

Intercomparison of Experimental Regional Aerosol Deposition Data

W. STAHLHOFEN,¹ G. RUDOLF,² and A.C. JAMES³

¹*Gesellschaft für Strahlen- und Umweltforschung
Institut für Biophysikalische Strahlenforschung
Paul-Ehrlich-Strasse 20
D-6000 Frankfurt am main, FRG*

²*Institut für Biophysik
Universität Frankfurt am Main
Paul-Ehrlich-Strasse 20
D-6000 Frankfurt am Main, FRG*

³*Pacific Northwest Laboratory
P.O. Box 999
Richland, WA 99352, USA*

ABSTRACT

A critical review is presented of the available experimental data on regional aerosol deposition in man. The data agree well for nasal and extrathoracic deposition. For the fast and slow cleared components of thoracic deposition, however, agreement is less satisfactory. The different experimental techniques are critically evaluated, and possible reasons for the observed discrepancies are discussed. Additionally, a semi-empirical model is presented which takes this evaluation into account, and which enables regional deposition to be calculated as function of particle size and respiratory parameters without lengthy computer models. The proposed equations explain and eliminate some of the intra- and inter-individual scatter of the data, and some of the discrepancies between the data from different laboratories. Where these discrepancies could not be eliminated, an additional 'conservative' model for dose estimations is offered which takes account of the uncertainty inherent to the data. A summary of the model equations is given in the appendix.

NOMENCLATURE

a	coefficient of regional efficiency parameter R
B	efficiency parameter of the fast-cleared region
d/d _{ae}	geometric/aerodynamic particle diameter
D	diffusion parameter
DE	total deposition
DE _R	regional deposition (see 'subscripts')
DE _{T(f)'} , DE _{T(f)''}	see text
E _{ae}	extrathoracic deposition parameter
FRC	functional residual capacity
N _{ae}	nasal deposition parameter
p	pressure drop across the nasal passage

KEY WORDS: empirical model, regional deposition, review

q	power of regional efficiency parameter R
Q	volumetric flow rate
R	regional efficiency parameter
t_A	mean residence time in the alveolated region of aerosol entering this region
t_R	mean residence time in the respective region of aerosol passing this region (see 'subscripts')
V	tidal volume
V_R	aerosol volume coming to rest in the respective region at end-inspiration (see 'subscripts')
V_{B0}	tracheobronchial volume at the onset of inspiration
η	deposition or filtering efficiency
η_R	regional efficiency (see 'subscripts')
$\eta_{T(f)}$	see text
$\phi_R = V_R/V$	fraction of tidal volume coming to rest in the respective region at end-inspiration (see 'subscripts')

subscripts: A	alveolated region
B	tracheobronchial region
E	extrathoracic region
L	larynx
N	nose
T(f)	fast-cleared thoracic region
T(s)	slow-cleared thoracic region
ae	aerodynamic particle size range (inertial and gravitational transport)
th	thermodynamic particle size range (diffusional transport)

INTRODUCTION

The knowledge of aerosol deposition in the human respiratory tract is an important link between air pollution and respiratory toxicology. It is equally indispensable for an optimization of inhalational therapy, and it may even offer new possibilities regarding the diagnostics of respiratory disease. Consequently, regional aerosol deposition in man has been subject to many years of experimental and theoretical investigation. While numerous review papers have summarized the data, and some authors have presented statistical analyses using much of the available data (Yu et al. 1981, Miller et al. 1988), no comprehensive evaluation and representative modelling of the experimental data has been attempted so far. This paper tries to fill this gap. It reviews and compares the available data on regional deposition, tries to evaluate the experimental techniques applied, and explains some of the apparent discrepancies between the data from different laboratories.

Moreover, this paper offers a semi-empirical model of regional deposition which takes this evaluation into account. Contrary to models which calculate deposition by considering the motions of aerosol particles in morphometric models of the respiratory tract, it allows regional deposition to be calculated without lengthy computer programs. The human respiratory tract is treated as a series of four compartments or filters which the aerosol passes through during respiration. According to the experimental data available, these regions are

the nose,
the mouth and larynx (extrathoracic region),
the fast-cleared thoracic region,
the slow-cleared thoracic region.

The deposition, DE_R , in one of these regions denotes the number of particles deposited in this region divided by the number of particles inhaled. The deposition (or filtering) efficiency, η_R , of a region is the number of particles deposited in that region divided by the number of particles entering the region.

The deposition efficiencies of these regions and the depositions within them are given as functions of particle size and of the respiratory parameters (tidal volume, respiratory flow rate). The formulae cover the particle diameter range from 0.5 nm to 15 μm which, according to the predominant particle transport mechanisms, can be divided into the thermodynamic ($d < 0.1 \mu\text{m}$), transitional ($0.1 \mu\text{m} \leq d \leq 1.0 \mu\text{m}$) and aerodynamic size range ($d > 1.0 \mu\text{m}$) (Heyder 1982). They hold for the aerodynamic particle size given in μm , and the other parameters given in cgs. They are based on experimental data as far as such data were available; in some cases, where no such data were available, theoretical model calculations have been used. The model is a modification of an empirical model described previously (Rudolf et al. 1986, 1988) adapted to the experimental data reviewed.

NASAL DEPOSITION

For aerosols with particle diameters above 0.1 μm , a large number of authors have reported experimental studies on nasal deposition (e.g., Landahl & Black 1947, Dennis & Sawyer 1949, Landahl & Tracewell 1949, Pattle 1961, Lippmann 1970, Fry 1970, Hounam et al. 1971, Giacomelli-Maltoni et al. 1972, Märtens & Jacobi 1973, Rudolf & Heyder 1974). However, due to differing experimental techniques or evaluation procedures, not all of the data are intercomparable. Reviews have been given by Mercer (1975), Lippmann (1977), Yu et al. (1981), and Schlesinger (1985). Yu et al. (1981) have classified the data according to the experimental parameters used, and by statistical analysis they evaluated most of the existing data. Since nasal deposition of particles within the aerodynamic size range is due to impaction, reasonable agreement was found when the data were plotted as a function of d_{ae}^2Q . Yu et al. (1981) divided the range of d_{ae}^2Q values into four sections, and within each section approximated the aerodynamic inspiratory nasal deposition efficiency, η_{Nae} , by a linear function of the logarithm of d_{ae}^2Q , the approximation in the very small and large particle size range being $\eta_{Nae} = 0$ and $\eta_{Nae} = 1$, respectively.

It is, however, possible to represent the data by a unique function of d_{ae}^2Q . Figure 1 shows the same data as evaluated by Yu et al., together with the approximation

$$\eta_{Nae} = 1 - (3.0 \cdot 10^{-4} d_{ae}^2Q + 1)^{-1} . \quad (1)$$

Such a unique function obviously fits the data better over the whole range of d_{ae}^2Q values, and the advantage of not having to be concerned with ranges of validity for the formulae is evident.

For nasal inspiration, aerodynamic nasal deposition, DE_{Nae} , is equal to the corresponding nasal efficiency η_{Nae} , because inertial deposition occurs mainly at the front or entrance of the nasal cavity, so that no 'dead space' has to be considered. This is different in the case of extrathoracic deposition during mouth breathing.

It is interesting to note that natural nose breathing (Lippmann 1970, Giacomelli-Maltoni et al. 1972, Märtens & Jacobi 1973, Rudolf 1975) consistently led to somewhat higher values than the artificial inspiration technique used in the first nasal deposition studies (Landahl & Tracewell 1949, Pattle 1961, Hounam et al. 1971), i.e., to pump the aerosol in through the nose and out through the mouth while the subject held his breath. By giving less weight to those data,




FIGURE 1. Inspiratory deposition efficiency of the human nose as function of $d_{ae}^2 Q$. Experimental data, a hyperbolic approximation (solid curve) and a log-linear approximation which is valid for $2.8 \cdot 10^2 \leq d_{ae}^2 Q / \mu m^2 cm^3 s^{-1} \leq 3.8 \cdot 10^4$ (broken line).

this has been accounted for in evaluating Equation 1. Consequently, this function or a log-linear approximation fitted to it (Figure 1) yields somewhat higher values than the approximation given by Yu et al. (1981); it is, however, identical with the approximation published by Heyder & Rudolf (1977), and only slightly larger than the approximation by Pattle (1961) which was later adopted by the ICRP Task Group on Lung Dynamics (1966).

The scatter of the data shown in Figure 1 results at about equal shares from the variability among the different collectives of subjects, from the interindividual scatter among the subjects within the collectives, and from intraindividual scatter. A more detailed analysis of the data (Rudolf 1975) shows that, for constant values of $d_{ae}^2 Q$, the mean efficiencies obtained in the different studies increase with the mean flow rates applied. Correspondingly, in a study based on data of four subjects, a dependence on flow rate stronger than implied by the impaction parameter $d_{ae}^2 Q$ was found for flow rates above $400 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and in one subject even for lower flow rates (Rudolf 1975); also, when η_{Nae} is plotted versus $d_{ae}^2 Q$, a considerable intersubject variability remains (Figure 2).

However, while the intersubject variability and the flowrate-induced intrasubject variability could not be eliminated by plotting η_{Nae} against any function of Q , both could be accounted for when η_{Nae} was plotted against a parameter containing the pressure drop across the nasal passage, p . This parameter has been evaluated to be

$$N_{ae} = d_{ae}^2 p^{2/3} \quad (2)$$




FIGURE 2. Inspiratory efficiency of the nose as function of $d_{ae}^2 Q$. Experimental data of four subjects (Rudolf 1975).

(Rudolf 1975). The result is shown in Figure 3. The aerodynamic inspiratory nasal efficiency is then given by

$$\eta_{Nae} = 1 - (3.5 \cdot 10^{-3} d_{ae}^2 p^{2/3} + 1)^{-1} \quad (3)$$

Hence, it could be concluded that N_{ae} is a more universal parameter than $d_{ae}^2 Q$ to describe aerodynamic inspiratory deposition within the nose. Unfortunately, the nasal pressure drop of the subjects volunteering in the other studies is unknown; therefore it cannot be proved that this parameter would decrease or eliminate the variability among the different groups as well. It should do so if this scatter is due to normal intersubject variability. As mentioned above, the artificial inhalation technique used by Hounam et al. (1971) and the groups before adds to this variability, but the efficiency should still be correlated with p rather than with Q . This is, indeed, what Hounam et al. (1971) found.

More experimental work is necessary in order to determine whether N_{ae} is also capable of taking account of the variability in nasal deposition among different collectives, males and females, adults and children, different racial groups, etc. For the subjects examined, however, inspiratory nasal efficiency can be predicted more reliably by Equation 3 than by Equation 1, although Equation 1 may serve as a useful approximation to predict η_{Nae} when p is not known.

For the thermodynamic particle size range very few experimental data are available. Nasal deposition values derived from the experimental total deposition data of Schiller et al. (1986, 1988) suggest an inspiratory nasal efficiency of about 0.15 and 0.04 for particles of 0.005 μm and 0.01 μm in diameter, respectively. This is within the range of the data obtained in a nasal cast by




FIGURE 3. Inspiratory deposition efficiency of the nose. The same data as shown in Figure 2 are plotted as a function of $N_{ae} = d_{ae}^2 p^{2/3}$ (p is the pressure drop across the nasal passage). Intraindividual as well as interindividual scatter is reduced as compared to Figure 2. A hyperbolic approximation (solid curve) and a log-linear approximation valid for $1.6 \cdot 10^1 \leq d_{ae}^2 p^{2/3} / \mu m^2 Pa^{2/3} \leq 5.9 \cdot 10^3$ (broken line) are shown.

Strong & Swift (1987), while Cheng et al. (1988) obtained distinctly higher values in their cast study. The data have been modelled by James et al. (1987) in terms of the particle diffusion coefficient D ,

$$\eta_{Nth} = 6.5 (Dt_N)^{1/2} = 45 (D/Q)^{1/2} . \quad (4)$$

t_N is the residence time of the inspired particles in the nasal passage (see Appendix). For the entire particle size range, inspiratory nasal efficiency η_N and nasal deposition DE_N are obtained by

$$DE_N = \eta_N = (\eta_{Nth}^2 + \eta_{Nae}^2)^{1/2} . \quad (5)$$

Expiratory nasal efficiency has been evaluated for the aerodynamic domain by Heyder & Rudolf (1977). Due to deposition in the lower respiratory tract, however, expiratory nasal deposition is throughout negligible compared to inspiratory deposition.

In setting $DE_N = \eta_N$, we have also assumed that not only aerodynamic, but also thermodynamic nasal deposition concentrates at the front of the nasal passage which introduces a negligible mistake.

In the following sections, expressions for laryngeal and thoracic deposition fractions are derived assuming oral breathing. These formulae are easily adapted to nasal breathing conditions by multiplying them by $(1-\eta_N)$.

EXTRATHORACIC DEPOSITION AT MOUTH BREATHING

Like thoracic deposition, deposition in the head during mouth breathing is experimentally less easy to measure than nasal deposition. Correspondingly, fewer authors have reported such studies. All the available data have been obtained from experiments in which radioactive labelled particles above $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter were inspired through a tube held in the mouth (Lippmann & Albert 1969, Lippmann 1977, Foord et al. 1978, Chan & Lippmann 1980, Emmett et al. 1982, Stahlhofen et al. 1980, 1981, 1983). Again, due to differing experimental techniques, direct comparison of the data is not always possible. Reviews have been given by Chan & Lippmann (1980), Yu et al. (1981), and Stahlhofen (1984). Chan & Lippmann (1980) and Yu et al. (1981) have attempted to model the data empirically. These approximations are incorporated in Figure 4 where the same extrathoracic deposition (DE_E) data as evaluated by these authors are shown, supplemented by later experimental data (Stahlhofen et al. 1980, 1981, 1983, Emmett et al. 1982). Also, for a tidal volume of 1000 cm^3 , the approximation of DE_E derived in this section is shown.

For the breathing technique used in the reported studies, i.e. breathing through a tube in the mouth, and for $d_{ae}^2 Q$ values up to $10^5 \mu\text{m}^2 \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$, evidence was found that the bulk of aerodynamic extrathoracic deposition during mouth breathing occurs in the larynx, namely on the upper side of the vocal chords (Rudolf 1984, 1985). This is in agreement with the gamma scanning results by Emmett et al. (1982), and is also supported by the data of Stahlhofen




FIGURE 4. Extrathoracic deposition during mouth breathing as function of $d_{ae}^2 Q$. Experimental data, and various approximations proposed in the literature including the one derived in this section (Equation 8) for $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$.

et al. (1984) which show an increased extrathoracic deposition in larynx carcinoma patients.

There is evidence that during natural mouth breathing (without a tube in the mouth) deposition in the mouth is enhanced compared to these results (Dennis 1961, Bowes & Swift 1986). It can, however, be expected that oral deposition at natural breathing is very sensitive to the degree to which the mouth is opened. The mouth opening, on the other hand, depends on the respiratory parameters (flow rate, breathing frequency) which have not been controlled in these studies.

Hence it must be concluded that little is known about deposition in the mouth and oropharynx; and that the data summarized in Figure 4 give an estimate primarily of laryngeal deposition. Then, for variable tidal volumes, a better correlation of the data with d_{ae}^2Q is expected if instead of extrathoracic deposition, DE_E , the extrathoracic efficiency η_E is considered.

Expiratory deposition in the head can be neglected compared to inspiratory deposition. Then, supposing oral deposition to be negligible as compared to laryngeal deposition, the relationship between laryngeal or extrathoracic inspiratory efficiency, η_E , and extrathoracic deposition, DE_E , may be expressed as

$$DE_E = (1 - V_E/V)\eta_E = \phi_T\eta_E \quad (6)$$

where V is the tidal volume, V_E the extrathoracic airway volume which has been determined to be about 50 cm^3 (Rudolf et al. 1983), and ϕ_T the fraction of tidal volume that reaches the thorax. The η_E data obtained this way are shown in Figure 5, together with the approximation for the aerodynamic domain,

$$\eta_{Eae} = 1 - (3.5 \cdot 10^{-8}(d_{ae}^2Q)^{1.7} + 1)^{-1} . \quad (7)$$

Thermodynamic deposition in the extrathoracic region for mouth-breathing is estimated to be negligible for particles above 0.5 nm in diameter. Then, combining equations (6) and (7), an approximation of DE_E is obtained,

$$DE_E = (1 - V_E/V)(1 - (3.5 \cdot 10^{-8}(d_{ae}^2Q)^{1.7} + 1)^{-1}) . \quad (8)$$

This approximation with $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$ ($\phi_T = 0.95$) is included in Figure 4.

The full advantage of considering η_E instead of DE_E is only realized if the data are plotted as a function of a parameter which takes the influence of the respiratory motions of the vocal chords on laryngeal deposition into account. These motions depend on the respiratory parameters (flow rate, tidal volume, breathing frequency). Such a parameter has been found to be (Rudolf et al. 1983)

$$E_{ae} = d_{ae}^2Q^{2/3}V^{-1/4} . \quad (9)$$

When the η_E data are plotted vs. E_{ae} , the scatter among the data obtained with different breathing parameters is markedly reduced, which is seen when the data of Stahlhofen et al. (1980, 1981, 1983) in Figure 6 are compared to those in Figure 5. Obviously the influence of the respiratory parameters upon η_E is accounted for, and only inter-individual scatter remains. Moreover, also the discrepancies among the data from the different laboratories are reduced. In contrast with Figure 5, in Figure 6 the data by Stahlhofen et al. (1980, 1981, 1983) fall completely within the range of the data by Lippmann (1977) and Chan & Lippmann (1980) (indicated by dashed lines).

It must be concluded, therefore, that the intergroup discrepancies which appear when the data are plotted vs. d_{ae}^2Q partly originate in the different breathing parameters applied. The remaining discrepancy and scatter of the data by Stahlhofen et al. (1980, 1981, 1983) is most likely due to intersubject




FIGURE 5. Inspiratory extrathoracic deposition efficiency during mouth breathing as function of $d_{ae}^2 Q$. Same experimental data as shown in Figure 4, together with the hyperbolic approximation (Equation 7). The dashed curves indicate the approximate range of the NYU data.

differences in airway geometry. Because the individual breathing parameters of the experiments by Lippmann (1977) and Chan & Lippmann (1980) were not reported, only the mean parameters could be applied in evaluating E_{ae} and hence, for these data, no reduction of intragroup scatter could be achieved.

According to Figure 6, the inspiratory aerodynamic extrathoracic efficiency is best approximated by

$$\eta_{Eae} = 1 - (1.5 \cdot 10^{-5} (d_{ae}^2 Q^{2/3} v^{-1/4})^{1.7} + 1)^{-1} \quad (10)$$

The corresponding extrathoracic deposition is obtained by Equation 6. Since this deposition occurs mainly in the larynx, an expression of laryngeal deposition during nasal breathing can be obtained by multiplying Equation 6 by $(1 - \eta_N)$ (see Appendix). Equations 6 and 10 have been verified experimentally for particle diameters up to 15 μm .

FAST-CLEARED THORACIC DEPOSITION

Like the extrathoracic deposition data for mouth breathing, all the available thoracic deposition data have been obtained from experiments with radioactive labeled particles above 0.1 μm in diameter. Measuring the amount of activity retained in the thorax as a function of time, generally a fast (half-lives of several hours) and a slow (half-lives of tens to hundreds of days) clearance component can be distinguished which have been identified as mucociliary and macrophage clearance. Since ciliated epithelium has been found exclusively in the




FIGURE 6. Inspiratory extrathoracic deposition efficiency during mouth breathing. Same data as in Figure 5, however plotted as function of $E_{ae} = d_{ae}^2 Q^{2/3} V^{-1/4}$, together with the corresponding approximation (Equation 10). Both intraindividual and interindividual scatter are reduced as compared to Figures 4 and 5.

tracheobronchial tree, it has become usage to consider the rapidly (slowly) cleared fraction of initial activity as a measure of the amount of material deposited in the tracheobronchial (alveolar) region.

However there have been early indications that a significant fraction of the material deposited in the tracheobronchial airways may be retained there for much longer than 24 hrs and be cleared eventually by macrophages (Patrick & Stirling 1977). Later evidence has confirmed these findings (Stahlhofen et al. 1986a, 1986b, Scheuch & Stahlhofen 1988, Smaldone et al. 1988). This may in part be due to the fact that not all of the tracheobronchial airway surface is lined with ciliated epithelium (Hilding 1957) or, that not all of the ciliated epithelium is covered with mucus all the time (Van As 1977, Lumsden et al. 1984). The latter circumstance may also explain the finding that significant numbers of particles have been found trapped in the periciliary fluid underneath the mucus gel-phase (Geiser et al. 1988, 1989), or ingested by macrophages which were buried in or passing through the airway epithelium (Stirling & Patrick 1980). "We have arbitrarily described mucociliary transport and macrophages as two distinct systems"; instead, "it seems likely that the two systems overlap and work cooperatively" (Brain 1988). Instead of arbitrarily interpreting the experimental data as tracheobronchial and alveolar deposition, we have, therefore, used the simple terms 'fast-cleared' and 'slow-cleared thoracic deposition'.

Again, differing experimental techniques, evaluation methods, and modes of presentation make comparison of the data difficult. Several retention studies have focussed on determining the fast- and slow-cleared fractions of material deposited in the thorax only and failed to determine extrathoracic and total deposition




FIGURE 7. Fast-cleared thoracic deposition as function of aerodynamic particle diameter. The solid curve represents the approximate mean of all the experimental data (Equation 13 with 15); the broken curve gives a 'conservative' approximation (mean of the NYU data; Equation 13 with 18) for tissue dose estimations. Both curves are valid for $Q = 500 \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ and $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$.

(Lourenco et al. 1971, Camner & Philipson 1978, Garrard et al. 1981, Svartengren et al. 1984). Their results cannot be expressed in terms of regional deposition as defined in this paper, but only, e.g., as the ratio of fast- to slow-cleared deposition. These values are comparable to those reviewed here and are therefore not considered in detail.

Figure 7 summarizes the available data on fast-cleared thoracic deposition during mouth breathing ($DE_{T(f)}$) as function of the aerodynamic particle diameter. $DE_{T(f)}$ is defined as the fast-cleared fraction of the inhaled aerosol. Lippmann (1977) and Chan & Lippmann (1980) gave their fast-cleared deposition data in terms of what they named 'fraction deposited of aerosol entering the trachea' (see below) which is here termed $\eta_{T(f)}$ and is defined by

$$\eta_{T(f)}' = DE_{T(f)} / (1 - DE_E) . \quad (11)$$

We have converted these data into $DE_{T(f)}$ using their own predicted extrathoracic deposition results (Figure 4). Figure 7 shows that the data of Lippmann (1977) and Chan & Lippmann (1980) (NYU data) and the data of Stahlhofen et al. (1980, 1981, 1983) (FFM data) differ considerably. The latter show consistently lower values than the former. The British data (Foord et al. 1978, Emmett et al. 1982) range between those from the continents.

This general discrepancy is also seen in Figure 8 where mean curves of the same data are shown. (The empirical functions included in Figure 7 are introduced below.)




FIGURE 8. Fast-cleared thoracic deposition as function of aerodynamic particle diameter. Mean curves and approximations representing the experimental data from Figure 7. Included are also $DE_{T(f)'}^1$ and $DE_{T(f)''}$ (see text).

Several reasons may explain this discrepancy, but none of them appears to explain it alone. It seems to be a combined effect due to several causes.

(1) One of the reasons for the discrepancy may be natural biological variability. In fact, the 9-subject study by Stahlhofen et al. (1981) confirmed the 3-subject data (Stahlhofen et al. 1980, 1983) as far as total and slow-cleared deposition are concerned; however, it yielded, on average, lower DE_E , and larger $DE_{T(f)}$ values than the 3-subject study. The intersubject variability being very large, it is possible that part of the discrepancy between the FFM and NYU mean data is also due to biological variability.

It is interesting to note that the difference in mean fast-cleared deposition between the 3- and the 9-subject FFM studies can be completely explained by the difference in extrathoracic deposition between the two groups. We have, therefore, re-calculated the 3 subjects' mean fast-cleared deposition, $DE_{T(f)}(3)$, using the mean extrathoracic efficiency of the larger group, $\eta_E(9)$, obtaining

$$DE_{T(f)'}(3) = DE_{T(f)}(3) (1 - \eta_E(9)) / (1 - \eta_E(3)) \quad (12)$$

As can be seen in Figure 8, $DE_{T(f)'}(3)$ is nearly the same as $DE_{T(f)}(9)$, the 9 subjects' mean fast-cleared deposition. This confirms the representativeness of $DE_{T(f)}(9)$ and makes it appear unlikely that the whole discrepancy between the NYU and FFM data is due to biological variability. This discrepancy is only halved if instead of $DE_{T(f)}(3)$, $DE_{T(f)'}(3) = DE_{T(f)}(9)$ is compared to the NYU data. Even if $DE_{T(f)}(3)$ is raised by the whole difference in DE_E between the two FFM groups, so as to keep total and slow-cleared deposition constant (these data agree between the two FFM groups), resulting in $DE_{T(f)''}(3)$, $DE_{T(f)''}(3)$ would not match the NYU data (Figure 8).

(2) Another, possibly preponderant, portion of the discrepancy may be due to the different breathing patterns applied; i.e., so-called 'academic breathing' at a constant flow rate without pauses between the breaths (Stahlhofen et al. 1980, 1981, 1983, Emmett et al. 1982) vs. 'natural breathing' with only the breathing frequency and an approximate tidal volume preset (Lippmann 1977, Foord et al. 1978, Chan & Lippmann 1980). Studies of deposition in glass tube models of airway bifurcations (Kim et al. 1988) and replicate hollow casts of the upper human bronchial tree (Schlesinger et al. 1982, Gurman et al. 1984a, 1984b, Cohen 1987) were conducted to compare inertial and diffusional deposition under cyclic and constant flow conditions. Depending on particle size, flow rate and generation number, cyclic flow resulted in equal or up to three times higher deposition as compared to constant flow. These data urgently need to be confirmed in vivo.

(3) Another, non-negligible portion of the difference may originate in the different definitions used of fast-cleared deposition. Depending on particle size and breathing parameters, the period after which fast clearance terminates was found to range between 2 hrs (Lippmann & Albert 1969) and 40 hrs (Stahlhofen et al. 1980). Hence, adopting the 22- or 24-hr clearance value as a measure of fast-cleared deposition (Lippmann 1977, Foord et al. 1978, Chan & Lippmann 1980, Emmett et al. 1982) introduces an uncertainty avoided by observing clearance for several days and extrapolating the slow component back to the time of inhalation (Stahlhofen et al. 1980, 1981, 1983).

(4) The measuring procedure is another source of variability. Probably the most critical point is the method by which interference of activity between the different regions is accounted for. Neglecting the activity from the lowest part of the lungs in order to avoid a possible contribution from the stomach (Lippmann 1977) probably underestimates the contribution from the peripheral airways and overestimates that from the central airways. On the other hand, using the stomach measurement only as a control in order to eliminate those experiments that show any stomach burden (Lippmann 1977), does not account for a possible contribution from the esophagus and may also overestimate deposition in the central airways. This is avoided by additionally measuring the activity in the stomach before and after clearing the esophagus by eating, and by taking the time-dependent interference factors into account which could be determined at an accuracy of about 20% (Stahlhofen et al. 1980). Again, different techniques have been applied by the other authors.

(5) Furthermore, it is not known whether in all of the reported studies hygroscopic growth of the inhaled particles has been prevented by properly hydrolysing the colloidal Fe_2O_3 solution. Growth of the particles during inhalation would favour deposition in the tracheobronchial region. Also, possible leakage of activity from the particles must be considered.

It may be seen from this short discussion that it is impossible to assign a single reason for the observed discrepancy, or to determine which ones predominate. More experimental work, or a more detailed intercomparison study taking account of differences in experimental techniques would help to elucidate this point.

The fast-cleared deposition related to aerosol entering the trachea, or deposition efficiency of the fast-cleared region, $\eta_T(f)$, is shown in Figure 9. It is related to $DE_{T(f)}$ by

$$DE_{T(f)} = \phi_T(1-\eta_E)\eta_T(f) = (\phi_T-DE_E)\eta_T(f) \quad (13)$$

Hence, by definition $\eta_T(f)$ and $\eta_{T(f)}$ differ by a factor of $(1-DE_E)/(\phi_T-DE_E)$. In other words, they differ more as DE_E approaches ϕ_T , or as η_E approaches unity. This is shown by one example in Figure 9 (indicated by arrows). The NYU $\eta_{T(f)}$ data shown in Figure 9 have again been obtained using the NYU mean DE_E functions shown in Figure 4.




FIGURE 9. Inspiratory deposition efficiency of the fast-cleared thoracic region (or, fast-cleared deposition related to aerosol entering the trachea) as function of aerodynamic particle diameter. Same experimental data as shown in Figure 7. One example of the difference between $\eta_{T(f)}$ (o) and $\eta_{T(f)}'$ (+) is shown (indicated by arrows). The solid curve represents the approximate mean of the data (Equation 15), the broken curve gives a 'conservative' approximation (mean of the NYU data; Equation 18). Both curves hold for $Q = 500 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$.

Figure 9, like Figures 7 and 8, illustrates the discrepancy between the FFM and NYU data. At present, the most reliable way to model the data consists in merely averaging the data. This is done by the function shown in Figure 9 which holds for $Q = 500 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$ (solid curve). It is a special case of a function which holds for any combination of breathing parameters and is given by

$$\eta_{T(f)} = (\eta_{T(f)th}^2 + \eta_{T(f)ae}^2)^{1/2} \quad (14)$$

with the aerodynamic term

$$\eta_{T(f)ae} = 1 - \exp(-3.4 \cdot 10^{-5} d_{ae}^2 Q - 0.023 d_{ae}^2 t_{T(f)}) . \quad (15)$$

$t_{T(f)}$ is the mean residence time in the fast-cleared thoracic region of aerosol passing this region during inspiration. However, since morphometric data of the fast- and slow-cleared thoracic regions are completely lacking, in this model the volumes of these regions are approximated by the volumes of the tracheobronchial and alveolar regions as given by Weibel (1963), scaled down to an FRC of 3000 cm^3 (see Appendix). Because the coefficients in the $\eta_{T(f)}$ and

$\eta_{T(s)}$ functions were chosen to fit the experimental data, this may introduce only slight artifacts regarding the dependence of these functions on the respiratory parameters. Hence,

$$t_{T(f)} = t_B = 110 \text{ cm}^3 (2 + V/3000 \text{ cm}^3)/2Q . \quad (16)$$

Up to now, no experimental data on thermodynamic deposition in the fast- and slow-cleared thoracic regions are available. Therefore we approximate these data by theoretical data of deposition in the tracheobronchial and alveolar regions (James 1988, Egan & Nixon 1989). This thermodynamic tracheobronchial efficiency can concisely be represented by

$$\eta_{T(f)th} = \eta_{Bth} = 1 - \exp(-50 (Dt_B)^{1/2}) . \quad (17)$$

The artifact introduced by setting $\eta_{T(f)th} = \eta_{Bth}$ and $\eta_{T(s)th} = \eta_{Ath}$ can only be quantitated by future experimental data.

Because of the uncertainty inherent to the experimental $DE_{T(f)}$ data, in order to estimate lung tissue doses a more conservative approach may be desirable. Such a function may be fitted to the NYU data and is given by

$$\eta_{T(f)ae} = 1 - \exp(-4.8 \cdot 10^{-5} d_{ae}^2 Q - 0.032 d_{ae}^2 t_{T(f)}) . \quad (18)$$

This fit is also shown in Figure 9 for $Q = 500 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$ (broken curve).

$DE_{T(f)}$ is obtained from $\eta_{T(f)}$ using Equations 10 and 13. The $DE_{T(f)}$ functions obtained this way are incorporated in Figure 7 for $Q = 500 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$. As in Figure 9, they represent the mean of the available data (solid curve), and a conservative estimation equal to the mean of the NYU data (broken curve).

SLOW-CLEARED THORACIC DEPOSITION

The slow-cleared thoracic deposition data for mouth-breathing, $DE_{T(s)}$, are compiled in Figure 10. These data, from the same studies as evaluated in the previous sections (Figures 4 through 9), show better agreement than is the case for $DE_{T(f)}$. However, some significant discrepancies appear to exist between the data from the different laboratories.

As mentioned before, the 3-subject FFM $DE_{T(s)}$ data agree well with those of the 9-subject study. This suggests that, again, the difference between the FFM and NYU data is not mainly due to intersubject variability. The fact that the difference in $DE_{T(s)}$ is the opposite of that in $DE_{T(f)}$ while the DE_E data are in agreement between the two laboratories, suggests that this difference is caused by the different experimental techniques and evaluation methods discussed in the previous chapter. However, this can only be verified by an experimental intercomparison study.

Slow-cleared thoracic deposition is given by

$$DE_{T(s)} = (V_{T(s)}/V)(1-\eta_E)(1-\eta_{T(f)})\eta_{T(s)} \quad (19)$$

where $V_{T(s)}$ is the aerosol volume coming to rest in the slow-cleared airways at end-inspiration. As mentioned above, due to the lack of appropriate data, $V_{T(s)}$ is approximated by the volume of the alveolar region after Weibel (1963),

$$V_{T(s)} = V_A = 0.963 V - 160 \text{ cm}^3 \quad (20)$$




FIGURE 10. Slow-cleared thoracic deposition as function of aerodynamic particle diameter. Experimental data, together with the approximation (Equations 19-22) for $Q = 500 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$.

(see Appendix). $\eta_{T(s)}$ is given by

$$\eta_{T(s)} = (\eta_{T(s)th}^2 + \eta_{T(s)ae}^2)^{1/2} \quad (21)$$

with

$$\eta_{T(s)ae} = 1 - \exp(-0.25 (d_{ae}^2 t_{T(s)})^{0.6}) \quad (22)$$

and

$$\eta_{T(s)th} = \eta_{Ath} = 1 - \exp(-95 (Dt_A)^{1/2}) \quad (23)$$

As in the case of the efficiency of the fast-cleared region, the aerodynamic term has been fitted to the experimental data, and the thermodynamic term to the model calculations mentioned above.

$$t_{T(s)} = t_A = (V - 160 \text{ cm}^3)/Q \quad (24)$$

is the mean residence time of the aerosol that enters the slow-cleared, or alveolar, region. Figure 10 shows the approximation given by Equations 19 through 24 for $Q = 500 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$.

It is worth mentioning here that both fast and slow cleared thoracic deposition are not monotonic functions of the respiratory parameters but exhibit minima ($DE_{T(f)}$) and maxima ($DE_{T(s)}$) particularly as a function of flow rate. This means that deposition estimates assuming 'mean' breathing parameters do not necessarily result in 'mean' deposition data but may well deliver minimum or maximum values (Rudolf 1985).




FIGURE 11. Total deposition as function of the diameter of unit density spheres, for variable tidal volume and breathing frequency. Experimental data by Heyder et al. (1986) and Schiller et al. (1988). The curves represent the sum of the approximations of the mean regional depositions derived in this paper.

TOTAL DEPOSITION

Due to the fact that total deposition is much easier to determine experimentally than regional deposition, total deposition in the human respiratory tract is well documented today. The wealth of data has already been extensively reviewed (Mercer 1975, Lippmann 1977, Chan & Lippmann 1980, Raabe 1982, Stahlhofen 1984, Schlesinger 1985), and reasonable agreement is found between the data from different laboratories. Therefore only some representative data are shown here. Figure 11 demonstrates the influence of particle size, tidal volume and breathing frequency upon total deposition (data of Heyder et al. 1986, Schiller et al. 1989). In Figure 12, total deposition is shown for two selected breathing patterns typical for quiet breathing ($Q = 250 \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$, $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$) and breathing during physical work ($Q = 750 \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$, $V = 1500 \text{ cm}^3$).

The curves shown in Figures 11 and 12 represent the sums of the mean functions of the regional deposition data presented in this paper, with the extrathoracic efficiency given by Equation 10.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The available experimental data on regional aerosol deposition in the human respiratory tract have been reviewed and the different experimental techniques were discussed in an effort to evaluate the variability observed in the data. In addition, mathematical expressions of regional deposition as a function of particle size and respiratory parameters were derived.

Good agreement is found for the aerodynamic extrathoracic deposition data




FIGURE 12. Total deposition as function of the diameter of unit density spheres, for two distinct breathing patterns representing quiet breathing ($Q = 250 \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$, $V = 1000 \text{ cm}^3$), and breathing under physical work conditions ($Q = 750 \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}$, $V = 1500 \text{ cm}^3$). The curves represent the sum of the approximations of the mean regional depositions derived in this paper.

for both oral and nasal breathing, and for total deposition. The agreement could be improved, and the inter- as well as the intra-individual scatter of the data can be reduced in some cases by plotting the data as a function of particular physiological parameters. Concerning thoracic regional deposition, however, agreement is less satisfactory, and a number of possible reasons for this discrepancy have been suggested. Further investigation of the differences would require an experimental intercomparison study between the different laboratories, with a careful assessment of effects of the different experimental techniques applied. To take account of this uncertainty, in addition to the mean function, a 'conservative' model is proposed for fast-cleared thoracic deposition. Also, more experimental work is necessary in order to verify the proposed regional deposition functions for the thermodynamic particle size range which are largely based on theoretical data.

The data summarized in this paper are confined to healthy adults. Very few experimental data exist on particle deposition in children and in diseased lungs. Both extrathoracic and total deposition appear to be enhanced in children compared to adults (Becquemin et al. 1989, Roy et al. 1988), but the data are by far not sufficient to derive according empirical expressions as a function of age.

The parameters of the mathematical expressions developed in this paper may be modified to account for any other desired approach, such as to represent the minimum or maximum deposition found experimentally, or to develop a statistical model of the data for any region of the respiratory tract. This is of particular interest in determining limits of intake for airborne toxicants and radionuclides and will be the subject of a subsequent paper.

REFERENCES

BECQUEMIN, M.H., ROY, M., BOUCHIKHI, A. and TEILLAC, A. (1989). Deposition of inhaled particles in healthy children. Service Central d'E.F.R., Hopital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris. Personal communication.

BOWES, S.M. and SWIFT, D.L. (1986). Aerosol deposition in the human oral passage during natural oronasal breathing. In: *Aerosols: Formation and Reactivity*, Proc. 2nd Int. Aerosol Conf., Sept. 22-26, Berlin, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 197-199.

BRAIN, J.D. (1988). Lung macrophages: How many kinds are there? What do they do? *Am. Rev. Resp. Dis.* 137, 507-509.

CAMNER, P. and PHILIPSON, K. (1978). Human alveolar deposition of 4 μ m teflon particles. *Arch. Environ. Hlth.* 33, 181-185.

CHAN, T.L. and LIPPMANN, M. (1980). Experimental measurement and empirical modelling of the regional deposition of inhaled particles in humans. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* 41, 399-409.

CHENG, Y.-S., YAMADA, Y., YEH, H.-C. and SWIFT, D.L. (1988). Diffusional deposition of ultrafine aerosols in a human nasal cast. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 19, 741-751.

COHEN, B.S. (1987). Deposition of ultrafine particles in the human tracheobronchial tree. In: Hopke, P.K., ed., *Radon and Its Decay Products*, Am. Chem. Society, Washington, DC, 475-486.

DENNIS, W.L. (1961). Discussion in: Davies, C.N., ed., *Inhaled Particles and Vapors*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 88-90.

DENNIS, W.L. and SAWYER, K.F. (1949). Porton Tech. Paper 95.

EGAN, M.J. and NIXON, W. (1989). On the relationship between experimental data for total deposition and model calculations. Part II: Application to fine particle deposition in the respiratory tract. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 20, 149-156.

EMMETT, P.C., AITKEN, R.J. and HANNAN, W.J. (1982). Measurements of the total and regional deposition of inhaled particles in the human respiratory tract. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 13, 549-560.

FOORD, N., BLACK, A. and WALSH, M. (1978). Regional deposition of 2.5-7.5 μ m diameter inhaled particles in healthy male non-smokers. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 9, 343-357.

FRY, F.A. (1970). Charge distribution on polystyrene aerosols and deposition in the human nose. *Aerosol Sci.* 1, 135-146.

GARRARD, C.S., GERRITY, T.R., SCHREINER, J.F. and YEATES, D.B. (1981). Analysis of aerosol deposition in the healthy human lung. *Arch. Environ. Hlth.* 36, 184-193.

GEISER, M., IM HOF, V., GEHR, P. and CRUZ-ORIVE, L.M. (1988). Histological and stereological analysis of particle deposition in the conducting airways of hamster lungs. *J. Aerosol Med.* 1, 197-198.

GEISER, M., IM HOF, V., GEHR, P. and CRUZ-ORIVE, L.M. (1989). Histological and stereological analysis of particle deposition in the conducting airways of hamster lungs. Submitted for publication in *J. Aerosol Med.*

GIACOMELLI-MALTONI, G., MELANDRI, C., PRODI, V. and TARRONI, G.

- (1972). Deposition efficiency of monodisperse particles in the human respiratory tract. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* 33, 603-610.
- GURMAN, J.L., LIPPMANN, M. and SCHLESINGER, R.B. (1984a). Particle deposition in replicate casts of the human upper tracheobronchial tree under constant and cyclic inspiratory flow: I. Experimental. *Inst. of Environ. Med., New York Univ. Medical Center, New York, NY 10016.* Personal communication.
- GURMAN, J.L., LIOY, P.J., LIPPMANN, M. and SCHLESINGER, R.B. (1984b). Particle deposition in replicate casts of the human upper tracheobronchial tree under constant and cyclic inspiratory flow: II. Empirical model. *Inst. of Environ. Med., New York Univ. Medical Center, New York, NY 10016.* Personal communication.
- HEYDER, J. (1982). Particle transport onto human airway surfaces. *Eur. J. Respir. Dis. Suppl.* 119, Vol. 63, 29-50.
- HEYDER, J. and RUDOLF, G. (1977). Deposition of aerosol particles in the human nose. In: Walton, W.H., ed., *Inhaled Particles IV*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 107-125.
- HEYDER, J., GEBHART, J., RUDOLF, G., SCHILLER, C.F. and STAHLHOFEN, W. (1986). Deposition of particles in the human respiratory tract in the size range 0.005-15 μm . *J. Aerosol Sci.* 17, 811-825.
- HILDING, A.C. (1957). Ciliary streaming in the lower respiratory tract. *Am J. Physiol.* 191, 404-410.
- HOUNAM, R.F., BLACK, A. and WALSH, M. (1971). The deposition of aerosol particles in the nasopharyngeal region of the human respiratory tract. *Aerosol Sci.* 2, 47-61.
- JAMES, A.C. (1988). Lung dosimetry. In: Nazaroff, W.W. and Nero, A.V., eds., *Offprints from Radon and Its Decay Products in Indoor*, Wiley & Sons, N.Y., 259-309.
- JAMES, A.C., BIRCHALL, A. and CROSS, F.T. (1987). Modelling doses to regions of the respiratory tract at risk: The ICRP Task Group's approach. "Models for Scaling to Man", 26th Hanford Life Sciences Symposium, Richland WA, Oct. 20-23. Submitted for publication in *Health Physics*.
- KIM, C.S., GARCIA, L. and WANNER, A. (1988). Deposition of aerosol particles in the bifurcating airway models under cyclic flow conditions. *J. Aerosol Med.* 1, 203-204.
- LANDAHL, H.D. and BLACK, S. (1947). Penetration of air-borne particulates through the human nose. *J. Ind. Hyg. Tox.* 29, 269-277.
- LANDAHL, H.D. and TRACEWELL, T. (1949). Penetration of air-borne particulates through the human nose. II. *J. Ind. Hyg. Tox.* 31, 55-59.
- LIPPMANN, M. (1970). Deposition and clearance of inhaled particles in the human nose. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.* 79, 519-529.
- LIPPMANN, M. (1977). Regional deposition of particles in the human respiratory

tract. In: Lee, D.H.K. et al., ed., Handbook of Physiology - Reaction to Environmental Agents, Am. Physiol. Soc., Bethesda, Md., 213-232.

LIPPMANN, M. and ALBERT, R.E. (1969). The effect of particle size on the regional deposition of inhaled aerosols in the human respiratory tract. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 30, 257-275.

LOURENCO, R.V., KLIMEK, M.F. and BOROWSKI, C.J. (1971). Deposition and clearance of 2 μ m particles in the tracheobronchial tree of normal subjects - Smokers and nonsmokers. J. Clin. Invest. 50, 1411-1420.

LUMSDEN, A.B., McLEAN, A. and LAMB, D. (1984). Goblet and Clara cells of human distal airways: evidence for smoking induced changes in their numbers. Thorax 39, 844-849.

MÄRTENS, A. and JACOBI, W. (1973). Die in-vivo-Bestimmung der Aerosolteilchendeponation im Atemtrakt bei Mund- bzw. Nasenatmung. In: Aerosole in Physik, Medizin und Technik, Proc. Ann. Conf. of the Assoc. for Aerosol Research, Bad Soden, 117-122.

MERCER, T.T. (1975). The deposition model of the Task Group on Lung Dynamics: A comparison with recent experimental data. Health Physics 29, 673-680.

MILLER, F.J., MARTONEN, T.B., MENACHE, M.G., GRAHAM, R.C., SPEKTOR, D.M. and LIPPMANN, M. (1988). Influence of breathing mode and activity level on the regional deposition of inhaled particles and implications for regulatory standards. Ann. Occup. Hyg. 32, Suppl. 1, 3-10.

PATRICK, G. and STIRLING, C. (1977). The retention of particles in large airways of the respiratory tract. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond., B 198, 455.

PATTLE, R.E. (1961). The retention of gases and particles in the human nose. In: Davies, C.N., ed., Inhaled Particles and Vapors, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 302-311.

RAABE, O.G. (1982). Deposition and clearance of inhaled aerosols. In: Witschi, H. and Nettekheim, P., eds., Mechanisms in Respiratory Toxicology, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fl., 27-76.

ROY, M., SWIFT, D.L., BECQUEMIN, M.H., GAHEM, A., BOUCHIKHI, A. and RODRIGUE, D. (1988). Nasal resistances and particle nose deposition in healthy adults and children. J. Aerosol Med. 1, 236.

RUDOLF, G. (1975). Deposition von Aerosolteilchen in der Nase. Diploma thesis, University Frankfurt/Main, FRG.

RUDOLF, G. (1984). A mathematical model for the deposition of aerosol particles in the human respiratory tract. J. Aerosol Sci. 15, 195-199.

RUDOLF, G. (1985). Ein mathematisches Modell der Deposition von Aerosolteilchen im Atemtrakt des Menschen. Ph.D. thesis, University Frankfurt/Main, FRG.

RUDOLF, G. and HEYDER, J. (1974). Deposition of aerosol particles in the

- human nose. "Aerosole in Naturwissenschaft, Medizin und Technik", Proc. Ann. Conf. of the Association for Aerosol Research, Bad Soden.
- RUDOLF, G., GEBHART, J., HEYDER, J., SCHEUCH, G. and STAHLHOFEN, W. (1983). Modelling the deposition of aerosol particles in the human respiratory tract. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 14, 188-192.
- RUDOLF, G., GEBHART, J., HEYDER, J., SCHILLER, C.F. and STAHLHOFEN, W. (1986). An empirical formula describing aerosol deposition in man for any particle size. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 17, 350-355.
- RUDOLF, G., GEBHART, J., HEYDER, J., SCHEUCH, G. and STAHLHOFEN, W. (1988). Mass deposition from inspired polydisperse aerosols. *Ann. Occup. Hyg.* 32, Suppl. 1, 919-938.
- SCHEUCH, G. and STAHLHOFEN, W. (1988). Particle deposition of inhaled aerosol boluses in the upper human airways. *J. Aerosol Medicine* 1, 29-36.
- SCHILLER, C.F., GEBHART, J., HEYDER, J., RUDOLF, G. and STAHLHOFEN, W. (1986). Factors influencing total deposition of ultrafine aerosol particles in the human respiratory tract. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 17, 328-323.
- SCHILLER, C.F., GEBHART, J., HEYDER, J., RUDOLF, G. and STAHLHOFEN, W. (1989). Deposition of monodisperse insoluble aerosol particles in the 0.005 to 0.2 μm size range within the human respiratory tract. Proceedings of the 6th Symposium on Inhaled Particles. *Ann. Occup. Hyg.* 32, Suppl. 1, 41-49.
- SCHLESINGER, R.B. (1985). Comparative deposition of inhaled aerosols in experimental animals and humans: A review. *J. Tox. Environ. Hlth.* 15, 197-214.
- SCHLESINGER, R.B., GURMAN, J.L. and LIPPMANN, M. (1984). Particle deposition within bronchial airways: Comparisons using constant and cyclic inspiratory flows. *Ann. Occup. Hyg.* 26, 47-64.
- SMALDONE, G.C., PERRY, R.J., BENNETT, W.D., MESSINA, M.S., ZWANG, J. and ILOWITE, J. (1988). Interpretation of "24 hour lung retention" in studies of mucociliary clearance. *J. Aerosol Med.* 1, 11-20.
- STAHLHOFEN, W. (1984). Human data on deposition. In: Lung Modelling for Inhalation of Radioactive Materials, Proceedings of a meeting jointly organized by the Commission of the European Communities and the National Radiological Protection Board, Oxford, March 26-28.
- STAHLHOFEN, W., GEBHART, J. and HEYDER, J. (1980). Experimental determination of the regional deposition of aerosol particles in the human respiratory tract. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* 41, 385-398a.
- STAHLHOFEN, W., GEBHART, J. and HEYDER, J. (1981). Biological variability of regional deposition of aerosol particles in the human respiratory tract. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* 42, 348-352.
- STAHLHOFEN, W., GEBHART, J., HEYDER, J. and SCHEUCH, G. (1983). New regional deposition data of the human respiratory tract. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 14, 186-188.
- STAHLHOFEN, W., GEBHART, J., HEYDER, J. and SCHEUCH, G. (1984).

Particle deposition in extrathoracic airways of healthy subjects and of patients with early stages of laryngeal carcinoma. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 15, 215-217.

STAHLHOFEN, W., GEBHART, J., RUDOLF, G. and SCHEUCH, G. (1986a). Measurement of lung clearance with pulses of radioactively labelled particles. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 17, 333-336.

STAHLHOFEN, W., GEBHART, J., RUDOLF, G., SCHEUCH, G. and PHILIPSON, K. (1986b). Clearance from the human airways of particles of different sizes deposited from inhaled aerosol boli. In: *Aerosols: Formation and Reactivity*, Proc. 2nd Int. Aerosol Conf., Sept. 22-26, Berlin, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 192-196.

STIRLING, C. and PATRICK, G. (1980). The localisation of particles retained in the trachea of the rat. *Pathology* 131, 309-320.

STRONG, J.C. and SWIFT, D.L. (1987). Deposition of ultrafine particles in a human nasal cast. In: *Aerosols*, Proc. 1st Conf. of Aerosol Soc., Loughborough, March 31 - April 1.

SVARTENGREN, M., PHILIPSON, K., LINNMAN, L. and CAMNER, P. (1984). Airway resistance and deposition of particles in the lung. *Exper. Lung Res.* 7, 257-269.

TASK GROUP ON LUNG DYNAMICS (1966). Deposition and retention models for internal dosimetry of the human respiratory tract. *Health Physics* 12, 173-207.

VAN AS, A. (1977). Pulmonary airway clearance mechanisms: a reappraisal. *Am. Rev. Resp. Dis.* 115, 721-726.

WEIBEL, E.R. (1963). *Morphometry of the human lung*. Springer, Berlin, FRG.

YU, C.P., DIU, C.K. and SOONG, T.T. (1981). Statistical analysis of aerosol deposition in nose and mouth. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* 42, 726-733.

APPENDIX

(d_{ae} in μm , all other units in cgs)

M o u t h B r e a t h i n g

N o s e B r e a t h i n g

Regional Deposition

$$DE_N = \eta_N$$

$$DE_E = \phi_T \eta_E$$

$$DE_L = \phi_T (1 - \eta_N) \eta_E$$

$$DE_{T(f)} = \phi_T (1 - \eta_E) \eta_{T(f)}$$

$$DE_{T(f)} = \phi_T (1 - \eta_N) (1 - \eta_E) \eta_{T(f)}$$

$$DE_{T(s)} = \phi_A (1 - \eta_E) (1 - \eta_{T(f)}) \eta_{T(s)}$$

$$DE_{T(s)} = \phi_A (1 - \eta_N) (1 - \eta_E) (1 - \eta_{T(f)}) \eta_{T(s)}$$

Total Deposition

$$DE = DE_E + DE_{T(f)} + DE_{T(s)}$$

$$DE = DE_N + DE_L + DE_{T(f)} + DE_{T(s)}$$

Efficiencies

$$\eta_R = (\eta_{Rth}^2 + \eta_{Rae}^2)^{1/2}; \quad \eta_{Nae}, \eta_{Eae}: \quad \eta = 1 - (aR^q + 1)^{-1}$$

$$\eta_{Nth}, \eta_{Eth}: \quad \eta = aR^q$$

$$\eta_{T(f)ae}, \eta_{T(f)th}, \eta_{T(s)ae}, \eta_{T(s)th}: \quad \eta = 1 - \exp(-aR^q)$$

	η_{Nth}	η_{Eth}	$\eta_{T(f)th}$	$\eta_{T(s)th}$	η_{Nae}	η_{Eae}	$\eta_{T(f)ae}$	$\eta_{T(s)ae}$
a	6.5	0	50	95	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^5$	1	0.2
R	$(Dt_N)^{1/2}$	-	$(Dt_B)^{1/2}$	$(Dt_A)^{1/2}$	$d_{ae}^2 Q$	$d_{ae}^2 Q^{2/3} V^{-1/4}$	B	$d_{ae}^2 t_A$
q	1	-	1	1	1	1.7	1	0.6
			mean:		$B = 3.4 \cdot 10^{-5} d_{ae}^2 Q + 0.023 d_{ae}^2 t_B$			
			conservative:		$B' = 4.8 \cdot 10^{-5} d_{ae}^2 Q + 0.032 d_{ae}^2 t_B$			

Volumes and Mean Residence Times

$$V_E = 50 \text{ cm}^3, \quad t_N = V_E/Q, \quad FRC = 3000 \text{ cm}^3, \quad V_{B0} = 110 \text{ cm}^3$$

$$V_B = V_{B0}(1 + V/FRC), \quad t_B = (V_{B0} + V_B)/2Q = V_{B0}(2 + V/FRC)/2Q$$

$$V_A = V - V_E - V_B = 0.963 V - 160 \text{ cm}^3, \quad t_A = (V - V_E - V_{B0})/Q = (V - 160 \text{ cm}^3)/Q$$

$$\phi_T = 1 - V_E/V, \quad \phi_A = V_A/V$$

Article received September 30, 1988

In final form June 9, 1989

Reviewed by:

John Agnew

Dieter Hochrainer

Address reprint requests to:
 Dr. Gerhard Rudolf
 Institut für Biophysik
 Universität Frankfurt am Main
 Paul-Ehrlich-Strasse 20
 D 6000 Frankfurt am Main
 Federal Republic of Germany

This article has been cited by:

1. L. Golshahi, M.L. Noga, W.H. Finlay. 2012. Deposition of inhaled micrometer-sized particles in oropharyngeal airway replicas of children at constant flow rates. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **49**, 21-31. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Der-Jen Hsu, Ming-Hsiu Chuang. 2012. In-Vivo Measurements of Micrometer-Sized Particle Deposition in the Nasal Cavities of Taiwanese Adults. *Aerosol Science and Technology* **46**:6, 631-638. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Chantal Darquenne . 2012. Aerosol Deposition in Health and Disease. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine and Pulmonary Drug Delivery* **25**:3, 140-147. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text HTML](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
4. Dag Linnarsson, James Carpenter, Bice Fubini, Per Gerde, Lars L. Karlsson, David J. Loftus, G. Kim Prisk, Urs Stauffer, Erin M. Tranfield, Wim van Westrenen. 2012. Toxicity of lunar dust. *Planetary and Space Science* . [[CrossRef](#)]
5. L. Golshahi, W. H. Finlay. 2012. An Idealized Child Throat that Mimics Average Pediatric Oropharyngeal Deposition. *Aerosol Science and Technology* **46**:5, i-iv. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. P. Worth Longest, Landon T. Holbrook. 2012. In silico models of aerosol delivery to the respiratory tract — Development and applications. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* **64**:4, 296-311. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Aerosols - Dusts, Fumes and Mists [MAK Value Documentation, 1999] . [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Faserstäube [MAK Value Documentation in German language, 1993] . [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Aerosole - Stäube, Rauche und Nebel [MAK Value Documentation in German language, 1997] . [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Andrew R. Clark . Understanding Penetration Index Measurements and Regional Lung Targeting. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine and Pulmonary Drug Delivery*, ahead of print. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text HTML](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
11. Shinjiro Miyawaki, Merryn H. Tawhai, Eric A. Hoffman, Ching-Long Lin. 2012. Effect of Carrier Gas Properties on Aerosol Distribution in a CT-based Human Airway Numerical Model. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* . [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Andrew R. Martin, Warren H. Finlay. Aerosol Drug Delivery to the Lungs . [[CrossRef](#)]
13. R. Sturm. 2011. Modeling the deposition of bioaerosols with variable size and shape in the human respiratory tract – A review. *Journal of Advanced Research* . [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Alpár Horváth, Imre Balásházy, Árpád Farkas, Zoltán Sárkány, Werner Hofmann, Aladár Czitrovszky, Erik Dobos. 2011. Quantification of airway deposition of intact and fragmented pollens. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* 1-14. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Maria Chiara Piglione, Daniela Fontana, Marco Vanni. 2011. Simulation of particle deposition in human central airways. *European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids* . [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Phoebe A. Stapleton, Valerie C. Minarchick, Michael McCawley, Travis L. Knuckles, Timothy R. Nurkiewicz. 2011. Xenobiotic Particle Exposure and Microvascular Endpoints: A Call to Arms. *Microcirculation* no-no. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. P. Worth Longest, Michael Hindle. 2011. Numerical Model to Characterize the Size Increase of Combination Drug and Hygroscopic Excipient Nanoparticle Aerosols. *Aerosol Science and Technology* **45**:7, 884-899. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Werner Hofmann. 2011. Modelling inhaled particle deposition in the human lung—A review. *Journal of Aerosol Science* . [[CrossRef](#)]

19. A. Dehbi. 2011. Prediction of Extrathoracic Aerosol Deposition using RANS-Random Walk and LES Approaches. *Aerosol Science and Technology* **45**:5, 555-569. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Geng Tian, Philip Worth Longest, Guoguang Su, Michael Hindle. 2011. Characterization of Respiratory Drug Delivery with Enhanced Condensational Growth using an Individual Path Model of the Entire Tracheobronchial Airways. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* **39**:3, 1136-1153. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Jinxiang Xi, Xiuhua Si, Jong Won Kim, Ariel Berlinski. 2011. Simulation of airflow and aerosol deposition in the nasal cavity of a 5-year-old child. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **42**:3, 156-173. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Peter R. Byron , Michael Hindle , Carlos F. Lange , P. Worth Longest , Donald McRobbie , Michael J. Oldham , Bo Olsson , Charles G. Thiel , Herbert Wachtel , Warren H. Finlay . 2010. In Vivo–In Vitro Correlations: Predicting Pulmonary Drug Deposition from Pharmaceutical Aerosols. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine and Pulmonary Drug Delivery* **23**:S2, S-59-S-69. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text HTML](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
23. Preshit Dandekar , Chandra Venkataraman , Anurag Mehra . 2010. Pulmonary Targeting of Nanoparticle Drug Matrices. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine and Pulmonary Drug Delivery* **23**:6, 343-353. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text HTML](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
24. MD. Anwarul Hasan, Carlos F. Lange, Malcolm L. King. 2010. Effect of artificial mucus properties on the characteristics of airborne bioaerosol droplets generated during simulated coughing. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics* **165**:21-22, 1431-1441. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Michael Hindle, P. Worth Longest. 2010. Evaluation of Enhanced Condensational Growth (ECG) for Controlled Respiratory Drug Delivery in a Mouth-Throat and Upper Tracheobronchial Model. *Pharmaceutical Research* **27**:9, 1800-1811. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Khe V. Dinh , Dan J. Myers , Peter D. Noymer , James V. Cassella . 2010. In Vitro Aerosol Deposition in the Oropharyngeal Region for Staccato® Loxapine. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine and Pulmonary Drug Delivery* **23**:4, 253-260. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text HTML](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
27. P. Worth Longest, Michael Hindle. 2010. CFD simulations of enhanced condensational growth (ECG) applied to respiratory drug delivery with comparisons to in vitro data. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **41**:8, 805-820. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Ahmed Fadl, Jinbo Wang, Zongqin Zhang. 2010. Metered-dose inhaler efficiency enhancement: A case study and novel design. *Inhalation Toxicology* **22**:7, 601-609. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Yuan Liu, Edgar A. Matida, Matthew R. Johnson. 2010. Experimental measurements and computational modeling of aerosol deposition in the Carleton-Civic standardized human nasal cavity. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **41**:6, 569-586. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. P. Worth Longest, James T. McLeskey, Michael Hindle. 2010. Characterization of Nanoaerosol Size Change During Enhanced Condensational Growth. *Aerosol Science and Technology* **44**:6, 473-483. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Miriam A. ByrneChapter 4 Contamination of Humans: In the Respiratory Tract and on Body Surfaces **15**, 77-105. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Z WANG, P HOPKE, G AHMADI, Y CHENG, P BARON. 2008. Fibrous particle deposition in human nasal passage: The influence of particle length, flow rate, and geometry of nasal airway. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **39**:12, 1040-1054. [[CrossRef](#)]

33. C KLEINSTREUER, Z ZHANG, Z LI. 2008. Modeling airflow and particle transport/deposition in pulmonary airways#. *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology* **163**:1-3, 128-138. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Jinxiang Xi, P. Worth Longest. 2008. Evaluation of a Drift Flux Model for Simulating Submicrometer Aerosol Dynamics in Human Upper Tracheobronchial Airways. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* **36**:10, 1714-1734. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. C. Kleinstreuer, Z. Zhang, J.F. Donohue. 2008. Targeted Drug-Aerosol Delivery in the Human Respiratory System. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering* **10**:1, 195-220. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. C. G. Ball, M. Uddin, A. Pollard. 2008. Mean Flow Structures Inside the Human Upper Airway. *Flow, Turbulence and Combustion* **81**:1-2, 155-188. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. P LONGEST, M HINDLE, S DASCHOU DHURI, J XI. 2008. Comparison of ambient and spray aerosol deposition in a standard induction port and more realistic mouth–throat geometry. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **39**:7, 572-591. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Warren H. Finlay , Andrew R. Martin . 2008. Recent Advances in Predictive Understanding of Respiratory Tract Deposition. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine and Pulmonary Drug Delivery* **21**:2, 189-206. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
39. Warren H. Finlay, Andrew R. Martin. 2008. Recent Advances in Predictive Understanding of Respiratory Tract Deposition. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine*, ahead of print080225123426385-18. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. P. Worth Longest, Michael J. Oldham. 2008. Numerical and experimental deposition of fine respiratory aerosols: Development of a two-phase drift flux model with near-wall velocity corrections. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **39**:1, 48-70. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. A FADL, J WANG, Z ZHANG, Y SUNGCHENG. 2007. Effects of MDI spray angle on aerosol penetration efficiency through an oral airway cast. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **38**:8, 853-864. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Matthew S. Coates, Hak-Kim Chan, David F. Fletcher, Herbert Chiou. 2007. Influence of Mouthpiece Geometry on the Aerosol Delivery Performance of a Dry Powder Inhaler. *Pharmaceutical Research* **24**:8, 1450-1456. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. W.H. Finlay , A.R. Martin . 2007. Modeling of Aerosol Deposition with Interface Devices. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **20**:s1, S19-S28. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
44. D ACERBI, G BRAMBILLA, I KOTTAKIS. 2007. Advances in asthma and COPD management: Delivering CFC-free inhaled therapy using Modulite® technology. *Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* **20**:3, 290-303. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. S.T. Jayaraju, M. Brouns, S. Verbanck, C. Lacor. 2007. Fluid flow and particle deposition analysis in a realistic extrathoracic airway model using unstructured grids. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **38**:5, 494-508. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Jinxiang Xi, P. Worth Longest. 2007. Transport and Deposition of Micro-Aerosols in Realistic and Simplified Models of the Oral Airway. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* **35**:4, 560-581. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. A MARTIN, W FINLAY. 2007. A general, algebraic equation for predicting total respiratory tract deposition of micrometer-sized aerosol particles in humans. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **38**:2, 246-253. [[CrossRef](#)]

48. P. Worth Longest, Samir Vinchurkar. 2007. Validating CFD predictions of respiratory aerosol deposition: Effects of upstream transition and turbulence. *Journal of Biomechanics* **40**:2, 305-316. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. P LONGEST, J XI. 2007. Computational investigation of particle inertia effects on submicron aerosol deposition in the respiratory tract. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **38**:1, 111-130. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. M. Breuer, H.T. Baytekin, E.A. Matida. 2006. Prediction of aerosol deposition in bends using LES and an efficient Lagrangian tracking method. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **37**:11, 1407-1428. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. B GRGIC, A MARTIN, W FINLAY. 2006. The effect of unsteady flow rate increase on in vitro mouth–throat deposition of inhaled boluses. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **37**:10, 1222-1233. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. John S. Fleming , Ben P. Epps , Joy H. Conway , Ted B. Martonen . 2006. Comparison of SPECT Aerosol Deposition Data with a Human Respiratory Tract Model. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **19**:3, 268-278. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
53. John S. Fleming , Matthew Quint , Livia Bolt , Ted B. Martonen , Joy H. Conway . 2006. Comparison of SPECT Aerosol Deposition Data with Twenty-Four-Hour Clearance Measurements. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **19**:3, 261-267. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
54. Dr. Z.L. Wang , B. Grgic , W.H. Finlay . 2006. A Dry Powder Inhaler with Reduced Mouth–Throat Deposition. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **19**:2, 168-174. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
55. Yu Zhang, Tze Chia, Warren Finlay. 2006. Experimental Measurement and Numerical Study of Particle Deposition in Highly Idealized Mouth-Throat Models. *Aerosol Science & Technology* **40**:5, 361-372. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Jennifer Fiegel, Robert Clarke, David A. Edwards. 2006. Airborne infectious disease and the suppression of pulmonary bioaerosols. *Drug Discovery Today* **11**:1-2, 51-57. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Matthew S. Coates, Hak-Kim Chan, David F. Fletcher, Judy A. Raper. 2005. Influence of Air Flow on the Performance of a Dry Powder Inhaler Using Computational and Experimental Analyses. *Pharmaceutical Research* **22**:9, 1445-1453. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. L MORAWSKA, W HOFMANN, J HITCHINSLOVEDAY, C SWANSON, K MENGERSEN. 2005. Experimental study of the deposition of combustion aerosols in the human respiratory tract. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **36**:8, 939-957. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. William D Bennett. 2005. Controlled inhalation of aerosolised therapeutics. *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery* **2**:4, 763-767. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Eva Bondesson , Thomas Bengtsson , Lars Borgström , Lars-Erik Nilsson , Kristina Norrgren , Bo Olsson , Mårten Svensson , Per Wollmer . 2005. Dose Delivery Late in the Breath Can Increase Dry Powder Aerosol Penetration into the Lungs. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **18**:1, 23-33. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
61. Z. Zhang, C. Kleinstreuer, J.F. Donohue, C.S. Kim. 2005. Comparison of micro- and nano-size particle depositions in a human upper airway model. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **36**:2, 211-233. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. P. Worth Longest, Clement Kleinstreuer. 2005. Computational Models for Simulating Multicomponent Aerosol Evaporation in the Upper Respiratory Airways. *Aerosol Science and Technology* **39**:2, 124-138. [[CrossRef](#)]

63. James H. Vincent. 2005. Health-related aerosol measurement: a review of existing sampling criteria and proposals for new ones. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* **7**:11, 1037. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. P LONGEST, C KLEINSTREUER. 2004. Interacting effects of uniform flow, plane shear, and near-wall proximity on the heat and mass transfer of respiratory aerosols. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer* **47**:22, 4745-4759. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. A.F Heenan, W.H Finlay, B Grgic, A Pollard, P.K.P Burnell. 2004. An investigation of the relationship between the flow field and regional deposition in realistic extra-thoracic airways. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **35**:8, 1013-1023. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. B Grgic, W.H Finlay, P.K.P Burnell, A.F Heenan. 2004. In vitro intersubject and intrasubject deposition measurements in realistic mouth–throat geometries. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **35**:8, 1025-1040. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Y ZHANG, W FINLAY, E MATIDA. 2004. Particle deposition measurements and numerical simulation in a highly idealized mouth–throat. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **35**:7, 789-803. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. E MATIDA, W FINLAY, M RIMKUS, B GRGIC, C LANGE. 2004. A new add-on spacer design concept for dry-powder inhalers. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **35**:7, 823-833. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. W DEHAAN, W FINLAY. 2004. Predicting extrathoracic deposition from dry powder inhalers. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **35**:3, 309-331. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. E MATIDA, W FINLAY, C LANGE, B GRGIC. 2004. Improved numerical simulation of aerosol deposition in an idealized mouth–throat. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **35**:1, 1-19. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. B GRGIC, W FINLAY, A HEENAN. 2004. Regional aerosol deposition and flow measurements in an idealized mouth and throat. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **35**:1, 21-32. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. JaeHark Goo, Chong S. Kim. 2003. Theoretical analysis of particle deposition in human lungs considering stochastic variations of airway morphology. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **34**:5, 585-602. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. T Martonen. 2003. Risk assessment dosimetry model for inhaled particulate matter: I. Human subjects. *Toxicology Letters* **138**:1-2, 119-132. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. T MARTONEN, Z ZHANG, G YUE, C MUSANTE. 2002. 3-D Particle transport within the human upper respiratory tract. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **33**:8, 1095-1110. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. S HAUSERMANN, A BAILEY, M BAILEY, G ETHERINGTON, M YOUNGMAN. 2002. The influence of breathing patterns on particle deposition in a nasal replicate cast. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **33**:6, 923-933. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. W HOFMANN, B ASGHARIAN, R WINKLERHEIL. 2002. Modeling intersubject variability of particle deposition in human lungs. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **33**:2, 219-235. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Renate Winkler-Heil, Werner Hofmann. 2002. Comparison of modeling concepts for radon progeny lung dosimetry. *International Congress Series* **1225**, 169-177. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Imre Salma, Imre Balásházy, Renate Winkler-Heil, Werner Hofmann, Gyula Záray. 2002. Effect of particle mass size distribution on the deposition of aerosols in the human respiratory system. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **33**:1, 119-132. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. James S. Brown, Kirby L. Zeman, William D. Bennett. 2001. Regional Deposition of Coarse Particles and Ventilation Distribution in Healthy Subjects and Patients with Cystic Fibrosis.

Journal of Aerosol Medicine **14**:4, 443-454. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]

80. Robert F Phalen, Michael J Oldham. 2001. Methods for modeling particle deposition as a function of age. *Respiration Physiology* **128**:1, 119-130. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Werner Hofmann , Lidia Morawska , Ralph Bergmann . 2001. Environmental Tobacco Smoke Deposition in the Human Respiratory Tract: Differences between Experimental and Theoretical Approaches. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **14**:3, 317-326. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
82. Wesley H. DeHaan , Warren H. Finlay . 2001. In Vitro Monodisperse Aerosol Deposition in a Mouth and Throat with Six Different Inhalation Devices. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **14**:3, 361-367. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
83. Marc Durand , Philippe Rusch , Denise Granjon , Gilles Chantrel , Jean Michel Prades , Francis Dubois , Dominique Esteve , Jean-Francois Pouget , Christian Martin . 2001. Preliminary Study of the Deposition of Aerosol in the Maxillary Sinuses Using a Plastinated Model. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **14**:1, 83-93. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
84. JOHN S. FLEMING, VERONIQUE SAURET, JOY H. CONWAY, STEPHEN T. HOLGATE, ADRIAN G. BAILEY, TED B. MARTONEN. 2000. Evaluation of the Accuracy and Precision of Lung Aerosol Deposition Measurements from Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography Using Simulation. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **13**:3, 187-198. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
85. W.H. FINLAY, C.F. LANGE, W.-I. LI, M. HOSKINSON. 2000. Validating Deposition Models in Disease: What Is Needed?. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **13**:4, 381-385. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
86. Holger Schulz. 1998. Mechanisms and factors affecting intrapulmonary particle deposition: implications for efficient inhalation therapies Holger Schulz. *Pharmaceutical Science & Technology Today* **1**:8, 326-344. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. C.G. THIEL. 1998. Can In Vitro Particle Size Measurements Be Used to Predict Pulmonary Deposition of Aerosol from Inhalers?. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **11**:s1, S-43-S-52. [[Citation](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
88. A.R. CLARK, S.P. NEWMAN, N. DASOVICH. 1998. Mouth and Oropharyngeal Deposition of Pharmaceutical Aerosols. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **11**:s1, S-116-S-121. [[Citation](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
89. JANA KESAVANATHAN, REBECCA BASCOM, DAVID L. SWIFT. 1998. The Effect of Nasal Passage Characteristics on Particle Deposition. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **11**:1, 27-39. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
90. A HASHISH, J FLEMING, J CONWAY, P HALSON, E MOORE, T WILLIAMS, A BAILEY, M NASSIM, S HOLGATE. 1998. Lung deposition of particles by airway generation in healthy subjects: Three-dimensional radionuclide imaging and numerical model prediction. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **29**:1-2, 205-215. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. M. G. Ménache, L. M. Hanna, E. A. Gross, S. -R. Lou, S. J. Zinreich, D. A. Leopold, A. M. Jarabek, F. j. Miller. 1997. UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT SURFACE AREAS AND VOLUMES OF LABORATORY ANIMALS AND HUMANS: CONSIDERATIONS FOR DOSIMETRY MODELS. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A* **50**:5, 475-506. [[CrossRef](#)]

92. Eubomir Legáth, Antonin Nauš. 1997. Breathing volume and deposition in the respiratory tract. *Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology* **49**:1-2, 71-74. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. C. Roth, J. Gebhart, G. Just-Nübling, B. Eisenhart-Rothe, I. Beinhauer-Reeb. 1996. Characterization of amphotericin B aerosols for inhalation treatment of pulmonary aspergillosis. *Infection* **24**:5, 354-360. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Anthony J. Hickey, Ted B. Martonen, Yadong Yang. 1996. Theoretical relationship of lung deposition to the fine particle fraction of inhalation aerosols. *Pharmaceutica Acta Helveticae* **71**:3, 185-190. [[CrossRef](#)]
95. Christa Roth, Josef Gebhart. 1996. Aqueous Droplet Sizing by Inertial Classification. *Particle & Particle Systems Characterization* **13**:3, 192-195. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. J.S. FLEMING, A.H. HASHISH, J.H. CONWAY, M.A. NASSIM, S.T. HOLGATE, P. HALSON, E. MOORE, A.G. BAILEY, T.B. MARTONEN. 1996. Assessment of Deposition of Inhaled Aerosol in the Respiratory Tract of Man Using Three-Dimensional Multimodality Imaging and Mathematical Modeling. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **9**:3, 317-327. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
97. WERNER HOFMANN. 1996. Modeling Techniques for Inhaled Particle Deposition: The State of the Art. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **9**:3, 369-388. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
98. W. Hofmann, G. Mainelis, A. Mohamed, I. Balásházy, J. Vaupotic, I. Kobal. 1996. Comparison of different modeling approaches in current lung dosimetry models. *Environment International* **22**, 965-976. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. W. Hofmann, L. Koblinger, A. Mohamed. 1996. Incorporation of biological variability into lung dosimetry by stochastic modeling techniques. *Environment International* **22**, 995-1003. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. W FINLAY, K STAPLETON. 1995. The effect on regional lung deposition of coupled heat and mass transfer between hygroscopic droplets and their surrounding phase. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **26**:4, 655-670. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. T. Reponen. 1995. Aerodynamic Diameters and Respiratory Deposition Estimates of Viable Fungal Particles in Mold Problem Dwellings. *Aerosol Science and Technology* **22**:1, 11-23. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. D. L. Johnson, T. B. Martonen. 1994. PREDICTED AND OBSERVED BEHAVIOR OF PLATELET AEROSOLS. *Particulate Science and Technology* **12**:2, 149-159. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. D. L. Johnson, T. B. Martonen. 1994. BEHAVIOR OF INHALED FIBERS: POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS TO MEDICINAL AEROSOLS. *Particulate Science and Technology* **12**:2, 161-173. [[CrossRef](#)]
104. T. B. Martonen, Y. Yang, Z. Q. Xue, Z. Zhang. 1994. MOTION OF AIR WITHIN THE HUMAN TRACHEOBRONCHIAL TREE. *Particulate Science and Technology* **12**:2, 175-188. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. PAULA J. ANDERSON, MYRNA B. DOLOVICH. 1994. Aerosols as Diagnostic Tools. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **7**:1, 77-88. [[Citation](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
106. T.B. MARTONEN, I. KATZ. 1993. Deposition Patterns of Polydisperse Aerosols Within Human Lungs. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **6**:4, 251-274. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]

107. T MARTONEN, Z ZHANG, Y YANG. 1992. Interspecies modeling of inhaled particle deposition patterns. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **23**:4, 389-406. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Werner Hofmann, Laszlo Koblinger. 1992. Monte Carlo modeling of aerosol deposition in human lungs. Part III: Comparison with experimental data. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **23**:1, 51-63. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. MAGNUS SVARTENGREN, MARTIN ANDERSON, GUNNAR BYLIN, KLAS PHILIPSON, PER CAMNER. 1991. Mouth and Throat Deposition of 3.6 μm Radiolabelled Particles in Asthmatics. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **4**:4, 313-321. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
110. J.E. AGNEW. 1991. Characterizing Lung Aerosol Penetration. *Journal of Aerosol Medicine* **4**:3, 237-249. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text PDF](#)] [[Full Text PDF with Links](#)]
111. S SODERHOLM, D ANDERSON, M UTELL, G FERRON. 1991. Method of measuring the total deposition efficiency of volatile aerosols in humans. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **22**:7, 917-926. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. T. B. Martonen, M. K. O'rourke. 1991. Deposition patterns of ragweed pollen in the human respiratory tract. *Grana* **30**:1, 82-86. [[CrossRef](#)]
113. G RUDOLF, R KOBRICH, W STAHLHOFEN. 1990. Modelling and algebraic formulation of regional aerosol deposition in man. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **21**, S403-S406. [[CrossRef](#)]
114. Werner Hofmann, László Koblinger. 1990. Monte Carlo modeling of aerosol deposition in human lungs. Part II: Deposition fractions and their sensitivity to parameter variations. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **21**:5, 675-688. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. László Koblinger, Werner Hofmann. 1990. Monte Carlo modeling of aerosol deposition in human lungs. Part I: Simulation of particle transport in a stochastic lung structure. *Journal of Aerosol Science* **21**:5, 661-674. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. T. B. Martonen. 1990. DEVELOPMENT OF SURROGATE LUNG SYSTEMS WITH CONTROLLED THERMODYNAMIC ENVIRONMENTS TO STUDY HYGROSCOPIC PARTICLES: AIR POLLUTANTS AND PHARMACOLOGIC DRUGS. *Particulate Science and Technology* **8**:1, 1-20. [[CrossRef](#)]