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It Started Long Ago…

1949
- First chemistry Survey conducted
- CAP cooperates in AMA blood bank survey

1951
- First standard solutions offered

1958
- First bacteriology Survey conducted
- CAP sends brochure on cytology to all US physicians
History Timeline

1961
- Ad Hoc Committee on Laboratory Accreditation submits report recommending establishment of accreditation program

1962
- CAP Inspection and Accreditation Program established

1965
- First laboratory accreditation checklist compiled
History Timeline

1967
- Proficiency testing participation becomes a requirement for accreditation program
- Clinical Laboratories Improvement Act of 1967 passed
- JCAH adopts CAP laboratory accreditation standards

1969
- Inspection & Accreditation Program declared equivalent to CLIA-67 standards by HCFA
History Timeline

1973
- Laboratory accreditation cycle shortened from three years to two years

1978
- JCAH grants deeming authority for CAP Inspection & Accreditation Program

1979
- Inspection & Accreditation Program renamed Laboratory Accreditation Program (LAP)
History Timeline

1984
- First hormone receptor assay Survey offered

1986
- Joint inspection of blood banks initiated by CAP and American Association of Blood Banks

1988
- Clinical Laboratories Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA-88) enacted
1988 con’t

– CAP disseminates public information to women on importance of routine Pap smears in response to *Wall Street Journal* “Pap mill” expose and strengthens accreditation program for cytology

– CAP, AMA, AAFP, and ASIM establish the Commission on Office Laboratory Accreditation (COLA) for physician office laboratories

– Accreditation program first offered for forensic urine drug testing (FUDT)
History Timeline

1990
- Performance Improvement Program in Cervicovaginal Pathology (PAP) inaugurated

1992
- Reproductive biology accreditation program (RLAP) implemented

1994
- CAP receives deeming authority for LAP under CLIA-88
Recent History

- Complaints poster & whistle-blower policy
- Conflict of interest policies strengthened
- Inspector assignment done in-house
- Mandatory inspector training
- Independence of accreditation decision
- Unannounced inspections
- Participation in CMS Partners’ group
Future Improvements

2008

– CAP announces pilot implementation for ISO 15189 program

Medical Laboratory Accreditation to the ISO 15189: 2007 Standard
CLIA-88

Landmark Legislation

• Recognized that quality laboratory practice was important to the health and welfare of the population
• Not “interstate only” as was CLIA-67
• Looked at all aspects of laboratory testing
  – Pre analytic
  – Analytic
  – Post analytic
• Resulted in improvements of quality lab practice
• Philosophies of both CAP and federal oversight became “in sync”
Structure of CAP LAP

• Scientific Resource Committees (US experts in all laboratory disciplines)
  – Ponder new technologies
  – Suggest relevant requirements to keep Program on cutting edge
  – Review requirements for accuracy, relevancy, and quality lab practice

• Commission on Laboratory Accreditation
  – Regional representation of pathologists who operate laboratories and know rules, regulations, and necessity for requirements

• Expert committees
  – Create checklists
  – Monitor proficiency testing
  – Handle complaints
  – Develop inspection education
  – Improve inspection process
  – Apply consistent accreditation decisions

• Oversight Council
  – Authority to aid with strategic planning, mission, and program direction
CAP Implementation of CLIA 88

• Discipline-specific checklists are the blueprint of quality practices for laboratories to follow, constantly evolve to reflect changes in technology

• Exceed CLIA requirements
  • Anatomic Pathology (Autopsy & Histology Processing)
  • Cytogenetics c.1976
  • Reproductive Biology c.1993
  • Molecular Pathology c.1993
  • Biochemical Genetics c.2009
More CAP Implementation

• Utilizes practicing lab professionals (true peers) in all disciplines
• Utilizes cadre of staff inspectors who perform inspections and assist peer inspectors to aid in uniformity and quality assessment
• Requires proficiency testing (PT) for regulated and unregulated analytes
• CAP Surveys offers PT for routine and esoteric tests
Not Just Talking Quality …

• Outcome Indicators of Quality
  – Proficiency Testing
  – Inspection Results
Proficiency Testing

All labs that participate in the LAP required proficiency testing perform better over time.

Figure 2: Percentage of Labs with Proficiency Testing Failures from 1999 through 2003, by Survey Organization

- Percentage of COLA-inspected labs with proficiency testing failures
- Percentage of state-inspected labs with proficiency testing failures
- Percentage of JCAHO-inspected labs with proficiency testing failures
- Percentage of CAP-inspected labs with proficiency testing failures

Source: GAO analysis of CMS proficiency testing data.

Note: Data include labs affiliated with each organization during each year.

CMS changed grading criteria
Proficiency Testing

All labs that participate in CAP required proficiency testing perform better over time.
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Decrease in Deficiencies Post Initial Accreditation

Percentage of Phase II Deficiencies
2002-2007 Inspections

- > 3%
- > 2 - 3%
- > 1 - 2%
- 0 - 1%

Initial Inspections
Subsequent Inspections
Decrease in Recurring Deficiencies
CAP Areas of Collaboration with Other Agencies

- Commission on Cancer (CoC)
  - Accepted CAP Cancer Protocols
- American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)
  - HER2 requirements & other predictive markers
- United Network of Organ Sharing (UNOS)
  - Collaboration for organ donation programs
- American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM)
  - Co-sponsors reproductive accreditation program
- Liaisons with specialty societies for PT (ACMG, AACC, ASHI)
- The Joint Commission
- AABB
- Select State Agencies
- Other CMS Partners
Future Opportunities for Quality in Laboratories

• Reevaluate and reconsider advisability of increasing numbers of waived test approvals

• Include esoteric testing such as cytogenetics and molecular in current CLIA-88 framework
Not Just Talking Quality, But Investing in Quality