Aleuts was not affected by these procedures. Unpublished tabulations of these modified census counts were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census and used to compute the 1980 rates for this report, except for tables 1–15 through 1–22.

Population estimates for 1971–79–Birth rates for 1971–79 (except those for cohorts of women in tables 1–15 through 1–22) have been revised, based on revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1980 census levels, and thus may differ from rates published in volumes of Vital Statistics of the United States for these years. The 1980 census counted approximately 5.5 million more persons than had earlier been estimated for April 1, 1980 (14). The revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex were published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in the Current Population Reports, Series P–25, Number 917. Population estimates by month are based on data published in Current Population Reports, Series P–25, Number 899. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Population estimates for 1961-69—Birth rates in this volume for 1961-69 (except for those shown in tables 1-5 and 1-6) are based on revised estimates of the population and thus may differ slightly from rates published before 1976. The revised estimates used in computing these rates were published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 519. The rates shown in tables 1-5 and 1-6 for 1961-64 are based on revised estimates of the population

Table C. Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, race, and sex: United States, April 1, 1980

						_			All c	her		
	All races			White			Total				Black	
Age	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Maie	Female
All ages	0.9862	0.9763	0.9958	0.9916	0.9639	0.9990	0.9543	0.9309	0.9765	0.9392	0.9103	0.9669
10-14 years	0.9978	0.9982	0_9974	1.0003	1.0008	0.9998	0.9856	0.9858	0.9859	0.9808	0.9807	0.9816
15–19 years	1.0011	0.9983	1.0034	1.0003	0.9976	1.0003	1.0051	1.0052	1.0055	0.9980	0.9958	1.0001
20-24 years	0.9834	0.9706	0.9965	0.9879	0.9769	0.9993	0.9590	0.9354	0.9819	0.9390	0.9076	0.9696
25–29 years	0.9742	0.9581	0.9908	<i>`</i> 0.9799	0.9673	0.9929	0.9422	0.9040	0.9786	0.9168	0.8695	0.9628
30–34 years	0.9850	0.9683	1.0020	0.9905	0.9778	1.0036	0.9519	0.9081	0.9931	0.9197	0.8638	0.9735
35–39 years	0.9776	0.9597	0.9955	0.9860	0.9730	0.9991	0.9248	0.8743	0.9736	0.8968	0.8322	0.9588
40-44 years	0.9743	0.9549	0.9937	0.9849	0.9706	0.9992	0.9107	0.8576	0.9614	0.8782	0.8135	0.9401
45–49 years	0.9734	0.9538	0.9926	0.9828	0.9690	0.9967	0.9124	0.8544	0.9669	0.6833	0.8139	0.9497
50-54 years		0.9638			0.9755			0.8759			0.8413	
55 years and older		0.9865			0.9875			0.9779		•••	0.9578	•••
15–44 years			0.9973			0.9995			0.9848			0.9712
15-54 years		0.9683			0.9770	•••		0.9157	•••		0.8843	•••

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census: Estimates of the population of the United States, by age, sex, and race: 1980 to 1985. Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 985. Washington, U.S. Government Printing Office, Apr. 1986.

published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Numbers 321 and 324 and may differ slightly from rates published in those years.

Population estimates for 1951-59—Final intercensal estimates of the population by age, race, and sex and total population by State for 1951-59 are shown in tables 4-4 and 4-5 of Vital Statistics of the United States, 1966, volume I. Beginning with 1963 these final estimates have been used to compute birth rates for 1951-59 in all issues of Vital Statistics of the United States.

## Net census undercounts and overcounts

The U.S. Bureau of the Census has conducted extensive research to evaluate the coverage of the U.S. population (including undercount and overcount and misstatement of age, race, and sex) in the last four decennial censuses – 1950, 1960, 1970, and 1980. These studies provide estimates of the national population that was not enumerated or overenumerated in the respective censuses, by age, race, and sex (15–17). The report for 1980 (17) includes estimates of net underenumeration and overenumeration for age, sex, and racial subgroups of the national population, modified for race consistency with previous population counts as described in the section "Populations for 1980."

These studies indicate that there is differential coverage in the censuses among the population subgroups; that is, some age, race, and sex groups are more completely enumerated than others. To the extent that these estimates of overcounts or undercounts are valid, that they are substantial, and that they vary among subgroups and geographic areas, census miscounts can have consequences for vital statistics measures (15). However, the effects of undercounts in the census are reduced to the extent that there is underregistration of births. If these two factors are of equal magnitude, rates based on the unadjusted populations are more accurate than those based on adjusted populations because the births have not been adjusted for underregistration.

The impact of net census miscounts on vital statistics measures includes the effects on levels of the rates and effects on differentials among groups.

If adjustments were made for persons who were not counted in the census of population, the size of the denominators would generally increase and the rates would be smaller than without an adjustment. Adjusted rates for 1980 can be computed by multiplying the reported rates by ratios of the 1980 census-level population adjusted for the estimated net census miscounts, which are shown in table C. A ratio of less than 1.0 indicates a net census undercount and would result in a corresponding decrease in the rate. A ratio in excess of 1.0 indicates a net census overcount and would result in a corresponding increase in the rate.

Enumeration of white females in the childbearing ages was at least 99 percent complete for all ages. Among women of races other than white, the undercount ranged up to 4 percent. Generally, females in the childbearing ages were more completely enumerated than males for similar race-age groups.

If vital statistics measures were calculated with adjustments for net census miscounts for each of these subgroups, the resulting rates would have been differentially changed from their original levels; that is, rates for those groups with the greatest estimated overcounts or undercounts would show the greatest relative changes due to these adjustments. Thus the racial differential in fertility between the white and the "All other" population can be affected by such adjustments.

## **Cohort fertility tables**

The various fertility measures shown for cohorts of women in tables 1-15 through 1-22 are computed from

births adjusted for underregistration and population estimates corrected for underenumeration and misstatement of age. The data shown in this volume are not consistent with data published in annual reports before 1974. These data use revised population estimates prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and have been expanded to include data for the two major racial groups. Heuser has prepared a detailed description of the methods used in deriving these measures as well as more detailed data for earlier years (18).

Parity distribution – The percent distribution of women by parity (number of children ever born alive to mother) shown in tables 1–17 and 1–21 is derived from cumulative birth rates by order of birth, which are shown in tables 1–16 and 1–20. The percent of zero-parity women is found by subtracting the cumulative first birth rate from 1,000 and dividing by 10. The proportions of women at parities one through six are found from the following formula:

Percent at N parity =  $\frac{(\text{cum. rate, order } N) - (\text{cum. rate, order } N+1)}{10}$ 

The percent of women at seventh higher parities is found by dividing the cumulative rate for seventh-order births by 10.

Birth probabilities — Shown in tables 1–18 and 1–22, birth probabilities indicate the likelihood that a woman of a certain parity and age at the beginning of the year will have a child during the year. Birth probabilities differ from central birth rates in that the denominator for birth probabilities is specific for parity as well as for age.

## Age-sex-adjusted birth rates

The age-sex-adjusted birth rates shown in table 1-4 are computed by the direct method. The age distribution of women aged 10-49 years as enumerated in 1940 and the total population of the United States for that year are used as the standard populations. The birth rates by age of mother and race that are used to compute these adjusted rates are shown in table 1-9. The age-sex-adjusted birth rates show differences in the level of fertility independent of differences in the age and sex composition of the population. It is important *not* to confuse these adjusted rates with the crude rates shown in other tables.

## Total fertility rate

The total fertility rate is the sum of the birth rates by age of mother (in 5-year age groups) multiplied by 5. It is an age-adjusted rate because it is based on the assumption that there are the same number of women in each age group. In table 1-9 the rate of 2,014 in 1989, for example, means that if a hypothetical group of 1,000 women were to have the same birth rates in each age group that were observed in the actual childbearing population in 1989, they would have a total of 2,014 children by the time they reached the end of the reproductive period (taken here to be age 50 years), assuming that all of the women survived to that age.

## Intrinsic vital rates

The intrinsic vital rates shown in table 1–6 are calculated from a stable population. A stable population is that hypothetical population, closed to external migration, that would become fixed in age-sex structure after repeated applications of a constant set of age-sex specific birth and death rates. For the mathematical derivation of intrinsic vital rates, see *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1962, volume I, pages 4–13 and 4–14. The technique of calculating intrinsic vital rates is described by Barclay (19).

## Seasonal adjustment of rates

The seasonally adjusted birth and fertility rates shown in table 1-8 are computed from X-11 variant of Census Method II (20). This method of seasonal adjustment used since 1964 differs slightly from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Seasonal Factor Method, which was used for *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1964*. The fundamental technique is the same in that it is an adaptation of the ratio-to-moving-average method. Before 1964 the method of seasonal adjustment was based on the X-9 variant and other variants of Census Method II. A comparison of the Census Method II with the BLS Seasonal Factor Method shows the differences in the seasonal patterns of births to be negligible.

## Computation of percents, medians, and means

Percent distributions, medians, and means are computed using only events for which the characteristic is reported. The "Not stated" category is subtracted from the total before computation of these measures.

The asterisk (\*) indicates that the numerator and/or denominator number is less than 20.

#### SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES

Data not available	
Category not applicable	
Quantity zero	-
Quantity more than 0 but less than 0.05	0.0
Figure does not meet standards of reliability or	
precision	*

## SECTION 4-TECHNICAL APPENDIX-PAGE 21 REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Official records; no 28 (Third World Health Assembly 3.6). Geneva: World Health Organization, 16-17. 1950.
- National Office of Vital Statistics. International recommendations on definitions of live birth and fetal deaths. Washington: Public Health Service, 6. 1950.
- Statistical Office of the United Nations. Principles for vital statistics system: Recommendations for the improvement and standardization of vital statistics. Doc. ST/STAT/ SER.M/19. New York: United Nations, 6. 1953.
- National Office of Vital Statistics. Births and birth rates in the entire United States, 1909 to 1948. Vital Statistics-Special reports; vol 33 no 8. Washington: Public Health Service. 1950.
- 5. U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical areas and standard consolidated areas. Statistical reporter. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1-20. 1981.
- 6. U.S. Office of Management and Budget. 36 new standard metropolitan statistical areas. Statistical reporter. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 413-421. 1981.
- U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical areas. Rev. ed. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 89–90. 1975.
- Schachter J. Matched record comparison of birth certificate and census information in the United States, 1950. Vital statistics – Special reports; vol 47 no 12. Washington: Public Health Service. 1962.
- Ventura SJ. Trends and differentials in births to unmarried women, United States, 1970-76. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 21(36). 1980.
- Taffel S, Johnson D, Heuser R. A method of imputing length of gestation on birth certificates. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(93). 1982.
- 11. Brockert JE, Stockbauer JW, Senner JW, et al. Recommended standard medical definitions for the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, 1989 revision. Paper presented at annual meeting of the Association for Vital Record and Health Statistics. June 1990.

- U.S. Bureau of the Census. The Hispanic population of the United States, March 1989. Current population reports; series P-20, no 444, Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1990.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Test of birth-registration completeness, 1964 to 1968. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC(E)-2. Washington: Evaluation and Research Program, U.S. Department of Commerce. 1973.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coverage of the national population in the 1980 census by age, sex, and race. Preliminary estimates by demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 115. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1982.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of coverage of the population by sex, race, and age-Demographic analysis. 1970 census of population and housing; PHC(E)-4. Washington: Evaluation and Research Program, U.S. Department of Commerce. 1974.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Developmental estimates of the coverage of the population of States in the 1970 censusdemographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 65. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1977.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of the population of the United States, by age, sex, and race: 1980 to 1985. Current population reports, series P-25, no 985. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1986.
- Heuser R. Fertility tables for birth cohorts by color: United States, 1917-73. Washington: National Center for Health Statistics. 1976.
- 19. Barclay GW. Techniques of population analysis. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 216-222. 1958.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. The X-11 variant of the Census Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program. Technical paper; no 15, 1967 rev. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1967.

## Table 4-1. Population of Birth- and Death-Registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-1989

[Population enumerated as of April 1 for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, and 1980 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years]

United State		itales '		United S	States "	Birth-regist	ration States	Death-regia	tration States
Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Year	Population Including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Number of States •	Population residing in area	Number of States	Population residing in area
1969	248,762,000 248,329,000 243,915,000	248,239,000 245,807,000 243,400,000	1944 1943 1942	138,397,000 136,739,000 134,860,000	132,885,000 134,245,000 133,920,000				
1985 1984	239,283,000 237,019,000	238,741,000 236,495,000	1941 1940 1930	131,820,000 131,028,000	131,669,275 130,879,718		···· ···		
1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	234,538,000 232,309,000 229,849,000 227,061,000 225,055,000 222,585,000	234,023,000 231,786,000 229,348,000 226,545,805 224,567,000 222,085,000	1938 1937 1936 1935 1934 1933.	129,969,000 128,961,000 128,181,000 127,362,000 126,485,000 125,690,000	129,824,939 128,824,829 128,053,180 127,250,232 126,373,773 125,578,763			· · · · · · · · · ·	
1977	220,239,000 218,035,000 215,973,000 213,854,000 211,909,000 209,896,000	219,760,000 217,563,000 215,465,000 213,342,000 211,357,000 209,284,000	1932 1931 1930 1929 1928 1927.	124,849,000 124,149,000 123,188,000 	124,840,471 124,039,648 123,076,741 121,769,939 120,501,115 119,038,062	47 46 46 46 44	118,903,899 117,455,229 116,544,946 115,317,450 113,636,160 104,320,830	47 47 46 44 42	118,903,899 118,148,987 117,238,278 115,317,450 113,636,160 107,084,532
1971 1970 1969 1968 1907 1969	207,661,000 204,270,000 202,677,000 200,706,000 199,712,000 196,560,000	206,827,000 203,211,826 201,385,000 199,399,000 197,457,000 195,576,000	1926 1925 1924 1923 1922 1922	·  	117,399,225 115,831,963 114,113,463 111,949,945 110,054,778 108,541,489	35 33 30 30 27	90,400,590 68,294,564 87,000,295 81,072,123 79,560,746 70,807,090	41 40 39 38 37 34	103,822,683 102,031,555 99,318,098 96,788,197 92,702,901 87,814,447
1965	194,303,000 191,889,000 189,242,000 186,538,000 183,691,000 179,933,000	193,528,000 191,141,000 188,483,000 185,771,000 182,992,000 179,323,175	1920 1919 1918 1917 1917 1915	105,063,000 104,550,000 103,414,000  	106,466,420 104,512,110 103,202,601 103,265,913 101,965,984 100,549,013	23 22 20 21 10	63,597,307 61,212,076 55,153,782 55,197,952 32,944,013 31,096,697	34 33 30 27 26 24	86,079,263 83,157,982 79,008,412 70,234,775 66,971,177 61,894,847
1959 1958 1957 1955	177,264,000 174,141,000 171,274,000 168,221,000 165,275,000	176,513,000 173,320,000 170,371,000 167,306,000 164,308,000	1914 1913 1912 1911 1910		99,117,567 97,226,814 95,331,300 93,867,814 92,406,536	···· ···· ···	···· ···· ···	24 23 22 22 20	60,963,309 58,156,740 54,847,700 53,929,644 47,470,437
1954 1953 1952 1951	162,391,000 159,565,000 156,954,000 154,287,000 151,132,000	161,164,000 158,242,000 155,687,000 153,310,000 150,697,361	1909 1908 1907 1906 1905		90,491,525 88,708,976 87,000,271 85,436,556 83,819,666	···· ····	···· ···· ···	18 17 15 15 10	44,223,513 38,634,759 34,552,837 33,782,288 21,767,980
1949 1948 	149,188,000 146,631,000 144,126,000 141,389,000 139,928,000	148,665,000 146,093,000 143,446,000 140,054,000 132,481,000	1904 1903 1902 1901 1900		82,164,974 80,632,152 79,160,196 77,585,128 76,094,134	···· ··· ···	···· ····	10 10 10 10 10	21,332,076 20,943,222 20,582,907 20,237,453 19,985,446

Alaska included beginning 1959 and Hawaii, 1960.
 The District of Columbia is not included in "Number of States," but it is represented in all data shown for each year.

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

## Table 4-2. Estimated Population of the United States, by Age, Race, and Sex: July 1, 1989

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in the United States but exclude those stationed outside the United States. Due to rounding to the nearest thousand, detailed figures may not add to totals]

		All races			White		Ali other						
Age								Total			Black		
-	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Maio	Female	
All ages	248,239,000	120,962,000	127,258,000	208,961,000	102,223,000	106,738,000	39,278,000	18,758,000	20,520,000	30,660,000	14,545,000	16,115,000	
Under 1 year 1-4 years 5-9 years 10-14 years 15-19 years 15-17 years 15-0 years	3,945,000 14,807,000 18,212,000 16,950,000 17,812,000 10,169,000	2,020,000 7,578,000 9,321,000 8,689,000 9,091,000 5,214,000	1,925,000 7,229,000 8,891,000 8,260,000 8,721,000 4,955,000	3,183,000 11,887,000 14,628,000 13,574,000 14,343,000 8,123,000	1,623,000 6,093,000 7,504,000 6,973,000 7,327,000 4,168,000	1,541,000 5,794,000 7,124,000 6,601,000 7,015,000 3,955,000	782,000 2,920,000 3,584,000 3,375,000 3,469,000 2,046,000	397,000 1,485,000 1,817,000 1,716,000 1,764,000 1,048,000	385,000 1,435,000 1,767,000 1,659,000 1,705,000 1,001,000 1,001,000	619,000 2,271,000 2,802,000 2,679,000 2,758,000 1,623,000	314,000 1,155,000 1,423,000 1,362,000 1,394,000 827,000 567,000	305,000 1,116,000 1,378,000 1,318,000 1,365,000 798,000 567,000	
20-24 years	7,843,000 18,702,000 21,699,000 22,135,000 19,621,000 16,882,000	9,368,000 10,865,000 11,078,000 9,731,000 8,294,000	9,334,000 10,834,000 11,058,000 9,890,000 8,588,000	6,220,000 15,359,000 18,103,000 18,567,000 16,625,000 14,550,000	7,731,000 9,142,000 9,385,000 8,342,000 7,229,000	7,628,000 8,960,000 9,182,000 8,263,000 7,321,000	3,343,000 3,597,000 3,568,000 2,996,000 2,331,000	1,637,000 1,723,000 1,693,000 1,389,000 1,064,000	1,706,000 1,874,000 1,875,000 1,606,000 1,267,000	2,651,000 2,827,000 2,744,000 2,260,000 1,726,000	1,279,000 1,342,000 1,289,000 1,035,000 782,000	1,372,000 1,485,000 1,455,000 1,225,000 945,000	
45-49 years 50-54 years 55-59 years 60-64 years 65-69 years	13,521,000 11,375,000 10,726,000 10,867,000 10,170,000	6,601,000 5,509,000 5,121,000 5,079,000 4,631,000	6,920,000 5,866,000 5,605,000 5,788,000 5,538,000	11,672,000 9,789,000 9,310,000 9,569,000 9,029,000	5,758,000 4,791,000 4,480,000 4,498,000 4,130,000	5,915,000 4,998,000 4,830,000 5,071,000 4,899,000	1,849,000 1,586,000 1,416,000 1,298,000 1,141,000	843,000 719,000 641,000 581,000 502,000	1,006,000 868,000 775,000 718,000 639,000	1,395.000 1,223.000 1,116.000 1,035.000 916,000	626,000 544,000 508,000 467,000 402,000	769,000 679,000 608,000 567,000 515,000	
70-74 years 75-79 years 80-84 years 85 years and over	8,012,000 6,033,000 3,728,000 3,042,000	3,464,000 2,385,000 1,306,000 850,000	4,549,000 3,648,000 2,422,000 2,192,000	7,193,000 5,430,000 3,409,000 2,761,000	3,120,000 2,147,000 1,189,000 761,000	4,074,000 3,282,000 2,220,000 2,000,000	819,000 603,000 319,000 281,000	344,000 238,000 117,000 89,000	475,000 365,000 201,000 192,000	661,000 486,000 256,000 236,000	274,000 187,000 91,000 72,000	366,000 299,000 165,000 165,000	

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census: "Current Population Reports," Series P-25, No. 1057.

 Table 4-3. Estimated Total Population and Female Population Aged 15-44 Years: United States, Each Division and State,

 Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1989

[Figures Include Armed Forces stationed in each area and exclude those stationed outside the United States. Due to rounding to the nearest thousand, detailed figures may not add to totals]

Area	Total	Female 15-44 years	Area	Тоіаі	Female 15-44 years
Area United States	Tolal 248,239,000 37,726,000 42,289,000 17,851,000 15,406,000 13,514,000 38,283,000 1,107,000 1,107,000 557,000 5,913,000 988,000	Female 15-44 years 58,425,000 8,768,000 9,971,000 4,096,000 10,063,000 3,641,000 9,151,000 9,151,000 272,000 142,000 1,441,000 225,000	Area           South Atlantic:           Delaware           Maryland           District of Columbia           Virginia           West Virginia           North Carolina           South Carolina           Georgia           Florida           East South Central:           Kentucky           Tennessee           Alabama           Misslasippi           West South Central:	Total 673,000 8,694,000 6,088,000 1,857,000 6,571,000 6,436,000 12,671,000 3,727,000 4,940,000 4,119,000 2,621,000	Female 15-44 years 152,000 1,150,000 1,501,000 430,000 1,570,000 2,671,000 883,000 1,174,000 610,000
Middle Atlantic: New York New York New Jersey Pennsylvania East North Central: Ohlo Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin West North Central:	996,000 3,239,000 7,736,000 12,040,000 5,593,000 11,658,000 9,273,000 4,867,000	235,000 751,000 1,807,000 2,738,000 1,324,000 2,752,000 2,222,000 1,128,000	Arkansaa	2,406,000 4,382,000 3,224,000 16,991,000 1,014,000 475,000 3,317,000 3,528,000 3,528,000 1,707,000 1,707,000 1,111,000	537,000 1,052,000 748,000 4,087,000 118,000 233,000 118,000 835,000 358,000 806,000 396,000 264,000
Minnesota	4,353,000 2,840,000 5,159,000 660,000 715,000 1,611,000 2,513,000	1,025,000 642,000 1,186,000 150,000 157,000 367,000 569,000	Pacific:           Washington           Oregon           California           Alaska           Hawai           Puerto Rico 1           Virgin Islands 1           Guam 1	4,761,000 2,820,000 29,063,000 527,000 1,112,000   	1,151,000 677,000 6,932,000 130,000 261,000  

\* Populations for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam are not available for 1989.

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census: "Current Population Reports," Series P-25, No. 1058.

# VITAL STATISTICS OF THE UNITED STATES

## 1989

**VOLUME II - MORTALITY** 



## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

## SECTION 7. TECHNICAL APPENDIX

	Page		Page
Sources of data	1	Fetal deaths	14
Death and fetal-death statistics	1	Perinatal mortality	18
Standard certificates and reports	2	Quality of data	18
History	2	Completeness of registration	18
Classification of data	5	Massachusetts data	18
Classification by occurrence and residence	5	Alabama data	18
Geographic classification	5	Ouality control procedures	19
State or country of birth	6	Estimates of errors arising from 50-percent	
Age	6	sample for 1972	20
Race	6	Computation of rates and other measures	20
Hispanic origin	7	Population bases	20
Marital status	7	Net census undercount	21
Educational attainment	7	Age adjusted death rates	21
Place of death and status of decedent	8		22
Mortality by month and date of death	8	Life tables	22
Report of autopsy	8	Random variation in numbers of deaths, death	
Cause of death	8	rates, and mortality rates and ratios	23
Maternal deaths	11	Symbols used in tables	23
Infant deaths	11	References	24

## Figures

.

7-A.	U.S. Standard Certificate of Death	3
7-B.	U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death	4

## Text tables

A.	Ratio of infant, neonatal, postneonatal, maternal, and perinatal mortality rates, with race for live births tabulated according to race of mother to those with race for live births tabulated according to race of child:	
	United States, 1989.	12
В.	Infant mortality rates by race of mother for the period 1984–85 and for birth cohorts, 1984–85; and ratio of birth cohort to period rates: United States	13
C.	Infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin of mother for the period 1986 and birth cohort of 1986; and ratio of birth cohort to period rates: Total of 18 reporting States and the District of Columbia, 1986	14
D.	Period of gestation at which fetal-death reporting is required: Each reporting area, 1989	15
E.	Sources for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900–32, and United States, 1900–89	19

## Population tables

7-1.	Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900–32, and United States, 1900–89	26
7-2.	Estimated population of the United States by 5-year age groups, race, and sex: July 1, 1989	27
7-3.	Estimated population by age, for the United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1989	28
7-4.	Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1980	29

1071

## SOURCES OF DATA

## Death and fetal-death statistics

Mortality statistics for 1989 are, as for all previous years except 1972, based on information from records of all deaths occurring in the United States. Fetal-death statistics for every year are based on all reports of fetal death received by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

The death-registration system and the fetal-death reporting system of the United States encompass the 50 States, the District of Columbia, New York City (which is independent of New York State for the purpose of death registration), Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. In the statistical tabulations of this publication, *United States* refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia. Tabulations for Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands are shown separately in this volume. No data have ever been included for American Samoa or the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

The Virgin Islands was admitted to the registration area for deaths in 1924; Puerto Rico, in 1932; and Guam, in 1970. Tabulations of death statistics for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were shown regularly in the annual volumes of Vital Statistics of the United States from the year of their admission through 1971 except for the years 1967-69, and tabulations for Guam were included for 1970 and 1971. Death statistics for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam were not included in the 1972 volume but have been included in section 8 of the volumes for each of the years 1973-78 and in section 9 beginning with 1979. Information for 1972 for these three areas was published in the respective annual vital statistics reports of the Department of Health of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Department of Health of the Virgin Islands, and the Department of Public Health and Social Services of the Government of Guam.

Procedures used by NCHS to collect death statistics have changed over the years. Before 1971, tabulations of deaths and fetal deaths were based solely on information obtained by NCHS from copies of the original certificates. The information from these copies was edited, coded, and tabulated. For 1960–70, all mortality 'information taken from these records was transferred by NCHS to magnetic tape for computer processing.

Beginning with 1971, an increasing number of States have provided NCHS with computer tapes of data coded according to NCHS specifications and provided to NCHS through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. The year State-coded demographic data were first transmitted on computer tape to NCHS is shown below for each of the States, New York City, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia, all of which now furnish demographic or nonmedical data on tape.

Florida	Alaska Idaho Massachusetts New York City Ohio Puerto Rico
1972 Maine Missouri New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont	1978 Indiana Utah Washington
1973 Colorado Michigan New York (except New York City)	1979 Connecticut Hawaii Mississippi New Jersey Pennsylvania Wyoming
1974 Illinois Iowa Kansas Montana Nebraska Oregon South Carolina	1980 Arkansas New Mexico South Dakota
1975 Louisiana Maryland North Carolina Oklahoma Tennessee Virginia Wisconsin	1982 North Dakota
1976 Alabama Kentucky Minnesota Nevada Texas West Virginia	1985 Arizona California Delaware Georgia District of Columbia

1077

For the Virgin Islands and Guam, mortality statistics for 1989 are based on information obtained directly by NCHS from copies of the original certificates received from the registration offices.

In 1974 States began coding medical (cause-of-death) data on computer tapes according to NCHS specifications. The year State-coded medical data were first transmitted to NCHS is shown below for the 30 States now furnishing such data. For 1989 Georgia, Indiana, Maine, and Wisconsin submitted precoded medical data on computer tape for part of the year. NCHS contracted with Colorado, Kansas, and

Mississippi to precode medical data for all deaths on computer tape for the five States added in 1988. Vermont subcontracted with Pennsylvania to code its medical data.

1974	1984
Iowa	Maryland
Michigan	New York State (except New York City)
	Vermont
1975	1986
Louisiana	California
Nebraska	Florida
North Carolina	Texas
Virginia	
Wisconsin	
1980	1988
Colorado	Alaska
Kansas	Delaware
Massachusetts	Idaho
Mississippi	North Dakota
New Hampshire	Wyoming
South Carolina	
	1000
1981	1989
Maine	Georgia
	wasnington
1983	

#### Minne

Minnesota

For 1989 and previous years except 1972, NCHS coded the medical information from copies of the original certificates received from the registration offices for all deaths occurring in those States that were not furnishing NCHS medical data coded according to NCHS specifications. In addition, Georgia, Indiana, Maine, and Wisconsin submitted copies of the original certificates from which NCHS coded the medical data for part of the year. For 1981 and 1982, these procedures were modified because of a coding and processing backlog resulting from personnel and budgetary restrictions. To produce the mortality files on a timely basis with reduced resources, NCHS used Statecoded underlying cause-of-death information supplied by 19 States for 50 percent of the records; for the other 50 percent of the records for these States as well as for 100 percent of the records for the remaining 21 registration areas, NCHS coded the medical information. Mortality statistics for 1972 were based on information obtained from a 50-percent sample of death records instead of from all records as in other years. Sampling variation associated with the 50-percent sample is described below in the section "Estimates of errors arising from 50-percent sample for 1972."

Fetal-death data are obtained directly from copies of original reports of fetal deaths received by NCHS from State registration offices, except registration offices in New York State (excluding New York City), which submitted State-coded data in 1989. Fetal-death data are not published by NCHS for the Virgin Islands and Guam.

#### Standard certificates and reports

For many years, the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death and the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death, issued by the Public Health Service, have been used as the principal means to attain uniformity in the contents of documents used to collect information on these events. They have been modified in each State to the extent required by the particular needs of the State or by special provisions of the State vital statistics law. However, the certificates or reports of most States conform closely in content and arrangement to the standards.

The first issue of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death appeared in 1900. Since then, it has been revised periodically by the national vital statistics agency through consultation with State health officers and registrars; Federal agencies concerned with vital statistics; national, State, and county medical societies; and others working in such fields as public health, social welfare, demography, and insurance. This revision procedure has assured careful evaluation of each item in terms of its current and future usefulness for legal, medical and health, demographic, and research purposes. New items have been added when necessary, and old items have been modified to ensure better reporting; or in some cases, items have been dropped when their usefulness appeared to be limited.

New revisions of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death and the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death were recommended for State use beginning January 1, 1989. The U.S. Standard Certificate of Death and the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death are shown in figures 7-A and 7-B (1).

Among the major changes were the addition of a new item on educational attainment and changes to improve the medical certification of cause of death. Additional lines to report causes of death were added as well as more complete instructions with examples for properly completing the cause of death. Also, for the first time, the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death includes a question about the Hispanic origin of the decedent. A number of States had included an Hispanic-origin identifier on their certificates, resulting in data shown in this volume for years before 1989. To obtain information on type of place of death, the format of the item was changed from an open-ended question to a checkbox.

#### HISTORY

The first death statistics published by the Federal Government concerned events in 1850 and were based on statistics collected during the decennial census of that year. In 1880 a national "registration area" was created for recording deaths. Originally consisting of two States (Massachusetts and New Jersey), the District of Columbia, and several large cities having efficient systems for death regis-

FIGURE 7-A. U.S. Standard Certificate of Death

<b>[</b>	TYPE/PRINT IN PERMANENT	LOCAL FILE NUMBER			CERTIF	ICATE (	ARD	—— Н	STATE FILE NUR			
	BLACK INK	1. DECEDENT'S NAME (Fust, MA	adie, Lasti						2 SEX 3	DATE OF DE	ATH (Month, Day, Year)	
	INSTRUCTIONS SEE OTHER SIDE AND HANDBOOK	4. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	5a. AGE-Last Biriho (Years)	Months	DER 1 YEAR	5c UN Hours	Minutes	6. DATE OF BIR Day, Year)	TH (Month, 3	7. BIRTHMLACE Foreign Coun	ICity and State or Iryl	
1	DECEDENT	8. WAS DECEDENT EVER IN U ARMED FORCES?			_9a, Pi	LACE OF DEA	L TH (Check only LOTHER	one, are matruction.	s on other side	·		
		(Yes or no) 96. FACILITY NAME (If not insti-	itution, give street and	ipatient	ER/Outpatient				Residence	Other (Specif		
					1							
	liulion UCTIONS SIDE	Never Married, Widowed, Divorced (Specify)	III wile, give maide.		12 16 De	ive kind of w	SUSUAL OCC ink done during Hd )	DECUPATION 12b. KIND OF BUSINESSANDUSTRY Using most of working Ms.				
	NSTA NIC	13. RESIDENCE-STATE 13	L COUNTY	13c. Ci	ITY. TOWN, OF	R LOCATION		13d. STREET A	FREET AND NUMBER			
DECEDENT	SEE ON G	13. INSIDE CITY 131. ZIP COD LIMITS? [Yes or no]	DE 14, WA ISpi Man Spi	S DECEDENT ( culy No or Yel Ican, Puerto R culy	OF HISPANIC ( s—If yes, speci licen, etc.)	DRIGIN? dy Cub∎n, No ⊡ 1	15. RACI Black (Spec	E—American Indian, I, White, etc cifyj	(Spec Elementary	16. DECEDENT cily only highes y/Secondary (0	S EDUCATION 1 grade completed) -12) College (1-4 or 8+)	
ME OF	PARENIS	17. FATHER'S NAME (First, Mid	die, Last)			T	. MOTHER'S	NAME (First, Middle,	Marden Surnan	me)		
Ž	INFORMANT	19. INFORMANT'S NAME (Typ	VPrint)	1	IS. MAILING	ADDRESS /SI	eet and Numbe	r ar Rurst Route Nur	nber, City or T	own, State, Zip	Code)	
EVISION		20a, METHOD OF DISPOSITION		206. PLAC	CE OF DISPOSI	TION (Name o	l cometory, cro	melory, or 20c.	LOCATION - C	City or Tewn, St		
36	DISPOSITION	BurratCramation DonationOther (Spec	_ Removal from Stat	<u> </u>								
STICS		21a. SIGNATURE OF FUNERAL PERSON ACTING AS SUC	SERVICE LICENSEE O	8	216. LIC	ENSE NUMBE	R 22. NA	ME AND ADDRESS	OF FACILITY			
H STAT	DE DEFINITION	<u> </u>										
A FOR HEALT	RONDUNCING Hysician only	Complete items 23s-c only when certifying physician is not available at time of death to certify cause of death	23a. To the best of m Signature and Title	y knowladge, ▶	death occurred	d at the time, :	late, and place	etated. 236 LICEN	SE NUMBER	23c	DATE SIGNED (Man(h,Day,Yeer)	
N BE	COMPLETED BY	24. TIME OF DEATH	25 DATE PRONOUNC	ED DEAD IM	onth, Day, Year)			26. WAS C	ASE REFERRE	D TO MEDICAL	EXAMINER/CORONER?	
HVILE - NATIONA		27. PART I. Enter the diseases arrest, shock, or r IMMEDIATE CAUSE (Final diffese or condition	, injuries or complica leart failure. List only	NONE THEI CEU. ONE CEUSE ON	sed the death each line	Do not enter	the mode of dy	ing, such as cardiac	of respiratory		Approximate Interval Batween Onset and Death	
X SEL			DUE TO	OR AS A CO	DNSEQUENCE (	DF)						
HUBUL HE		CAUSE (Disease or injury Content) c										
- ucts		resulting in death) LAST	d	IOR AS A CO	INSEQUENCE C	<b>DFI</b>					1	
	CAUSE OF DEATH	PART II. Other significant condit	iens contributing to de	sath bul not re	suling in the i	underlying cau	se given in Pari	28a. WA PER -{Yas	S AN AUTOPS FORMED? I or no!	THE PARTY OF E	E AUTOPSY FINDINGS' ILABLE PRIOR TO PRETION OF CAUSE DEATH? (Yes or no)	
NI UF HEALIH		29. MANNER OF DEATH Natural Pending Accident	30s. DATE OF (Month,D	INJURY 3 Iy, Yearj	IOB. TIME OF INJURY M	30c. INJUF (Yes )	Y AT WORK? Y noj	30d. DESCRIBE HO	W INJURY OC			
DEPAHIM		Suicide Could no: Homicide Determin	t be 30s. PLACE OF ed building, s	INJURY – ALI 16. <i>(Specify)</i>	home, farm, eu	real, lactory,	office 301 LC	CATION (Street and	Number of Ru	ursi Aoute Numt	er, City or Town, State)	
;	EE DEFINITION	31a. CERTIFIER ICheck only one)	IFYING PHYSICIAN (	Physician cart Ige. death occ	ulying cause of curred due to th	( dearh when . he ceuse(s) an	nother physicia d menner es sti	n has pronounced d ared	eath and comp	pleted item 23)		
Ĺ	<b>VERMEIER</b>	<u>ряом</u> То и	DUNCING AND CERT	ge, death acc	ICIAN (Physicia surred at the Un	n both pronot	ncing death an place, and due	d certifying to cause to the Cause(s) and i	of death) manner as stat	ed		
		Dn th	CAL EXAMINER/COB(	<u>DNER</u> n and/or inves	ligation, in my	opinion, dest	occurred at th	e time, date, and pla	ice, and due to	a the cause(s) a	nd manner at stated	
		316. SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF	CERTIFIER				31	IC. LICENSE NUMBE	R	31d DATE SIG	NED (Month,Day,Year)	
		32 NAME AND ADDRESS OF	PERSON WHO COMPL	ETED CAUSE	OF DEATH UT	'EM 27) (Type	(Print)			_		
	AEGISTRAR .	33. REGISTRAR S SIGNATURE							:	34. DATE FILED	) (Month, Day, Year)	
PH	5 T-003	<u> </u>							L			

FIGURE 7-B. U.S. Standard Certificate of Fetal Death

TYPL/HART	U.S. STANDARD												
IN PERMANENT	CEPURI UF PEIAL DEATH     STATI THE MANUA     STATI THE MANUA     STATI THE MANUA     STATI THE MANUA												
POA INSTRUCTIONS SEE	2, CITY, TOWN, OR LOCA	3. COUNTY OF	3. COUNTY OF DELIVERY 4. DATE OF DELIVERY (Menth, Day, Year) 8. SEX OF FETUS										
HANDBOOK	. MOTHER'S NAME IF				S. MAIDEN SURNAME					ih.Dey,Ymrij			
<b>DADENTE</b>	B. RESIDENCE-STATE B. COUNTY BC. CITY					OR LOCATION	L		M. STREET AND	NUMBER			
<b>CALIFATE</b>	Se, INSIDE CITY LIMITS? Br. ZIP CODE B. FA				FATHER'S NAM	E (First, Middle, Last)	_			10. DATE OF BIRTH (Manih, Day, Tear)			
(	11. OF HISPANIC ORIGINI			America	n lodian.	13.	DUCA	אסוד	14. OCCUPA		RUSINESSAND		
	(Specily No or Yes-II yes, specily Cuben, Mesican, Puerto Acan, etc.) Biock, White, etc. <i>ISpecily belowi</i>					(Specify only highest grade completed) Elementary/Secondary Callege			Creating Contraction	forted duran	y last year) Buales	a finduating	
MOTHER	11a. D No D Yes Seecily:	128.				130.		(1-4 or 5 +)	142		146.		
FATHER	11b. D No D Yes Specify:	Specify: 11b. D No D Yes 12b. Execify:				136,		i	14c.		144.		
		15.	1 PREGNANCY Milpiete each	HISTOR	r		10, 4	ADTHER MARRIED?	i ' (At deinvery, Ime between)	17. DATE BEGA	LAST NORMAL		
MULTIPLE BIATHS		ATHS			OTHER TERMI	NATIONS ( Induced at	_ '	Yes er no)					
Enter State File Number for	IS. Now Living	185. No-	Dead	164. (0	ony time ofter c	anception) is fetus)	11. h	ANTH OF PREGNA	NEY PRENATAL Second, Third,	18, PREN. Numb	ATAL VISITS-	Total maraj	
Minutal LIVE BIRTHISI	Number	Numi	ar	N			10. V	IC. ISpecily!		21. CLINII	CAL ESTIMATE	OF	
FETAL DEATHIS)		•			) None		"	Specity Unit!		GEST,	ATION (Weeks)	TION (Weeks)	
NOSIA	ILC. DATE OF LAST LIVE (Month, Year)	DIATH		164. DA TE	TE OF LAST OT	HER mith, Years	120.	PLURAUTY — Single Triplet, etc. <i>(Specif</i>	ı, Twin, Yl	226, 1F Ni Fraz (Spar	NOT SINGLE BIRTH—Born wat, Second, Third, etc. Specify)		
	23a. MEDICAL RISK FACT (Creck of that apply)	ORS FOR T	HIS PREGNA	NCY	24. OBSTETA (Chuck a	NC PROCEDURES	-		27. CONGENITAL	ANOMALII	ES OF FETUS		
- TICS -	Anamia (Hc). < 30/Hgb. < Cardiac disease	Amniocentesis Electronic fata	il monitoring			Arencepheius Spine birda/Menir	Anancephelus						
STATIS	Diabetes	Stimulation of Tocolysis	labor		Hydracephalus								
ALTH .	Hydramocz/Digobydramoc Hemoglobiozasthy	Ultrasound			(Specily)05 D								
2H M0-	Hypertension, pregnancy-se Eclemosis	(Sp	ecily)	0/13	Other circulatory/sepiratory engine								
ATEN	incompetent cervis Previous intent 4000 + grad	25. COMPLIC	ATIONS OF LABOR	AND		Aectal aveals/stenesis							
NAL CI	Pravious protorm or email-for-gental-onel-age infent				(Check a	# that apply) © "F. or 38 °C.)		Omphalacely/Gastroschista					
	An excellention	Meconium, m Premeture rup	oderstafheavy Sture of membrane (	owrei , 02 🖸	(Specify) 11 0								
	Other	Piscenta previe				Rensi agenetia							
INFORMATION					Selfures during labor				(Specify) 14 0 Claft lip/psiste				
НЕАЦТ					Dystunctional Breech/Meipre	Prolonged labor (>20 hours)				Palydsciyly/Syndsciyly/Adsciyly			
		-			Caphalopshire diagropartion				Duphragmatic hamia				
	Complete of Rems)	S FUR TH	3 FREURAN										
SEAVE	Average number cigarate Alcohol use during pregnan	осу в рат бву . су	····· Yes (						(Specify) 21 D				
NUMAN	Average number drinks p Weight gained during pregn	er week ency	fb.,			<b></b>				Other 22 22 -			
1047					Veginal		ck # 1						
MEALTH					Veginal birth i Primary Crest Report Crest	alter previous C-sac Lion	iion						
1 OF 1			Forceps Vecuum										
	28. PART I. Faisi or main real	-			Enter	only one cause per	line for	a, b, and s.					
	condition directly cousing fotal depth	. {			IE.			-			Specily Fetel	or Meternal	
	Fatel and/or maternal conditions. If any, styles	,	DUE TO (	OA AS A	CONSEQUENCE	OFI:					Specify Fetal	er Matarnal	
CAUSE OF FFTAL DLATH	his to the knowdiate Counciel, stating the under- lying course last.	}		IOR AS A	CONSEQUENCE	: OF1:				i I	Specify Fatel	or Maternal	
	PART 8. Other algovilleant conditions of fatus or mother contributing to fatal datable but not resulting in the underlying cause given in Part 1. 28. FETUS DIED BEFORE								LABOR,				
	DUNING LABOR OR OELIVERY, UNKNOWN (Specify)								ELIVERY,				
			_										
	30. ATTENDANT'S NAME	AND TITL	E (Type/Print	1			3	1. NAME AND TIT	LE OF PERSON COMP	ETING REP	ORT (Fype/Pron	u	
	Name	_					-	Nama					
	□ M D. □ D.O.	С.N.М	A. 🗌 OIN	er Midwil	•				-				
							-						

trations, the death-registration area continued to expand until 1933, when, for the first time, it included the entire United States. Tables showing data for death-registration States include the District of Columbia for all years; registration cities in nonregistration States are not included. For more details on the history of the death-registration area, see the Technical Appendix in *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1979*, Volume II, Mortality, Part A, section 7, pages 3 and 4, and the section "History and Organization of the Vital Statistics System," chapter 1, *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1950*, Volume I, pages 2–19. Statistics on fetal deaths were first published for the birth-registration area in 1918 and then annually beginning in 1922.

## **CLASSIFICATION OF DATA**

The principal value of vital statistics data is realized through the presentation of rates, which are computed by relating the vital events of a class to the population of a similarly defined class. Vital statistics and population statistics must therefore be classified according to similarly defined systems and tabulated in comparable groups. Even when the variables common to both, such as geographic area, age, sex, and race, have been similarly classified and tabulated, differences between the enumeration method of obtaining population data and the registration method of obtaining vital statistics data may result in significant discrepancies.

The general rules used in the classification of geographic and personal items for deaths and fetal deaths for 1989 appear in two NCHS instruction manuals (2,3). A discussion of the classification of certain important items is presented below.

## Classification by occurrence and residence

Tabulations for the United States and specified geographic areas in this volume are classified by place of residence unless stated as by place of occurrence. Before 1970, resident mortality statistics for the United States included all deaths occurring in the United States, with deaths of "nonresidents of the United States" assigned to place of death. "Deaths of nonresidents of the United States" refers to deaths that occur in the United States to nonresident aliens; nationals residing abroad; and residents of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and other territories of the United States. Beginning with 1970, "deaths of nonresidents of the United States" are not included in tables by place of residence.

Tables by place of occurrence, on the other hand, include deaths of residents and nonresidents of the United States. Consequently, for each year beginning with 1970, the total number of deaths in the United States by place of occurrence was somewhat greater than the total by place of residence. For 1989 this difference amounted to 3,393 deaths. Mortality statistics by place of occurrence are shown in tables 1-11, 1-19, 1-20, 1-30-1-32, 3-1, 3-6, 8-1, and 8-7.

Before 1970, except in 1964 and 1965, deaths of nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States were treated as deaths of residents of the exact place of occurrence, which in most instances was an urban area. In 1964 and 1965 deaths of nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States were allocated as deaths of residents of the balance of the county in which they occurred.

Residence error—Results of a 1960 study showed that the classification of residence information on the death certificates corresponded closely to the residence classification of the census records for the decedents whose records were matched (4).

A comparison of the results of this study of deaths with those for a previous matched record study of births (5) showed that the quality of residence data had improved considerably between 1950 and 1960. Both studies found that events in urban areas were overstated by the NCHS classification in comparison with the U.S. Bureau of the Census classification. The magnitude of the difference was substantially less for deaths in 1960 than it was for births in 1950.

The improvement is attributed to an item added in 1956 to the U.S. Standard Certificates of Birth and of Death, asking whether residence was inside or outside city limits. This new item aided in properly allocating the residence of persons living near cities but outside the corporate limits.

## Geographic classification

The rules followed in the classification of geographic areas for deaths and fetal deaths are contained in the two instruction manuals referred to previously (2,3). The geographic codes assigned by NCHS during data reduction of source information on birth, death, and fetal-death records are given in another instruction manual (6). Beginning with 1982 data, the geographic codes were modified to reflect results of the 1980 census. For 1970–81, codes are based on results of the 1970 census.

Standard metropolitan statistical areas—The standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) used in this volume are those established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (7) from final 1980 census population counts and used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, except in the New England States.

Except in the New England States, an SMSA is a county or a group of contiguous counties containing a city of 50,000 inhabitants or more or an urbanized area of 50,000 with a total metropolitan population of at least 100,000. In addition to the county or counties containing such a city or urbanized area, contiguous counties are included in an SMSA if, according to specified criteria, they are essentially metropolitan in character and are socially

and economically integrated with the central city or urbanized area (8).

In the New England States, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget uses towns and cities rather than counties as geographic components of SMSA's. However, NCHS cannot use the SMSA classification for these States because its data are not coded to identify all towns. Instead, NCHS uses New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's). Made up of county units, these areas are established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (8,9).

Metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties – Independent cities and counties included in SMSA's or in NECMA's are included in data for metropolitan counties; all other counties are classified as nonmetropolitan.

Population-size groups – In 1989 vital statistics data for cities and certain other urban places were classified according to the population enumerated in the 1980 Census of Population. Data are available for individual cities and other urban places of 10,000 or more population. Data for the remaining areas not separately identified are shown in the tables under the heading "balance of area" or "balance of county." For the years 1970–81, classification of areas was determined by the population enumerated in the 1970 Census of Population. Beginning with 1982 data, some urban places identified in previous reports were deleted and others were added because of changes occurring in the enumerated population between 1970 and 1980.

Urban places other than incorporated cities for which vital statistics data are shown in this volume include the following:

- Each town in the New England States, New York, and Wisconsin and each township in Michigan, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that had no incorporated municipality as a subdivision and had either 25,000 inhabitants or more, or a population of 10,000 to 25,000 and a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile.
- Each county in States other than those indicated above that had no incorporated municipality within its boundary and had a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile. (Arlington County, Virginia, is the only county classified as urban under this rule.)
- Each place in Hawaii with a population of 10,000 or more, as no incorporated cities exist in the State.

Before 1964, places were classified as "urban" or "rural." The Technical Appendixes for earlier years discuss the previous classification system.

## State or country of birth

Mortality statistics by State or country of birth (table 1-36) became available beginning with 1979. State or country of birth of a decedent is assigned to 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia; or to Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, or Guam – if specified on the death certificate. The place of birth also is tabulated for Canada, Cuba, Mexico, and for the Remainder of the World. Deaths for which information on State or country of birth was unknown, not stated, or not classifiable accounted for a small proportion, about 1.2 percent, of all deaths in 1989.

Early mortality reports published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census contained tables showing nativity of parents as well as nativity of decedent. Publication of these tables was discontinued in 1933. Mortality data showing nativity of decedent were published again in annual reports for 1939–41 and for 1950.

## Age

The age recorded on the death record is the age at last birthday. With respect to the computation of death rates, the age classification used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census is based also on the age of the person in completed years.

For computation of age-specific and age-adjusted death rates, deaths with age not stated are excluded. For life table computation, deaths with age not stated are distributed proportionately.

## Race

For vital statistics in the United States for 1989, deaths are classified by race—white, black, American Indian, Chinese, Hawaiian, Japanese, Filipino, Other Asian or Pacific Islander, and Other. Mortality data for Filipino and Other Asian or Pacific Islander were shown for the first time in 1979.

The white category includes, in addition to persons reported as white, those reported as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, and all other Caucasians. The American Indian category includes American, Alaskan, Canadian, Mexican, Eskimo, and Aleut. If the racial entry on the death certificate indicates a mixture of Hawaiian and any other race, the entry is coded to Hawaiian. If the race is given as a mixture of white and any other race, the entry is coded to the appropriate nonwhite race. If a mixture of races other than white is given (except Hawaiian), the entry is coded to the first race listed. This procedure for coding the first race listed has been used since 1969. Before 1969, if the entry for race was a mixture of black and any other race except Hawaiian, the entry was coded to black.

Most of the tables in this volume, however, do not show data for this detailed classification by race. In all the tables, the divisions are white, all other (including black), and black separately.

Race not stated – For 1989 the number of death records for which race was unknown, not stated, or not classifiable was 4,499, or 0.2 percent of the total deaths. Death records with race entry not stated are assigned to a racial designation as follows: If the preceding record is coded white, the code assignment is made to white; if the code is other than white, the assignment is made to black. Before 1964 all records with race not stated were assigned to white except records of residents of New Jersey for 1962–64. New Jersey, 1962-64 – New Jersey omitted the race item from its certificates of live birth, death, and fetal death used in the beginning of 1962. The item was restored during the latter part of 1962. However, the certificate revision without the race item was used for most of 1962 as well as 1963. Therefore, figures by race for 1962 and 1963 exclude New Jersey. For 1964, 6.8 percent of the death records used for residents of New Jersey did not contain the race item.

Adjustments made in vital statistics to account for the omission of the race item in New Jersey for part of the certificates filed during 1962–64 are described in the Technical Appendix of *Vital Statistics of the United States* for each of those data years.

## **Hispanic** origin

Mortality statistics for the Hispanic-origin population are based on information for those States and the District of Columbia that included items on the death certificate to identify Hispanic or ethnic origin of decedents. Data for 1989 were obtained from the District of Columbia and all States except Louisiana, New Hampshire, and Oklahoma.

Hispanic mortality data were published for the first time in 1984. Generally, the reporting States used items similar to one of two basic formats recommended by NCHS. The first format is directed specifically toward the Hispanic population and appears on the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death as follows:

Was decedent of Hispanic origin?

(Specify No or Yes-If Yes, specify Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.) \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Yes

Specify:

The second format is a more general ancestry item and appears as follows:

Ancestry-Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, African, English, Irish, German, Homong, etc., (specify)

For 1989, mortality data in tables 1-37 and 2-19 are based on deaths to residents of all 47 reporting States and the District of Columbia. In tables 1-38, 1-43, and 1-44, mortality data for the Hispanic-origin population are based on deaths to residents of 44 reporting States and the District of Columbia whose data were at least 90 percent complete on a place-of-occurrence basis and considered to be sufficiently comparable to be used for analysis. The 44 States are Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York (including New York City), North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Data for three States-Connecticut, Maryland, and Virginia-are excluded from tables 1-38, 1-43, and 1-44 because of the large proportion of deaths (in excess of 10 percent) occurring in these States for which Hispanic origin was not stated or was unknown.

In tables 2-20-2-23, the reporting area is based on deaths to residents of 43 reporting States and the District of Columbia whose mortality data for all ages and whose live birth data were at least 90 percent complete on a place-ofoccurrence basis and considered to be sufficiently comparable to be used for analysis. The 43 States are Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York (including New York City), North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Data for Connecticut, Maryland, and Virginia were excluded for the reasons stated above. Rhode Island also was excluded because of the large proportion of unknown.

The 44 and 43 reporting States and the District of Columbia for which general mortality data are shown in this report accounted for about 97 percent of the Hispanic population in the United States in 1980. This included about 99 percent of the Mexican population, 94 percent of the Puerto Rican population, 97 percent of the Cuban population, and 94 percent of the "Other Hispanic" population (10). Accordingly, some caution should be exercised in generalizing mortality patterns from the reporting area to the Hispanic-origin population of the entire United States. For qualifications regarding infant mortality of the Hispanicorigin population, see "Infant deaths."

## Marital status

Mortality statistics by marital status (tables 1-34 and 1-35) were published in 1979 for the first time since 1961. (They were previously published in the annual volumes for 1949–51 and 1959–61.) Several reports analyzing mortality by marital status have been published, including the special study based on 1959–61 data (11). Reference to earlier reports is given in the appendix of part B of the 1959–61 special study.

Mortality statistics by marital status are tabulated separately for never married, married, widowed, and divorced. Certificates in which the marriage is specified as being annulled are classified as never married. Where marital status is specified as separated or common-law marriage, it is classified as married. Of the 2,094,043 resident deaths 15 years of age and over in 1989, 20,709 certificates (1.0 percent) had marital status not stated.

## **Educational attainment**

Beginning with the 1989 data year, mortality data on educational attainment are being tabulated from information reported on the death certificate. As a result of the revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death (1), this item was added to the certificates of a large number of States:

- Decedent's Education (specify only highest grade completed)
- Elementary/Secondary (0–12) College (1–4 or 5+)

Mortality data on educational attainment for 1989 are based on deaths to residents of 21 reporting States whose data were at least 90 percent complete on a place-ofoccurrence basis. The 21 reporting States are Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, Oregon, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

## Place of death and status of decedent

Mortality statistics classified by place of death were published in 1979 for the first time since 1958 (tables 1-30-1-32). In addition, mortality data also were available for the first time in 1979 for the status of decedent when death occurred in a hospital or medical center. The 1989 data were obtained from the following two items appearing on the revised U.S. Standard Certificate of Death (1):

- Item 9a. Place of Death (check only one) Hospital: Inpatient, ER/Outpatient, DOA Other: Nursing Home, Residence, Other (specify)
- Item 9b. Facility Name (If not institution, give street and number)

Before the 1989 revision of the Standard Certificate of Death, information on place of death and status of decedent could be determined if the hospital or institution indicated Inpatient, Outpatient, ER, and DOA, and if the name of the hospital or institution, which was used to determine the kind of facility, appeared on the certificate. The change to a checkbox format in many States for this item may affect the comparability of data between 1989 and previous years.

Except for Oklahoma, all of the States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia have item 9 (or its equivalent) on their certificates. Louisiana's certificate was revised in 1989, but the computer system was not changed. Therefore, the same detail categories used in 1988 were used in 1989. As a result, not all categories were available. For all reporting States and the District of Columbia in the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program, NCHS accepts the State definition, classification, or code for hospitals, medical centers, nursing homes, or other institutions.

Effective with data year 1980, the coding for place of death and status of decedent was modified. A new coding category was added: "Death on arrival—hospital, clinic, medical center name not given." Deaths coded to this category are tabulated in tables 1-30–1-32. Had the 1979 coding categories been used, these deaths would have been tabulated as "Place unknown."

California-For the first 5 months of data year 1989, California coded "residence" to "other" for "Place of death."

## Mortality by month and date of death

Deaths by month have been tabulated regularly and published in the annual volume for each year beginning with data year 1900. For 1989 deaths by month are shown in tables 1-20–1-21, 1-24, 1-33, 2-12–2-14, and 3-7.

Date of death was published for the first time for data year 1972. In addition, unpublished data for selected causes by date of death for 1962 are available from NCHS.

Numbers of deaths by date of death in this volume are shown in table 1-33 for the total number of deaths and for the numbers of deaths for the following three causes, for which the greatest interest in date of occurrence of death has been expressed: Motor vehicle accidents, Suicide, and Homicide and legal intervention.

These data show the frequency distribution of deaths for the selected causes by day of the week. They also make it possible to identify holidays with peak numbers of deaths from specified causes.

## Report of autopsy

Before 1972, the last year for which autopsy data were tabulated was 1958. Beginning in 1972, all registration areas requested information on the death certificates as to whether autopsies were performed. For 1989 autopsies were reported on 247,251 death certificates, 11.5 percent of the total (table 1-29).

Information indicating whether autopsy findings were used in determining the cause of death was tabulated for 1972-73 for all but nine registration areas and for 1974-77 for all but eight registration areas. The item "autopsy findings used" was deleted from the 1978 U.S. Standard Certificate of Death.

For eight of the cause-of-death categories shown in table 1-29, autopsies were reported as performed for 50 percent or more of all deaths (Meningococcal infection; Pregnancy with abortive outcome; Other complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium; Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions; Motor vehicle accidents; Suicide; Homicide and legal intervention; and All other external causes). There was one other category for which 40 percent or more of the death certificates reported autopsies. Autopsies were reported for only 7.3 percent of the Major cardiovascular diseases.

## Cause of death

Cause-of-death classification—Since 1949, cause-ofdeath statistics have been based on the underlying cause of death, which is defined as "(a) the disease or injury which initiated the train of events leading directly to death, or (b) the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury" (12).

For each death the underlying cause is selected from an array of conditions reported in the medical certification section on the death certificate. This section provides a format for entering the cause of death sequentially. The

conditions are translated into medical codes through use of the classification structure and the selection and modification rules contained in the applicable revision of the *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD), published by the World Health Organization (WHO). Selection rules provide guidance for systematically identifying the underlying cause of death. Modification rules are intended to improve the usefulness of mortality statistics by giving preference to certain classification categories over others and/or to consolidate two or more conditions on the certificate into one classification category.

As a statistical datum, underlying cause of death is a simple, one-dimensional statistic; it is conceptually easy to understand and a well-accepted measure of mortality. It identifies the initiating cause of death and is therefore most useful to public health officials in developing measures to prevent the onset of the chain of events leading to death. The rules for selecting the underlying cause of death are included in ICD as a means of standardizing classification, which contributes toward comparability and uniformity in mortality medical statistics among countries.

Tabulation lists – Beginning with data year 1979, the cause-of-death statistics published by NCHS have been classified according to the Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) (12). In addition to specifying that ICD-9 be used, WHO also recommends how the data should be tabulated to promote international comparability. The recommended system for tabulating data in ICD-9 allows countries to construct their mortality and morbidity tabulation lists from the rubrics of the WHO Basic Tabulation List (BTL) if the rubrics from the WHO mortality and morbidity lists, respectively, are included. This tabulation system for the Ninth Revision is more flexible than that for the Eighth Revision, in which specific lists were recommended for tabulating mortality and morbidity data.

The BTL recommended under the Ninth Revision consists of 57 two-digit rubrics that when added equal the "all causes" total. Identified within each two-digit rubric are up to nine three-digit rubrics that are numbered from zero to eight and whose total does not equal the two-digit rubric. The two-digit BTL rubrics 01-46 are used for the tabulation of nonviolent deaths according to ICD categories 001-799. Rubrics relating to chapter 17 (nature-of-injury causes 47-56) are not used by NCHS for selecting underlying causes of death; rather, preference is given to rubrics E47-E56. The 57th two-digit rubric VO is the Supplementary Classification of Factors Influencing Health Status and Contact with Health Services and is not appropriate for the tabulation of mortality data. The WHO Mortality List, a subset of the titles contained in the BTL, consists of 50 rubrics that are the minimum necessary for the national display of mortality data.

Five lists of causes have been developed for tabulation and publication of mortality data in this volume – the Each-Cause List, List of 282 Selected Causes of Death, List of 72 Selected Causes of Death, List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death, and List of 34 Selected Causes of Death. These lists were designed to be as comparable as possible with the NCHS lists more recently used under the Eighth Revision. However, complete comparability could not always be achieved.

The Each-Cause List is made up of each three-digit category of the WHO Detailed List to which deaths may be validly assigned and most four-digit subcategories. The list is used for tabulation for the entire United States. The published Each-Cause table does not show the four-digit subcategories provided for Motor vehicle accidents (E810-E825); however, these subcategories that identify persons injured are shown in the accident tables of this report (section 5). Special fifth-digit subcategories also are used in the accident tables to identify place of accident when deaths from nontransport accidents are shown. These are not shown in the Each-Cause table.

The List of 282 Selected Causes of Death is constructed from BTL rubrics 01-46 and E47-E56. Each of the 56 BTL two-digit titles can be obtained either directly or by combining titles in the List. The three-digit level of the BTL is modified more extensively. Where more detail was desired, categories not shown in the three-digit rubrics were added to the List of 282 Selected Causes of Death. Where less detail was needed, the three-digit rubrics were combined. Moreover, each of the 50 rubrics of the WHO Mortality List can be obtained from the List of 282 Selected Causes of Death.

The List of 72 Selected Causes of Death was constructed by combining titles in the List of 282 Selected Causes of Death. It is used in tables published for the United States and each State, and for standard metropolitan statistical areas.

The List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death shows more detailed titles for Congenital anomalies and Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period than any other list except the Each-Cause List.

The List of 34 Selected Causes of Death was created by combining titles in the List of 72 Selected Causes. A table using this list is published for detailed geographic areas.

Beginning with data for 1987, changes were made in these lists to accommodate the introduction in the United States of new category numbers \*042-\*044 for Human immunodeficiency virus infection (HIV infection). The changes are described in the Technical Appendix from Vital Statistics for the United States, 1987.

Effect of list revisions – The International Lists or adaptations of them, used in the United States since 1900, have been revised approximately every 10 years so the disease classifications may be consistent with advances in medical science and with changes in diagnostic practice. Each revision of the International Lists has produced some break in comparability of cause-of-death statistics. Cause-of-death statistics beginning with 1979 are classified by NCHS according to the ICD-9 (12). For a discussion of each of the classifications used with death statistics since 1900, see the Technical Appendix from Vital Statistics of the United States, 1979, Volume II, Mortality, Part A, section 7, pages 9–14. A dual coding study was undertaken comparing the Ninth and the Eighth Revisions to measure the extent of discontinuity in cause-of-death statistics resulting from introducing the new Revision. A study for the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death and the List of 10 Selected Causes of Infant Death has been published (13). The List of 10 Selected Causes of Infant Death is a basic NCHS tabulation list not used in this volume but used for provisional data in the *Monthly Vital Statistics Report*, another NCHS publication. Comparability studies also were undertaken between the Eighth and Seventh, Seventh and Sixth, and Sixth and Fifth Revisions. For additional information about these studies, see the 1979 Technical Appendix previously mentioned.

Significant coding changes under the Ninth Revision - Since the implementation of ICD-9 in the United States, effective with mortality data for 1979, several coding changes have been introduced. The more important changes are discussed below. In early 1983, a change was made in the coding of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and HIV infection, which affected data from 1981 to 1986. Also effective with data year 1981 was a coding change for poliomyelitis. For data year 1982, the definition of child was changed (which affects the classification of deaths to a number of categories, including Child battering and other maltreatment), and guidelines for coding deaths to the category Child battering and other maltreatment (ICD No. E967) were changed also. During the calendar year 1985, detailed instructions for coding motor vehicle accidents involving all-terrain vehicles (ATV's) were implemented to ensure consistency in coding these accidents. Effective with data year 1986, "primary" and "invasive" tumors, unspecified, were classified as "malignant"; these neoplasms had been classified to Neoplasms of unspecified nature (ICD-9 No. 239).

Beginning with data for 1987, NCHS introduced new category numbers \*042-\*044 for classifying and coding HIV infection, formerly referred to as human T-cell lymphotropic virus-III/lymphadenopathy associated virus (HTLV-III/LAV) infection. The asterisk appearing before the category numbers indicates these codes are not part of ICD-9. Also changed effective with data year 1987 were coding rules for the conditions "dehydration" and "disseminated intravascular coagulopathy." Effective with data year 1988, minor content changes were made to the classification for HIV infection. Detailed discussion of these changes may be found in the Technical Appendix for previous volumes.

Coding in 1989—The rules and instructions used in coding the 1989 mortality medical data remained essentially the same as those used for the 1988 data.

Medical certification – The use of a standard classification list, although essential for State, regional, and international comparison, does not ensure strict comparability of the tabulated figures. A high degree of comparability among areas could be attained only if all records of cause of death were reported with equal accuracy and completeness. The medical certification of cause of death can be made only by a qualified person, usually a physician, a medical examiner, or a coroner. Therefore, the reliability and accuracy of cause-of-death statistics are, to a large extent, governed by the ability of the certifier to make the proper diagnosis and by the care with which he or she records this information on the death certificate.

A number of studies have been undertaken on the quality of medical certification on the death certificate. In general, these have been of relatively small samples and for limited geographic areas. A bibliography prepared by NCHS (14), covering 128 references over 23 years, indicates no definitive conclusions have been reached about the quality of medical certification on the death certificate. No country has a well-defined program for systematically assessing the quality of medical certifications reported on death certificates or for measuring the error effects on the levels and trends of cause-of-death statistics.

One index of the quality of reporting causes of death is the proportion of death certificates coded to the Ninth Revision Chapter XVI, Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (ICD-9 Nos. 780-799). Although deaths occur for which it is impossible to determine the underlying cause, this proportion indicates the care and consideration given to the certification by the medical certifier. This proportion also may be used as a rough measure of the specificity of the medical diagnoses made by the certifier in various areas. In 1989 a record low of 1.3 percent of all reported deaths in the United States was assigned to this category compared with 1.4 for 1988. However, trends in the percent of deaths assigned to this category vary by age. Although the percent of deaths in this category for all ages combined has generally remained stable between 1980 and 1988, a slight increase in the percent occurred for the age group 5-14 years and a decrease occurred for all the age groups 55 years and over. However, between 1988 and 1989, the percent decreased for almost all age groups.

Automated selection of underlying cause of death – Beginning with data year 1968, NCHS began using a computer system for assigning the underlying cause of death. It has been used every year since. The system is called "Automated Classification of Medical Entities" (ACME).

The ACME system applies the same rules for selecting the underlying cause as would be applied manually by a nosologist; however, under this system, the computer consistently applies the same criteria, thus eliminating intercoder variation in this step of the process.

The ACME computer program requires the coding of all conditions shown on the medical certification. These codes are matched automatically against decision tables that consistently select the underlying cause of death for each record according to the international rules. The decision tables provide the comprehensive relationships among the conditions classified by ICD when applying the rules of selection and modification.

The decision tables were developed by NCHS staff on the basis of their experience in coding underlying causes of death under the earlier manual coding system and as a result of periodic independent validations. These tables periodically are updated to reflect additional new information on the relationship among medical conditions. For data year 1988, these tables were amended to incorporate minor changes to the previously mentioned classification for HIV infection (\*042-\*044) that originally had been implemented with data year 1987. Coding procedures for selecting the underlying cause of death by using the ACME computer program, as well as by using the ACME decision tables, are documented in NCHS instruction manuals (15-17).

Cause-of-death ranking—Cause-of-death ranking (except for infants) is based on numbers of deaths assigned to categories in the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death and the category Human immunodeficiency virus infection (\*042--\*044); cause-of-death ranking for infants is based on the List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death and HIV infection. HIV infection was added to the list of rankable causes effective with data year 1987.

The group titles Major cardiovascular diseases and Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions from the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death are not ranked; Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period and Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions from the List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death are not ranked. In addition, category titles beginning with the words "Other" or "All other" are not ranked to determine the leading causes of death. When one of the titles representing a subtotal is ranked (such as Tuberculosis), its component parts (in this case, Tuberculosis of respiratory system and Other tuberculosis) are not ranked.

## Maternal deaths

Maternal deaths are those for which the certifying physician has designated a maternal condition as the underlying cause of death. Maternal conditions are those assigned to Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium (ICD-9 Nos. 630–676). In the Ninth Revision, WHO for the first time defined a maternal death as follows:

A maternal death is defined as the death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and the site of the pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management but not from accidental or incidental causes.

Under the Eighth Revision, maternal deaths were assigned to the category "Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium" (ICDA-8 Nos. 630–78). Although WHO did not define maternal mortality, an NCHS classification rule existed that limited the definition of a maternal death to a death that occurred within a year after termination of pregnancy from any "maternal cause," that is, any cause within the range of ICDA-8 Nos. 630–678. This rule applied only if a duration was given for the condition. If no duration was specified and the underlying cause of death was a maternal condition, the duration was assumed to be within a year and the death was coded by NCHS as a maternal death. The change from an under-1-year limitation for duration used in the Eighth Revision to an under-42-days limitation used in the Ninth Revision did not have much effect on the comparability of maternal mortality statistics. However, comparability was affected by the following classification change. Under the Ninth Revision, maternal causes of death have been expanded to include Indirect obstetric causes (ICD-9 Nos. 647-648). These causes include Infective and parasitic conditions as well as other conditions present in the mother and classifiable elsewhere but that complicate pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium, such as Syphilis, Tuberculosis, Diabetes mellitus, Drug dependence, and Congenital cardiovascular disorders.

Maternal mortality rates are computed on the basis of the number of live births. The maternal mortality rate indicates the likelihood of a pregnant woman dying of maternal causes. The number of live births used in the denominator is an approximation of the population of pregnant women who are at risk of a maternal death.

Race-Beginning with the 1989 data year, NCHS changed the method of tabulating live birth and fetal death data by race from race of child to race of mother. This resulted in a discontinuity in maternal mortality rates by race between 1989 and previous years; see section on "Change in tabulation of race data for live births and fetal deaths" under *Infant deaths*.

## Infant deaths

Age – Infant death is defined as a death under 1 year of age. The term excludes fetal deaths. Infant deaths usually are divided into two categories according to age, neonatal and postneonatal. Neonatal deaths are those that occur during the first 27 days of life; postneonatal deaths are those that occur between 28 days and 1 year of age. Generally, it has been believed that different factors influencing the child's survival predominate in these two periods: Factors associated with prenatal development, heredity, and the birth process were considered dominant in the neonatal period; environmental factors, such as nutrition, hygiene, and accidents, were considered more important in the postneonatal period. Recently, however, the distinction between these two periods has blurred due in part to advances in neonatology, which have enabled more very small premature infants to survive the neonatal period.

Rates – Infant mortality rates shown in sections 2 and 8 are the most commonly used indices for measuring the risk of dying during the first year of life; they are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths in a calendar year by the number of live births registered for the same period and are presented as rates per 1,000 or per 100,000 live births. Infant mortality rates use the number of live births in the denominator to approximate the population at risk of dying before the first birthday. This measure is an approximation because some live births will not have been exposed to a full year's risk of dying and some of the infants who die during a year will have been born in the previous year. The error introduced in the infant mortality rate by this inexactness is usually small, especially when the birth rate is relatively constant from year to year (18,19). Other sources of error in the infant mortality rate have been attributed to differences in applying the definitions for infant death and fetal death when registering the event (20,21).

In contrast to infant mortality rates based on live births. infant death rates shown in section 1 are based on the estimated population under 1 year of age. Infant death rates, which appear in tabulations of age-specific death rates, are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths in a calendar year by the estimated midyear population of persons under 1 year of age and are presented as rates per 100,000 population in this age group. Patterns and trends in the infant death rate may differ somewhat from those of the more commonly used "infant mortality rate," mainly because of differences in the nature of the denominator and in the time reference. Whereas the population denominator for the infant death rate is estimated using data on births, infant deaths, and migration for the 12-month period of July-June, the denominator for the infant mortality rate is a count of births occurring during the 12 months of January-December. The difference in the time reference can result in different trends between the two indices during periods when birth rates are moving up or down markedly.

The infant death rate also is subject to greater imprecision than is the infant mortality rate because of problems of enumerating and estimating the population under 1 year of age (21).

Race – Beginning with the 1989 data year, NCHS changed the method of tabulating live birth and fetal death data by race from race of child to race of mother. This results in infant, fetal, perinatal, and maternal mortality rates for 1989 that are not comparable with those published for previous years, because live births comprise the denominator of these rates. To facilitate continuity and ease of interpretation, key published tables for 1989 and 1990, including all trend tables, will show data computed on the basis of live births and fetal deaths tabulated by both race of mother and race of child. This will make it possible to distinguish the effects of this change from real changes in the data.

As in previous years, race for infant and maternal deaths (the numerator of the rate) is tabulated by the race of the decedent. For fetal and perinatal mortality rates, the numerator and the denominator of the rates are affected because the change to race of mother affects fetal deaths and live births.

As noted in detail in the Technical Appendix to Vital Statistics of the United States, 1989, Volume I, Natality, data on live births and fetal deaths are tabulated by the race of the mother. When the race of the mother is unknown, the race of the mother is assigned to the father's race; when information for both parents is missing, the race of the mother is assigned to the specific race of the mother of the preceding record with known race. In previous years, birth and fetal death tabulations were calculated by race of child as determined statistically by an algorithm based on information reported for the mother and father. In cases of mixed parentage where only one parent was white, the child was assigned to the other parent's race. When neither parent was white, the child was assigned the race of the father, except if either parent was Hawaiian, the child was assigned to Hawaiian. If race was not reported for one parent, the child was assigned the race of the parent for whom race was given.

The change in the tabulation of live births and fetal deaths by race reflects three factors over the past 2 decades: the topical content of the birth certificate has been expanded to include considerable health and demographic information related to the mother, the increasing incidence of interracial parentage, and the growing proportion of births for which the race of the father is not reported.

Quantitatively, the change in the basis for tabulating live births and fetal deaths by race results in more white births and fetal deaths and fewer to the black population and to other races. Consequently, infant, fetal, perinatal, and maternal mortality rates under the new classification tend to be lower for white infants and higher for infants of other races (table A). In general, discontinuities are larger for infant and maternal mortality rates, where only the denominator of the rate is affected by the change, than for fetal and perinatal mortality rates, where the numerator

Table A. Ratio of infant, neonatal, postneonatal, maternal, and perinatal mortality rates, with race for live births tabulated according to race of mother to those with race for live births tabulated according to race of child: United States, 1989

	1-6-4	NI	Post-		Fetal deaths	Perinatal definitions			
Race	deaths	deaths	deaths	deaths		1			
All races	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
White	0.98	0.98	0.98 .	0.98	1.00	0.99	0.99	0.99	
Black	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.02	1.04	1.04	1.04	
American Indian	1.25	1.25	1.25	•	1.07	1.17	1.14	1.13	
Chinese	1.07	1.07	1.07	*	0.99	1.03	1.03	1.02	
Japanese	1.22	1.22	*	•	0.94	1.06	1.05	1.05	
Hawaiian	1.45	1.45	1.45	* .	1.15	1.31	1.28	1.26	
Filipino	1.06	1.06	1.06	*	1.03	1.04	1.04	1.04	
Other Asian	1.09	1.09	1.09	-	1.01	1.04	1.04	1.04	
	*	*	•	-	1.03	1.21	1.21	1.21	

and the denominator are affected. For some minority race groups, the effect of the change is quite large.

The change in the race classification of live births and fetal deaths presents challenges to those analyzing infant, fetal, perinatal, and maternal mortality data, particularly trend data. To facilitate analysis of infant mortality by race, reports will be prepared showing historic data tabulated by race of mother.

Comparison of race data from birth and death certificates – Regardless of whether vital events are tabulated by race of mother or by race of child, inconsistencies exist in reporting race for the same infant between birth and death certificates, based on results of studies in which race on the birth and death certificates for the same infant were compared (22).

These reporting inconsistencies can result in systematic biases in infant mortality rates by specified race, in particular, underestimates for specified races other than white or black. In the computation of race-specific infant mortality rates published in Vital Statistics of the United States, the race item for the numerator comes from the death certificate, and for the denominator, from the birth certificate. Biases in the rates may arise because of possible inconsistencies in reporting race on these two vital records. Race of the mother and father is reported on the birth certificate by the mother at the time of delivery; whereas race of the deceased infant is reported on the death certificate by the funeral director based on observation or on information supplied by an informant, such as a parent. Previous studies have noted the race of an infant who died and was of a smaller minority race group is sometimes reported as white on the death certificate, but is reported as the minority race group on the birth certificate, resulting, in the aggregate, in understatement of infant mortality for smaller race groups (22).

Estimates can be made of the degree of bias in racespecific infant mortality rates by comparing rates for birth cohorts based on the newly available linked birth and infant death data set (23,24) with period rates based on mortality data published in *Vital Statistics of the United States* (VSUS) for the same year(s). In this comparison, cohorts rates are based entirely on the linked data set while period rates are constructed using a numerator (infant deaths) based on mortality data published in VSUS and a denominator (live births) based on the linked data set.

The comparison of cohort and period rates is somewhat affected by small differences in the events included in the numerators of the two rates. The numerator of the cohort rate is comprised of infant deaths to the cohort of infants born in a calendar year whereas the numerator of the period rate is comprised of infant deaths occurring in the calendar year.

Based on data from comparing infant mortality rates from the linked data set for the birth cohorts of 1984–85 with period rates constructed for 1984–85, bias in the rates for the two major race groups—white and black—is small (table B). In contrast, period rates for the smaller race groups are estimated to be lower than cohort rates by 10 to Table B. Infant mortality rates by race of mother for the period 1984–85 and for birth cohorts, 1984–85; and ratio of birth cohort to period rates: United States

[Rates per 1.000 live births in specified groups]

Race	Period rate 1984–85	Birth cohort rate 1984–85	Ratio cohort/ period rates
All races	10.7	10.4	0 97
White	9.3	89	0 96
Black	19.1	18 4	0 96
American Indian	11.7	13 2	1 13
Chinese	5.9	65	1 10
Japanese	5.3	62	1 17
Filipino	5.4	81	1 50
Other Asian	7.8	9.1	1 17
Other races	7.7	9.8	1 27

NOTE: Births for race not stated are not distributed.

50 percent. Cohort rates have not been adjusted to reflect the approximately 2 percent of infant death records that were not linked to their corresponding birth records. Because of systematic understatement of infant mortality rates based on period data, data from the national linked files should be used to measure infant mortality for these groups. For the major race groups, period data are a close approximation of the rates based on linked files.

Hispanic origin - Infant mortality rates for the Hispanicorigin population are based on numbers of resident infant deaths reported to be of Hispanic origin (see section "Hispanic origin") and numbers of resident live births by Hispanic origin of mother for the 43 reporting States and the District of Columbia. In computing infant mortality rates, deaths and live births of unknown origin are not distributed among the specified Hispanic and non-Hispanic groups. Because the percent of infant deaths of unknown origin for 1989 was 2.6 percent and the percent of live births of unknown origin was 1.1 percent, infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin and race for non-Hispanic origin are underestimated. In addition, as discussed above for specified races, period infant mortality rates for specific Hispanic-origin groups tend to be underestimated when compared with rates based on the national linked birth and infant death data set as shown in table C. Comparisons also are affected by the approximate 2 percent of infant death records that are not linked to the corresponding birth records.

Caution should be exercised when generalizing from the ratios of cohort-to-period rates for 1986 with data for 1989, because the reporting area for Hispanic data has expanded from 18 reporting States and the District of Columbia in 1986 to 43 reporting States and the District of Columbia in 1989. The Hispanic reporting area for 1986 included Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, District of Columbia, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Texas, Utah, and Wyoming.

Small numbers of infant deaths for specific Hispanicorigin groups can result in infant mortality rates subject to Table C. Infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin of mother for the period 1986 and birth cohort of 1986; and ratio of birth cohort to period rates: Total of 18 reporting States and the District of Columbia, 1986

[Rates per 1,000 live births in specified group. Figures for origin not stated Included in "All origins" but not distributed among origin groups]

Origin	Period rate 1986	Birth cohort rate 1986	Ratio cohort/ period rates
All origins	10.2	9.9	0.97
Hispanic total	8.0	8.4 .	1.05
Mexican	7.7	7.9	1.03
Puerto Rican	8.6	11.8	1.37
Cuban	•	8.2	+
Other Hispanic <sup>1</sup>	9.1	8.4	0.90
Non-Hispanic total <sup>2</sup>	10.0	10.1	1.01
White	8.6	8.3	0.97
Black	16.9	17.8	1.05

<sup>1</sup>Includes Central and South American and other and unknown Hispanic. <sup>2</sup>Includes races other than while and black.

relatively large random variation (see section "Random variation in numbers of deaths, death rates, and mortality rates and ratios").

Tabulation list – Causes of death for infants are tabulated according to a list of causes that is different from the list of causes for the population of all ages, except for the Each Cause List. (See section "Cause-of-death classification.")

California – From 1985 to 1988, data on age at death for California were biased in the categories 1–23 hours and 1 day because of processing errors that affected selected infants who died within 24 hours after birth. Specifically, some infants who died within 1–23 hours of birth were erroneously coded as dying at 1 day after birth. Beginning with 1985 data, California provided NCHS with computer tapes of precoded mortality data through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP); whereas before 1985, data from California were based on information coded by NCHS from copies of original death certificates. The effect of these errors on national data, for 1985–88 shown in table 2–3, is negligible. The problem was identified and corrected for 1989 and subsequent years.

## Fetal deaths

In May 1950 WHO recommended the following definition of fetal death be adopted for international use:

Death prior to the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of pregnancy; the death is indicated by the fact that after such separation, the fetus does not breathe or show any other evidence of life such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles (25).

The term "fetal death" was defined on an all-inclusive basis to end confusion arising from the use of such terms as stillbirth, spontaneous abortion, and miscarriage. Shortly thereafter, this definition was adopted by  $N \subset {}^{S}$  as the nationally recommended standard. All registratio. areas except Puerto Rico have definitions similar to the standard definition (26). Puerto Rico has no formal definition.

As another step toward increasing comparability of data on fetal deaths for different countries, WHO recommended that for statistical purposes fetal deaths be classified as early, intermediate, and late. These groups are defined as follows:

Less than 20 completed weeks of
gestation (early fetal deaths) Group I
20 completed weeks of gestation
but less than 28 (intermediate fetal
deaths) Group II
28 completed weeks of gestation
and over (late fetal deaths) Group III
Gestation period not classifiable in
groups I, II, and III Group IV

As shown in table 3-11, group IV consists of fetal deaths with gestation not stated but presumed to be 20 weeks or more.

Until 1939 the nationally recommended procedure for registration of a fetal death required the filing of a livebirth certificate and a death certificate. In 1939 a separate Standard Certificate of Stillbirth (fetal death) was created to replace the former procedure. This was revised in 1949, 1955, 1956, 1968, 1978, and 1989. The 1989 U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death is shown in figure 7-B.

The 1977 revision of the *Model State Vital Statistics Act* and *Model State Vital Statistics Regulations* (27) recommended spontaneous fetal deaths at a gestation of 20 weeks or more or a weight of 350 grams or more and all induced terminations of pregnancy regardless of gestational age be reported and further be reported on separate forms. These forms should be considered legally required statistical reports rather than legal documents.

Beginning with fetal deaths reported in 1970, procedures were implemented that attempted to separate reports of spontaneous fetal deaths from those of induced terminations of pregnancy. These procedures were implemented because the health implications of spontaneous fetal deaths are different from those of induced terminations of pregnancy. These procedures are still used.

Comparability and completeness of data – Registration area requirements for reporting fetal deaths vary. Most of the areas require reporting of fetal death at gestations of 20 weeks or more. Table D shows the minimum period of gestation required by each State to report a fetal death. Substantial evidence exists that indicates some fetal deaths for which reporting is required are not reported (28).

Underreporting of fetal deaths is most likely to occur in the earlier part of the required reporting period for each State. Thus, for States requiring reporting of all periods of gestation, fetal deaths occurring at younger gestational ages are less completely reported. The reporting of fetal deaths at 20-23 weeks of gestation may be more complete for those States that report fetal deaths at all periods of gestation than for others.

#### Table D. Period of gestation at which fetal-death reporting is required: Each reporting area, 1989

							1		
_	All periods of	16	20	20 weeks or 350	20 weeks or 400	20 weeks or 500	5	350	500
Area	gestation	weeks	weeks	grams	grams	grams	monins	grams	grams
				X					
Alaska			X						<u> </u>
			X'						
Arkansas	<sup>2</sup> X								
California			X						
Colorado	<sup>2</sup> X			_					
Connecticut			X						
Delaware			X	-					
District of Columbia						Х		[	
Florida			x						
Georgia	×						-		
Hawaii	X						· · · · ·		
Idaho				x					
			x						<u> </u>
			x				┼		
lowa			X						<u> </u>
Kansas			<u> </u>					- <u>v</u>	<u> </u>
Kantucky			<u> </u>	v				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Relitucky									<b> </b>
				· · · · · ·					
Maine	<u>۲۸</u>				-				L
Maryland		_	X		•				
Massachusetts				X			_		
Michigan					X				
Minnesola			X						
Mississippi				X					
Missouri		_		X					
Montana			X						
Nebraska			X						
Nevada			X						
New Hampshire			}	X					-
New Jersev			x						
New Mexico	-							<u> </u>	- x
New York									<u> </u>
New York excluding NYC	X						<u>                                     </u>		
New York Cibi	× ×							<u> </u>	
North Carolina	<u> </u>		——————————————————————————————————————					<u> </u>	<u> </u>
			- <u>-</u>						
			<u> </u>				1		<u> </u>
			<u> </u>						
			<u>^</u>		-				
Oregon			*X						
Pennsylvania		<u> </u>							
Rhode Island	X								
South Carolina	ĺ			X	_				
South Dakota						-	1		X
Tennessee			i						- 5X
Texas			<u>x</u>						
Ulah			X					-	<u>†                                    </u>
Vermont			ēχ				1		<u> </u>
Virginia	X						1		<u> </u>
Washington			<del>x -</del>		ł		<u>├─</u> ──		<u> </u>
West Virginia			X		<u>i</u> — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —				<u> </u>
Wisconsin	<u>├</u> ─────			x	<u> </u>				
Wyomiao	+				·		ł		<b>├</b> ──
Ruete Bios	<u> </u>		<u>  _ ^ _ </u>		— —		+		<u> </u>
	<u> </u>						<u> </u>		<u> </u>
virgin Islands	X								<u> </u>
Guam			× ×				1		4

If gestational age is unknown, weight of 350 grams or more
 <sup>2</sup>Allhough state law requires the reporting of fetal deaths of all periods of gestation, only data for fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation are provided to NCHS
 <sup>3</sup>If gestational age is unknown, weight of 500 grams or more,
 <sup>4</sup>If gestational age is unknown, weight of 400 grams or more, or crown-heet of 28 centimeters or more
 <sup>5</sup>If weight is unknown, 22 completed weeks' gestation or more.
 <sup>6</sup>If gestational age is unknown, weight of 400 or more grams, 15 or more ounces

To maximize the comparability of data by year and by State, most of the tables in section 3 are based on fetal deaths occurring at gestations of 20 weeks or more. These tables also include fetal deaths for which gestation is not stated for those States requiring reporting at 20 weeks or more gestation only. Beginning with 1969, fetal deaths of not stated gestation were excluded for States requiring reporting of all products of conception except for those with a stated birth weight of 500 grams or more. In 1989 this rule was applied to the following States: Georgia, Hawaii, New York (including New York City), Rhode Island, and Virginia. Each year there are exceptions to this procedure.

Arkansas-Since 1971, Arkansas has been using two reporting forms for fetal deaths: A confidential Spontaneous Abortion form that is not sent to NCHS and a Fetal Death Certificate that is. During the period 1971-80, it is believed that most spontaneous fetal deaths of less than 20 weeks' gestation were reported on the confidential form and, therefore, were not reported to NCHS. During the period 1981-83, Arkansas specified that fetal deaths of less than 28 weeks' gestation or weighing less than 1,000 grams could be reported on the confidential form; beginning with 1984 data, the State specified that fetal deaths of 20 weeks' gestation or weighing 500 grams be reported on the Fetal Death Certificate. Because of these changes, the comparability of counts of early fetal deaths may be affected. In particular, counts of fetal deaths at 20 to 27 weeks for 1981-83 were not comparable between Arkansas and other reporting areas or with Arkansas data for 1984-89. It is believed that reporting has improved but is still not comparable with data for 1980 and earlier years.

Colorado – Although Colorado State law requires reporting fetal deaths of all periods of gestation, beginning in 1989 the State provides to NCHS only data for fetal deaths of 20 weeks' gestation or more.

Maine – Maine uses two reporting forms for fetal deaths: A Report of Abortion (Spontaneous and Induced) and a Report of Fetal Death. Most spontaneous fetal deaths at less than 20 weeks' gestation are reported on the Report of Abortion, and, therefore, are excluded from fetal death counts in this volume.

*Maryland*—From the counts of frequencies by month, it appears that not all fetal deaths occurring in the first quarter of 1989 were reported. This may account in part for the decrease in the reported number of fetal deaths and in fetal mortality rates for Maryland between 1988 and 1989.

Wisconsin – Beginning in 1986, Wisconsin changed its reporting requirements for spontaneous fetal deaths from "20 weeks" to "20 weeks or 350 grams."

Revised Report of Fetal Death for 1989—Beginning with data for 1989, new items were added to the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death, including Hispanic origin of the mother and father, medical and other risk factors of pregnancy, obstetric procedures, and method of delivery. In addition, questions on complications of labor and delivery and congenital anomalies of fetus were changed from an open-ended question to a checkbox format, to ensure more complete reporting of information. However, because of differences in implementation dates of the new fetal death report for reporting States, and because of inexperience in reporting and processing the new items, reporting of the new items in individual States may be incomplete for 1989. The data quality and completeness of many of these items are being evaluated.

Period of gestation—The period of gestation is the number of completed weeks elapsed between the first day of the last normal menstrual period (LMP) and the date of delivery. The first day of the LMP is used as the initial date because it can be more accurately determined than the date of conception, which usually occurs 2 weeks after LMP. Data on period of gestation are computed from information on "date of delivery" and "date last normal menses began." If "date last normal menses began" is not on the record or if the calculated gestation falls beyond a duration considered biologically plausible, the "Physician's estimate of gestation" is used.

To improve data quality, beginning with data for 1989, NCHS instituted a new computer edit to check for consistency between gestation and birth weight (29). Briefly, if LMP gestation is inconsistent with birth weight, and the physician's estimate is consistent, the physician's estimate is used; if both are inconsistent, LMP gestation is used, and birth weight is assigned to unknown. When the period of gestation is reported in months on the report, it is allocated to gestational intervals in weeks as follows:

1-3 months to under 16 weeks
4 months to 16-19 weeks
5 months to 20-23 weeks
6 months to 24-27 weeks
7 months to 28-31 weeks
8 months to 32-35 weeks
9 months to 40 weeks
10 months and over to 43 weeks and over

All areas reported LMP in 1989 except Puerto Rico, and all areas reported physician's estimate of gestation except California, the District of Columbia, Louisiana, Maryland, and Oklahoma. Nebraska also was excluded because of the large proportion of unknown.

Birth weight – Most of the 55 registration areas do not specify how weight should be given, that is, in pounds and ounces or in grams. In the tabulation and presentation of birth weight data, the metric system (grams) has been used to facilitate comparison with other data published in the United States and internationally. Birth weight specified in pounds and ounces is assigned the equivalent of the gram intervals, as follows:

Less than 350 grams = 0 lb 12 oz or less 350-499 grams = 0 lb 13 oz-1 lb 1 oz 500-999 grams = 1 lb 2 oz-2 lb 3 oz 1,000-1,499 grams = 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz 1,500-1,999 grams = 3 lb 5 oz-4 lb 6 oz 2,000-2,499 grams = 4 lb 7 oz-5 lb 8 oz 2,500-2,999 grams = 5 lb 9 oz-6 lb 9 oz 3,000-3,499 grams = 6 lb 10 oz-7 lb 11 oz
3,500-3,999 grams = 7 lb 12 oz- 8 lb 13 oz 4,000-4,499 grams = 8 lb 14 oz- 9 lb 14 oz 4,500-4,999 grams = 9 lb 15 oz-11 lb 0 oz 5,000 grams or more = 11 lb 1 oz or more

With the introduction of ICD-9, the birth-weight classification intervals for perinatal mortality statistics were shifted downward by 1 gram as shown above. Previously, the intervals were, for example, 1,001–1,500, 1,501–2,000, and so forth. Beginning in 1989, NCHS instituted a consistency check between birth weight and gestation; see previous section on gestation.

Race-Beginning with data for 1989, NCHS changed the method of tabulating fetal death, perinatal, and live birth data by race from race of child to race of mother. This has resulted in a discontinuity in fetal mortality rates by race between 1989 and previous years; see section on "Change in tabulation of race data for live births and fetal deaths," under *Infant deaths*.

Hispanic origin of mother—Fetal mortality data for the Hispanic-origin population are based on fetal deaths to mothers of Hispanic origin who were residents of those States and the District of Columbia that included items on the report of fetal death to identify Hispanic or ethnic origin of mother. Data for 1989 were obtained from 44 States; areas not supplying data were the District of Columbia, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, and Rhode Island.

For 1989, fetal and perinatal mortality data in table 3-19 are for a reporting area of 44 States and tables 3-20, 4-6, and 4-7 are for a reporting area of 31 States that had an item on Hispanic or ethnic origin on the death certificate, birth certificate, and report of fetal death, and whose data for all three files were at least 90 percent complete on a place-of-occurrence basis and considered to be sufficiently comparable to be used for analysis. The States included are Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

The 31 reporting States for which fetal and perinatal data by Hispanic origin are shown accounted for about 75 percent of the Hispanic population in 1980, including 92 percent of the Mexican population, 27 percent of the Puerto Rican population, 75 percent of the Cuban population, and 57 percent of the "Other Hispanic" population (10). Accordingly, caution should be exercised in generalizing mortality patterns from the reporting area to the Hispanic-origin population (especially Puerto Ricans) of the entire United States. (See also section on Hispanic origin under *Classification of Data*.)

Total-birth order – Total-birth order refers to the sum of live births and other terminations (including spontaneous fetal deaths and induced terminations of pregnancy) a woman has had, including the fetal death being recorded. For example, if a woman has given birth to two live babies and to one born dead, the next fetal death to occur is counted as number four in total-birth order.

Beginning with implementation of the 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death, total-birth order is calculated from three items on pregnancy history: Number of previous live births, now living; number of previous live births, now dead; and number of other terminations (spontaneous and induced at anytime after conception). For prior years, total-birth order was calculated from four items, see the Technical Appendix From Vital Statistics of the United States 1988, Volume II, Mortality, Part A.

Although all registration areas use the two standard items pertaining to number of previous live births, registration areas phrase the item pertaining to other terminations of pregnancy differently. Total-birth order for all areas is calculated from the sum of available information. Thus, information on total-birth order may not be completely comparable among the registration areas. In addition, there may be substantial underreporting of other terminations of pregnancy on the fetal death report.

Marital status – Table 3-3 shows fetal deaths and fetaldeath rates by mother's marital status. The following States were excluded from this table because their report of fetal death did not include an item on marital status: California, Connecticut, Maryland, Michigan, Nevada, New York (including New York City), Ohio, and Texas. Because live births comprise the denominator of the rate, marital status must be reported for mothers of live births also. Marital status of the mother of the live birth is inferred for States that did not report it on the birth certificate.

Beginning with data for 1989, fetal deaths with marital status not stated are shown as not stated in frequencies, but are proportionally distributed for rate computations into either the married or unmarried categories according to the percent of fetal deaths with stated marital status that fall into each category. Before 1989, fetal deaths with not-stated marital status were assigned to the married category. Because of this change, fetal death frequencies and rates by marital status for 1989 are not strictly comparable with those for previous years.

No quantitative data exist on the characteristics of unmarried women who do not report, misreport their marital status, or fail to register fetal deaths. Underreporting may be greater for the unmarried group than for the married group.

Age of mother – Beginning with data for 1989, the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death asks for the mother's date of birth. Age of mother is computed from the mother's date of birth and the date of the termination of the pregnancy. For those States whose certificates do not contain an item for the mother's date of birth, reported age of the mother (in years) is used. The age of the mother is edited in NCHS for upper and lower limits. When mothers are reported to be under 10 years of age or 50 years of age and over, the age of the mother is considered not stated and is assigned as follows: Age on all fetal-death records with age of mother not stated is assigned according to the age appearing on the record previously processed for a mother of identical race and having the same total-birth order (total of live births and other terminations).

Sex of fetus – Beginning with data for 1989, for all fetal deaths of 20 or more weeks gestation, not-stated sex of fetus is assigned the sex of the fetus from the previous record. Before 1989, no such assignment was made.

Plurality – All registration areas except Louisiana report the plurality of the fetus. Although Louisiana has not reported this item for many years, prior to 1989, data for Louisiana was erroneously converted to a plurality of 1 (single birth) and included in United States totals. Beginning with 1989 data, Louisiana is excluded from tables reporting plurality of the fetus. For reporting areas, notstated plurality of the fetus is assigned to single births.

#### **Perinatal mortality**

Perinatal definitions-Beginning with data year 1979, perinatal mortality data for the United States and each State have been published in section 4. WHO recommends in ICD-9, "national perinatal statistics should include all fetuses and infants delivered weighing at least 500 grams (or when birth weight is unavailable, the corresponding gestational age (22 weeks) or body length (25 cm crownheel)), whether alive or dead. . . ." It further recommends, "countries should present, solely for international comparisons, 'standard perinatal statistics' in which both the numerator and denominator of all rates are restricted to fetuses and infants weighing 1,000 grams or more (or, where birth weight is unavailable, the corresponding gestational age (28 weeks) or body length (35 cm crown-heel))." Because birth weight and gestational age are not reported on the death certificate in the United States, NCHS was unable to adopt these definitions. Three definitions of perinatal mortality are used by NCHS: Perinatal Definition I, generally used for international comparisons, which includes fetal deaths of 28 weeks' gestation or more and infant deaths of less than 7 days; Perinatal Definition II, which includes fetal deaths of 20 weeks' gestation or more and infant deaths of less than 28 days; and Perinatal Definition III, which includes fetal deaths of 20 weeks' gestation or more and infant deaths of less than 7 days.

Variations in fetal death reporting requirements and practices have implications for comparing perinatal rates among States. Because reporting is generally sporadic near the lower limit of the reporting requirement, States that require reporting of all products of pregnancy regardless of gestation are likely to have more complete reporting of fetal deaths at 20 weeks or more than those States that do not. The larger number of fetal deaths reported for these "all periods" States may result in higher perinatal mortality rates than those rates reported for States whose reporting is less complete. Accordingly, reporting completeness may account, in part, for differences among the State perinatal rates, particularly differences for Definitions II and III, which use data for fetal deaths at 20–27 weeks.

Not stated – Fetal deaths with gestational age not stated are presumed to be of 20 weeks' gestation or more if the

State requires reporting of all fetal deaths at a gestational age of 20 weeks or more or the fetus weighed 500 grams or more in those States requiring reporting of all fetal deaths regardless of gestational age. For Definition I, fetal deaths at a gestation not stated but presumed to have been of 20 weeks or more are allocated to the category 28 weeks or more, according to the proportion of fetal deaths with stated gestational age that falls into that category. For Definitions II and III, fetal deaths at a presumed gestation of 20 weeks or more are included with those at a stated gestation of 20 weeks or more.

The allocation of not-stated gestational age for fetal deaths is made individually for each State, for metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas, and separately for the entire United States. Accordingly, the sum of perinatal deaths for the areas according to Definition I may not equal the total number of perinatal deaths for the United States.

Race – Beginning with the 1989 data year, NCHS changed the method of tabulating fetal death and live birth data by race from race of child to race of mother. This has resulted in a discontinuity in perinatal mortality rates by race between 1989 and previous years; see section on "Change in race classification for live births and fetal deaths" under Infant deaths.

Hispanic origin – See section on "Hispanic origin of mother" under Fetal deaths.

#### **QUALITY OF DATA**

#### **Completeness of registration**

All States have adopted laws requiring the registration of births and deaths and the reporting of fetal deaths. It is believed that more than 99 percent of the births and deaths occurring in this country are registered.

Reporting requirements for fetal deaths vary from State to State (see "Comparability and completeness of data"). Overall reporting is not as complete for fetal deaths as for births and deaths, but it is believed to be relatively complete for fetal deaths at a gestation of 28 weeks or more. National statistical data on fetal deaths include only fetal deaths occurring at a stated or presumed gestation of 20 weeks or more.

#### Massachusetts data

The 1964 statistics for deaths exclude approximately 6,000 deaths registered in Massachusetts, primarily to residents of that State. Microfilm copies of these records were not received by NCHS. Figures for the United States and the New England Division are affected also.

#### Alabama data

The 1988 statistics for deaths show no deaths assigned to the city of Prattville in Autauga County. The death records that should have been assigned to this area were instead assigned to the Balance of county because of a processing error.

#### Quality control procedures

Demographic items on the death certificate – As previously indicated, for 1989 the mortality data for these items were obtained from two sources – photocopies of the original certificates furnished by the Virgin Islands and Guam and records on data tape furnished by the 50 States, the District of Columbia, New York City, and Puerto Rico. For the Virgin Islands and Guam, which sent only copies of the original certificates, the demographic items were coded for 100 percent of the death certificates. The demographic coding for 100 percent of the certificates was independently verified.

As part of the quality control procedures for mortality data, each registration area goes through a calibration period, during which it must achieve the specified error tolerance level of 2 percent per item for 3 consecutive months, based on independent verification by NCHS of a 50-percent sample of that area's records. When the area has achieved the required error tolerance level, a sample of 70-80 records per month is used to monitor quality of coding. All areas providing data on computer tapes before 1989 have achieved the specified error tolerance; accordingly, the demographic items on about 70-80 records per area per month were independently verified by NCHS. The estimated average error rate for all demographic items in 1989 was 0.25 percent.

These verification procedures involve controlling for two types of error (coding and entering into the data record tape) at the same time, and the error rates are a combined measure of both types. It may be assumed that the entering errors are randomly distributed across all items on the record, but this assumption cannot be made as readily for coding errors. Although systematic errors in coding infrequent events may escape detection during sample verification, it is probable some of these errors were detected during the initial period when 50 percent of the file was being verified, thus providing an opportunity to retrain the coders.

Medical items on the death certificate – As is true for demographic data, mortality medical data also are subject to quality control procedures to control for errors of both coding and data entry. Each of the 30 registration areas that furnished NCHS with coded medical information in 1989 according to NCHS specifications had to qualify for sample verification first. During an initial calibration period, the area had to demonstrate that its staff could achieve a specified error tolerance level of less than 5 percent for coding all medical items. After the area had achieved the required error tolerance level, a sample of 70–80 records per month was used to monitor quality of medical coding. For the 30 reporting States, the average coding error rate in 1989 was estimated at just over 4 percent.

For the remaining 20 States, the District of Columbia, New York City, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, NCHS coded the medical items for 100 percent of the death records. A 1-percent sample of the records was coded independently for quality control purposes. The estimated average error rate for these areas was about 3 percent.

The ACME system for selecting the underlying cause of death through computer application contributes to the quality control of medical items on the death certificate. (See section "Automated selection of underlying cause of death.")

Demographic items on the report of fetal death – For 1989, all data on fetal deaths, except for New York State (excluding New York City), were coded under contract by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coding and entering of information on data tapes were verified on a 100-percent basis because of the relatively small number of records involved.

 Table E. Sources for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900–32, and

 United States, 1900–89

Year	Source
1989	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1057, 1990
1988	US Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25. No 1045, 1990
1986-87	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1022, Mar. 1988
1985	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1000, Feb. 1987
1984	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 985, Apr. 1986
1983	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 965, Mar. 1985.
1982	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 949, May 1984
1981	U S Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 929, May 1983.
1980	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1980. Number of Inhabitants, PC80-1A1, United States Summary, 1983
1971-79	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 917, July 1982
1970	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1970, Number of Inhabitants, Final Report PC(1)-A1, United States Summary,
	1971.
1961–69	U S Bureau of the Census. Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 519, April 1974
1960	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, Number of Inhabitants, PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1964
1951-59	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 310, June 30, 1965
1940-50	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25. No. 499, May 1973
1930–39	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973, and National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900–40, 1947
1920-29	National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900–40, 1947.
1917-19	Same as for 1930-39.
1900–16	Same as for 1920–29.

Other control procedures – After coding and entering on data tape are completed, record counts are balanced against control totals for each shipment of records from a registration area. Editing procedures ensure that records with inconsistent or impossible codes are modified. Inconsistent codes are those, for example, indicating a contradiction between cause of death and age or sex of the decedent. Records so identified during the computer editing process are either corrected by reference to the source record or adjusted by arbitrary code assignment (30). Further, conditions specified on a list of infrequent or rare causes of death are confirmed by the certifier or a State Health Officer. All subsequent operations in tabulating and in preparing tables are verified during the computer processing or by statistical clerks.

# Estimates of errors arising from 50-percent sample for 1972

Death statistics for 1972 in this report (excluding fetaldeath statistics) are based on a 50-percent sample of all deaths occurring in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. A description of the sample design and a table of the percent errors of the estimated numbers of deaths by size of estimate and total deaths in the area are shown in the Technical Appendix From *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1972*, Volume II, Mortality, Part A.

#### COMPUTATION OF RATES AND OTHER MEASURES

#### Population bases

The population bases from which death rates shown in this report are computed are prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rates for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, and 1980 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the censuses for those years. Rates for all other years use the estimated midyear (July 1) population. Death rates for the United States, individual States, and SMSA's are based on the total resident populations of the respective areas. Except as noted, these populations exclude the Armed Forces abroad but include the Armed Forces stationed in each area.

The resident populations of the birth- and deathregistration States for 1900-32 and of the United States for 1900-89 are shown in table 7-1. In addition, the population including Armed Forces abroad is shown for the United States. Table E lists the sources for these populations.

Population estimates for 1989—The population of the United States estimated by age, race, and sex for 1989 is shown in table 7-2, and the population for each State by broad age groups follows in table 7-3. Population estimates for 1984–89 incorporate new estimation procedures for net migration and net undocumented immigration. The 1989 estimates are comparable with those for 1984–88 but are not strictly comparable with the postcensal estimates for 1981–83 shown in tables 7-2 and 7-3 of Vital Statistics of the United States, Volume II, for those years. Although the death rates and estimates of life expectancy for 1984–89 are not strictly comparable with those for previous years, the trends for the total population and most age-race-sex groups are not substantially affected. For additional details, see the Technical Appendix from Vital Statistics of the United States, 1984, Volume II, and the report of the U.S. Bureau of the Census (31). Population data by race are consistent with the modified (see below) 1980 population by race.

Population for 1980—The population of the United States by age, race, and sex and the population for each State by age are shown in tables 7-2 and 7-3, respectively, of Vital Statistics of the United States, 1980, Volume II. The figures by race have been modified as described below.

Changes in reporting practices affected the racial counts of the 1980 census, particularly those of the Hispanic population. Changes in coding and classifying also affected the racial counts in the 1980 census. One particular change created a major inconsistency between the 1980 census data and historical data series, including censuses and vital statistics. About 40 percent of the Hispanic population counted in 1980, more than 5.8 million persons, did not mark one of the specified races listed on the census questionnaire but instead marked the "Other" category.

In the 1980 census, coding procedures were modified for persons who marked "Other" race and wrote in a national origin designation of a Latin American country or a specific Hispanic-origin group in response to the racial question. These persons remained in the "Other" racial category in 1980 census data; in previous censuses and in vital statistics, such responses had almost always been coded into the "White" category.

To maintain comparability, the "Other" racial category in the 1980 census was reallocated to be consistent with previous procedures. Persons who marked the "Other" racial category and reported any Spanish origin on the Spanish origin question (5,840,648 persons) were distributed to white and black races in proportion to the distribution of persons of Hispanic origin who actually reported their race as "White" or "Black." This was done for each age-sex group.

As a result of this procedure, 5,705,155 persons (98 percent) were added to the white population and 135,493 persons (2 percent) to the black population. Persons who marked the "Other" racial category and reported they were not of Spanish origin (916,338 persons) were distributed as follows: 20 percent in each age-sex group were added to the "Asian and Pacific Islander" category (183,268 persons), and 80 percent were added to the "White" category (733,070 persons). The count of American Indians, Eskimos, and Aleuts was not affected by these procedures. Unpublished tabulations of these modified census counts were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census and used to compute the rates for this volume.

Population estimates for 1971-79-Death rates in this volume for 1971-79 used revised population estimates that

are consistent with the 1980 census levels. The 1980 census enumerated approximately 5.5 million more persons than had been estimated for April 1, 1980 (32). These revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex are published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 917. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. For Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, revised estimates are published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 919.

Population estimates for 1961-69 – Death-rates in this volume for 1961-69 are based on revised estimates of the population and thus may differ slightly from rates published before 1976. The rates shown in tables 1-1 and 1-2, the life table values in table 6-5, and the population estimates in table 7-1 for each year during 1961-69 have been revised to reflect modified population bases, as published in the U.S. Bureau of the Census, *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 519. The data shown in table 1-10 for 1961-69 have not been revised.

Rates and ratios based on live births—Infant and maternal mortality rates and fetal death and perinatal mortality ratios are computed on the basis of the number of live births. Fetal death and perinatal mortality rates are computed on the basis of the number of live births and fetal deaths. Counts of live births are published annually in Vital Statistics of the United States, Volume I, Natality.

New Jersey – As previously indicated, data by race are not available for New Jersey for 1962 and 1963. Therefore, for 1962 and 1963 NCHS estimated a population by age, race, and sex that excluded New Jersey for rates shown by race. The methodology used to estimate the revised population excluding New Jersey is discussed in the Technical Appendixes of the 1962 and 1963 volumes.

#### Net census undercount

Just as the underenumeration of deaths and the misreporting of demographic characteristics on the death certificate can introduce error into the annual rates, so can enumeration errors in the latest decennial census. This is because annual population estimates for the postcensal interval, which are used in the denominator for calculating death rates, are computed using the decennial census count as a base (33). Net census undercount results from the miscounting and misreporting of demographic characteristics such as age. Age-specific death rates are affected by the net census undercount and the misreporting of age on the death certificate (34). To the extent that the net undercount is substantial and that it varies among subgroups and geographic areas, it may have important consequences for vital statistics measures.

Although death rates based on a population adjusted for net census undercount may be more accurate than rates based on an unadjusted population, rates in this volume are not adjusted; rather, they are computed using population estimates that preserve the age pattern of the net census undercount across the postcensal interval. Thus, it is important to consider the possible impact of net census undercount on death rates.

The U.S. Bureau of the Census has conducted extensive research on the completeness of coverage of the U.S. population (including underenumeration and misstatement of age, race, and sex) in the last four decennial censuses—1950, 1960, 1970, and 1980. From this work have come estimates of the national population that were not counted by age, race, and sex (35,36). The reports for 1980 include estimates of net census undercount using alternative methodological assumptions for age, race, and sex subgroups of the national population (37). These studies indicate that, although coverage was improved over previous censuses, there was differential coverage in the 1980 census among the population subgroups; that is, some age, race, and sex groups were more completely counted than others.

Net census undercounts can affect levels of the observed vital rates, differences among groups, and levels and group differences shown by summary measures such as age-adjusted death rates and life expectancy.

Levels and differentials – If adjustments were made for net census undercount, the size of denominators of the death rates generally would increase and the rates, therefore, would decrease. The adjusted rates for 1980 can be computed by multiplying the reported rates by ratios of the census-level resident population to the resident population adjusted for the estimated net census undercount (table 7-4). A ratio of less than 1.0 indicates a net census undercount and, when applied, results in a corresponding decrease in the death rate. A ratio greater than 1.0-indicating a net census overcount-multiplied by the reported rate results in an increase in the death rate.

Coverage ratios for all ages show that, in general, females were more completely enumerated than males and the white population more completely than the population of all other races in the 1980 Census of Population. The black population was undercounted relative to the total population of all other races.

For the total population, underenumeration varied by age group, with the greatest differences found for persons aged 80-84 and 85 years and over. All other age groups were overcounted or undercounted by less than 3 percent.

Among the age-sex-race groups, coverage was lowest for black males aged 40-44 and 45-49 years. Underenumeration for these groups was 19 percent. In contrast, white females in these age groups were essentially completely enumerated. For black females and white males in these same age groups, the undercount ranged from 3 to 6 percent. For the under-1-year age group, the white population was overenumerated by 2 percent, whereas infants of other races were underenumerated by 9 percent.

If vital statistics measures were calculated with adjustments for net census undercounts for each population subgroup, the resulting rates would be differentially reduced from their original levels; that is, rates for those groups with the greatest estimated undercounts would show the greatest relative reductions due to these adjustments.

Similar effects would be evident in the opposite direction for groups with overcounts. As a consequence, the ratio of mortality between the rates for males and females, and between the rates for the white population and the population of other races, or the black population, usually would be reduced.

Similarly, the differences between the death rates among subgroups of the population by cause of death would be affected by adjustments for net census undercounts. For example, for the age group 35–39 years in 1980, the ratio of the death rate for Homicide and legal intervention for black males to that for white males is 7.3, whereas the ratio of the death rates adjusted for net census undercount is 6.2. For Ischemic heart disease for males aged 40–44 years, the ratio of the death rate for the population of all other races to that for the white population is 1.2 using the unadjusted rates, but it is 1.1 when adjusted for estimated underenumeration.

Summary measures – The effect of net census undercount on age-adjusted death rates depends on the underenumeration of each age group and on the distribution of deaths by age. Thus, the age-adjusted death rate in 1980 for All causes would decrease from 585.8 to 579.3 per 100,000 population if the age-specific death rates were corrected for net census undercount.

For Diseases of heart, the age-adjusted death rate for white males would decrease from 277.5 to 273.0 per 100,000 population, a decline of 1.3 percent. For black males the change, from an unadjusted rate of 327.3 to an adjusted rate of 308.3, would amount to 5.8 percent.

If death rates by age were adjusted, the corresponding life expectancy at birth computed from these rates would change. The importance of adjustments varies by age; that is, when calculating life expectancy, the impact of an undercount or overcount is greatest at the younger ages. In general, the effect of correcting the death rates is to increase the estimate of life expectancy at birth. Differential underenumeration among race-sex groups would lead to greater changes in life expectancy for some groups than for others. For white females who were completely enumerated in 1980, revised estimates of life expectancy would remain roughly constant; those for black males would show the greatest increase.

#### Age-adjusted death rates

Age-adjusted death rates shown in this volume are computed using the distribution in 10-year age intervals of the enumerated population of the United States in 1940 as the standard population. Each figure represents the rate that would have existed had the age-specific rates of the particular year prevailed in a population whose age distribution was the same as that of the United States in 1940. The rates for the total population and for each race-sex group were adjusted using the same standard population. It is important not to compare age-adjusted death rates with crude rates. The standard 1940 population, on the basis of one million total population, is as follows:

	Age															Number				
All ages						-						•		•	•	-	-			1,000,000
Under 1 year																				15,343
1-4 years				,													-	-		64,718
5-14 years .								-											-	170,355
15–24 years										,		-								181,677
25–34 years					-															62,066
35-44 years					-				-											139,237
45-54 years																				117,811
55-64 years							-			_										80,294
65–74 years																				48,426
75-84 years																		-		17,303
85 years and	С	v	eı																	2,770

#### Life tables

U.S. abridged life tables are constructed by reference to a standard table (38). Life tables for the decennial period 1979-81 are used as the standard life tables in constructing the 1980-89 abridged life tables. With the availability of the 1979-81 standard life tables, revised life table values were computed for 1980-82; these appeared for the first time in *Vital Statistics of the United States*, 1983.

Life tables for the decennial period 1969–71 are used as the standard life tables in constructing the 1970–79 abridged life tables. Life table values for 1970–73 were first revised in *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1977*; before 1977, life table values for 1970–73 were constructed using the 1959–61 decennial life tables. In addition, life table values for 1951–59, 1961–69, and 1971–79 appearing in this volume are based on revised intercensal estimates of the populations for those years. As such, these life table values may differ from life table values for those years published in previous volumes.

The change in the population estimation methodology (see above section "Population bases") results in life expectancies at certain 5-year age intervals for 1984–89 that are lower than those that would have resulted had they been based on the same methodology used to compute 1983 life expectancies. For additional details, see Technical Appendix for *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1984*, Volume II.

There has been an increasing interest in data on the average length of life  $(\mathring{e}_0)$  for single calendar years before the initiation of the annual abridged life table series for selected race-sex groups in 1945. The figures in table 6-5 for the race and sex groups for the following years were estimated to meet these needs (39).

Years	Race and sex groups	
1900–45		Tolal
1900-47		Male
1900-47		Female
1900-50		White
1900-44		White, male
1900–44		White, female
1900-50		All other
1900-44		All other, male
1900-44		All other, female

The geographic areas covered in life tables before 1929-31 were limited to the death-registration areas. Life tables for 1900-1902 and 1909-11 were constructed using mortality data from the 1900 death-registration States - 10 States and the District of Columbia - and for 1919-21 from the 1920 death-registration States - 34 States and the District of Columbia. The tables for 1929-31 through 1958 cover the conterminous United States. Decennial life table values for the 3-year period 1959-61 were derived from data that include Alaska and Hawaii for each year. (table 6-4). Data for each year shown in table 6-5 include Alaska beginning in 1959 and Hawaii beginning in 1960. It is believed that the inclusion of these two States does not materially affect life table values.

## Random variation in numbers of deaths, death rates, and mortality rates and ratios

Deaths and population-based rates – Except for 1972, the numbers of deaths reported for a community represent complete counts of such events. As such, they are not subject to sampling error, although they are subject to errors in the registration process. However, when the figures are used for analytical purposes, such as the comparison of rates over a period or for different areas, the number of events that actually occurred may be considered as one of a large series of possible results that could have arisen under the same circumstances (40). The probable range of values may be estimated from the actual figures according to certain statistical assumptions.

In general, distributions of vital events may be assumed to follow the binomial distribution. Estimates of standard error and tests of significance under this assumption are described in most standard statistics texts. When the number of events is large, the standard error, expressed as a percent of the number or rate, is usually small.

When the number of events is small (perhaps less than 100) and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the conditions described by the figures. This is particularly true for infant mortality rates, cause-specific death rates, and death rates for counties. Events of a rare nature may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. For this distribution, a simple approximation may be used to estimate a confidence interval, as follows.

If N is the number of registered deaths in the population and R is the corresponding rate, the chance is 19 in 20 that

1.  $N-2\sqrt{N}$  and  $N+2\sqrt{N}$ 

covers the "true" number of events.

2. 
$$R-2 \frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$$
 and  $R+2 \frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$   
covers the "true" rate.

If the rate  $R_1$  corresponding to  $N_1$  events is compared with the rate  $R_2$  corresponding to  $N_2$  events, the difference between the two rates may be regarded as statistically significant at the 0.05 level of significance, if it exceeds



For example, if the observed death rate for a community were 10.0 per 1,000 population and if this rate were based on 20 recorded deaths, then the chance is 19 in 20 that the "true" death rate for that community lies between 5.5 and 14.5 per 1,000 population. If the death rate for this community of 10.0 per 1,000 population were being compared with a rate of 15.0 per 1,000 population for a second community, which is based on 25 recorded deaths, then the difference between the rates for the two communities is 5.0. This difference is less than twice the standard error of the difference

$$2\sqrt{\frac{(10.0)^2}{20} + \frac{(15.0)^2}{25}}$$

of the two rates, which is computed to be 7.5. From this, it is concluded that the difference between the rates for the two communities is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level of significance.

Rates, proportions, and ratios – Beginning in 1989, an asterisk is shown in place of a rate based on fewer than 20 deaths. These rates have a relative standard error of 23 percent or more and therefore are considered highly variable. For age-adjusted death rates, this criterion is applied to the sum of the age-specific deaths.

#### SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES

Data not available	
Category not applicable	
Quantity zero	-
Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05	0.0
Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision (estimate is based on fewer than 20 events in numerator or denominator)	•

#### SECTION 7-TECHNICAL APPENDIX-PAGE 24 REFERENCES

- 1. Tolson GC, Barnes JM, Gay GA, Kowaleski JL. The 1989 revision of the U.S. standard certificates and reports. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 4(28). 1991.
- 2. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, classification and coding instructions for fetal death records. NCHS instruction manual; part 3b. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 3. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, demographic classification and coding instructions for death records, 1989. NCHS instruction manual; part 4. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- McCarthy MA. Comparison of the classification of place of residence on death certificates and matching census records: United States, May-August 1960. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(30). 1969.
- National Vital Statistics Division. Matched record comparison of birth certificate and census information, United States, 1950. Vital Statistics-Special Reports; vol 47 no 12. Washington: Public Health Service. 1962.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, vital records geographic classification, 1982. NCHS instruction manual; part 8. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1985.
- U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical area and standard consolidated areas. Statistical Reporter. Washington: 1981.
- U.S. Office of Management and Budget. 36 new standard metropolitan statistical areas. Statistical Reporter. Washington: 1981.
- 9. U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical areas, rev. ed. Washington: 1975.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1980 Census of Population, Persons of Spanish Origin by State, 1980. Supplementary report; Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1982.
- Klebba AJ. Mortality from selected causes by marital status: United States, parts A & B. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(8a) and 20(8b). 1970.
- World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, based on the recommendations of the Ninth Revision Conference, 1975. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1977.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Estimates of selected comparability ratios based on dual coding of 1976 death certificates by the Eighth and Ninth Revisions of the International classification of diseases. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 28 no 11, suppl. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1980.
- Gittelsohn A, Royston PN. Annotated bibliography of causeof-death validation studies, 1958–80. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(89), 1982.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Instructions for classifying multiple causes of death, 1989. NCHS instruction manual; part 2b. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Nonindexed terms, standard abbreviations, and state geographic codes used in mortality data classification, 1989. NCHS instruction manual; part

2e. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.

- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, ICD-9 ACME decision tables for classifying underlying causes of death, 1989. NCHS instruction manual; part 2c. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- Guralnick L, Winter ED. A note on cohort infant mortality rates. Public Health Rep 80:692-94. 1965.
- Grove RD, Hetzel AM. Vital statistics rates in the United States, 1940–1960. Washington: National Center for Health Statistics. 1968.
- McCarthy B, Terry J, Rochat R et al. The underregistration of neonatal deaths: Georgia 1974-77. Am J Public Health 70:977-82. 1980.
- Linder FE, Grove RD. Vital statistics rates in the United States, 1900-1940. Washington: National Office of Vital Statistics. 1947.
- Frost F, Shy KK. Racial differences between linked birth and infant death records in Washington State. Am J Public Health 70:974-76. 1980.
- Prager K, Flinchum GA, Johnson DP. The NCHS pilot project to link birth and infant death records: Stage 1. Public Health Rep 102:216-223. 1987.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Public use data tape documentation. Linked birth/infant death data set: 1983 birth cohort; 1984 birth cohort; 1984 birth cohort. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1989, 1990.
- 25. National Office of Vital Statistics. International Recommendations on Definitions of Live Birth and Fetal Death. Public Health Service. Washington: 1950.
- 26. National Center for Health Statistics. State definitions and reporting requirements for live births, fetal deaths, and induced terminations of pregnancy. Washington: Public Health Service. 1981.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Model State Vital Statistics Act and Model State Vital Statistics Regulations. Washington: Public Health Service. 1978.
- Greb AE, Pauli RM, Kirby RS. Accuracy of fetal death reports: Comparison with data from an independent stillbirth assessment program. Am J Public Health 77:1,202-06. 1987.
- 29. National Center for Health Statistics. Editing specifications for fetal death records. Unpublished manuscript. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1991.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, computer edits for mortality data, effective 1989. NCHS instruction manual; part 11. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1989.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. United States population estimates, by age, sex, and race: 1980 to 1985. Current population reports; series P-25, no 985. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1986.
- 32. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coverage of the national population in the 1980 census by age, sex, and race. Preliminary estimates by demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 115. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1982.
- 33. U.S. Bureau of the Census. United States population estimates, by age, sex, and race: 1989. Current population report;

series P-25, no 1057. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce, 1990.

- Hambright TZ. Comparability of age on the death certificate and matching census records; United States, May-August 1960. National Center For Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(29). 1968.
- 35. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of coverage of the population by sex, race, and age-demographic analysis: 1970 census of population and housing. PHC(E)-4. Washington: 1974.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Developmental estimates of the coverage of the population of States in the 1970 census – demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 65. Washington: 1977.
- 37. Passel JS, Robinson JG. Revised demographic estimates of the coverage of the population by age, sex, and race in the

1980 Census. Unpublished memorandum, U.S. Bureau of the Census: Washington. 1985.

- Sirken MG. Comparison of two methods of constructing abridged life tables by reference to a "standard" table. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(4). 1966.
- 39. Greville TNE, Carlson GA. Estimated average length of life in the death-registration States. Vital statistics-Special Reports. vol 33 no 9. National Center of Vital Statistics. Washington: Public Health Service. 1951.
- 40. Chiang CL. Standard error of the age-adjusted death rate. Vital Statistics-Special Reports. vol 47 no 9. National Office of Vital Statistics. Washington: Public Health Service. 1961.

#### Table 7-1. Population of Birth- and Death-Registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-1989

[Fopulation enumerated as of April 1 for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, and 1980 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years]

	United S	italas '		United S	ilates '	Birt	h-registration States	Dea	alh-registration Stales
Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residir.g in area	Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Number of States 3	Population residing in area	Number of States 1	Population residing in area
1989 1532 1207 926 1985 1984	248,762,000 246,329,000 243,915,500 241,615,000 239,283,000 237,019,000	248,239,000 245,807,000 243,400,000 241,096,000 238,741,000 236,495,000	1944 1943 1942 1941 1940 1940	138,397,000 136,739,000 134,860,000 133,402,000 131,820,000 131,028,000	132,885,000 134,245,000 133,920,000 133,121,000 131,669,275 130,879,718	· · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · ·	••••	
1983 1522 1981 1980 1978	234,538,000 232,309,000 29,049,000 227,061,000 225,055,000 222,585,000	234,023,000 231,726,000 223,318,000 225,545,805 224,537,000 222,095,000	1938 1937 1936 1935 1934 1933	129,969,000 128,961,000 128,181,000 127,362,000 126,485,000 125,690,000	129,824,939 128,824,829 128,053,180 127,250,232 126,373,773 125,578,763	···· ····	···· ···· ····	···· ···· ····	  
1977 1976 1975 1974 1973 1972	220,239,0C0 218,035,000 215,973,000 215,973,000 213,854,000 ≿11,C05,000 209,833,000	219,760,000 217,563,600 215,465,600 215,342,000 211,357,000 209,284,000	1932 1931 1930 1929 1928 1927	124,949,000 124,149,000 123,188,000   	124,840,471 124,039,648 123,076,741 121,769,939 120,501,115 119,038,062	47 46 46 46 44 40	118,903,899 117,455,229 116,544,946 115,317,450 113,636,160 104,320,830	47 47 46 44 42	118,903,899 118,148,987 117,238,278 115,317,450 113,636,160 107,084,532
1971 1970 1969 1968 1967 1966	207,601,000 204,270,000 202,677,060 200,706,000 198,7.2,300 196,560,000	206,827,000 203,211,926 201,385,000 1\$2,399,000 197,457,000 1\$5,576,000	1926 1925 1924 1923 1922 1922		117,399,225 115,831,963 114,113,463 11,949,945 110,054,778 108,541,489	35 33 39 30 30 27	90,400,590 83,294,564 87,000,295 81,072,123 79,560,746 70,807,090	41 40 39 38 37 34	103,822,683 102,031,555 99,318,098 96,788,197 92,702,901 87,814,447
1965 1964 1963 1962 1961 1960	194,303,000 191,839,000 (89,242,000 186,538,000 123,631,000 179,933,000	133,526,000 191,141,000 188,483,000 125,771,000 182,992,000 179,323,175	1920 1919 1918 1918 1917 1916	105,063,000 104,550,000 103,414,000  	106,466,-320 104,512,110 103,202,801 103,265,913 101,965,984 100,549,013	23 22 20 20 11 10	63,597,307 61,212,076 55,153,782 55,197,952 32,944,013 31,096,697	34 33 30 27 26 24	85,079,263 83,157,982 79,008,412 70,234,775 66,971,177 61,884,847
1959 1958 1957 1956 1955	177,264,000 174,141,000 171,274,000 168,221,000 165,275,000	176,513,000 173,320,000 170,371,000 167,306,000 164,308,000	1914 1913 1912 1911 1910		99,117,567 97,226,814 95,331,300 93,637,814 92,406,536	· · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · ·	24 23 22 22 20	60,963,309 58,156,740 54,847,700 53,929,644 47,470,437
1954 1953 1952 1951 1950	1€2,391,000 159,565,000 156,954,000 154,287,000 154,287,000	161,164,000 155,242,000 155,687,000 153,310,000 150,697,361	1909 1908 1907 1906 1905		90,491,525 88,708,976 37,000,271 85,436,556 83,819,666	···· ···· ····	· · · · · · · · · ·	18 17 15 15 10	44,223,513 38,634,759 34,552,837 33,782,288 21,767,980
10 .5 1948 1947 1946 1045	149,188,000 146,631,000 144,126,000 141,389,000 139,929,000	148,665,000 146,093,000 143,446,000 140,054,000 132,481,000	1904 1903 1902 1901 1900	  	82,164,974 80,632,152 79,160,196 77,585,128 76,094,134	· · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · ·	10 10 10 10	21,332,076 20,943,222 20,582,907 20,237,453 19,965,446

.

Alasi: a included beginning 1959 and Hawaii, 1960.
 T e District of Columbia is not included in "Number of States," but it is represented in all data shown for each year.

 ${\rm s}^*{\rm CUSC}$  : Published and impublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

#### Table 7-2. Estimated Population of the United States, by 5-Year Age Groups, Race, and Sex: July 1, 1989

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in the United States and exclude those stationed outside the United States. Due to rounding to the nearest thousand, detailed figures may not add to totals]

		Ail races			White		Ali other							
Age	Bath anyon	Mala	Family	Both serves		<b></b>		Total			Black			
	Both sexes	Male	remale	Both sexes	Male	remaie	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female		
All ages	248,239,000	120,982,000	127,258,000	208,961,000	102,223,000	106,738,000	39,278,000	18,758,000	20,520,000	30,660,000	14,545,000	16,115,000		
Under 1 year	3,945,000 14,807,000 18,212,000 16,950,000 17,812,000	2,020,000 7,578,000 9,321,000 8,689,000 9,091,000	1,925,000 7,229,000 8,891,000 8,260,000 8,721,000	3,163,000 11,887,000 14,628,000 13,574,000 14,343,000	1,623,000 6,093,000 7,504,000 6,973,000 7,327,000	1,541,000 5,794,000 7,124,000 6,601,000 7,015,000	782,000 2,920,000 3,584,000 3,375,000 3,469,000	397,000 1,485,000 1,817,000 1,716,000 1,764,000	385,000 1,435,000 1,767,000 1,659,000 1,705,000	619,000 2,271,000 2,802,000 2,679,000 2,758,000	314,000 1,155,000 1,423,000 1,362,000 1,394,000	305,000 1,116,000 1,378,000 1,318,000 1,365,000		
20-24 years	18,702,000 21,699,000 22,135,000 19,621,000 16,882,000	9,368,000 10,865,000 11,078,000 9,731,000 8,294,000	9.334,000 10,834,000 11,058,000 9,890,000 8,588,000	15,359,000 18,103,000 18,567,000 16,625,000 14,550,000	7,731,000 9,142,000 9,385,000 8,342,000 7,229,000	7,628,000 8,960,000 9,182,000 8,283,000 7,321,000	3,343,000 3,597,000 3,568,000 2,996,000 2,331,000	1,637,000 1,723,000 1,693,000 1,389,000 1,064,000	1,706,000 1,874,000 1,875,000 1,606,000 1,267,000	2,651,000 2,827,000 2,744,000 2,260,000 1,726,000	1,279,000 1,342,000 1,289,000 1,035,000 782,000	1,372,000 1,485,000 1,455,000 1,225,000 945,000		
45-49 years 50-54 years	13,521,000 11,375,000 10,726,000 10,867,000 10,170,000	6,601,000 5,509,000 5,121,000 5,079,000 4,631,000	6,920,000 5,866,000 5,605,000 5,788,000 5,538,000	11,672,000 9,789,000 9,310,000 9,569,000 9,029,000	5,758,000 4,791,000 4,480,000 4,498,000 4,130,000	5,915,000 4,998,000 4,830,000 5,071,000 4,899,000	1,849,000 1,586,000 1,416,000 1,298,000 1,141,000	843,000 719,000 641,000 581,000 502,000	1,006,000 868,000 775,000 718,000 639,000	1,395,000 1,223,000 1,116,000 1,035,000 916,000	626,000 544,000 508,000 467,000 402,000	769,000 679,000 608,000 567,000 515,000		
70-74 years 75-79 years 80-84 years 85 years and over	8,012,000 6,033,000 3,728,000 3,042,000	3,464,000 2,385,000 1,306,000 850,000	4,549,000 3,648,000 2,422,000 2,192,000	7,193,000 5,430,000 3,409,000 2,761,000	3,120,000 2,147,000 1,189,000 761,000	4,074,000 3,282,000 2,220,000 2,000,000	819,000 603,000 319,000 281,000	344,000 238,000 117,000 89,000	475,000 365,000 201,000 192,000	661,000 486,000 256,000 236,000	274,000 187,000 91,000 72,000	386,000 299,000 165,000 165,000		

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census: "Current Population Reports," Series P-25, No. 1057.

#### Table 7-3. Estimated Population, by Age, for the United States, Each Division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: July 1, 1989

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area, and exclude Armed Forces stationed outside the United States. Due to rounding to the nearest thousand, detailed figures may not add to totals]

Division and State	Total	Under 5 years	5-19 years	20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over
	248 239 000	18 752 000	52 974 000	89,039,000	46 489 000	20 995 000
	248,239,000	10,752,000	52,374,000	33,033,000	40,469,000	
Geographic divisions:						
New England	13,046,000	918,000	2,536,000	5,299,000	2,537,000	1,758,000
Fact North Control	42 208 000	2,055,000	7,493,000	16,005,000	7,783,000	5,102,000
West North Central	17 851 000	1 302 000	3 842 000	6 979 000	3 272 000	2 458 000
South Allantic	43,116,000	3,137,000	8,879,000	16,962,000	B.427.000	5.711.000
East South Central	15,406,000	1,090,000	3,515,000	6,011,000	2,845,000	1,945,000
West South Central	27,003,000	2,247,000	6,342,000	10,853,000	4,578,000	2,984,000
Mountain	13,514,000	1,142,000	3,143,000	5,503,000	2,234,000	1,488,000
Pacilic	38,283,000	3,183,000	8,018,000	16,091,000	6,821,000	4,172,000
New England:						
Maine	1,222,000	84.000	259.000	484,000	230.000	164.000
New Hampshire	1,107,000	85,000	232,000	463,000	203,000	126,000
Vermont	567,000	40,000	120,000	240,000	100,000	68,000
Massachusetta	5,913,000	413,000	1,105,000	2,441,000	1,142,000	813,000
Connectional	998,000	69,000	193,000	397,000	191,000	147,000
	3,239,000	227,000	027,000	1,274,000	6/1,000	440,000
Middle Allantic:						
New York	17,950,000	1,306,000	3,582,000	7.012.000	3,707,000	2.340.000
New Jersey	7,736,000	548,000	1,514,000	3,009,000	1,643,000	1,022,000
Pennsylvania ,	12,040,000	801,000	2,397,000	4,588,000	2,433,000	1,820,000
Faat Nadh Caatal						
China Central:	10 007 000	782.000	2 279 000	4 333 000	2 115 000	1 200 000
	5 593 000	782,000	1 248 000	4,233,000	2,115,000	1,390,000
	11 658 000	864,000	2 472 000	4 655 000	2 220 000	1 438 000
Michigan	9 273 000	684,000	2,063,000	3,708,000	1,718,000	1.099.000
Wisconsin	4,867,000	356,000	1,048,000	1,926,000	885,000	651,000
					-	-
West North Central:			000.000			650 AAA
Minnesota	4,353,000	329,000	932,000	1,764,000	780,000	550,000
Niceouri	5 159 000	370,000	1 093 000	1,00,000	907,000	425,000
North Dakola	660,000	50,000	150,000	261 000	108,000	91,000
South Dakota	715,000	55,000	162,000	267,000	127,000	103,000
Nebraska	1.611.000	119,000	355,000	624,000	289.000	223,000
Kansas	2,513,000	191,000	544,000	981,000	454,000	344,000
South Allantic:	673.000	50.000	190.000	000 171 000	100.000	70.000
	4 694 000	30,000	139,000	271,000	133,000	79,000
District of Columbia	604,000	48,000	108,000	257,000	115,000	75,000
Virginia	6 098 000	444 000	1 236 000	2 587 000	1 174 000	656,000
West Virginia	1.857.000	110,000	414,000	716.000	346,000	272,000
North Carolina	6,571,000	464,000	1,398,000	2,645,000	1,267,000	799,000
South Carolina	3,512,000	265,000	808,000	1,423,000	626,000	391,000
Georgia	6,436,000	511,000	1,500,000	2,607,000	1,166,000	653,000
Fiorida	12,671,000	887,000	2,331,000	4,505,000	2,670,000	2,276,000
East South Central:						
Kenlucky	3 727 000	250.000	839,000	1,480,000	685 000	472 000
Tennessee	4,940,000	340,000	1,074,000	1,955,000	947,000	624,000
Alabama	4,118,000	297,000	945,000	1,595,000	758,000	522,000
Mississippi	2,621,000	203,000	657,000	981,000	455,000	327,000
West South Central					1	
Arkansas	2 406 000	173 000	552.000	875.000	450.000	255 000
	4 382 000	362,000	1 050 000	1 761 000	724 000	466,000
Oklahoma	3,224,000	233,000	722,000	1,269,000	571,000	428,000
Texas	16,991,000	1,479,000	4,018,000	6,948,000	2,833,000	1,713,000
Mountain						
Montana	806,000	60,000	183,000	318,000	140,000	106,000
Milemon	1,014,000	79,000	258,000	396,000	159,000	120,000
Colorado	3 317 000	262,000	704,000	1 474 000	553,000	323,000
New Mexico	1.528.000	134,000	368,000	601.000	265,000	160,000
Arizona	3,556,000	310,000	779,000	1,371,000	630,000	465.000
Utah	1,707,000	175,000	517,000	651,000	218,000	147.000
Nevada	1,111,000	86,000	220,000	473,000	209,000	121,000
Pacific						
Vestinolog	4 761 000	357 000	1 003 000	2 024 022	800.000	568 000
Oregon .	2 820 000	194,000	584 000	1 180 000	470,000	302,000
California	29.063.000	2.488.000	6.069.000	12.177.000	5.259.000	3 072 000
Alaska	527,000	55.000	128.000	241.000	82.000	21.000
Hawaii	1,112,000	89,000	235,000	462,000	208,000	119.000
Ruada Rua I					l ·	
Verio Hico :	1					
virgiu iaidillus *	1					
	1					

Populations for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam are not available for 1989.
 SOURCE: U.S Bureau of the Census: "Current Population Reports," Series P-25, Nos. 1058 and unpublished data.

#### Table 7-2. Enumerated Population of the United States, by 5-Year Age Groups, Race, and Sex: April 1, 1990

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in the United States and exclude those stationed outside the United States]

		All races			White		All other							
Age						_		Total			Black			
1	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Fernale	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female		
All ages	248,709,873	121,239,348	127,470,525	208,704,165	102,142,817	106,561,348	40,005,708	19,096,531	20,909,177	30,483,281	14,420,331	16,062,950		
Under 1 year	3,945,974 14,811,673 18,034,778 17,060,469 17,881,711 19,131,578 21,327,869 21,832,857	2,018,404 7,580,624 9,232,031 8,738,800 9,172,834 9,742,551 10,702,497 10,861,819	1,927,570 7,231,049 8,802,747 8,321,669 8,708,877 9,389,027 10,625,372 10,971,038	3,127,256 11,832,870 14,502,300 13,670,059 14,350,716 15,637,244 17,638,338 18,189,778	1,603,750 6,071,090 7,444,026 7,022,591 7,379,551 8,009,507 8,926,907 9,144,433	1,523,506 5,761,780 7,058,274 6,647,468 6,971,165 7,627,737 8,711,431 9,045,345	818.718 2.978.803 3.532.478 3.390.410 3.530.995 3.494.334 3.689.531 3.643.079	414.654 1.509.534 1.788.005 1.716.209 1.793.283 1.733.044 1.775.590 1.717.386	404,064 1,469,269 1,744,473 1,674,201 1,737,712 1,761,290 1,913,941 1,925,693	638,132 2,301,264 2,711,336 2,629,473 2,714,244 2,654,936 2,779,569 2,717,669	322,435 1,163,852 1,371,538 1,328,261 1,370,304 1,299,074 1,322,573 1,269,916	315,007 1,197,412 1,339,798 1,301,212 1,343,940 1,355,002 1,456,006 1,447,773		
40-44 years	19,845,733 17,589,034	9,833,180 8,676,472	10.012.553 8,912,562	16,651,817 15,001,279	8,342,551 7,476,422	8,309,266 7,524,857	3,193,916 2,587,755	1,490,629 1,200,050	1,703,287 1,387,705	2,359,348 1,881,629	867,892	1,013,797		
45-49 years 50-54 years	13,743,577 11,313,073 10,487,443 10,625,209 10,065,835	6,739,157 5,493,144 5,008,415 4,946,654 4,507,539	7,004,420 5,819,929 5,479,028 5,678,555 5,558,296	11,826,034 9,744,459 9,130,851 9,380,602 8,983,978	5,851,065 4,773,156 4,404,374 4,408,987 4,047,535	5,974,969 4,971,303 4,726,477 4,971,615 4,936,443	1,917,543 1,568,614 1,356,592 1,244,607 1,081,857	888,092 719,988 604,041 537,867 460,004	1,029,451 848,626 752,551 706,940 621,853	1,413,272 1,177,519 1,040,889 971,760 859,694	844,853 530,296 480,001 418,147 360,653	768,419 647,223 560,665 553,613 499,041		
70-74 years	7.979.660 6,102.929 3,909.046 3,021,425	3,399,275 2,388,895 1,355,830 841,227	4,580,385 3,714,034 2,553,216 2,180,198	7,191,013 5,518,341 3,566,268 2,760,962	3,079,801 2,165,061 1,232,184 759,826	4,111,212 3,353,280 2,334,084 2,001,136	788,647 584,588 342,778 260,463	319,474 223,834 123,646 81,401	469,173 360,754 219,132 179,062	638,077 483,535 288,283 222,632	252,967 178,695 98,351 66,270	385,110 304,840 189,932 156,362		

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

**TECHNICAL APPENDIX FROM** 

# VITAL STATISTICS OF THE UNITED STATES 1990

**VOLUME II - MORTALITY** 



### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

# Section 7. Technical Appendix

Sources of data 1	
Death and fetal-death statistics 1	
Standard certificates and reports 2	,
History 2	
Classification of data 5	
Classification by occurrence and residence	
Geographic classification	
State or country of birth 6	
Age 6	,
Race 6	I
Hispanic origin 6	ļ.
Marital status 7	
Educational attainment 7	
Place of death and status of decedent	
Mortality by month and date of death	
Report of autopsy 8	
Cause of death 8	,
Maternal deaths 11	
Infant deaths 11	
Figures	

Fetal deaths	14
Perinatal mortality	18
Quality of data	18
Completeness of registration	18
Massachusetts data	19
Alabama data	19
Alaska data	19
Quality control procedures	<b>19</b>
Estimates of errors arising from 50-percent sample	20
IOF 1972	20
Computation of rates and other measures	20 20
Computation of rates and other measures	20 20 20
Computation of rates and other measures Population bases Net census undercount	20 20 20 22
Computation of rates and other measures Population bases Net census undercount Age-adjusted death rates	20 20 20 22 23
Computation of rates and other measures Population bases Net census undercount Age-adjusted death rates Life tables	20 20 22 23 24
Computation of rates and other measures Population bases Net census undercount Age-adjusted death rates Life tables Random variation in numbers of deaths, death rates, and mortality rates and ratios	20 20 22 23 24 24
Computation of rates and other measures Population bases Net census undercount Age-adjusted death rates Life tables Random variation in numbers of deaths, death rates, and mortality rates and ratios References	20 20 22 23 24 24 24 25

#### Figures

7-A.	U.S. Standard Certificate of Death	3
7-B.	U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death	4
Text ta	ıbles	
Α.	Ratio of infant, neonatal, postneonatal, maternal, and perinatal mortality rates with race for live births tabulated according to race of mother to those with race for live births tabulated according to race of child: United States, 1990	12
В.	Infant mortality rates by race of mother for the period 1985-87 and for birth cohorts, 1985-87; and ratio of birth cohort to period rates: United States	13
C.	Infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin and race for non-Hispanic origin for three methods of allocating "unknown origins": Total of 45 States, New York State (including and excluding New York City), and the District of Columbia, 1990	13
D.	Infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin of mother and race of mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin for the period 1986–87 and birth cohorts 1986 and 1987 combined; and ratio of birth cohort to period rates: Total of 18 reporting States and the District of Columbia	14
E.	Period of gestation at which fetal-death reporting is required: Each reporting area, 1990	16
F.	Numbers of deaths and ratios of deaths for selected causes according to Alaska and NCHS, 1990	19
G.	Sources for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900–32, and United States, 1900–90	20
H.	Age-adjusted death rates for selected causes by race and sex, unadjusted and adjusted for estimated net census undercount: United States, 1990	23

#### Population tables

7-1.	Population of birth- and death-registration States, 1900–1932, and United States, 1900–1990	28
7-2.	Enumerated population of the United States, by 5-year age groups, race, and sex: April 1, 1990	29
7-3.	Enumerated population, by age, for the United States, each division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: April 1, 1990	30
7-4.	Ratio of census-level resident population to resident population adjusted for estimated net census undercount by age, sex, and race: April 1, 1990	31

#### Sources of data

#### Death and fetal-death statistics

Mortality statistics for 1990 are, as for all previous years except 1972, based on information from records of all deaths occurring in the United States. Fetal-death statistics for every year are based on all reports of fetal death received by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

The death-registration system and the fetal-death reporting system of the United States encompass the 50 States, the District of Columbia, New York City (which is independent of New York State for the purpose of death registration), Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas. In the statistical tabulations of this publication, *United States* refers only to the aggregate of the 50 States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia. Tabulations for Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands are shown separately in this volume. No data have ever been included for American Samoa or the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

The Virgin Islands was admitted to the registration area for deaths in 1924; Puerto Rico, in 1932; and Guam, in 1970. Tabulations of death statistics for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands were regularly shown in the annual volumes of Vital Statistics of the United States from the year of their admission through 1971 except for the years 1967-69, and tabulations for Guam were included for 1970 and 1971. Death statistics for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam were not included in the 1972 volume but have been included in section 8 of the volumes for each of the years 1973-78 and in section 9 beginning with 1979. Information for 1972 for these three areas was published in the respective annual vital statistics reports of the Department of Health of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Department of Health of the Virgin Islands, and the Department of Public Health and Social Services of the Government of Guam.

Procedures used by NCHS to collect death statistics have changed over the years. Before 1971, tabulations of deaths and fetal deaths were based solely on information obtained by NCHS from copies of the original certificates. The information from these copies was edited, coded, and tabulated. For 1960– 70, all mortality information taken from these records was transferred by NCHS to magnetic tape for computer processing.

Beginning with 1971, an increasing number of States have provided NCHS, via the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP), computer tapes of data coded according to NCHS specifications. The year State-coded demographic data were first transmitted on computer tape to NCHS is shown below for each of the States, New York City, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia, all of which now furnish demographic or nonmedical data on tape.

1971	1977
Florida	Alaska
	Idaho
	Massachusetts
	New York City
	Ohio
	Puerto Rico
1972	1978
Maine	Indiana
Missouri	Utah
New Hampshire	Washington
Rhode Island	B
Vermont	
1973	1979
Colorado	Connecticut
Michigan	Hawaii
New York (except	Mississippi
New York City)	New Jersey
- /	Pennsylvania
	Wyoming
1974	1980
Illinois	Arkansas
Iowa	New Mexico
Kansas	South Dakota
Montana	
Nebraska	
Oregon	
South Carolina	
1975	1982
Louisiana	North Dakota
Maryland	
North Carolina	
Oklahoma	
Tennessee	
Virginia	
Wisconsin	
1976	1985
Alabama	Arizona
Kentucky	California
Minnesota	Delaware
Nevada	Georgia
Texas	District of
West Virginia	Columbia

For the Virgin Islands and Guam, mortality statistics for 1990 are based on information obtained directly by NCHS from copies of the original certificates received from the registration offices.

In 1974, States began coding medical (cause-of-death) data on computer tapes according to NCHS specifications. The year State-coded medical data were first transmitted to NCHS is shown below for the 30 States now furnishing such data. NCHS contracted with Colorado, Kansas, and Mississippi to precode medical data for all deaths on computer tape for the five States that were added in 1988. Vermont subcontracted with Pennsylvania to code its medical data.

1974	1984
Iowa	Maryland
Michigan	New York State (except New York City) Vermont
1975	1986
Louisiana	California
Nebraska	Florida
North Carolina	Texas
Virginia	
Wisconsin	
1980	1988
Colorado	Alaska
Kansas	Delaware
Massachusetts	Idaho
Mississippi	North Dakota
New Hampshire	Wyoming
Pennsylvania	
South Carolina	
1981	1989
Maine	Georgia
	Indiana
	Washington
1983	

Minnesota

For 1990 and previous years except 1972, NCHS coded the medical information from copies of the original certificates received from the registration offices for all deaths occurring in those States that were not furnishing NCHS with medical data coded according to NCHS specifications. For 1981 and 1982, these procedures were modified because of a coding and processing backlog resulting from personnel and budgetary restrictions. To produce the mortality files on a timely basis with reduced resources, NCHS used State-coded underlying causeof-death information supplied by 19 States for 50 percent of the records; for the other 50 percent of the records for these States as well as for 100 percent of the records for the remaining 21 registration areas, NCHS coded the medical information. Mortality statistics for 1972 were based on information obtained from a 50-percent sample of death records instead of from all records as in other years. The sampling resulted from personnel and budgetary restrictions. Sampling variation associated with the 50-percent sample is described in "Estimates of errors arising from 50-percent sample for 1972."

Fetal-death data are obtained directly from copies of original reports of fetal deaths received by NCHS, except New York State (excluding New York City), which submitted State-coded data in 1990.

#### Standard certificates and reports

For many years, the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death and the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death, issued by the Public Health Service, have been used as the principal means to attain uniformity in the contents of documents used to collect information on these events. They have been modified in each State to the extent required by the particular needs of the State or by special provisions of the State vital statistics law. However, the certificates or reports of most States conform closely in content and arrangement to the standards.

The first issue of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death appeared in 1900. Since then, it has been revised periodically by the national vital statistics agency through consultation with State health officers and registrars; Federal agencies concerned with vital statistics; national, State, and county medical societies; and others working in such fields as public health, social welfare, demography, and insurance. This revision procedure has assured careful evaluation of each item in terms of its current and future usefulness for legal, medical and health, demographic, and research purposes. New items have been added when necessary, and old items have been modified to ensure better reporting; or in some cases, items have been dropped when their usefulness appeared to be limited.

New revisions of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death and the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death were recommended for State use beginning on January 1, 1989. The U.S. Standard Certificate of Death and the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death are in figures 7-A and 7-B (1).

Among the major changes were the addition of a new item on educational attainment and changes to improve the medical certification of cause of death. Additional lines to report causes of death were added as well as more complete instructions with examples for properly completing the cause of death. Also, for the first time, the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death includes a question on the Hispanic origin of the decedent. A number of States had included an Hispanic-origin identifier on their certificates, resulting in data shown in this volume for years before 1989. To obtain information on type of place of death, the format of the item was changed from an open-ended question to a checkbox.

#### History

The first death statistics published by the Federal Government concerned events in 1850 and were based on statistics collected during the decennial census of that year. In 1880 a national "registration area" was created for deaths. Originally consisting of two States—Massachusetts and New Jersey—the District of Columbia, and several large cities having efficient

	LOCAL FILE NUMBER			CERT	U.S. STAN	DAR OF	D DEATH		STATE FILE			
BLACK INK	1. DECEDENT'S NAME (Fast, Made	He,Last)							2 SEX	J DATE	OF DEA	TH (Manth, Day, Year)
		Sa AGE-Last Builds		IDER 1 YEA	a l Béin		1 Day	6 DATE OF		7. 84871		
AND HANDGODK	4. BUCIAL SECONITY NUMBER	(Years)	Months	Days	Hours	M		Bay, Year)	Birtin (Manih,	7. BATH Farag	n Countr	rly and Siele ar rl
LACEDEN'	WAS DECEDENT EVER IN U S     ARMED FORCES?		<u> </u>	- 14	PLACE OF DE		Check only o	ne, see mairuc	lions on other s			
	(Yes or no)			ER/Outpat				rsing Home	Besidence	C Other	(Specify	,
			/		-				A DEATH			COUNTY OF BEATH
MCC CTICONG	10. MARITAL STATUS - Marned. Naver Marned, Widowed, Divorced <i>(Specify)</i>	11. SURVIVING SPC Iff wife, give majden	)USE nome)		12a DECEDER IGne kind of Do <u>not</u> use re	iT'S U work d wed )	SUAL OCCU	PATION lost of working	12b I	und of Bu	SINESSA	NOUSTRY
	13a. RESIDENCE - STATE 13b.	COUNTY	1 <b>3</b> c. (	CITY, TOWN	OR LOCATION	1		13d. STREE		R		
PECEDENT:	130. INSIDE CITY 131. ZIP CODE LIMITS? {Yes or no?	14. WAS (Spec Mexit Spec	DECEDENT of y No or Y can, Puerto	OF HISPAN es – If yes, i Rican, etc i	IIC ORIGIN? pecify Cuban, II No	¥e1	16. RACE- Block, (Specif	-American Inde White, all: fy)	an, (j Elemen	18. DEC Specify anly Lary/Second	EDENT'S Anghast lary 10 1	EDUCATION profe completed/ 2) College (1-4 or 5+)
BE DARENIN	17. FATHER'S NAME (Fast, Middle	e.Last/	-			18. 1	j Mother's N	AME IFUST, Ma	klie,Marden Sur	neme/		J
11												
INFORMANT				190. MAL	NG ADDRESS (	Street	and Number	or Rural Route	Number City o	v Town, Si	ete, Zø (	Code /
	20. METHOD OF DISPOSITION		206. PLA	CE OF DIS	OSITION (Name	of ce	melery, crem	Nilory, er 2	C. LOCATION	- City or T	own, Sta	
	Bunai     Cremation     Donation     Other (Specif	Nemoval from State										
	218. SIGNATURE OF FUNERAL S	ERVICE LICENSEE OF	۹.	216		BE R	22 NAM	E AND ADDR	SS OF FACILIT	Υ		
SEE DEFINITION					(D) Licensee)		1					
PRONCONCING	Complete nems 23s-c only when certifying physician is not evalable at time of death	3a. To the bast of my	/ knowledge	, death acc	arted at the time	, dete	and place a	inted. 236 L	CENSE NUMBE	P.	23c. C	ATE SIGNED Menth, Dey, Year)
E TTEME 24-26 MUST	to certify cause of death S	gnature and Title										
TI PERSON WHO								20 W/	ns or no;			A MINER/CONDINER
HOI LE N	27. PART I. Enter the diseases, arrest, shock, or ne	injuries, or complications are failure. List only o	iona that ca me cause of	wsed the de n each ime	ath Do not eni	er the	mode of dys	ng such as car	diac or respirat	мy		Approximate Interval Between
1												Onest and Dash
Z X SEE INSTRUCTIONS	resulting in death)	DUE TO	IOR AS A C	ONSEQUEN	CE OFI							
	Sequentially kit conditions, if any leading to immediate cause Enter UNDERLYING CAUSE (Disease or injury	ВВUЕ ТО	OR AS A C	ONSEQUEN	CE OFI							
	that indiated events resulting in death) LAST	DUE TO	OR AS A C	ONSEQUEN	CE OFI		_			_	_	
CAUSE OF	PART N. Other significant condition	o ms contributing to de	eth but not	resulting in	the underlying o	ause g	iven in Part I	28.	WAS AN AUT	DPSY 28	WERE	AUTOPSY FINDINGS
									PERFORMED? (Yes or no)		COMI OF D	LABLE PRIOR TO PLETION OF CAUSE
2 2												
1111	29. MANNER OF DEATH	30a. DATE OF (Month,Da	INJURY 17. Year)	JOB TIME	OF 30c INJ	URY A	T WORK?	IOI. DESCRIB	E HOW INJURY	OCCURRE	0	
5	Accident	on l			м							
1441441	Suicide Could not Homicide Determined	be 30e PLACE OF d building, et	INJURY – A (c <i>(Specify)</i>	t home, farr	n street, factor	, ollic	a 307 LO	CATION (Stree	and flumber p	r Rural Rou	ie Numb	ar City or Town Statel
BEE DEFINITION	31. CERTIFIER	FYING PHYSICIAN /	Physician ce	milying cau	e of death whe	n anoti	her physician	has pronounc	ed death and c	ompleted It	im 23/	
									<u> </u>			
CERTIFIER		best of my knowled	ge, death ca	coursed at th	e time date, ar	d plan	e, and due to		and manner as	mated		
	316 SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF	CERTIFIER					310	LICENSE NU	MBER	314 D/	TE SIGN	ED (Manth, Day Year)
1	<u>ب</u>											
	32 NAME AND ADDRESS OF P	ERSON WHO COMPL	ETED CAUS	E OF DEAT	H IITEM 271 /7)	DE/Prin	11				_	
REGISTRAR	J3 REGISTRAR S SIGNATURE									34 DA	TE FILED	(Manth,Day Year)
PHS T-003				-								

Figure 7-A. U.S. Standard Certificate of Death

TYPE/PRINT						U.S. STA	NDAR	Ð					
IN PERMANENT		REPORT OF FETAL DEATH 37474 ///LE MANURA											
BLACK INE FOR													
NETRUCTION E SEE HANDOOCK	2. CITY, TOWN, OR LOC	CATION OF	DELIVERY		_	3. COUNTY OF	OF DELIVERY 4. DATE OF DELIVERY (Manifi, Day, Year) 8. SEX OF FI					B. SEX OF FETUS	
1	S. MOTHER'S NAME (F)	rat, Maddle, L	141)				<b>4</b> 4. I	MAIDEN SURNAME	7. DATE OF BRTH (Month, Day, Year				
PARENTS	. RESIDENCE-STATE	<b>.</b>	OUNTY		. CITY, TOWN.	OR LOCATION	1-		M. STREET AND	M. STAEET AND NUMBER			
	Be. INSIDE CITY UMITS? (Yes ar no)	81. 2	P CODE		FATHER'S NAM	LE (First, Maddle, Lost)	,		- <b>I</b>	10. DATE	OF BINTH A	Hanth, Day, Yearl	
	11. OF HISPANIC ORIGIN (Specify No or Yes -	i7 If yes,	12. RACE- Biesh,	America White, a	in Indian, IC.	13. (Specify only to	EDUCA gheat g	TION rede completed)	14. OCCUP/ //	L ATION AND Vorbed durin	) BUSINESS/INDUSTRY		
4107/155	Nican, etc.)		12a.			13e.		[1-4 or 5+]	Occupatie	<u> </u>	Businesz/Industry		
CLEWER	Specify:		126.			136.		ļ	14c		144		
FAIRER	Specify:	15,	PREGNANCY	' HISTOR	Y	<u> </u>	10. 1	I I MOTHER MARRIED?	AL dairyary.	17. DATE		AL MENSES	
	LIVE	(C	imple(e eech	arction	OTHER TERMI	NATIONS		conception, or any t (Yes or no)	ine between)	BEGAI	N (Manth.D.)	y, Year)	
MULTIPLE BIRTHS Enter State File Number for	ISe. Now Living	15b. Now	Dead	154. (1	Spanlaneous and any lama after c To not include thi	f induced at onception!	10. 2	ANTH OF PREGNA	NCY PRENATAL Second, Third,	10. PRENA Numb	TAL VISITS	— Tatel 9 state)	
Merola) LIVE BIRTH(S)	Number	Num	ber	N	umber		20. 1	NEIGHT OF FETUS		21. CUNIC		7.05	
FETAL DEATHIS)			ane		] Nane — — — — —		<u> </u>	Specify Unit		GEST/	TION (Week		
NOSIA	IBC. DATE OF LAST LIV (Morth, Year)	E BARTH		16e. D/ TE	TE OF LAST OT	HER nth, Yew)		Triplet, etc. (Specif	y) y)	ZZD. IF NC Fini, (Spec	Second, Thi Second, Thi cify)	nd, elc.	
	23a. MEDICAL RISK FAC (Check of that apply	TORS FOR 1	HIS PREGNA	NCY	24. OBSTETH (Chuck a	UC PROCEDURES	<b></b>		27. CONGENITAL	L ANOMALIE	5 OF FETUS		
102	Anomia (Hcs. < 30/Hgb. < Cerdiac draese	< 101		. 01 0	Amriocentesis Electronic fete	I monitoring			Arencephalus Spine bilida/Menir	gocele			
1VIIS	Acute or chronic lung die Distature			03	Stimulation of le	labor			Hydrocophalus Microcephalus				
CTH S	Hydramnios/Oligohydramn Hemoglobinoasthy	(as		. 08 0	Ultranound				(Specify)			06 []	
THEA	Mypertension, chronic Hypersension, gregorancy-s	uncuted .		. 01 0	Other	ecity			Heart malformatio	M		06 🛛	
10	Eclampsa			10 0					(Specify)			07 D	
ENTE	Previous infent 4000 + gra Previous entiterm or email-	ema		. 12 0	28. COMPLIC	ATIONS OF LABOR	AND/C	DELIVERY	Rectal stresla/ster	illis			
e e	Inferit (Check of that apply) (Triched and Check of that apply) (Triched and Check of the check						Omphalecels/ Gast	phelectle/ Cantreschiels					
ATIO!	An email de auer				Meconium, moderate/heavy,			(Specify) 11 C					
I MEDICAL	None				Abruptio placente				Melformed genitalia				
HEALTH	(Specify)			_ // U	Other excession	Dither excessive bleeding				Other urogenital anomalies (Specify) 14 D			
				Satures during labor					Claft lig/palete				
EALT					Prolonged late Dysfunctional	lebor			Polydactyty/Synda Club feor	ciyty/Adacty	rtv	16 0	
50					Caphalopetvic	disproportion			Disphragmetic her	nie			
2	236. OTHER RISK FACTO	AS FOR TH	S PREGNAN	CY.	Cord prolepse Anesthetic co	mplicătiona		13 🛛	(Specify)				
	(Complete all Herra)				Fetal districts None				Down's syndrome Other chromosom	el enomalias	••••••	20 🗆	
ERVK	Average number cigares	INCY	TH		Other	ecity)	-	18 ()	(Specify)			21 O	
S NY	Alcohol use during pregnet Average number drinks (	ncy	Yes I			<u>.</u>			None				
MUH	Weight geined duiling preg	nincy	IC1.		26. METHOD	OF DELIVERY (Che	ct eff c	het apply/	(Specify	d	-		
AND					Veginal								
АГТН					Vaginal birth a Primary C-sec	iter previous C-seci	uon.,.						
Ψ s					Forceps	ion							
MENT					Vecuum Hyyserolomy/i	Hysterectomy		06 🗆 07 🗆					
OLFAR	28. PART I. Fatal or maternal		IMMEDIA	TE CAU	Enter SE	only one cause per	line foi	a, b, and c.		i	Specify Fatt	l or Maramal	
	candition directly causing fatal deat	n. {	B	08 45 -	CONTROLIDIC						Specify Fill		
FAUSE DE	Fetal and/or maternal conditions, if any, giving	(	b	A						1			
FETAL CEATH	real to the immediate Cause(a), stating the under lying cause last.	- {	OUE 70 (	IUR AS A		. ur (:				1	specify Fell	i or Maternal	
	PART 8. Other significant		f fetus or mo	ther con	mbuting to fatal a	deelle but not rezulti	ing in t	he underlying cause	given in Part I.	29. FETUS DURING	DIED BEFOR	E LABON, DELIVERY,	
							_			UNKNO	WN (Specify	,	
(													
	30. ATTENDANT'S NAM	E AND TITL	E Type/Innt	,			3	1. NAME AND TITL	E OF PERSON COMPL	ETING REPO	ORT (Type/Pr	n/)	
	Neme						-					•	
1	🗆 м р. 🗌 р.о.	- C N P	4. 🗌 015	er Midwll	ia i			Neme					
	🗌 Other (Spech	'r/				-	_	Tirle					
BHS-7 007				_			_						

Figure 7-B. U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death

systems for death registrations, the death-registration area continued to expand until 1933, when it included the entire United States for the first time. Tables showing data for deathregistration States include the District of Columbia for all years; registration cities in nonregistration States are not included. For more details on the history of the death-registration area, see the *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1979*, Volume II, Mortality, Part A, section 7, pages 3 and 4 and *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1950*, Volume I, chapter 1, pages 2–19. Statistics on fetal deaths were first published for the birth-registration area in 1918 and then every year beginning with 1922.

#### **Classification of data**

The principal value of vital statistics data is realized through the presentation of rates, which are computed by relating the vital events of a class to the population of a similarly defined class. Vital statistics and population statistics must therefore be classified according to similarly defined systems and tabulated in comparable groups. Even when the variables common to both, such as geographic area, age, sex, and race, have been similarly classified and tabulated, differences between the enumeration method of obtaining population data and the registration method of obtaining vital statistics data may result in significant discrepancies.

The general rules used in the classification of geographic and personal items for deaths and fetal deaths for 1990 are set forth in two NCHS instruction manuals (2,3). A discussion of the classification of certain important items is presented below.

#### Classification by occurrence and residence

Tabulations for the United States and specified geographic areas in this volume are classified by place of residence unless stated as by place of occurrence. Before 1970, resident mortality statistics for the United States included all deaths occurring in the United States, with deaths of "nonresidents of the United States" assigned to place of death. "Deaths of nonresidents of the United States" refers to deaths that occur in the United States of nonresident aliens; nationals residing abroad; and residents of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and other territories of the United States. Beginning with 1970, deaths of nonresidents of the United States are not included in tables by place of residence.

Tables by place of occurrence, on the other hand, include deaths of both residents and nonresidents of the United States. Consequently, for each year beginning with 1970, the total number of deaths in the United States by place of occurrence was somewhat greater than the total by place of residence. For 1990, this difference amounted to 3,427 deaths. Mortality statistics by place of occurrence are shown in tables 1-11, 1-19, 1-20, 1-30, 1-31, 1-32, 3-1, 3-6, 8-1, and 8-7.

Before 1970, except for 1964 and 1965, deaths of nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States were treated as deaths of residents of the exact place of occurrence, which in most instances was an urban area. In 1964 and 1965, deaths of nonresidents of the United States occurring in the United States were allocated as deaths of residents of the balance of the county in which they occurred.

Residence error—Results of a 1960 study showed that the classification of residence information on the death certificates corresponded closely to the residence classification of the census records for the decedents whose records were matched (4).

A comparison of the results of this study of **deaths with** those for a previous matched record study of births (5) showed that the quality of residence data had improved considerably between 1950 and 1960. Both studies found that events in urban areas were overstated by the NCHS classification in comparison with the U.S. Bureau of the Census classification. The magnitude of the difference was substantially less for deaths in 1960 than it was for births in 1950.

The improvement is attributed to an item added in 1956 to the U.S. Standard Certificates of Birth and of Death, asking whether residence was inside or outside city limits. This new item aided in properly allocating the residence of persons living near cities but outside the corporate limits.

#### Geographic classification

The rules followed in the classification of geographic areas for deaths and fetal deaths are contained in the two instruction manuals referred to previously (2,3). The geographic codes assigned by the NCHS during data reduction of source information on birth, death, and fetal-death records are given in another instruction manual (6). Beginning with 1982 data, the geographic codes were modified to reflect results of the 1980 census. For 1970–81, codes are based on results of the 1970 census.

Metropolitan statistical areas—The Metropolitan statistical areas (MSA's) and Primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSA's) used in this volume are those established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget as of April 1, 1990, and used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (7), except in the New England States.

Outside the New England States, an MSA has either a city with a population of at least 50,000 or a Bureau of the Census urbanized area of at least 50,000 and a total MSA population of at least 100,000. A PMSA consists of a large urbanized county or cluster of counties that demonstrate very strong internal economic and social links and has a population over 1 million. When PMSA's are defined, the larger area of which they are component parts is designated a Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) (8).

In the New England States, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget uses towns and cities rather than counties as geographic components of MSA's and PMSA's. However, NCHS cannot use this classification for these States because its data are not coded to identify all towns. Instead, NCHS uses New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA's). Made up of county units, these areas are established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (9).

Metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties-Independent cities and counties included in MSA's and PMSA's or in NECMA's are included in data for metropolitan counties; all other counties are classified as nonmetropolitan.

Population-size groups—In 1990, vital statistics data for cities and certain other urban places were classified according to the population enumerated in the 1980 Census of Population. Data are available for individual cities and other urban places of 10,000 or more population. Data for the remaining areas not separately identified are shown in the tables under the heading "balance of area" or "balance of county." For the years 1970–81, classification of areas was determined by the population enumerated in the 1970 Census of Population. Beginning with 1982 data, some urban places identified in previous reports were deleted and others were added because of changes occurring in the enumerated population between 1970 and 1980.

Urban places other than incorporated cities for which vital statistics data are shown in this volume include the following:

- Each town in the New England States, New York, and Wisconsin and each township in Michigan, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania that had no incorporated municipality as a subdivision and had either 25,000 inhabitants or more, or a population of 10,000 to 25,000 and a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile.
- Each county in States other than those indicated above that had no incorporated municipality within its boundary and had a density of 1,000 persons or more per square mile. (Arlington County, Virginia, is the only county classified as urban under this rule.)
- Each place in Hawaii with a population of 10,000 or more has no incorporated cities in the State.

Before 1964, places were classified as "urban" or "rural." The technical appendixes for earlier years discuss the previous classification system.

#### State or country of birth

Mortality statistics by State or country of birth (table 1-36) became available beginning with 1979. State or country of birth of a decedent is assigned to 1 of the 50 States or the District of Columbia; or to Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, or Guam—if specified on the death certificate. The place of birth is also tabulated for Canada, Cuba, Mexico, and for the Remainder of the World. Deaths for which information on State or country of birth was unknown, not stated, or not classifiable accounted for a small proportion of all deaths in 1990, about 1.1 percent.

Early mortality reports published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census contained tables showing nativity of parents as well as nativity of decedent. Publication of these tables was discontinued in 1933. Mortality data showing nativity of decedent were again published in annual reports for 1939–41 and for 1950.

#### Age

The age recorded on the death record is the age at last birthday. With respect to the computation of death rates, the age classification used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census is based also on the age of the person in completed years. For computation of age-specific and age-adjusted death rates, deaths with age not stated are excluded. For life table computation, deaths with age not stated are distributed proportionately.

#### Race

For vital statistics in the United States in 1990, deaths are classified by race—white, black, American Indian, Chinese, Hawaiian, Japanese, Filipino, Other Asian or Pacific Islander, and Other. Mortality data for Filipino and Other Asian or Pacific Islander were shown for the first time in 1979.

The white category includes, in addition to persons reported as white, those reported as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, and all other Caucasians. The American Indian category includes American, Alaskan, Canadian, Eskimo, and Aleut. If the racial entry on the death certificate indicates a mixture of Hawaiian and any other race, the entry is coded to Hawaiian. If the race is given as a mixture of white and any other race, the entry is coded to the appropriate nonwhite race. If a mixture of races other than white is given (except Hawaiian), the entry is coded to the first race listed. This procedure for coding the first race listed has been used since 1969. Before 1969, if the entry for race was a mixture of black and any other race except Hawaiian, the entry was coded to black.

Most of the tables in this volume, however, do not show data for this detailed classification by race. In all the tables, the divisions are white, all other (including black), and black separately.

Race not stated—For 1990, the number of death records for which race was unknown, not stated, or not classifiable was 5,424, or 0.3 percent of the total deaths. Death records with race entry not stated are assigned to a racial designation as follows: If the preceding record is coded white, the code assignment is made to white; if the code is other than white, the assignment is made to black. Before 1964, all records with race not stated were assigned to white except records of residents of New Jersey for 1962–64.

New Jersey, 1962-64—New Jersey omitted the race item from its certificates of live birth, death, and fetal death used in the beginning of 1962. The item was restored during the latter part of 1962. However, the certificate revision without the race item was used for most of 1962 as well as 1963. Therefore, figures by race for 1962 and 1963 exclude New Jersey. For 1964, 6.8 percent of the death records used for residents of New Jersey did not contain the race item.

Adjustments made in vital statistics to account for the omission of the race item in New Jersey for part of the certificates filed during 1962–64 are described in the technical appendixes of the *Vital Statistics of the United States* for each of those data years.

#### Hispanic origin

Mortality statistics for the Hispanic-origin population are based on information for those States and the District of Columbia that included items on the death certificate to identify Hispanic or ethnic origin of decedents. Data for 1990 were obtained from the District of Columbia and all States except Louisiana, New Hampshire, and Oklahoma.

Hispanic mortality data were published for the first time in 1984. Generally, the reporting States used items similar to one of two basic formats recommended by NCHS. The first format is directed specifically toward the Hispanic population and appears on the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death as follows:

Was decedent of Hispanic origin?

```
(Specify No or Yes—If Yes, specify Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.) _____ No _____ Yes
```

Specify:

The second format is a more general ancestry item and appears as follows:

Ancestry-Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, African, English, Irish, German, Homong, etc., (specify)

For 1990, mortality data in tables 1-37 and 2-21 are based on deaths to residents of all 47 reporting States and the District of Columbia. In tables 1-38, 1-43, and 1-44, mortality data for the Hispanic-origin population are based on deaths to residents of 45 States, New York State (excluding New York City), and the District of Columbia whose data were at least 90 percent complete on a place-of-occurrence basis and considered to be sufficiently comparable to be used for analysis. The 45 States are Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Data for Connecticut and New York City are excluded from tables 1-38, 1-43, and 1-44 because of the large proportion of deaths (in excess of 10 percent) occurring in these geographic areas for which Hispanic origin was not stated or was unknown. Because New York City accounts for about one-half of the deaths to Puerto Ricans, the resulting mortality data may not be comparable with previous years. Louisiana, New Hampshire, and Oklahoma were excluded because their death certificates did not have an Hispanic or ancestry item.

In tables 2-22–2-25, the reporting area is based on deaths to residents of the same 45 States, New York State (excluding New York City), and the District of Columbia whose mortality data for all ages and whose live birth data were at least 90 percent complete on a place-of-occurrence basis and considered to be sufficiently comparable to be used for analysis.

The 45 States, New York State (excluding New York City), and the District of Columbia for which general mortality data are shown in this report accounted for about 89 percent of the Hispanic population in the United States in 1990. This included about 99 percent of the Mexican population, 58 percent of the Puerto Rican population, 92 percent of the Cuban population, and 81 percent of the "Other Hispanic" population (10). Accordingly, some caution should be exercised in generalizing mortality patterns from the reporting area to the Hispanic-origin population (especially Puerto Ricans) of the entire United States. For qualifications regarding infant mortality of the Hispanic-origin population, see "Infant deaths."

Alabama—In 1990 for Alabama, 127 deaths were erroneously coded to Puerto Rican rather than to non-Hispanic. The corresponding number of deaths for Puerto Ricans for 1989 was 15. As a result, the number of deaths for Puerto Ricans for the 45 States, New York State (excluding New York City), and the District of Columbia should be about 2 percent lower than the figures shown.

#### Marital status

Mortality statistics by marital status (tables 1-34 and 1-35) were published in 1979 for the first time since 1961. (They were previously published in the annual volumes for 1949-51 and 1959-61.) Several reports analyzing mortality by marital status have been published, including the special study based on 1959-61 data (11). Reference to earlier reports is given in the appendix of part B of the 1959-61 special study.

Mortality statistics by marital status are tabulated separately for never married, married, widowed, and divorced. Certificates on which the marriage is specified as being annulled are classified as never married. Where marital status is specified as separated or common-law marriage, it is classified as married. Of the 2,094,183 resident deaths 15 years of age and over in 1990, 10,791 certificates (0.5 percent) had marital status not stated.

#### **Educational attainment**

Beginning with the 1989 data year, mortality data on educational attainment are being tabulated from information reported on the death certificate. As a result of the revisions of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Death (1), this item was added to the certificates of a large number of States:

- Decedent's Education (specify only highest grade completed)
- Elementary/Secondary (0-12) College (1-4 or 5+)

Mortality data on educational attainment for 1990 (table 1-45) are based on deaths to residents of 43 States and the District of Columbia. Data for seven States—Georgia, Louisiana, New York, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Dakota, and Washington are excluded from this table because their death certificates did not include an educational attainment item, and New York City data are excluded because the education item on its death certificate was considered not comparable to be used for analysis.

In tables 1-46 and 1-47, the data are based on deaths to residents of 28 States and the District of Columbia whose data were at least 90 percent complete on a place-of-occurrence basis. The 28 States are Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Data for Alaska, Arkansas, Connecticut, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Mississippi, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia are excluded because more than 10 percent of their death certificates were classified to "unknown educational attainment."

#### Place of death and status of decedent

Mortality statistics by place of death were published in 1979 for the first time since 1958 (tables 1-30–1-32). In addition, mortality data also were available for the first time in 1979 for the status of decedent when death occurred in a hospital or medical center. The 1990 data were obtained from the following two items appearing on the revised U.S. Standard Certificate of Death (1):

- Item 9a. Place of Death (check only one) Hospital: Inpatient, ER/Outpatient, DOA Other: Nursing Home, Residence, Other (specify)
- Item 9b. Facility Name (If not institution, give street and number)

Before the 1989 revision of the Standard Certificate of Death, information on place of death and status of decedent could be determined if the hospital or institution indicated Inpatient, Outpatient, ER, and DOA, and if the name of the hospital or institution, which was used to determine the kind of facility, appeared on the certificate. The change to a checkbox format in many States for this item may affect the comparability of data between 1989 and previous years.

Except for Oklahoma, all of the States (including New York City) and the District of Columbia have item 9 (or its equivalent) on their certificates. Louisiana's certificate was revised in 1989, but the computer system was not changed. Therefore, the same detail categories used in 1988 were used in 1989 and 1990. As a result, not all categories were available. For all reporting States and the District of Columbia in the VSCP, NCHS accepts the state definition, classification, or code for hospitals, medical centers, nursing homes, or other institutions.

Effective with data year 1980, the coding of place of death and status of decedent was modified. A new coding category was added: "Death on arrival—hospital, clinic, medical center name not given." Deaths coded to this category are tabulated in tables 1-30–1-32. Had the 1979 coding categories been used, these deaths would have been tabulated as "Place unknown."

California—For the first 5 months of data year 1989, California coded "residence" to "other" for "Place of death."

#### Mortality by month and date of death

Deaths by month have been tabulated regularly and published in the annual volume for each year beginning with data year 1900. For 1990, deaths by month are shown in tables 1-20, 1-21, 1-24, 1-33, 2-14-2-16, and 3-7.

Date of death was published for the first time for data year 1972. In addition, unpublished data for selected causes by date of death for 1962 are available from NCHS.

Numbers of deaths by date of death in this volume are shown in table 1-33 for the total number of deaths and for the numbers of deaths for the following three causes, for which the greatest interest in date of occurrence of death has been expressed: Motor vehicle accidents, Suicide, and Homicide and legal intervention.

These data show the frequency distribution of deaths for the selected causes by day of the week. They also make it possible to identify holidays with peak numbers of deaths from specified causes.

#### **Report of autopsy**

Before 1972, the last year for which autopsy data were tabulated was 1958. Beginning in 1972, all registration areas requested information on the death certificate as to whether an autopsy was performed. For 1990, autopsies were reported on 239,591 death certificates, 11.2 percent of the total (table 1-29).

Information indicating whether autopsy findings were used in determining the cause of death was tabulated for 1972–73 for all but nine registration areas and from 1974–77 for all but eight registration areas. The item "autopsy findings used" was deleted from the 1978 U.S. Standard Certificate of Death.

For nine of the cause-of-death categories shown in table 1-29, autopsies were reported as performed for 50 percent or more of all deaths (Meningococcal infection; Measles; Pregnancy with abortive outcome; Other complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium; Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions; Motor vehicle accidents; Suicide; Homicide and legal intervention; and All other external causes). Autopsies were reported for only 7.1 percent of the Major cardiovascular diseases.

#### Cause of death

Cause-of-death classification—Since 1949, cause-of-death statistics have been based on the underlying cause of death, which is defined as "(a) the disease or injury which initiated the train of events leading directly to death, or (b) the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury" (12).

For each death, the underlying cause is selected from an array of conditions reported in the medical certification section on the death certificate. This section provides a format for entering the cause of death sequentially. The conditions are translated into medical codes through use of the classification structure and the selection and modification rules contained in the applicable revision of the *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD), published by the World Health Organization (WHO). Selection rules provide guidance for systematically identifying the underlying cause of death. Modification rules are intended to improve the usefulness of mortality statistics by giving preference to certain classification categories over others and/or to consolidate two or more conditions on the certificate into one classification category.

As a statistical datum, underlying cause of death is a simple, one-dimensional statistic; it is conceptually easy to understand and a well-accepted measure of mortality. It identifies the initiating cause of death and is therefore most useful to public health officials in developing measures to prevent the onset of the chain of events leading to death. The rules for selecting the underlying cause of death are included in ICD as a means of standardizing classification, which contributes toward comparability and uniformity in mortality medical statistics among countries.

Tabulation lists—Beginning with data year 1979, the causeof-death statistics published by NCHS have been classified according to the Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) (12). In addition to specifying that ICD-9 be used, WHO also recommends how the data should be tabulated to promote international comparability. The recommended system for tabulating data in ICD-9 allows countries to construct their mortality and morbidity tabulation lists from the rubrics of the WHO Basic Tabulation List (BTL) if the rubrics from the WHO mortality and morbidity lists, respectively, are included. This tabulation system for the Ninth Revision is more flexible than that of the Eighth Revision, in which specific lists were recommended for tabulating mortality and morbidity data.

The BTL recommended under the Ninth Revision consists of 57 two-digit rubrics that when added equal the "all causes" total. Identified within each two-digit rubric are up to nine three-digit rubrics that are numbered from zero to eight and whose total does not equal the two-digit rubric. The two-digit BTL rubrics 01-46 are used for the tabulation of nonviolent deaths according to ICD categories 001-799. Rubrics relating to chapter 17 (nature-of-injury causes 47-56) are not used by NCHS for selecting underlying cause of death; rather, preference is given to rubrics E47-E56. The 57th two-digit rubric VO is the Supplementary Classification of Factors Influencing Health Status and Contact with Health Services and is not appropriate for the tabulation of mortality data. The WHO Mortality List, a subset of the titles contained in the BTL, consists of 50 rubrics that are the minimum necessary for the national display of mortality data.

Five lists of causes have been developed for tabulation and publication of mortality data in this volume—the Each-Cause List, List of 282 Selected Causes of Death, List of 72 Selected Causes of Death, List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death, and List of 34 Selected Causes of Death. These lists were designed to be as comparable as possible with the NCHS lists more recently used under the Eighth Revision. However, complete comparability could not always be achieved.

The Each-Cause List is made up of each three-digit category of the WHO Detailed List to which deaths may be validly assigned and most four-digit subcategories. The list is used for tabulation for the entire United States. The published Each-Cause table does not show the four-digit subcategories provided for Motor vehicle accidents (E810–E825); however, these subcategories that identify persons injured are shown in the accident tables of this report (section 5). Special fifth-digit subcategories also are used in the accident tables to identify place of accident when deaths from nontransport accidents are shown. These are not shown in the Each-Cause table.

The List of 282 Selected Causes of Death is constructed from BTL rubrics 01-46 and E47-E56. Each of the 56 BTL two-digit titles can be obtained either directly or by combining titles in the List. The three-digit level of the BTL is modified more extensively. Where more detail was desired, categories not shown in the three-digit rubrics were added to the List of 282 Selected Causes of Death. Where less detail was needed, the three-digit rubrics were combined. Moreover, each of the 50 rubrics of the WHO Mortality List can be obtained from the List of 282 Selected Causes of Death.

The List of 72 Selected Causes of Death was constructed by combining titles in the List of 282 Selected Causes of Death. It is used in tables published for the United States, for each State, and for metropolitan statistical areas.

The List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death shows more detailed titles for Congenital anomalies and Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period than any other list except the Each-Cause List.

The List of 34 Selected Causes of Death was created by combining titles in the List of 72 Selected Causes. A table using this list is published for detailed geographic areas.

Beginning with data for 1987, changes were made in these lists to accommodate the introduction in the United States of new category numbers \*042-\*044 for Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. The changes are described in the Technical Appendix from Vital Statistics for the United States, 1987.

Effect of list revisions—The International Lists or adaptations of them, used in the United States since 1900, have been revised approximately every 10 years so the disease classifications may be consistent with advances in medical science and with changes in diagnostic practice. Each revision of the International Lists has produced some break in comparability of cause-of-death statistics. Cause-of-death statistics beginning with 1979 are classified by NCHS according to the ICD-9 (12). For a discussion of each of the classifications used with death statistics since 1900, see Vital Statistics of the United States, 1979, Volume II, Mortality, Part A, section 7, pages 9–14.

A dual coding study was undertaken in which the Ninth and the Eighth Revisions were compared to measure the extent of discontinuity in cause-of-death statistics resulting from introducing the new Revision. A study for the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death and the List of 10 Selected Causes of Infant Death has been published (13). The List of 10 Selected Causes of Infant Death is a basic NCHS tabulation list not used in this volume but used for provisional data in the *Monthly Vital Statistics Report*, another NCHS publication. Comparability studies were also undertaken between the Eighth and Seventh, Seventh and Sixth, and Sixth and Fifth Revisions. For additional information about these studies, see the Technical Appendix from Vital Statistics for the United States, 1979.

Significant coding changes under the Ninth Revision— Since the implementation of ICD-9 in the United States, effective with mortality data for 1979, several coding changes have been introduced. The more important changes are discussed as follows. In early 1983, a change that affected data from 1981 to 1986 was made in the coding of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and HIV infection. Also effective with data year 1981 was a coding change for poliomyelitis. For data year 1982, the definition of child was changed (which affects the classification of deaths to a number of categories, including Child battering and other maltreatment), and guidelines for coding deaths to the category Child battering and other maltreatment (ICD category number E967) were changed also. During the calendar year 1985, detailed instructions for coding motor vehicle accidents involving all-terrain vehicles (ATV's) were implemented to ensure consistency in coding these accidents. Effective with data year 1986, "primary" and "invasive" tumors, unspecified, were classified as "malignant"; these neoplasms had been classified to Neoplasms of unspecified nature (ICD-9 category number 239).

Beginning with data for 1987, NCHS introduced new category numbers \*042-\*044 for classifying and coding HIV infection, formerly referred to as human T-cell lymphotropic virus-III/lymphadenopathy associated virus (HTLV-III/LAV) infection. The asterisk appearing before the category numbers indicates these codes are not part of ICD-9. Also changed effective with data year 1987 were coding rules for the conditions "dehydration" and "disseminated intravascular coagulopathy." Effective with data year 1988, minor content changes were made to the classification for HIV infection. Detailed discussion of these changes may be found in the technical appendix for previous volumes.

Coding in 1990—The rules and instructions used in coding the 1990 mortality medical data remained essentially the same as those used for the 1988 and 1989 data.

Medical certification—The use of a standard classification list, although essential for State, regional, and international comparison, does not ensure strict comparability of the tabulated figures. A high degree of comparability among areas could be attained only if all records of cause of death were reported with equal accuracy and completeness. The medical certification of cause of death can be made only by a qualified person, usually a physician, a medical examiner, or a coroner. Therefore, the reliability and accuracy of cause-of-death statistics are, to a large extent, governed by the ability of the certifier to make the proper diagnosis and by the care with which he or she records this information on the death certificate.

A number of studies have been undertaken on the quality of medical certification on the death certificate. In general, these have been for relatively small samples and for limited geographic areas. A bibliography prepared by NCHS (14), covering 128 references over 23 years, indicates no definitive conclusions have been reached about the quality of medical certification on the death certificate. No country has a well-defined program for systematically assessing the quality of medical certifications reported on death certificates or for measuring the error effects on the levels and trends of cause-of-death statistics.

One index of the quality of reporting causes of death is the proportion of death certificates coded to the Ninth Revision, Chapter XVI, Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (ICD-9 category numbers 780-799). Although deaths occur for which it is impossible to determine the underlying cause, this proportion indicates the care and consideration given to the certification by the medical certifier. This proportion also may be used as a rough measure of the specificity of the medical diagnoses made by the certifier in various areas. In 1990, a record low of 1.1 percent of all reported deaths in the United States were assigned to this category compared with 1.3 for 1989. However, trends in the percent of deaths assigned to this category vary by age. Although the percent of deaths in this category for all ages combined has generally remained stable since 1980, decreases have occurred for the age group 55-64 years since 1983, for age group 65-74 years since 1982, for age group 75-84 years since 1986, and for 10-year age groups from 15 to 54 years since 1988. Between 1989 and 1990, the percent decreased for all age groups, except for the age group under 1 year of age; the percent for this age group was unchanged.

Automated selection of underlying cause of death—Before data year 1968, mortality medical data were based on manual coding of an underlying cause of death for each certificate in accordance with WHO rules. Effective with data year 1968, NCHS converted to computerized coding of the underlying cause and manual coding of all causes (multiple causes) on the death certificate. This system is called "Automated Classification of Medical Entities" (ACME) (15).

Beginning with data year 1990, another computer system was implemented. This system, called "Mortality Medical Indexing, Classification, and Retrieval" (MICAR) (16,17), automates the coding of the multiple causes of death. The MICAR system is a major and logical step forward in the evolution of processing mortality data. MICAR takes advantage of the increasing capabilities of electronic data processing to produce information that is more consistently handled than manually processed information. In addition, MICAR ultimately will provide more detailed information on the conditions reported on the death certificates than is available in the ICD classification (18). In this first year of implementation, only about 5 percent (94,372) of the Nation's death records were multiple cause coded using MICAR with subsequent processing through ACME. This includes at least a portion of the data from the following States: Alabama, Kentucky, Oregon, Rhode Island, and West Virginia. The remainder of the national file was processed by either NCHS or the States using only the ACME system. Tests have been conducted on the comparability of MICAR and manually-coded records. (See "Medical items on the death certificate.")

The ACME system applies the same rules for selecting the underlying cause as would be applied manually by a nosologist; however, under this system, the computer consistently applies the same criteria, thus eliminating intercoder variation in this step of the process.

The ACME computer program requires the coding of all conditions shown on the medical certification. These codes are matched automatically against decision tables that consistently select the underlying cause of death for each record according to the international rules. The decision tables provide the comprehensive relationships among the conditions classified by ICD when applying the rules of selection and modification.

The decision tables were developed by NCHS staff on the basis of their experience in coding underlying causes of death under the earlier manual coding system and as a result of periodic independent validations. These tables periodically are updated to reflect additional new information on the relationship among medical conditions. For data year 1988, these tables were amended to incorporate minor changes to the previously mentioned classification for HIV infection (\*042-\*044) that originally had been implemented with data year 1987. Coding procedures for selecting the underlying cause of death by using

the ACME computer program, as well as by using the ACME decision tables, are documented in NCHS instruction manuals (15,19,20).

Cause-of-death ranking—Cause-of-death ranking (except for infants) is based on numbers of deaths assigned to categories in the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death and the category Human immunodeficiency virus infection (\*042-\*044); causeof-death ranking for infants is based on the List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death and HIV infection. HIV infection was added to the list of rankable causes effective with data year 1987.

The group titles Major cardiovascular diseases and Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions from the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death are not ranked; Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period and Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions from the List of 61 Selected Causes of Infant Death are not ranked. In addition, category titles beginning with the words "Other" or "All other" are not ranked to determine the leading causes of death. When one of the titles representing a subtotal is ranked (such as Tuberculosis), its component parts (in this case, Tuberculosis of respiratory system and Other tuberculosis) are not ranked.

#### Maternal deaths

Maternal deaths are those for which the certifying physician has designated a maternal condition as the underlying cause of death. Maternal conditions are those assigned to Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium (ICD-9 category numbers 630-676). In the Ninth Revision, WHO for the first time defined a maternal death as follows:

A maternal death is defined as the death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and the site of the pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management but not from accidental or incidental causes.

Under the Eighth Revision, maternal deaths were assigned to the category "Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium" (ICDA-8 category numbers 630-678). Although WHO did not define maternal mortality, an NCHS classification rule existed that limited the definition of a maternal death to a death that occurred within a year after termination of pregnancy from any "maternal cause," that is, any cause within the range of ICDA-8 category numbers 630-678. This rule applied only if a duration was given for the condition. If no duration was specified and the underlying cause of death was a maternal condition, the duration was assumed to be within a year and the death was coded by NCHS as a maternal death. The change from an under-1-year limitation for duration used in the Eighth Revision to an under-42-days limitation used in the Ninth Revision did not have much effect on the comparability of maternal mortality statistics. However, comparability was affected by the following classification change. Under the Ninth Revision, maternal causes of death have been expanded to include Indirect obstetric causes (ICD-9 category numbers 647-648). These causes include Infective and parasitic conditions as well as other conditions present in the mother and classifiable elsewhere but that complicate pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium, such as Syphilis, Tuberculosis, Diabetes mellitus, Drug dependence, and Congenital cardiovascular disorders.

Maternal mortality rates are computed on the basis of the number of live births. The maternal mortality rate indicates the likelihood of a pregnant woman dying of maternal causes. The number of live births used in the denominator is an approximation of the population of pregnant women who are at risk of a maternal death.

Race—Beginning with the 1989 data year, NCHS changed the method of tabulating live birth and fetal death data by race from race of child to race of mother. This resulted in a discontinuity in maternal mortality rates by race between 1989 and 1990 and previous years; see section on "Change in tabulation of race data for live births and fetal deaths" under "Infant deaths."

#### Infant deaths

Age—Infant death is defined as a death under 1 year of age. The term excludes fetal deaths. Infant deaths usually are divided into two categories according to age, neonatal and postneonatal. Neonatal deaths are those that occur during the first 27 days of life; postneonatal deaths are those that occur between 28 days and 1 year of age. Generally, it has been believed that different factors influencing the child's survival predominate in these two periods: Factors associated with prenatal development, heredity, and the birth process were considered dominant in the neonatal period; environmental factors, such as nutrition, hygiene, and accidents, were considered more important in the postneonatal period. Recently, however, the distinction between these two periods has blurred due in part to advances in neonatology, which have enabled more very small premature infants to survive the neonatal period.

Rates-Infant mortality rates shown in sections 2 and 8 are the most commonly used indices for measuring the risk of dying during the first year of life; they are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths in a calendar year by the number of live births registered for the same period and are presented as rates per 1,000 or per 100,000 live births. Infant mortality rates use the number of live births in the denominator to approximate the population at risk of dying before the first birthday. This measure is an approximation because some live births will not have been exposed to a full year's risk of dying and some of the infants who die during a year will have been born in the previous year. The error introduced in the infant mortality rate by this inexactness is usually small, especially when the birth rate is relatively constant from year to year (21,22). Other sources of error in the infant mortality rate have been attributed to differences in applying the definitions for infant death and fetal death when registering the event (23,24).

In contrast to infant mortality rates based on live births, infant death rates shown in section 1 are based on the estimated population under 1 year of age. Infant death rates, which appear in tabulations of age-specific death rates, are calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths in a calendar year by the estimated midyear population of persons under 1 year of age and are presented as rates per 100,000 population in this age group. Patterns and trends in the infant death rate may differ somewhat from those of the more commonly used "infant mortality rate," mainly because of differences in the nature of the denominator and in the time reference. Whereas the population denominator for the infant death rate is estimated using data on births, infant deaths, and migration for the 12-month period of July–June, the denominator for the infant mortality rate is a count of births occurring during the 12 months of January–December. The difference in the time reference can result in different trends between the two indices during periods when birth rates are moving up or down markedly.

The infant death rate also is subject to greater imprecision than is the infant mortality rate because of problems of enumerating and estimating the population under 1 year of age (24).

Change in tabulation of race data for live births and fetal deaths—Beginning with the 1989 data year, NCHS changed the method of tabulating live birth and fetal death data by race from race of child to race of mother. This results in infant, fetal, perinatal, and maternal mortality rates for 1989 that are not comparable with those published for previous years, because live births comprise the denominator of these rates. To facilitate continuity and ease of interpretation, key published tables for 1989 and 1990, including all trend tables, will show data computed on the basis of live births and fetal deaths tabulated by both race of mother and race of child. This will make it possible to distinguish the effects of this change from real changes in the data.

As in previous years, race for infant and maternal deaths (the numerator of the rate) is tabulated by the race of the decedent. For fetal and perinatal mortality rates, the numerator and the denominator of the rates are affected because the change to race of mother affects fetal deaths and live births.

As noted in detail in the Technical Appendix from Vital Statistics of the United States, 1989, Volume I, Natality, data on live births and fetal deaths are tabulated by the race of the mother. When the race of the mother is unknown, the race of the mother is assigned to the father's race; when information for both parents is missing, the race of the mother is assigned to the specific race of the mother of the preceding record with known race. In previous years, birth and fetal death tabulations were calculated by race of child as determined statistically by an algorithm based on information reported for the mother and father. In cases of mixed parentage where only one parent was white, the child was assigned to the other parent's race. When neither parent was white, the child was assigned the race of the father, except if either parent was Hawaiian, the child was assigned to Hawaiian. If race was not reported for one parent, the child was assigned the race of the parent for whom race was given.

The change in the tabulation of live births and fetal deaths by race reflects three factors over the past two decades: the topical content of the birth certificate has been expanded to include considerable health and demographic information related to the mother, the increasing incidence of interracial parentage, and the growing proportion of births for which the race of the father is not reported.

Quantitatively, the change in the basis for tabulating live births and fetal deaths by race results in more white births and fetal deaths and fewer to the black population and to other races. Consequently, infant, fetal, perinatal, and maternal mortality rates under the new classification tend to be lower for white infants and higher for infants of other races (table A). In general, discontinuities are larger for infant and maternal mortality rates, where only the denominator of the rate is affected by the change, than for fetal and perinatal mortality rates, where the numerator and the denominator are affected. For some minority race groups, the effect of the change is quite large.

The change in the race classification of live births and fetal deaths presents challenges to those analyzing infant, fetal, perinatal, and maternal mortality data, particularly trend data. To facilitate analysis of infant mortality by race, reports will be prepared showing historic data tabulated by race of mother.

Comparison of race data from birth and death certificates— Regardless of whether vital events are tabulated by race of mother or by race of child, inconsistencies exist in reporting race for the same infant between birth and death certificates, based on results of studies in which race on the birth and death certificates for the same infant were compared (25).

These reporting inconsistencies can result in systematic biases in infant mortality rates by specified race, in particular, underestimates for specified races other than white or black. In the computation of race-specific infant mortality rates published in *Vital Statistics of the United States*, the race item for the numerator comes from the death certificate, and for the denominator, from the birth certificate. Biases in the rates may arise

## Table A. Ratio of Infant, neonatal, postneonatal, maternal, and perinatal mortality rates with race for live births tabulated according to race of mother to those with race for live births tabulated according to race of child: United States, 1990

	Infant Manadal Basinganat					Perinatal definition				
Race	Infant deaths	Neonatal deaths	Postneonatal deaths	Maternal deaths	Fetal deaths	- /	"			
All races	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
White	0.99	0.98	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.99		
Black	1.06	1.06	1.05	1.00	1.02	1.04	1.04	1.04		
American Indian	1.26	1.26	1.26	*	1.06	1.13	1.13	1.12		
Chinese	1.08	1.04	1.09	*	1.00	1.00	1.04	1.04		
Japanese	1.20	1.19	*	*	0.96 ·	1.02	1.04	1.03		
Hawaiian	1.44	1.42	1.46	•	1.04	1.16	1.21	1.19		
Filipino	1.03	1.06	1.09	•	1.00	1.04	1.03	1.03		
Other Asian	1.10	1.06	1.05	*	1.03	1.03	1.06	1.06		
Other races	*	•	•	•	1.23	1.25	1.24	1.23		

Table B. Infant mortality rates by race of mother for the period 1985–87 and for birth cohorts, 1985–87; and ratio of birth cohort to period rates: United States

[Rates per 1,000 live births in specified groups]

Race	Period rate 1985-87	Birth cohort rate 1985-87	Ratio cohort/ period rates
All races	10.4	10.1	0.97
White	8.8	8.5	0.97
Black	18.9	18.2	0.96
American Indian	12.2	13.3	1.09
Chinese	5.5	6.0	1.09
Japanese	5.3	6.6	1.25
Filipino	5.1	7.2	1.41
Other Asian and Pacific Islander	7.0	8.3	1.19

NOTE: Births for race not stated are not distributed.

because of possible inconsistencies in reporting race on these two vital records. Race of the mother and father is reported on the birth certificate by the mother at the time of delivery; whereas race of the deceased infant is reported on the death certificate by the funeral director based on observation or on information supplied by an informant, such as a parent. Previous studies have noted the race for an infant who died and was of a smaller minority race group is sometimes reported as white on the death certificate, but is reported as the minority race group on the birth certificate, resulting, in the aggregate, in understatement of infant mortality for smaller race groups (25).

Estimates can be made of the degree of bias in race-specific infant mortality rates by comparing rates for birth cohorts based on the newly available linked birth and infant death data set (26,27) with period rates based on mortality data published in *Vital Statistics of the United States* for the same year(s).

The comparison of cohort and period rates is somewhat affected by small differences in the events included in the numerators of the two rates. The numerator of the cohort rate is comprised of infant deaths to the cohort of infants born in a calendar year whereas the numerator of the period rate is comprised of infant deaths occurring in the calendar year. Based on data comparing infant mortality rates from the linked data set for the birth cohorts of 1985–87 with period rates constructed for 1985–87, bias in the rates for the two major race groups—white and black—is small (table B). However, cohort rates for the smaller race groups are estimated to be higher than period rates by 9 to 41 percent. Cohort rates have not been adjusted to reflect the approximately 2 percent of infant death records that were not linked to their corresponding birth records. Because of systematic understatement of infant mortality rates based on period data, data from the national linked files should be used to measure infant mortality for races other than black and white. For the major race groups, period data are a close approximation of the rates based on linked files.

Hispanic origin—Infant mortality rates for the Hispanicorigin population are based on numbers of resident infant deaths reported to be of Hispanic origin and numbers of resident live births by Hispanic origin of mother for the 45 States, New York State (excluding New York City), and the District of Columbia. In computing infant mortality rates, deaths and live births of unknown origin are not distributed among the specified Hispanic and non-Hispanic groups. Because the percent of infant deaths of unknown origin for 1990 was 1.6 percent and the percent of live births of unknown origin was 1.0 percent, infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin and race for non-Hispanic origin are slightly underestimated.

Caution should be exercised when comparing infant mortality rates among the Hispanic populations (especially Puerto Ricans) and non-Hispanic populations for 1990. Because the percent unknown origin for all ages for New York City was about 19 percent on a place-of-occurrence basis, infant mortality data for New York City was excluded from tables 2-22-2-25. The percent unknown origin on a place-of-residence basis for infant deaths for New York City for 1990 was about 28 percent (about 5 percent for live births). Also, because New York City accounted for about 33 percent of the live births to Puerto Ricans in the United States in 1990, excluding the data

#### Table C. Infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin and race for non-Hispanic origin for three methods of allocating "unknown origins": Total of 45 States, New York State (including and excluding New York City), and the District of Columbia, 1990

[Rate per 1.000 live births in specific group]

		Hispanic						Non-Hispanic		
Method and area	All origins	Tota/	Mexican	Puerto Rican	Cuban <sup>1</sup>	Other Hispanic	Total 2	White	Black	
No allocation										
45 States, New York (excluding New York City), D.C	<b>9</b> .1	7.8	7.7	10.2	7.6	7.2	9.3	7.4	17.9	
45 States, New York (including New York City), D.C	9.2	7.7	7.7	8.7	7.2	7.2	9.3	7.4	17.7	
Proportional allocation of all areas combined										
45 States, New York (excluding New York City), D.C	9.1	7.8	7.8	10.3	7.6	7.2	9.4	7.5	18.0	
45 States, New York (including New York City), D.C	9.2	7.8	7.8	8.8	7.4	7.4	9.5	7.6	18.1	
Proportional allocation for each area and summed										
45 States, New York (excluding New York City), D.C.	9.1	7.8	7.8	10.3	7.6	72	94	7.5	18.1	
45 States, New York (including New York City), D.C	9.2	7.9	7.7	9.4	7.3	7.7	9.5	7.5	18.3	

<sup>1</sup>Includes Central and South American and Other and unknown Hispanic <sup>2</sup>Includes races other than white and black. for New York City may have an impact on infant mortality rates for the Hispanic population, especially for Puerto Ricans.

Table C shows the effects of including and excluding infant deaths and live births for New York City for 1990 in the infant mortality rates for the total area using three methods. The three methods are as follows: (a) No allocation of infant deaths (or live births), (b) proportional allocation of infant deaths (and live births) for all geographic areas combined, and (c) proportional allocation of infant deaths (and live births) for each geographic area separately and then combined for the total area.

Proportional allocation assumes that the percent distribution of deaths (and live births) of unknown origin is the same as for deaths (and live births) of known origin.

Method c is believed to be the best method for comparing the impact of including or excluding data for New York City, because of geographic variation in the race and ethnic composition of the population. For method c and using the rates excluding New York City as the base, the difference in infant mortality rates is no greater than 1 percent between including and excluding New York City for all origins, total Hispanic, Mexican, total non-Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, and non-Hispanic black. However, the difference is about 10 percent for Puerto Ricans, 7 percent for Other Hispanic, and 4 percent for Cubans. It is unclear whether including or excluding New York City data produces the better rates.

In addition, as discussed above for specified races, period infant mortality rates for specific Hispanic-origin groups tend to be underestimated when compared with rates based on the national linked birth and infant death data set as shown in table D. Comparisons also are affected by the approximate 2 percent of infant death records that are not linked to the corresponding birth records.

Caution should be exercised when generalizing from the ratios of cohort-to-period rates for 1986–87 with data for 1990, because the area for Hispanic data has expanded from 18 States and the District of Columbia in 1986–87 to 45 States, New York State (excluding New York City), and the District of Columbia in 1990. The Hispanic area for 1986–87 included Arizona,

Table D. Infant mortality rates by specified Hispanic origin of mother and race of mother for mothers of non-Hispanic origin for the period 1986–87 and birth cohorts 1986 and 1987 combined; and ratio of birth cohort to period rates: Total of 18 reporting States and the District of Columbia

[Rates per 1,000 live births in specified group. Figures for origin not stated included in "All origins" but not distributed among origin groups]

Origin	Period rate 1986–87	Birth cohort rate 1986–87	Ratio cohort/ period rates
Ail origins	<b>10</b> .1	9.7	0.96
Hispanic total	8.0	8.3	1.04
Мехісап	7.6	7.9	1.04
Puerto Rican	7.9	10.9	1.37
Сирап	6.5	7.9	1.22
Other Hispanic <sup>1</sup>	9.1	8.3	0.91
Non-Hispanic total <sup>2</sup>	9.9	9.9	1.00
Non-Hispanic white	8.3	8.2	0.99
Non-Hispanic black	17.5	17.7	1.01

<sup>1</sup>Includes Central and South American, and Other and unknown Hispanic. <sup>2</sup>Includes races other than white and black. Arkansas, California, Colorado, District of Columbia, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Texas, Utah, and Wyoming.

Small numbers of infant deaths for specific Hispanic-origin groups can result in infant mortality rates subject to relatively large random variation (see "Random variation in numbers of deaths, death rates, and mortality rates and ratios."

Tabulation list—Causes of death for infants are tabulated according to a list of causes that is different from the list of causes for the population of all ages, except for the Each Cause List. (See "Cause-of-death classification" under "Cause of death.")

California—From 1985 to 1988, data on age at death for California were biased in the categories 1–23 hours and 1 day because of processing errors that affected selected infants who died within 24 hours after birth. Specifically, some infants who died within 1–23 hours of birth were erroneously coded as dying at 1 day after birth. The effect of these errors on national data for the years 1985–88 shown in table 2-4 is negligible. The problem was identified and corrected for 1989 and subsequent years.

#### Fetal deaths

In May 1950, WHO recommended the following definition of fetal death be adopted for international use:

Death prior to the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of pregnancy; the death is indicated by the fact that after such separation, the fetus does not breathe or show any other evidence of life such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles (28).

The term "fetal death" was defined on an all-inclusive basis to end confusion arising from the use of such terms as stillbirth, spontaneous abortion, and miscarriage.

Shortly thereafter, this definition was adopted by NCHS as the nationally recommended standard. All registration areas except Puerto Rico have definitions similar to the standard definition (29). Puerto Rico has no formal definition.

As another step toward increasing comparability of data on fetal deaths for different countries, WHO recommended that for statistical purposes fetal deaths be classified as early, intermediate, and late. These groups are defined as follows:

Less than 20 completed weeks of gestation	
(early fetal deaths) Group I	
20 completed weeks of gestation but less than	
28 (intermediate fetal deaths) Group II	
28 completed weeks of gestation and over	
(late fetal deaths) Group III	
Gestation period not classifiable in groups I, II,	
and'III Group IV	

As shown in table 3-11, Group IV consists of fetal deaths with gestation not stated but presumed to be 20 weeks or more.

Until 1939, the nationally recommended procedure for registration of a fetal death required the filing of a live-birth certificate and a death certificate. In 1939, a separate Standard Certificate of Stillbirth (fetal death) was created to replace the former procedure. This was revised in 1949, 1956, 1968, 1978, and 1989. The 1989 U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death is shown in figure 7-B.

The 1977 revision of the Model State Vital Statistics Act and Model State Vital Statistics Regulations (30) recommended spontaneous fetal deaths at a gestation of 20 weeks or more or a weight of 350 grams or more and all induced terminations of pregnancy regardless of gestational age be reported and further be reported on separate forms. These forms should be considered legally required statistical reports rather than legal documents.

Beginning with fetal deaths reported in 1970, procedures were implemented that attempted to separate reports of spontaneous fetal deaths from those of induced terminations of pregnancy. These procedures were implemented because the health implications of spontaneous fetal deaths are different from those of induced terminations of pregnancy. These procedures are still used.

Comparability and completeness of data—Registration area requirements for reporting fetal deaths vary. Most of the areas require reporting of fetal death at gestations of 20 weeks or more. Table E shows the minimum period of gestation required by each State to report fetal death. Substantial evidence exists that indicates some fetal deaths for which reporting is required are not reported (31).

Underreporting of fetal deaths is most likely to occur in the earlier part of the required reporting period for each State. Thus, for States requiring reporting of all periods of gestation, fetal deaths occurring at younger gestational ages are less completely reported. The reporting of fetal deaths at 20–23 weeks of gestation may be more complete for those States that report fetal deaths at all periods of gestation than for others.

To maximize the comparability of data by year and by State, most of the tables in section 3 are based on fetal deaths occurring at gestations of 20 weeks or more. These tables also include fetal deaths for which gestation is not stated for those States requiring reporting at 20 weeks or more gestation only. Beginning with 1969, fetal deaths of not stated gestation were excluded for States requiring reporting of all products of conception except for those with a stated birthweight of 500 grams or more. In 1990, this rule was applied to the following States: Georgia, Hawaii, New York (including New York City), Rhode Island, and Virginia. Each year, there are exceptions to this procedure.

Arkansas—Since 1971, Arkansas has been using two reporting forms for fetal deaths: A confidential Spontaneous Abortion form that is not sent to NCHS and a Fetal Death Certificate that is. During the period 1971–80, it is believed that most spontaneous fetal deaths of less than 20 weeks' gestation were reported on the confidential form and, therefore, were not reported to NCHS. During the period 1981–83, Arkansas specified that fetal deaths of less than 28 weeks' gestation or weighing less than 1,000 grams could be reported on the confidential form; beginning with 1984 data, the State specified that fetal deaths of 20 weeks' gestation or weighing 500 grams be reported on the Fetal Death Certificate. Because of these changes, the comparability of counts of early fetal deaths may be affected. In particular, counts of fetal deaths at 20 to 27 weeks for 1981–83 were not comparable between Arkansas and other reporting areas or with Arkansas data for 1984–90. It is believed that reporting has improved but is still not comparable with data for 1980 and earlier years.

Colorado—Although Colorado State law requires reporting fetal deaths of all periods of gestation, beginning in 1989 the State provides to NCHS only data for fetal deaths of 20 weeks' gestation or more.

Maine—Maine uses two reporting forms for fetal deaths: A Report of Abortion (Spontaneous and Induced) and a Report of Fetal Death. Most spontaneous fetal deaths at less than 20 weeks' gestation are reported on the Report of Abortion, and, therefore, are excluded from fetal death counts in this volume.

*Maryland*—From the counts of frequencies by month, it appears that not all fetal deaths occurring in the first quarter of 1989 were reported. This may account in part for the lower number of fetal deaths and fetal mortality rates for Maryland for 1989 relative to 1990.

Wisconsin-Beginning in 1986, Wisconsin changed its reporting requirements for spontaneous fetal deaths from "20 weeks" to "20 weeks or 350 grams."

Revised Report of Fetal Death for 1989—Beginning with data for 1989, new items were added to the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death, including Hispanic origin of the mother and father, medical and other risk factors of pregnancy, obstetric procedures, and method of delivery. In addition, questions on complications of labor and/or delivery and congenital anomalies of fetus were changed from an open-ended question to a checkbox format to ensure more complete reporting of information. However, because of differences in implementation dates of the new fetal death report for reporting States, and because of inexperience in reporting and processing the new items, reporting of the new items in individual States may be incomplete for 1990. The data quality and completeness of many of these items are being evaluated.

The tabulation of items in the fetal death section is limited to those States whose reporting is sufficiently complete. For fetal deaths, data are published when a State has a response for the item on at least 20 percent of the records.

Period of gestation—The period of gestation is the number of completed weeks elapsed between the first day of the last normal menstrual period (LMP) and the date of delivery. The first day of the LMP is used as the initial date because it can be more accurately determined than the date of conception, which usually occurs 2 weeks after LMP. Data on period of gestation are computed from information on "date of delivery" and "date last normal menses began." If "date last normal menses began" is not on the record or if the calculated gestation falls beyond a duration considered biologically plausible, the "Physician's estimate of gestation" is used.

To improve data quality, beginning with data for 1989, NCHS instituted a new computer edit to check for consistency between gestation and birthweight (32). Briefly, if LMP gestation is inconsistent with birthweight, and the physician's esti-

#### Table E. Period of gestation at which fetal-death reporting is required: Each reporting area, 1990

	All periods of	16	20	20 weeks or	20 weeks or	5	. 350	500
Area	gestation	weeks	WOOKS	350 grams	400 grams	monims	grams	grams
Alabama			x					
Alaska			x				1	
Arizona			<sup>1</sup> X			]		]
Arkansas	শ্ব 🕹							
California			x					
Colorado	²χ							
Connecticut			x					
Delaware			x					
District of Columbia						x		
Florida			x			ļ		ļ
Georgia	x							
Hawaii	x							
Idaho				x				
Illinois			x		-			
Indiana			x					
lowa			x					
Kansas					ļ	]		x
Kentucky				x				
Louisiana				x				
Maine	27			^				
Marviand	^		3ү					
Massachusetts				Y				
Michigan				~	Y Y			
Minnesnia			Y					
Mississioni			^	Y				
Misaisaippi				Ŷ				
Mastono			~	^				
Nohraska			÷					
Nebraska			÷					
			~	v				
			v	×				
New Mexico			~					~
								^
New York excluding New York City	X							
Neth Careline	~		v					
North Carolina			X					
			X					
			X					
Okianoma			X				1	
			אי					
Pennsylvania		x						
Anode Island	x							
South Carolina				x				
South Dakota								F
1ennessee								۰X
lexas			х					
Utah			X					
Vernont			۴X					
Virginia	x							
Washington			х					
West Virginia	ļ		X					
Wisconsin				x				
Wyoming			x					
Puerto Rico							X	
Virgin Islands	x							
Guam			х					

<sup>1</sup>If gestational age is unknown, weight of 350 grams or more. <sup>2</sup>Although State law requires the reporting of fetal deaths of all periods of gestation, only data for fetal deaths of 20 weeks or more gestation are provided to NCHS. <sup>3</sup>If gestational age is unknown, weight of 500 grams or more. <sup>4</sup>If gestational age is unknown, weight of 400 grams or more, or crown-heel length of 28 centimeters or more. <sup>5</sup>If weight is unknown, 22 completed weeks' gestation or more. <sup>6</sup>If gestational age is unknown, weight of 400 or more grams. 15 or more ounces.

mate is consistent, the physician's estimate is used; if both are inconsistent, LMP gestation is used, and birthweight is assigned to unknown. When the period of gestation is reported in months on the report, it is allocated to gestational intervals in weeks as follows:

1-3 months to under 16 weeks
4 months to 16-19 weeks
5 months to 20-23 weeks
6 months to 24-27 weeks
7 months to 28-31 weeks
8 months to 32-35 weeks
9 months to 40 weeks
10 months and over to 43 weeks and over

All areas except Puerto Rico reported LMP, and all areas except California, the District of Columbia, Louisiana, Maryland, and Oklahoma reported physician's estimate of gestation. Nebraska also was excluded because of the large proportion of unknown.

Birthweight—Most of the 55 registration areas do not specify how weight should be given, that is, in pounds and ounces or in grams. In the tabulation and presentation of birthweight data, the metric system (grams) has been used to facilitate comparison with other data published in the United States and internationally. Birthweight specified in pounds and ounces is assigned the equivalent of the gram intervals, as follows:

```
Less than 350 grams = 0 lb 12 oz or less

350-499 grams = 0 lb 13 oz-1 lb 1 oz

500-999 grams = 1 lb 2 oz-2 lb 3 oz

1,000-1,499 grams = 2 lb 4 oz-3 lb 4 oz

1,500-1,999 grams = 3 lb 5 oz-4 lb 6 oz

2,000-2,499 grams = 4 lb 7 oz-5 lb 8 oz

2,500-2,999 grams = 5 lb 9 oz-6 lb 9 oz

3,000-3,499 grams = 6 lb 10 oz-7 lb 11 oz

3,500-3,999 grams = 7 lb 12 oz-8 lb 13 oz

4,000-4,499 grams = 8 lb 14 oz-9 lb 14 oz

4,500-4,999 grams = 9 lb 15 oz-11 lb 0 oz

5,000 grams or more = 11 lb 1 oz or more
```

With the introduction of ICD-9, the birthweight classification intervals for perinatal mortality statistics were shifted downward by 1 gram as shown above. Previously, the intervals were, for example, 1,001-1,500, 1,501-2,000, and so forth. Beginning in 1989, NCHS instituted a consistency check between birthweight and gestation; see previous section on gestation.

*Race*—Beginning with data for 1989, NCHS changed the method of tabulating fetal death, perinatal, and live birth data by race from race of child to race of mother. This has resulted in a discontinuity in fetal mortality rates by race between 1989 and 1990 relative to previous years; see "Change in tabulation of race data for live births and fetal deaths" under "Infant deaths."

Hispanic origin of mother—Fetal mortality data for the Hispanic-origin population are based on fetal deaths to mothers of Hispanic origin who were residents of those States and the District of Columbia that included items on the report of fetal death to identify Hispanic or ethnic origin of mother. Data for 1990 were obtained from 44 States and the District of Columbia; areas not supplying data were Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, and Rhode Island. For 1990, fetal and perinatal mortality data in table 3-19 are for 44 States and the District of Columbia and tables 3-20, 4-6, and 4-7 are for 36 States and the District of Columbia that had an item on Hispanic or ethnic origin on the death certificate, birth certificate, and report of fetal death and whose data for all three files were at least 90 percent complete on a place-ofoccurrence basis and considered to be sufficiently comparable to be used for analysis. The States included are Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

The 36 States and the District of Columbia for which fetal and perinatal data by Hispanic origin are shown accounted for about 81 percent of the Hispanic population in 1990, including 93 percent of the Mexican population, 45 percent of the Puerto Rican population, 88 percent of the Cuban population, and 65 percent of the "Other Hispanic" population (10). Accordingly, caution should be exercised in generalizing mortality patterns from the reporting area to the Hispanic-origin population (especially Puerto Ricans) of the entire United States. (See also "Hispanic origin" under "Classification of Data").

Total-birth order—Total-birth order refers to the sum of live births and other terminations (including spontaneous fetal deaths and induced terminations of pregnancy) a woman has had, including the fetal death being recorded. For example, if a woman has given birth to two live babies and to one born dead, the next fetal death to occur is counted as number four in total-birth order.

Beginning with implementation of the 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death, total-birth order is calculated from three items on pregnancy history: number of previous live births now living; number of previous live births now dead; and number of other terminations (spontaneous and induced at anytime after conception). For prior years, total-birth order was calculated from four items, see the Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1988*, Volume II, Mortality, Part A.

Although all registration areas use the two standard items pertaining to number of previous live births, registration areas phrase the item on pertaining to other terminations of pregnancy differently. Total-birth order for all areas is calculated from the sum of available information. Thus, information on total-birth order may not be completely comparable among the registration areas. In addition, there may be substantial underreporting of other terminations of pregnancy on the fetal death report.

Marital status—Table 3-3 shows fetal deaths and fetaldeath rates by mother's marital status. The following States were excluded from this table because their reports of fetal death did not include an item on marital status: California, Connecticut, Maryland, Michigan, Nevada, New York (including New York City), Ohio, and Texas. Because live births comprise the denominator of the rate, marital status must be reported for mothers of live births also. Marital status of the mother of the live birth is inferred for States that did not report it on the birth certificate. Beginning with data for 1989, fetal deaths reports with marital status not stated are shown as not stated in frequencies, but are proportionally distributed for rate computations into either the married or unmarried categories according to the percent of fetal death reports with stated marital status that fall into each category for the reporting States. Before 1989, fetal death reports with not-stated marital status were assigned to the married category. Because of this change, fetal death frequencies and rates by marital status for 1989 and 1990 are not strictly comparable with those for previous years.

No quantitative data exist on the characteristics of unmarried women who do not report, misreport their marital status, or fail to register fetal deaths. Underreporting may be greater for the unmarried group than for the married group.

Age of mother—Beginning with data for 1989, the U.S. Standard Report of Fetal Death asks for the mother's date of birth. Age of mother is computed from the mother's date of birth and the date of the termination of the pregnancy. For those States whose certificates do not contain an item for the mother's date of birth, reported age of the mother (in years) is used. The age of the mother is edited in NCHS for upper and lower limits. When mothers are reported to be under 10 years of age or 50 years of age and over, the age of the mother is considered not stated and is assigned as follows: Age on all fetal-death records with age of mother not stated is assigned according to the age appearing on the record previously processed for a mother of identical race and having the same total-birth order (total of live births and other terminations).

Sex of fetus—Beginning with data for 1989, for all fetal deaths of 20 or more weeks gestation, not-stated sex of fetus is assigned the sex of the fetus from the previous record. Before 1989, no such assignment was made.

*Plurality*—All registration areas except Louisiana report the plurality of the fetus. Although Louisiana has not reported this item for many years, prior to 1989, data for Louisiana was erroneously converted to a plurality of 1 (single birth) and included in United States totals. Beginning with 1989 data, Louisiana is excluded from tables reporting plurality of the fetus. For reporting areas, not-stated plurality of the fetus is assigned to single births.

#### Perinatal mortality

Perinatal definitions—Beginning with data year 1979, perinatal mortality data for the United States and each State have been published in section 4. WHO recommends in ICD-9, "national perinatal statistics should include all fetuses and infants delivered weighing at least 500 grams (or when birthweight is unavailable, the corresponding gestational age (22 weeks) or body length (25 cm crown-heel)), whether alive or dead...." It further recommends, "countries should present, solely for international comparisons, 'standard perinatal statistics' in which both the numerator and denominator of all rates are restricted to fetuses and infants weighing 1,000 grams or more (or, where birthweight is unavailable, the corresponding gestational age (28 weeks) or body length (35 cm crownheel))." Because birthweight and gestational age are not reported on the death certificate in the United States, NCHS was unable to adopt these definitions. Three definitions of perinatal mortality are used by NCHS: Perinatal Definition I, generally used for international comparisons, which includes fetal deaths of 28 weeks' gestation or more and infant deaths of less than 7 days; Perinatal Definition II, which includes fetal deaths of 20 weeks' gestation or more and infant deaths of less than 28 days; and Perinatal Definition III, which includes fetal deaths of 20 weeks' gestation or more and infant deaths of less than 7 days.

Variations in fetal death reporting requirements and practices have implications for comparing perinatal rates among States. Because reporting is generally sporadic near the lower limit of the reporting requirement, States that require reporting of all products of pregnancy, regardless of gestation, are likely to have more complete reporting of fetal deaths at 20 weeks or more than those States that do not. The larger number of fetal deaths reported for these "all periods" States may result in higher perinatal mortality rates than those rates reported for States whose reporting is less complete. Accordingly, reporting completeness may account, in part, for differences among the State perinatal rates, particularly differences for Definitions II and III, which use data for fetal deaths at 20–27 weeks.

Not stated—Fetal deaths with gestational age not stated are presumed to be of 20 weeks' gestation or more if the State requires reporting of all fetal deaths at a gestational age of 20 weeks or more or the fetus weighed 500 grams or more in those States requiring reporting of all fetal deaths, regardless of gestational age. For Definition I, fetal deaths at a gestation not stated but presumed to have been of 20 weeks or more are allocated to the category 28 weeks or more, according to the proportion of fetal deaths with stated gestational age that falls into that category. For Definitions II and III, fetal deaths at a presumed gestation of 20 weeks or more are included with those at a stated gestation of 20 weeks or more.

The allocation of not-stated gestational age for fetal deaths is made individually for each State, for metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas, and separately for the entire United States. Accordingly, the sum of perinatal deaths for the areas according to Definition I may not equal the total number of perinatal deaths for the United States.

*Race*—Beginning with the 1989 data year, NCHS changed the method of tabulating fetal death and live birth data by race from race of child to race of mother. This has resulted in a discontinuity in perinatal mortality rates by race between 1989 and previous years; see "Change in tabulation of race data for live births and fetal deaths" under "Infant deaths."

Hispanic origin—See "Hispanic origin of mother" under "Fetal deaths."

#### Quality of data

#### **Completeness of registration**

All States have adopted laws requiring the registration of births and deaths and the reporting of fetal deaths. It is believed that more than 99 percent of the births and deaths occurring in this country are registered.

Table F. Numbers of deaths and ratios of deaths for selected causes according to Alaska and NCHS, 1990

[Data by place of occurrence include deaths of nonresidents. Numbers after causes of death are category numbers of the Ninth Revision International Classification of Diseases, 1975]

			Ratio
Causes	Alaska	NCHS	Alaska/NCHS
All causes <sup>1</sup>	2,214	2,216	1.00
Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions	48	54	0.89
effects	395	446	0.89
Motor vehicle accidentsE810-E825 All other accidents and adverse	118	102	1.16
effects	277	344	0.81
Suicide	122	71	1.72
Homicide and legal intervention	45	31	1.45
All other external causes E980E999	2	6	0.33

<sup>1</sup>For two deaths underlying cause of death was not on the 1990 Alaska file sent to NCHS for evaluation.

Reporting requirements for fetal deaths vary from State to State (see "Comparability and completeness of data"). Overall reporting is not as complete for fetal deaths as for births and deaths, but it is believed to be relatively complete for fetal deaths at a gestation of 28 weeks or more. National statistical data on fetal deaths include only fetal deaths occurring at a stated or presumed gestation of 20 weeks or more.

#### Massachusetts data

The 1964 statistics for deaths exclude approximately 6,000 deaths registered in Massachusetts, primarily to residents of that State. Microfilm copies of these records were not received by NCHS. Figures for the United States and the New England Division are affected also.

#### Alabama data

The 1988 statistics for deaths show no deaths assigned to the city of Prattville in Autauga County. The death records that should have been assigned to this area were instead assigned to the Balance of county because of a processing error.

#### Alaska data

Numbers of deaths occurring in Alaska for each of the years 1988–90 are in error for all causes of death combined and for selected causes because NCHS did not receive changes resulting from amended records. An estimate of the effect of these omissions can be derived by comparing NCHS counts of records processed through the VSCP with counts prepared by the State of Alaska as shown in table F. Differences are concentrated among selected causes of death, principally Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions (ICD–9 category numbers 780–799) and external causes. Differences for other categories

in the List of 72 Selected Causes of Death and Human immunodeficiency virus infection did not exceed a total of three deaths.

#### Quality control procedures

Demographic items on the death certificate—As previously indicated, for 1990 the mortality data for these items were obtained from two sources—photocopies of the original certificates furnished by the Virgin Islands and Guam and records on data tape furnished by the 50 States, the District of Columbia, New York City, and Puerto Rico. For the Virgin Islands and Guam, which sent only copies of the original certificates, the demographic items were coded for 100 percent of the death certificates. The demographic coding for 100 percent of the certificates was independently verified.

As part of the quality control procedures for mortality data, each registration area goes through a calibration period, during which it must achieve the specified error tolerance level of 2 percent per item for 3 consecutive months, based on independent verification by NCHS of a 50-percent sample of that area's records. When the area has achieved the required error tolerance level, a sample of 70–80 records per month is used to monitor quality of coding. All areas providing data on computer tapes before 1990 have achieved the specified error tolerance; accordingly, the demographic items on about 70–80 records per area per month were independently verified by NCHS. The estimated average error rate for all demographic items in 1990 was 0.25 percent.

These verification procedures involve controlling for two types of error (coding and entering into the data record tape) at the same time, and the error rates are a combined measure of both types. It may be assumed that the entering errors are randomly distributed across all items on the record, but this assumption cannot be made as readily for coding errors. Although systematic errors in coding infrequent events may escape detection during sample verification, it is probable some of these errors were detected during the initial period when 50 percent of the file was being verified, thus providing an opportunity to retrain the coders.

Medical items on the death certificate—As is true for demographic data, mortality medical data also are subject to quality control procedures to control for errors of both coding and data entry. Each of the 30 registration areas that furnished NCHS with coded medical information in 1990 according to NCHS specifications had to qualify for sample verification first. During an initial calibration period, the area had to demonstrate that its staff could achieve a specified error tolerance level of less than 5 percent for coding all medical items. After the area had achieved the required error tolerance level, a sample of 70–80 records per month was used to monitor quality of medical coding. For the 30 reporting States, the average coding error rate in 1990 was estimated at just over 4 percent.

For the remaining 20 States, the District of Columbia, New York City, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, NCHS coded the medical items for 100 percent of the death records. A 1-percent sample of the records was coded independently for quality control purposes. The estimated average error rate for these areas was about 3 percent. The ACME system for selecting the underlying cause of death through computer application contributes to the quality control of medical items on the death certificate. (See "Automated selection of underlying cause of death.")

The MICAR system automates the coding of multiple causes of death. The quality of the data produced by MICAR is better than the quality of the data produced using manual multiple cause-of-death coding. The version of MICAR used to process 1990 records processed about 85 percent of the mortality records with an average error rate of 0.42 percent on an underlying-cause basis and a rate of 0.74 percent on a multiple-cause basis.

Demographic items on the report of fetal death—For 1990, all data on fetal deaths, except for New York State (excluding New York City), were coded under contract by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coding and entering of information on data tapes were verified on a 100-percent basis because of the relatively small number of records involved.

Other control procedures—After coding and entering on data tape are completed, record counts are balanced against control totals for each shipment of records from a registration area. Editing procedures ensure that records with inconsistent or impossible codes are modified. Inconsistent codes are those, for example, indicating a contradiction between cause of death and age or sex of the decedent. Records so identified during the computer editing process are either corrected by reference to the source record or adjusted by arbitrary code assignment (33). Further, conditions specified on a list of infrequent or rare causes of death are confirmed by the certifier or a State Health Officer. All subsequent operations in tabulating and in preparing tables are verified during the computer processing or by statistical clerks.

# Estimates of errors arising from 50-percent sample for 1972

Death statistics for 1972 in this report (excluding fetaldeath statistics) are based on a 50-percent sample of all deaths occurring in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. A description of the sample design and a table of the percent errors of the estimated numbers of deaths by size of estimate and total deaths in the area are shown in the Technical Appendix from *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1972*, Volume II, Mortality, Part A.

#### Computation of rates and other measures

#### **Population bases**

The population bases from which death rates shown in this report are computed are prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Rates for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 are based on the population enumerated as of April 1 in the censuses for those years. Rates for all other years use the estimated midyear (July 1) population. Death rates for the United States, individual States, and Metropolitan areas are based on the total resident populations of the respective areas. Except as noted, these populations exclude the Armed Forces abroad but include the Armed Forces stationed in each area.

The resident populations of the birth- and death-registration States for 1900–32, and of the United States for 1900–90, and revised populations for 1981–89 are shown in table 7-1. In addition, the population including Armed Forces abroad is shown for the United States. Table G lists the sources for these populations.

## Table G. Source for resident population and population including Armed Forces abroad: Birth- and death-registration States, 1900–32, and United States, 1900–90

Year	Source
1990	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Unpublished data from the 1990 census. 1990 CPH-L-74 and unpublished data consistent with Current Bogulation Reports. Series P-25, No. 1095.
1989	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1057, 1990.
1988	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1045, 1990.
1986–87	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 1022, Mar. 1988.
1985	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Serles P-25, No. 1000, Feb. 1987.
1984	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 985, Apr. 1986.
1983	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 965, Mar. 1985.
1982	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 949, May 1984.
1981	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 929, May 1983.
1980	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1980, Number of Inhabitants, PC80-1A1, United States Summary, 1983.
1971–79	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 917, July 1982.
1970	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1970, Number of Inhabitants, Final Report PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1971.
1961–69	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 519, April 1974.
1960	U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, Number of Inhabitants, PC(1)-A1, United States Summary, 1964.
1951–59	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 310, June 30, 1965.
1940–50	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973.
1930–39	U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 499, May 1973, and National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900–1940, 1947.
1920–29	National Office of Vital Statistics, Vital Statistics Rates in the United States, 1900–1940, 1947.
1917–19	Same as for 193039.
1900–16	Same as for 1920-29.
In the 1980 and 1990 censuses, a substantial number of persons did not specify a racial group that could be classified as any of the white, black, American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut, Asian, or Pacific Islander categories on the census form (34). In 1980, the number of persons of "Other" race was 6,758,319; in 1990, it was 9,804,847. In both censuses, the large majority of these persons were of Hispanic origin (based on response to a separate question on the form), and many wrote in their Hispanic origin, or Hispanic origin type (for example, Mexican and Puerto Rican) as their race. In 1980 and 1990, persons of unspecified race were allocated to one of the four tabulated racial groups (white, black, American Indian, Asian and Pacific Islander) based on their responses to the Hispanic origin question. These four race categories conform with OMB Directive 15 and are more consistent with the race categories in vital statistics.

In 1980, the allocation of unspecified race was determined using cross-tabulations of age, sex, race, type of Hispanic origin, and county of residence. Persons of Hispanic origin and unspecified race were allocated to either white or black based on their Hispanic origin type. Persons of "Other" race and Mexican origin were categorically assumed to be white, while persons in other Hispanic categories were distributed to white and black pro rata within the county-age-sex group. For "Otherrace-not-specified" persons who were not Hispanic, race was allocated to white, black, or Asian and Pacific Islander based on proportions gleaned from sample data. The 20-percent sample (respondents who were enumerated on the longer census form) provided a highly detailed coding of race, which allowed identification of otherwise unidentifiable responses with a specified race category. Thus, allocation proportions were established at the State level and were used to distribute the non-Hispanic persons of "Other" race in the 100-percent tabulations.

In 1990, the race modification procedure was implemented using individual census records. Persons whose race could not be specified were assigned to a racial category using a pool of "race donors" that consisted of persons of specified race who had the identical responses to the Hispanic origin question and who were within the auspices of the same census District Office. As in the 1980 census, it appeared that the underlying assumption made in the 1990 census was that the Hispanic origin response was the major criterion for allocating race. Unlike those responding to the 1980 census who could be assigned only to the racial groups white or black, persons of Hispanic origin, including Mexican, responding to the 1990 census could be assigned to any racial group. Also, in the 1990 census, the non-Hispanic component of "Other" race was allocated primarily on the basis of geography (district office), rather than detailed characteristic.

The means by which respondent's age was determined were fundamentally different for the two censuses; therefore, the problems that necessitated the modification were different. In 1980, respondents reported year of birth and quarter of birth (within year) on the census form. When census results were tabulated, persons born in the first quarter of the year (before April 1) had age equal to 1980 minus year of birth, while persons born in the last three quarters had age equal 1979 minus year of birth.

In 1990, quarter year of birth was not requested on the census form, so direct determination of age from year of birth was not possible. In 1990 census publications, age is based on respondents' direct reports of age at last birthday. This definition proved inadequate for postcensal estimates as it was apparent that many respondents had reported their age at time of either completion of the census form or interview by an enumerator that could occur several months after the April 1 reference data. As a result, age was biased upward. For most respondents, modification was based on a respecification of age, by year of birth, with allocation to first quarter (persons aged 1990 minus year of birth) and last three quarters (aged 1989 minus year of birth) based on a historical series of registered births by month. This process partially restored the 1980 logic for assignment of age. It was not considered necessary to correct for age overstatement and heaping in 1990, because the availability of age and year of birth on the census form had provided the elimination of spurious year-of-birth reports in the census data before modification occurred.

Population for 1990—The population of the United States enumerated by age, race, and sex for 1990 is shown in table 7-2, and the population for each State by broad age groups follows in table 7-3. The figures have been modified as described.

Population estimates for 1981-89—Death rates in this volume for 1981-89 are based on revised populations that are consistent with the 1990 census level (34,35). They are, therefore, not comparable with death rates published in Vital Statistics of the United States, Volume II, Mortality, for 1981-89, and in other NCHS publications for those years. The 1990 census counted approximately 1.5 million fewer persons than had been estimated earlier for April 1, 1990.

Populations for 1980—The population of the United States by age, race, and sex, and the population for each State are shown in tables 7-2 and 7-3 of Vital Statistics of the United States, 1980, Volume II, Mortality. The figures by race have been modified as described.

Population estimates for 1971-79—Death rates in this volume for 1971-79 used revised population estimates that are consistent with the 1980 census levels. The 1980 census enumerated approximately 5.5 million more persons than had been estimated for April 1, 1980 (36). These revised estimates for the United States by age, race, and sex are published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 917. Unpublished revised estimates for States were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. For Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam, revised estimates are published in *Current Population Reports*, Series P-25, Number 919.

Population estimates for 1961-69-Death rates in this volume for 1961-69 are based on revised estimates of the population and thus may differ slightly from rates published before 1976. The rates shown in tables 1-1 and 1-2, the life table values in table 6-5, and the population estimates in table 7-1 for each year during 1961-69 have been revised to reflect modified population bases as published in the U.S. Bureau of the Census, *Current Population Reports*, Series P-5, Number 519. The data shown in table 1-10 for 1961-69 have not been revised.

Rates and ratios based on live births—Infant and maternal mortality rates and fetal death and perinatal mortality ratios are computed on the basis of the number of live births. Fetal death and perinatal mortality rates are computed on the basis of the number of live births and fetal deaths. Counts of live births are published annually in Vital Statistics of the United States, Volume I, Natality.

New Jersey—As previously indicated, data by race are not available for New Jersey for 1962 and 1963. Therefore, for 1962 and 1963 NCHS estimated a population by age, race, and sex that excluded New Jersey for rates shown by race. The methodology used to estimate the revised population excluding New Jersey is discussed in the technical appendixes of the 1962 and 1963 volumes.

#### Net census undercount

Errors can be introduced into the annual rates as a result of underenumeration of deaths and the misreporting of demographic characteristics. Errors in rates can also result from enumeration errors in the latest decennial census. This is because annual population estimates for the postcensal interval, which are used in the denominator for calculating death rates, are computed using the decennial census count as a base (34). Net census undercount results from the miscounting and misreporting of demographic characteristics such as age. Age-specific death rates are affected by the net census undercount and the misreporting of age on the death certificate (37). To the extent that the net undercount is substantial and that it varies among subgroups and geographic areas, it may have important consequences for vital statistics measures.

Because death rates based on a population adjusted for net census undercount may be more accurate than rates based on an unadjusted population, the possible impact of net census undercount on death rates must be considered. This can be done on a national basis using results of studies conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census on the completeness of coverage of the U.S. population (including underenumeration and misstatement of age, race, and sex). Such studies were conducted in the last five decennial censuses-1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990. From this work have come estimates of the national population that were not counted by age, race, and sex (38-41). The reports for 1990 (unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census) include estimates of net underenumeration and overenumeration for age, sex, and racial subgroups of the national population modified for race consistency with previous population counts as described in the section "Population Bases." These studies indicate that, although coverage was improved over previous censuses, there was differential coverage among the population subgroups; that is, some age, race, and sex groups were more completely counted than others.

Because estimates of net census undercount are not available by age, race, and sex for individual States and counties, it is not feasible to adjust for net census undercount when presenting rates in routine tabulations. Nevertheless, it is important to be aware that net census undercounts can affect levels of observed vital rates. Age, race, and sex—If adjustments were made for net census undercount, the size of denominators of the death rates generally would increase and the rates, therefore, would decrease. The adjusted rates for 1990 can be computed by multiplying the reported rates by ratios of the census-level resident population to the resident population adjusted for the estimated net census undercount (table 7-4). A ratio of less than 1.0 indicates a net census undercount and, when applied, results in a corresponding decrease in the death rate. A ratio greater than 1.0—indicates a net census overcount—and when multiplied by the reported rate results in an increase in the death rate.

Coverage ratios for all ages show that, in general, females were more completely enumerated than males and the white population more completely enumerated than the black population in the 1990 Census of Population. Underenumeration varied by age group for the total population, with the greatest differences found for persons aged 85 years and over. All other age groups were overcounted or undercounted by less than 4.0 percent. Among the age-sex-race groups, underenumeration was highest (13.3 percent) for black males aged 25–34 years. In contrast, white females in this age group were underenumerated by 2.5 percent.

If vital statistics measures were calculated with adjustments for net census undercounts for each population subgroup, the resulting rates would be differentially reduced from their original levels; that is, rates for those groups with the greatest estimated undercounts would show the greatest relative reductions due to these adjustments. Similar effects would be evident in the opposite direction for groups with overcounts. Consequently, the ratio of mortality between the rates for males and females and between the rates for the white population and the black population usually would be reduced.

Similarly, the differences between the death rates among subgroups of the population by cause of death would be affected by adjustments for net census undercounts. For example, in 1990 for the age group 35–39 years, the ratio of the unadjusted death rate for Homicide and legal intervention for black males to that for white males is 6.92, whereas the ratio of the death rates adjusted for net census undercount is 7.54. For Ischemic heart disease for males aged 40–44 years, the ratio of the death rate for the black population to that for the white population is 1.12 using the unadjusted rates, but it is 1.22 when adjusted for estimated underenumeration.

Summary measures—The effect of net census undercount on age-adjusted death rates and life table values depends on the underenumeration of each age group and on the distribution of deaths by age. Thus, the age-adjusted death rate in 1990 for All causes would decrease from 520.2 to 512.7 per 100,000 population if the age-specific death rates were corrected for net census undercount (table H). For Diseases of the heart, the age-adjusted death rate for white males would decrease from 202.0 to 198.1 per 100,000 population, a decline of 2.0 percent. For black males, the change from an unadjusted rate of 275.9 to an adjusted rate of 256.7 would amount to a decrease of 7.0 percent. For HIV infection, the rate for black males would decrease from 44.2 to 39.0 and for white males from 15.0 to 14.4.

#### Table H. Age-adjusted death rates for selected causes by race and sex, unadjusted and adjusted for estimated net census undercount: United States, 1990

[Based on age-specific death rates per 100,000 population in specified group. Computed by the direct method, using as the standard population the age distribution of the total population of the United States as enumerated in 1940. See Age-adjusted death rates. Numbers after causes of death are category numbers of the Ninth Revision International Classification of Diseases, 1975. Beginning 1987 includes category numbers "042-"044. See "Cause of death"]

Race, eex, and adjustment for net census undercount	All causes	Human immunodeficiency virus infection (*042–*044)	Malignant neoplasms including neoplasms lymphatic and hematopoietic tissues (140–209)	Diabetes mellitus (250)	Diseases of heart (390-398, 402, 404-424)	Cerebrovascular diseases (430–438)	Homicide and legal intervention (E960-E978)
All Races							
Both Sexes							
Unadjusted	520.2	9.8	135.0	11.7	152.0	27.7	10.2
Adjusted	512.7	9.6	133.3	11.5	149.9	27.3	10.1
Unadjusted	680.2	17.7	166.3	12.3	206.7	30.2	16.3
Adjusted	664.3	17.0	162.4	12.1	<b>202</b> .1	29.6	15.9
Unadjusted	390.6	2.1	112.7	11.1	108.9	25.7	4.2
Adjusted	387.9	2.1	112.6	11.0	107.9	25.4	4.2
White							
Both Sexes							
Unadjusted	492.8	8.0	131.5	10.4	146.9	25.5	5.9
Adjusted	485.9	7.8	129.9	10.2	145.0	25.2	5.7
Male							
Unadjusted	644.3	15.0	160.3	11.3	202.0	27.7	8.9
Adjusted	631.0	14.4	156.9	11.1	198.2	27.3	8.7
Female							
	369.9	1.1	111.2	<del>9</del> .5	103.1	23.8	2.8
Adjusted	367.0	1.0	110.8	9.5	102.2	23.5	2.7
Black							
Both Sexes			•				
	789.2	25.7	182.0	24.8	213.5	48.4	39.5
	<b>76</b> 0.0	23.9	177.0	24.1	<b>2</b> 07.2	46.9	37.4
Male			· · · ·				
	1,061.3	44.2	248.1	23.6	275.9	56.1	68.7
	980.8	39.0	230.9	<b>21.9</b>	256.7	52.3	62.9
	601 0	• •	107.0	<b>05</b> 4	400.4	<i>40</i> <b>7</b>	
Adjusted	561.6	9.9	137.2	25.4	168.1	42.7	13.0
	5/8.4	9.7	138.4	25.7	108.2	42.7	12.7

If death rates by age were adjusted, the corresponding life expectancy at birth computed from these rates would change. When calculating life expectancy, the impact of an undercount or overcount is greatest at the younger ages. In general, the effect of correcting the death rates is to increase the estimate of life expectancy at birth. For example, adjustment for net census undercount would increase life expectancy in 1990 by an estimated 0.2 years, from 75.4 years to 75.6 years for the total U.S. population.

Adjustment for differential underenumeration among racesex groups would lead to greater changes in life expectancy for some groups than for other groups. For males and females, increases would be 0.3 and 0.1 years, respectively; for the black population and white population, 0.6 and 0.2 years, respectively. The largest increase would be for black males, 1.2 years, followed by white males (0.3 years), black females (0.2 years), and white females (0.2 years).

#### Age-adjusted death rates

Age-adjusted death rates shown in this volume are computed using the distribution in 10-year age intervals of the enumerated population of the United States in 1940 as the standard population. Each figure represents the rate that would have existed had the age-specific rates of the particular year prevailed in a population whose age distribution was the same as that of the United States in 1940. The rates for the total population and for each race-sex group were adjusted using the same standard population. It is important not to compare age-adjusted death rates with crude rates. The standard 1940 population, on the basis of one million total population, is as follows:

Aae

All ages	1,000,000
Under 1 year	15,343
1-4 years	64,718
5-14 years	170,355
15-24 years	181,677
25-34 years	162,066
35-44 years	139,237
45-54 years	117,811
55-64 years	80,294
65-74 years	48,426
75-84 years	17,303
85 years and over	2,770

Number

#### Life tables

U.S. abridged life tables are constructed by reference to a standard table (42). Life tables for the decennial period 1979–81 are used as the standard life tables in constructing the 1980–90 abridged life tables. Life table values for 1981–89 appearing in this volume are based on revised intercensal estimates of the populations for those years. Therefore, these life table values may differ from life table values of those years published in previous volumes.

Life tables for the decennial period 1969–71 are used as the standard life tables in constructing the 1970–79 abridged life tables. Life table values for 1970–73 were first revised in *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1977*; before 1977, life table values for 1970–73 were constructed using the 1959–61 decennial life tables. In addition, life table values for 1951–59, 1961–69, and 1971–79 appearing in this volume are based on revised intercensal estimates of the populations for those years. As such, these life table values may differ from life table values for those years published in previous volumes.

There has been an increasing interest in data on the average length of life  $(\mathring{e}_0)$  for single calendar years before the initiation of the annual abridged life table series for selected race-sex groups in 1945. The figures in table 6-5 for the race and sex groups for the following years were estimated to meet these needs (43).

Years	Hace and sex amuns
rouid	30x groups
1900–45	Total
1900–47	Male
1900–47	Female
1900–50	White
1900-44	White, male
1900-44	White, female
1900-50	All other
1900-44	All other, male
1900–44	All other, female

The geographic areas covered in life tables before 1929–31 were limited to the death-registration areas. Life tables for 1900–02 and 1909–11 were constructed using mortality data from the 1900 death-registration States—10 States and the District of Columbia—and for 1919–21 from the 1920 death-registration States—34 States and the District of Columbia. The tables for 1929–31 through 1958 cover the conterminous United States. Decennial life table values for the 3-year period 1959–61 were derived from data that include Alaska and Hawaii for each year (table 6-4). Data for each year shown in table 6-5 include Alaska beginning in 1959 and Hawaii beginning in 1960. It is believed that the inclusion of these two States does not materially affect life table values.

# Random variation in numbers of deaths, death rates, and mortality rates and ratios

Deaths and population-based rates—Except for those reported in 1972, the numbers of deaths reported for a community represent complete counts of such events. As such, they are not subject to sampling error, although they are subject to errors in the registration process. However, when the figures are used for analytical purposes, such as the comparison of rates over a period or for different areas, the number of events that actually occurred may be considered as one of a large series of possible results that could have arisen under the same circumstances (44). The probable range of values may be estimated from the actual figures according to certain statistical assumptions.

In general, distributions of vital events may be assumed to follow the binomial distribution. Estimates of standard error and tests of significance under this assumption are described in most standard statistics texts. When the number of events is large, the standard error, expressed as a percent of the number or rate, is usually small.

When the number of events is small (perhaps less than 100) and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the conditions described by the figures. This is particularly true for infant mortality rates, cause-specific death rates, and death rates for counties. Events of a rare nature may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. For this distribution, a simple approximation may be used to estimate a confidence interval, as follows.

If N is the number of registered deaths in the population and R is the corresponding rate, the chance is 19 in 20 that

1. 
$$N-2\sqrt{N}$$
 and  $N+2\sqrt{N}$ 

covers the "true" number of events.

2. 
$$R-2 \frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$$
 and  $R+2 \frac{R}{\sqrt{N}}$ 

covers the "true" rate.

If the rate  $R_1$  corresponding to  $N_1$  events is compared with the rate  $R_2$  corresponding to  $N_2$  events, the difference between the two rates may be regarded as statistically significant at the 0.05 level of significance, if it exceeds

$$2\sqrt{\frac{R_1^2}{N_1} + \frac{R_2^2}{N_2}}$$

For example, if the observed death rate for a community were 10.0 per 1,000 population and if this rate were based on 20 recorded deaths, the chance is 19 in 20 that the "true" death rate for that community lies between 5.5 and 14.5 per 1,000 population. If the death rate for this community of 10.0 per 1,000 population were being compared with a rate of 15.0 per 1,000 population for a second community, which is based on 25 recorded deaths, the difference between the rates for the two communities is 5.0. This difference is less than twice the standard error of the difference

$$2\sqrt{\frac{(10.0)^2}{20}+\frac{(15.0)^2}{25}}$$

of the two rates, which is computed to be 7.5. From this, it is concluded that the difference between the rates for the two communities is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level of significance. Rates, proportions, and ratios—Beginning in 1989, an asterisk is shown in place of a rate based on fewer than 20 deaths. These rates have a relative standard error of 23 percent or more and therefore are considered highly variable. For age-adjusted death rates, this criterion is applied to the sum of the age-specific deaths.

## References

- 1. Tolson GC, Barnes JM, Gay GA, Kowaleski JL. The 1989 revision of the U.S. standard certificates and reports. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 4(28), 1991.
- 2. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, classification and coding instructions for fetal death records. NCHS instruction manual; part 3b. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, demographic classification and coding instructions for death records, 1990. NCHS instruction manual; part 4. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- McCarthy MA. Comparison of the classification of place of residence on death certificates and matching census records: United States, May-August 1960. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital and Health Stat 2(30). 1969.
- National Vital Statistics Division. Matched record comparison of birth certificate and census information, United States, 1950. Vital statistics—Special reports; vol 47 no 12. Washington: Public Health Service. 1962.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, vital records geographic classification, 1982. NCHS instruction manual; part 8. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1985.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Population of metropolitan areas and component geography: 1990 and 1980 (6-30-90 definitions). Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1991.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Metropolitan statistical areas classification. Federal register; vol 45 no 2. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 956-62. 1980.
- U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Standard metropolitan statistical areas, rev. ed. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 89-90. 1975.
- 10. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Unpublished data from the 1990 census for persons of Spanish origin by State.
- 11. Klebba AJ. Mortality from selected causes by marital status: United States, parts A and B. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 20(8a) and 20(8b). 1970.
- 12. World Health Organization. Manual of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries, and Causes of Death, based on the recommendations of the Ninth Revision Conference, 1975. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1977.
- 13. National Center for Health Statistics. Estimates of selected comparability ratios based on dual coding of 1976 death certificates by the Eighth and Ninth Revisions of the International classification of diseases. Monthly vital statistics report; vol 28 no 11, suppl. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1980.
- Gittelsohn A, Royston PN. Annotated bibliography of cause-ofdeath validation studies, 1958-80. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(89). 1982.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, ICD-9 ACME decision tables for classifying underlying causes of death, 1990. NCHS instruction manual; part 2c. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 16. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, data entry instructions for the Mortality medical indexing, classification, and

retrieval system (MICAR). NCHS instruction manual; part 2g. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.

- 17. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, dictionary of valid terms for the Mortality medical indexing, classification, and retrieval system (MICAR). NCHS instruction manual; part 2h. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 18. National Center for Health Statistics. Unpublished memorandum. Certification of MICAR, October 1992.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Instructions for classifying multiple causes of death, 1990. NCHS instruction manual; part 2b. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 20. National Center for Health Statistics. Nonindexed terms, standard abbreviations, and state geographic codes used in mortality data classification, 1990. NCHS instruction manual; part 2e. Hyatts-ville, Maryland: Public Health Service. Published annually.
- 21. Guralnick L, Winter ED. A note on cohort infant mortality rates. Public Health Rep 80:692-4. 1965.
- 22. Grove RD, Hetzel AM. Vital statistics rates in the United States, 1940-60. Washington: National Center for Health Statistics. 1968.
- McCarthy B, Terry J, Rochat R, et al. The underregistration of neonatal deaths: Georgia 1974-77. Am J Public Health 70:977-82. 1980.
- Linder FE, Grove RD. Vital statistics rates in the United States, 1900–40. Washington: National Office of Vital Statistics. 1947.
- Frost F, Shy KK. Racial differences between linked birth and infant death records in Washington State. Am J Public Health 70:974-6. 1980.
- Prager K, Flinchum GA, Johnson DP. The NCHS pilot project to link birth and infant death records: Stage 1. Public Health Rep 102:216-23. 1987.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Public use data tape documentation. Linked birth/infant death data set: 1983–87 birth cohort. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1989–92.
- 28. National Office of Vital Statistics. International Recommendations on Definitions of Live Birth and Fetal Death. Washington: Public Health Service. 1950.
- National Center for Health Statistics. State definitions and reporting requirements for live births, fetal deaths, and induced terminations of pregnancy. Washington: Public Health Service. 1981.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Model State Vital Statistics Act and Model State Vital Statistics Regulations. Washington: Public Health Service. 1978.
- Greb AE, Pauli RM, Kirby RS. Accuracy of fetal death reports: Comparison with data from an independent stillbirth assessment program. Am J Public Health 77:1202-6. 1987.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Editing specifications for fetal death records. Unpublished manuscript. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1991.
- 33. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital statistics, computer edits for mortality data, effective 1989. NCHS instruction manual; part 11. Hyattsville, Maryland: Public Health Service. 1989.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. U.S. population estimates by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin: 1980–91. Current population reports; series P-25, no 1095. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1992.
- 35. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin information from the 1990 census: A comparison of census results with results where age and race have been modified. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1991.
- 36. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Coverage of the national population in the 1980 census by age, sex, and race. Preliminary estimates by demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 115. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1982.

- Hambright TZ. Comparability of age on the death certificate and matching census records: United States, May-August 1960. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(29). 1968.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of coverage of the population by sex, race, and age-demographic analysis: 1970 census of population and housing. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1974.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Developmental estimates of the coverage of the population of States in the 1970 census demographic analysis. Current population reports; series P-23, no 65. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1977.
- Passel JS, Robinson JG. Revised demographic estimates of the coverage of the population by age, sex, and race in the 1980 Census. Unpublished memorandum, U.S. Bureau of the Census: Washington. 1985.

- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Estimates of population of the United States by age, sex, and race: 1980 to 1985. Current population reports; series P-25, no 985. Washington: U.S. Department of Commerce. 1986.
- 42. Sirken MG. Comparison of two methods of constructing abridged life tables by reference to a "standard" table. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2(4). 1966.
- 43. Greville TNE, Carlson GA. Estimated average length of life in the death-registration States. Vital statistics—Special reports; vol 33 no 9. National Center for Health Statistics. Washington: Public Health Service. 1951.
- 44. Chiang CL. Standard error of the age-adjusted death rate. Vital statistics—Special reports; vol 47 no 9. National Center for Health Statistics. Washington: Public Health Service. 1961.

## SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES

Data not available	
Category not applicable	
Quantity zero	-
Quantity more than 0 but less than 0.05	0.0
Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision (estimate is based on fewer than 20 events in numerator or denominator)	•

#### Table 7-1. Population of Birth- and Death-Registration States, 1900-1932, and United States, 1900-1990

(Population enumerated as of April 1 for 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years)

United States 1			United St	ates '	Birt	h-registration States	Death-registration States		
Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Year	Population including Armed Forces abroad	Population residing in area	Number of States *	Population residing in area	Number of States *	Population residing in area
1990	249,225,000	248,709,873							
1008	247,342,000	246,819,000	1944		132,885,000	! •••	• • •		
1967 :	242,904,000	244,499,000	1043	136,739,000	134,245,000	<b>  •••</b>			•••
1986 -	240 651 000	240,133,000	1941	133,000,000	122 121 000	•••	• • •		
1985 •	238,466,000	237,924,000	1940	131,820,000	131,669.275				
1964 *	236,348,000	235,825.000	1939	131,028,000	130,879,718		• • •		
1983	234,307,000	233,792,000	1938	129,969,000	129,824,939		• • •		
1902	232,188,000	231,664,000	1937	128,961,000	128,824,829	•••			•••
1080	227,061,000	229,400,000	1935	125,151,000 1	125,033,160	1 [			
1979	225.055.000	220,040,000 1	1935	126.485.000	121,230,232		• • •		•••
1070	223,035,000	224,507,000	1834	120,465,000	120,3/3,//3				
1978	222,585,000	222,095,000	1933	125,690,000	125,578,763			···	110 000 000
19//	220,239,000	219,760,000	1932	124,949,000	124,840,471	47	118,903,899	4/	118 148 987
1075	216,035,000	217,563,000	1931	124,149,000	124,039,648	40	117,400,229	14	117 238 278
1074	213,873,000	215,465,000	1930	123,168,000	123,070,741	40	110,044,840	44	115 317 450
1973	211,909,000	211,357,000	1928		120,501,115	44	113,636,160	44	113,636,160
1972	209,896,000	209.284.000	1927		119,038,062	40	104,320.830	42	107,084,532
1971	207,661,000	206,827,000	1926		117,399,225	35	90,400.590	41	103,822,683
1970	204,270,000	203,211,926	1925		115,831,963	33 ,	88,294,564	40	102,031,555
1969	202,677,000	201,385,000	1924		114,113.463	33	87,000,295	39	99,318,096
1968	200.706.000	199.399.000	1923	ł !	111,949,945	30	81,072,123	36	90,780,197
1967	198,712,000	197,457,000	1922		110,054,778	30	79,560,746	37	WZ,7UZ,901
1966	196,560,000	195,576,000	1921		108.541,489	27	70,807,090	. 34	87,814,447
1965	194,303,000	193,526,000	1920		106,466,420	23 '	63,597,307	34	86,079,253
1964	191,889,000	191,141,000	1919	105,063,000	104,512,110	22	61,212.076	33	83,157,982
1963	189,242,000	188,483,000	1918	104,550,000	103,202,801	20	55,153,782	30	79,006,412
1962	186,538,000	185,771,000	1917	103,414,000	103,265,913	20	55.197.952	27	/0,234,//5
1961	183,691,000	182,992,000	1916		101,965,984	11	32,944,013	26	00,971,177
1960	179,933,000	179,323,175	1915		100,549,013	10	31,096,697	24	61,894,847
1959	177,264,000	176,513,000	1914	•	99,117.567	1 1		24	60,963,309
1958	174,141,000	173,320,000	1913	[ {	97,226,814			23	56,150,740
1957	171,274,000	170,371,000	1912		95,331,300	· · · · · ·	1.1.1	22	52,020,644
1956	168,221,000	167,306,000	1911		93,867,814		1. A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	22	47 470 497
1933	105,275,000	164,308,000	1910		92,406,536			20	47,470,407
1954	162,391,000	161,164,000	1909		90,491,525			18	44,223,513
1953	159,565,000	158,242.000	1908		88,708,976			17	38,634,759
1952	156,954,000	155,687,000	1907	1 1	87,000.271	1 1		15	34,552,837
1951	154,287,000	153,310,000	1906		85,436.556	1	4.4.4	15	33,782,208
1950	151,132,000	150,697,361	1905		83,819,666	· · · · ]		10	21,767,960
1949	149,188,000	148,665.000	1904		82,164,974			10	21,332,076
1948	146,631,000	146,093,000	1903	1	80,632,152	1		10	20,943,222
1947	144,126,000	143,446,000	1902		79,160,196			10	20,582,907
1946	141,389,000	140,054,000	1901		77,585,128	1		10	20,237,453
1945	139,928,000	132,481,000	1900		76,094,134			10	19,900,440

Alaska included beginning 1959 and Hawaii, 1960
 The District of Columbia is not included in "Number of States," but it is represented in all data shown for each year
 Populations are revised and, therefore, differ from those published in "Vital Statistics of the United States," Vol. II, Mortality. Part A, for 1969 and earlier years; see text.

SOURCE: Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

Table 7-4.	Ratio of Census-Level Resident	Population to Re	esident Population	Adjusted for	Estimated Net	t Census I	Undercount
		by Age, Sex, and	d Race: April 1, 1	980			

		All races			White			All other					
Age							Total Black						
	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Male Female		Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	
All ages	0.9862	0.9763	0.9958	0.9916	0.9839	0,9990	0.9543	0.9309	0.9765	0.9392	0.9103	0.9669	
Under 5 years	0.9806	0.9800	0.9812	0.9993	0.9988	0.9998	0.9024	0.8998	0.9051	0.9047	0.9018	0.9077	
Under 1 year	1.0025	1.0019	1.0031	1.0246	1.0245	1.0246	.9112	.9057	.9169	.9205	.9149	.9262	
1-4 years	.9747	.9741	.9754	.9926	.9920	.9932	.9000	.8982	.9019	.9004	.8982	.9027	
5-14 years	.9917	.9916	.9919	.9981	.9982	.9980	.9626	.9614	.9638	.9603	.9591	.9623	
5-9 years	.9852	.9846	.9859	.9957	.9955	.9960	.9393	.9370	.9416	.9393	.9370	.9424	
10-14 years	.9978	.9982	.9974	1.0003	1.0008	.9998	.9858	.9858	.9859	.9808	.9807	.9816	
15-24 years	.9921	.9846	.9999	.9940	.9871	1.0011	.9823	.9711	.9937	.9689	.9526	.9850	
15-19 years	1.0011	.9988	1.0034	1.0003	.9976	1.0030	1.0051	1.0052	1.0055	.9980	.9958	1.0001	
20-24 years	.9834	.9706	.9965	.9879	.9769	.9993	.9590	.9354	.9619	.9390	.9076	.9696	
25-34 years	.9793	.9629	.9961	.9850	.9722	.9980	.9466	.9059	.9852	.9181	.8670	.9676	
25-29 years	.9742	.9581	.9908	.9799	.9673	.9929	.9422	.9040	.9786	.9168	.8695	.9628	
30-34 years	.9850	.9683	1.0020	.9905	.9778	1.0036	.9519	.9081	.9931	.9197	.8638	.9735	
35-44 years	.9761	.9575	.9947	.9855	.9719	.9992	.9183	.8665	.9680	.8882	.8235	.9501	
35-39 years	.9776	.9597	.9955	.9860	.9730	.9991	.9248	.8743	.9736	.8968	.8322	.9588	
40-44 years	.9743	.9549	.9937	.9849	.9706	.9992	.9107	.8576	.9614	.8782	.8135	.9401	
45-54 years	.9784	.9589	.9973	.9862	.9723	.9998	.9247	.8648	.9803	.8976	.8272	.9644	
45-49 years	.9734	.9538	.9926	.9828	.9690	.9967	.9124	.8544	.9669	.8833	.8139	.9497	
50-54 years	.9831	.9638	1.0017	.9894	.9755	1.0027	.9377	.8759	.9945	.9125	.8413	.9796	
55-64 years	.9900	.9735	1.0049	.9926	.9783	1.0057	.9678	.9329	.9983	.9514	.9094	.9882	
55-59 years	.9884	.9692	1.0060	.9921	.9755	1.0075	.9577	.9178	.9935	.9388	.8913	.9815	
60-64 years	.9919	.9786	1.0037	.9932	.9815	1.0036	.9804	.9523	1.0041	.9669	.9324	.9962	
65-74 years	1.0092	1.0044	1.0129	1.0055	1.0011	1.0087	1.0439	1.0357	1.0515	1.0372	1.0235	1.0473	
65-69 years	1.0131	1.0051	1.0195	1.0086	1.0016	1.0141	1.0548	1.0391	1.0672	1.0494	1.0290	1.0651	
70-74 years	1.0042	1.0034	1.0047	1.0016	1.0005	1.0021	1.0293	1.0309	1.0309	1.0207	1.0158	1.0243	
75-84 years	.9851	.9937	.9800	.9844	.9918	.9804	.9917	1.0168	.9758	.9689	.9955	.9527	
75-79 years	1.0014	1.0053	.9990	.9974	.9997	.9959	1.0428	1.0601	1.0313	1.0235	1.0405	1.0128	
80-84 years	.9595	.9735	.9522	.9643	.9780	.9578	.9059	.9380	.8873	.8780	.9150	.8572	
85 years and over	.9540	.9792	.9440	.9558	.9760	.9467	.9393	.9961	.9057	.9089	.9638	.8837	

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census: "Current Population Reports," Series P-25, No. 985.

æ....

 Table 7-3. Enumerated Population, by Age, for the United States, Each Division and State, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Guam: April 1, 1990

[Figures include Armed Forces stationed in each area, and exclude Armed Forces stationed outside the United States]

Division and State	Total	Under 5 years	5-19 years	20-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over
United States	248,709,873	18,757,647	52 976 958	99.727.071	46 169 302	31,078,895
Geographic divisions						
New England	13 206 943	838 290	2 562 402	5 467 240	2 477 353	1 761 858
Middle Atlantic	37,602,286	2,648,699	7,399,498	14,916,434	7,451,081	5,186,574
West North Central	42,008,942	3,146,526	9.183,982	16,498,607	7,899,375	5,280,452
South Atlantic	43 566 853	1,327,799	3,887,771	6,768,895	3,230,484	5 801 662
East South Central	15,176,284	1,084,821	3.428.706	5.839.885	2.902.447	1,920,425
West South Central	26,702,793	2,161,937	6,266,467	10,624.056	4,703,741	2,946,592
Pacific	39,127,306	1,130,610	3,185,982	5,432,014	2,393,731 6,790,408	4,220,352
New England						
Maine	1,227,928	87 250	261 032	484 497	232 287	162.862
New Hampshire	1,109,252	85,786	229,047	470,343	199,552	124,524
Massachusetts	562,758	41,979	121,636	231,048	102,208	65,867
Rhode Island	1.003.464	68 493	1,139,000 (	405 355	184 948	149,749
Connecticut	3,287,116	233,433	616,100	1,345,607	648,345	443,631
Middle Atlantic:						
New York	17,990,455	1,292,180	3,554,235	7,274,550	3,529,377	2,340,113
Pennsvivania	7,730,188	545,807	1,480,989	3,124,278	1,554,093	1,025,021
	11,001,043	610,712	2,304,274	4,517,606	2,307,011	1,021,440
East North Central:						
Indiana	10,847,115	796,503	2,355,792	4,203,819	2,088,160	1,402,841
llinois	11,430,602	866,139	2,450,901	4,551,356	2,132,786	1,429,420
Wiscopsin	9,295,297	713,578	2,055,911	3,683,452	1,738.255	1,104,101
	4,691,709	365,625	1,077,027	1,908,866	890,098	650,153
West North Central:						
iowa	4,375,099	341,251	956,839	1,760,484	770,655	545,870 425 666
Missour	5,117,073	374,992	1,101,651	1,946,789	978,133	715,508
North Dakota	638,800	48,510	147,610	241,608	110,133	90,939
Nebraska	1 578 385	55,324	164,579	251,848	122,139	102,114
Kansas	2,477,574	191,072	547,372	954,270	442,883	341,977
South Atlantic:						
Delaware	666.168	49.892	136,429	272.122	127.440	80,285
Maryland	4,781,468	365,079	940,436	2,046,499	915,095	514,359
Virginia	6 187 358	38,457 450,601	103,442	2/5,690	112,22/	661 388
West Virginia	1,793,477	108,490	396,899	653,024	367,234	267,830
North Carolina	6.628.637	469,176	1.376.313	2,702,799	1,280,150	800,199
Georgia	6.478.216	506.342	1.447.826	2,711,709	1.161.797	650.542
Flonda	12,937,926	873,022	2,359,433	4,799,547	2,549,998	2,355,926
East South Central:						
Kentucky	3,685.296	254,595	825.827	1,436,509	703,366	464,999
lehama	4.877,185	340,067	1,042.886	1,920,846	957,241	616,143
Mississippi	2,573,216	289,923	913,127	1,535,670	780,969 460,871	319,385
West South Control						0.00000
Arkansas	2 350 725	169 310	520 774	848 646	455 202	348 783
Louisiana	4,219,973	342,606	1,031,033	1,633,627	746,288	466,419
Oklahoma	3,145,585	230,802	708,960	1,183,653	599,214	422.956
	10,900,510	1,420,210	3,996,700	6,958,130	2,903,036	1,708,434
Mountain:						
lósho	799,065	60,258	184,929	297,675	150.006	106,197
Wyoming	453,588	35,428	114,268	176,291	80,635	46,966
Colorado	3,294,394	256,970	705.465	1,417,964	585,631	328,364
Arizona	1,515,069	129,274	365,631	590,580	267,684	161,900
Utah	1,722,850	172,252	519,240	637.002	244,874	149,482
Nevada	1,201,833	94,484	235.600	502,674	242,462	126,613
Pacific					ĺ	
Washington	4,866,692	374,057	1.031.511	2,010.238	877,972	572,914
California	2,642,321	205,649	6,260 172	1,115,456	5 097 499	369,765
Alaska	550.043	55.977	131.875	257.621	82,475	22,095
	1,108,229	85.448	227,300	470,029	201,725	123,727
Puerto Rico	3,522,037					
Virgin Islands	101,809	i				
	133,152					

SOURCE. Published and unpublished data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census; see text.

Table 7-4.	Ratio of Census-Level Resident Population to Resident Population Adjusted for Estimated Net Census Undercount
	by Age, Sex, and Race: April 1, 1990

		All races			White		Biack		
~ge	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Male	Female	Both sexes	Maie	Female
All ages	0.9815	0.9721	0.9906	0.9802	0.9728	0.9873	0.9432	0.9151	0.9000
Under 5 years	0.9632	0.9634	0.9629	0.9677	0.9685	0.9669	0.9160	0.9139	0.9182
Under 1 year	.9686	.9684	.9689	.9730	.9734	.9725	.9239	.9214	.9264
1-4 years	.9617	.9621	.9613	.9664	.9672	.9654	.9139	.9119	.9159
5-14 years	.9761	.9768	.9753	.9740	.9750	.9730	.9410	.9402	.9418
5-9 years	.9649	.9655	.9642	.9657	.9665	.9649	.9241	.9230	.9252
10-14 years	.9882	.9891	.9873	.9830	.9841	.9818	.9591	.9586	.9595
15-24 years	1.0081	1.0088	1.0073	1.0032	1.0053	1.0010	.9789	.9723	.9055
15-19 years	1.0166	1.0198	1.0133	1.0094	1.0128	1.0059	.9968	1.0016	.9050
20-24 years	1.0002	.9987	1.0017	.9975	.9985	. <b>996</b> 6	.9593	.9432	.9753
25-34 years	.9639	.9463	.9821	.9614	.9480	.9755	.9126	.8666	.9580
	.9591	.9439	.9748	.9558	.9441	.9681	.9123	.8732	.9510
	.9687	.9487	.9892	.9669	.9518	.9828	.9129	.8599	.9651
35-44 years	.9842	.9689	.9996	.9816	.9700	.9935	.9350	.8867	.9810
	.9790	.9628	.9954	.9764	.9643	.9888	.9303	.8808	.9778
	.9901	.9758	1.0044	.9675	.9764	.9988	.9410	.8943	.9850
45-54 years	.9780	.9628	.9929	.9772	.9649	.9894	.9322	.8805	.9790
45-49 years	.9775	.9633	.9916	.9762	.9648	.9877	.9302	.8807	.9762
50-54 years	.9785	.9623	.9944	.9764	.9651	.9914	.9346	.8802	.9844
55-64 years	.9824	.9640	.9995	.9828	. <b>968</b> 4	.9962	.9545	.8875	1.0138
55-59 years	.9794	.9609	.9968	.9801	. <b>965</b> 6	.9941	.9426	.8790	.9009
60-64 years	.9854	.9671	1.0020	.9853	.9712	.9982	.9675	.8969	1.0257
65-74 years	.9960	.9784	1.0101	.9935	.9781	1.0060	1.0211	.9704	1.0596
65-69 years	.9980	.9776	1.0152	.9943	.9762	1.0096	1.0336	.9786	1.0773
70-74 years	.9934	.9795	1.0040	.9926	.9807	1.0017	1.0049	.9589	1.0376
75-64 years	1.0021	1.0046	1.0006	1.0038	1.0066	1.0021	.9971	.9913	1.0004
	1.0082	1.0064	1.0094	1.0077	1.0065	1.0085	1.0258	1.0126	1.0337
	.9927	1.0015	.9881	.9978	1.0068	.9931	.9524	.9547	.9512
85 years and over	.9411	.9592	.9342	.9512	• . <b>969</b> 6	.9444	.8503	.8827	.8373

SOURCE: Unpublished data from the U.S., Bureau of the Census.

# 5-1328 (6/95)