

PREVENTING CHRONIC DISEASE PUBLIC HEALTH RESEARCH, PRACTICE, AND POLICY

SPECIAL TOPIC

Volume 10 — January 31, 2013

Health-Plan and Employer-Based Wellness Programs to Reduce Diabetes Risk: The Kaiser Permanente Northern California NEXT-D Study

Julie A. Schmittdiel, PhD; Susan D. Brown, PhD; Romain Neugebauer, PhD; Sara R. Adams, MPH; Alyce S. Adams, PhD; Deanne Wiley, BA; Assiamira Ferrara, MD, PhD

Suggested citation for this article: Schmittdiel JA, Brown SD, Neugebauer R, Adams SR, Adams AS, Wiley D, et al. Health-Plan and Employer-Based Wellness Programs to Reduce Diabetes Risk: The Kaiser Permanente Northern California NEXT-D Study. Prev Chronic Dis 2013;10:120146. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5888/pcd10.120146 2.

PEER REVIEWED

Abstract

Primary prevention of diabetes is increasingly recognized by both health plans and employers as an important strategy to improve the health of insured populations. As a part of the Natural Experiments in Translation for Diabetes (NEXT-D) network, the Kaiser Permanente Northern California (KPNC) Division of Research is assessing the effectiveness of 2 health plan-initiated programs to prevent the onset of diabetes in patients at high risk. The first study evaluates a telephonic health-coaching program that provides counseling on healthful eating, active living, and weight loss to KPNC members. The second evaluation examines a postpartum glucose screening and educational diabetes prevention program for women with gestational diabetes mellitus that KPNC implemented in 2006. Identifying effective approaches to preventing diabetes will be of value to health care systems, policy makers, and public health officials seeking to understand the roles systems and employers can play in preventing chronic illness.

Introduction

Population approaches to improving health care and outcomes for patients with diabetes have been widely implemented by health plans and integrated delivery systems (1–4). Diabetes prevention is also increasingly emphasized by employers, who are major purchasers of health care insurance. Most companies with 50 or more employees offer worksite wellness programs (5), and nearly half of companies with more than 750 employees offer health risk assessment and screening (6). As awareness of diabetes risk grows in the employer/purchaser community, health plans and delivery systems are also adopting an active role in health promotion (7,8), with most offering primary prevention services such as health education and lifestyle programs (9–11). Efforts by health plans and employers to identify populations at high risk for developing diabetes and to focus prevention efforts on these populations are a promising strategy for reducing the incidence of the disease.

Health coaching to encourage healthy lifestyle choices (12–15) is a population-based approach to wellness and diabetes prevention that is being explored by health plans and purchasers. Health coaching, often via telephone, uses nonphysician health care providers to give patients the support, information, and skills required to improve self-efficacy and healthy behaviors. Health coaching may improve physical health status and healthy lifestyle behaviors (12–15) and may particularly benefit patients with prediabetes (16), who can benefit from coaching on lifestyle issues critical to diabetes prevention (17).

Women who have had 1 or more pregnancies marked by gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) are another key group of health plan enrollees at heightened risk for diabetes (18). As the incidence of GDM rises, health plans are more often implementing guidelines to improve management of GDM during pregnancy and to encourage postpartum glucose screening for early identification and treatment (18,19) of those women who develop diabetes as well as promoting prevention strategies for those with prediabetes (20). As with other types of programs designed to decrease the risk of

diabetes in high-risk patients, the effectiveness of these resource-intensive programs when broadly implemented in real-world clinical populations remains a question of interest to health plans, purchasers, and other stakeholders.

Kaiser Permanente Northern California (KPNC) is an integrated medical delivery system covering an enrolled population of 3.3 million members. KPNC provides numerous wellness and prevention programs to members, many of which encourage participation through partnerships with purchasers. The Natural Experiments in Translation for Diabetes (NEXT-D) study provides a unique opportunity for KPNC researchers and operations leaders, partnering together using participatory research principles (21), to evaluate the effect of health-plan—based diabetes prevention programs on populations at high risk for developing the disease. We outline our plans to use quasi-experimental methods to evaluate 2 such programs focused on diabetes prevention: a Wellness Coaching program and a postpartum glucose screening and diabetes prevention program for women with GDM.

Wellness Coaching Program Evaluation

KPNC's Regional Health Education Department launched a telephonic Wellness Coaching Center in January 2010 targeted at members interested in healthy behaviors and employers who want to encourage healthy lifestyles among their employees. Wellness Coaching is designed to help members set and reach goals in 5 key areas (healthful eating, physical activity, weight management, smoking cessation, and stress management) to reduce health risk and increase health-related quality of life. Coaches are trained in motivational interviewing (12), a patient-centered behavioral health approach that assists patients in addressing self-management and multiple health risks and behaviors. This approach to health coaching has been effective in improving patient physical and mental health status (12). A typical coaching engagement consists of 1 initial session (30 minutes) and up to 3 short (5 to 15 minutes) follow-up contacts. Wellness Coaching is available to all KPNC members, and the program recruits participants through mechanisms that include partnerships with employers and direct risk assessment and outreach.

Our evaluation of the Wellness Coaching program has 3 phases. Phases 1 and 2 focus on the 1,427 patients who participated in Wellness Coaching from January 1 through August 23, 2011. Patients who participated in coaching during this period were predominantly female (80%) and had an average body mass index (BMI) of 33.1 kg/m². Approximately one-half of these patients (47%) were white.

Phase 1 consists of a patient survey designed to examine patient-centered experiences with the program and predictors of self-perceived coaching success. This cross-sectional survey, which was designed by the research team using validated metrics, focuses on 4 domains: patient satisfaction, reasons for using the wellness coaching program, self-reported changes in healthy behaviors, and patient engagement in their health care. Phase 2 of the evaluation will use an interrupted time series with concurrent control groups (22) to assess the effect of Wellness Coaching on levels of BMI, systolic blood pressure, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) levels. If phases 1 and 2 suggest that Wellness Coaching has a positive effect on outcomes, phase 3 will use a randomized trial to compare the effectiveness of 3 outreach methods (letters, interactive voice—response telephone messages, and secure e-mail) on increasing rates of Wellness Coaching participation among approximately 30,000 patients with impaired fasting glucose (IFG).

Gestational Diabetes Program Evaluation

Within KPNC, the Regional Perinatal Service Center offers supplemental care via telephone counseling for women with pregnancies at high risk for adverse outcomes (such as preterm birth), including pregnancies complicated by GDM. Referral to the centers has been associated with decreased risk of macrosomia (excessive birth weight) and increased postpartum screening for diabetes (23). Beginning in 2006, the center has used a step-wise approach to ensure that all patients have a glucose test (standard oral glucose tolerance test [OGTT]) and appropriate educational and referral follow-up if postpartum glucose levels are elevated (diagnostic for IFG, impaired glucose tolerance, or diabetes). These increases in screening and the shift to the more sensitive OGTT should lead to greater detection of prediabetes and earlier detection of type 2 diabetes. We will evaluate whether the incidence of diabetes is decreasing because of these earlier detection and prevention efforts and because of treatment efforts launched after detection. We will compare the cohort of women with GDM who gave birth during 2001 through 2006 with the cohort who gave birth during 2006 through 2010 for subsequent diabetes incidence. For each cohort, follow-up begins at childbirth and continues until the diagnosis of diabetes, to the end of the study period (5 years follow-up for each person), or censoring because of leaving the health plan.

Real-World Opportunity for Natural Experiments

Health systems, employers, and health plan purchasers recognize the urgency of determining whether their population -oriented infrastructure can be adapted to address primary prevention of chronic conditions such as diabetes. Given the large numbers of people at increased risk for these conditions, efficient approaches are needed to identify and support patients and providers in effecting lifestyle changes. Evaluating these approaches will be useful to policy makers dealing with questions of benefit designs and to public health officials seeking to understand the roles that

health systems and employers can play in preventing chronic disease. Health care policy initiatives emphasizing the patient-centered medical home and accountable care organizations (24,25) are being promoted as ways to enhance the integration of US health care delivery. Systems with high levels of integration such as KPNC offer real-world opportunities for natural experiments to assess the effect of health-plan and employer-based prevention and wellness programs on population health within this context.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) (no. U58 DP002721). Drs Schmittdiel, Ferrara, and A.S. Adams were supported by the Health Delivery Systems Center for Diabetes Translational Research (NIDDK grant no. 1P30-DK092924).

Author Information

Corresponding Author: Julie A. Schmittdiel, PhD, Kaiser Permanente Northern California Division of Research, 2000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612. Telephone: 510-891-3872. E-mail: Julie.A.Schmittdiel@kp.org.

Author Affiliations: Susan D. Brown, Romain Neugebauer, Sara R. Adams, Alyce S. Adams, Deanne Wiley, Assiamira Ferrara, Kaiser Permanente Northern California Division of Research, Oakland, California.

References

- 1. The TRIAD Study Group. Health systems, patients factors and quality of care for diabetes: a synthesis of findings from the TRIAD study. Diabetes Care 2010;33(4):940−7. CrossRef ☑ PubMed ☑
- 2. Schmittdiel JA, Uratsu CS, Fireman BH, Selby JV. The effectiveness of diabetes care management in managed care. Am J Manag Care 2009;15(5):295−301. PubMed ☑
- 3. Glasgow RE, Wagner EH, Kaplan RM, Vinicor F, Smith L, Norman J. If diabetes is a public health problem, why not treat it as one? A population-based approach to chronic illness. Ann Behav Med 1999;21(2):159–70. CrossRef PubMed
- 4. Aubert RE, Herman WH, Waters J, Moore W, Sutton D, Peterson BL, et al. Nurse case management to improve glycemic control in diabetic patients in a health maintenance organization. A randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1998;129(8):605−12. PubMed ☑
- 5. Aldana SG, Merrill RM, Price K, Hardy A, Hager R. Financial impact of a comprehensive multisite workplace health promotion program. Prev Med 2005;40(2):131–7. CrossRef PubMed
- 6. Linnan L, Bowling M, Childress J, Lindsay G, Blakey C, Pronk S, et al. Results of the 2004 National Worksite Health Promotion Survey. Am J Public Health 2008;98(8):1503–9. CrossRef & PubMed &
- 7. Kottke TE, Jordan CO, O'Connor PJ, Pronk NP, Carreón R. Readiness of US health plans to manage cardiometabolic risk. Prev Chronic Dis 2009;6(3):A86. PubMed
- 8. Draper DA, Tynan A, Christianson JB. Health and wellness: the shift from managing illness to promoting health. Issue Brief Cent Stud Health Syst Change 2008;(121):1–4. PubMed
- 9. Sternfeld B, Block C, Quesenberry CP Jr, Block TJ, Husson G, Norris JC, et al. Improving diet and physical activity with ALIVE: a worksite randomized trial. Am J Prev Med 2009;36(6):475–83. CrossRef PubMed
- 10. Martinson BC, Crain AL, Sherwood NE, Hayes M, Pronk NP, O'Connor PJ. Maintaining physical activity among older adults: six-month outcomes of the Keep Active Minnesota randomized controlled trial. Prev Med 2008;46 (2):111−9. CrossRef PubMed 12008;46
- 11. Baker AM, Elston Lafata JE, Ward RE, Whitehouse F, Divine G. A Web-based Diabetes Care Management Support System. Jt Comm J Qual Improv 2001;27(4):179–90. PubMed
- 12. Butterworth S, Linden A, McClay W, Leo MC. Effect of motivational interviewing-based health coaching on employees' physical and mental health status. J Occup Health Psychol 2006;11(4):358–65. CrossRef PubMed
- 13. Holland SK, Greenberg J, Tidwell L, Malone J, Mullan J, Newcomer R. Community-based health coaching, exercise, and health service utilization. J Aging Health 2005;17(6):697–716. CrossRef PubMed PubMed
- 14. Bennett H, Laird K, Margolius D, Ngo V, Thom DH, Bodenheimer T. The effectiveness of health coaching, home blood pressure monitoring, and home-titration in controlling hypertension among low-income patients: protocol for a randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health 2009;9:456. CrossRef PubMed

- 15. Huffman MH. Health coaching: a fresh, new approach to improve quality outcomes and compliance for patients with chronic conditions. Home Healthc Nurse 2009;27(8):490−6. CrossRef PubMed PubMed
- 16. Biuso TJ, Butterworth S, Linden A. A conceptual framework for targeting prediabetes with lifestyle, clinical, and behavioral management interventions. Dis Manag 2007;10(1):6–15. CrossRef PubMed
- 17. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group; Knowler WC, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Christophi CA, Hoffman HJ, Brenneman AT, et al. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. Lancet 2009;374(9702):1677–86. CrossRef PubMed
- 18. Ferrara A. Increasing prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus: a public health perspective. Diabetes Care 2007; (30 Suppl 2):S141−6. CrossRef PubMed PubMed
- 19. Ferrara A, Peng T, Kim C. Trends in postpartum diabetes screening and subsequent diabetes and impaired fasting glucose among women with histories of gestational diabetes mellitus: a report from the Translating Research Into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD) Study. Diabetes Care 2009;32(2):269–74. CrossRef PubMed
- 20. Ferrara A, Hedderson MM, Albright CL, Ehrlich SF, Quesenberry CP, Peng T, et al. A pregnancy and postpartum lifestyle intervention in women with gestational diabetes mellitus reduces diabetes risk factors: a feasibility randomized control trial. Diabetes Care 2011;34(7):1519–25. CrossRef PubMed
- 21. Schmittdiel JA, Grumbach K, Selby JV. System-based participatory research in health care: an approach for sustainable translational research and quality improvement. Ann Fam Med 2010;8(3):256–9. CrossRef PubMed
- 22. Shadish WR, Cook TD, Campbell DT. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference. Boston (MA): Houghton-Mifflin; 2002.
- 23. Ferrara A, Hedderson MM, Ching J, Kim C, Peng T, Crites YM. Referral to telephonic nurse management improves outcomes in women with gestational diabetes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012;206(6):491.e1–5. CrossRef PubMed
- 24. Fisher ES, McClellan MB, Bertko J, Lieberman SM, Lee JJ, Lewis JL, et al. Fostering accountable health care: moving forward in Medicare. Health Aff (Millwood) 2009;28(2):w219–31. CrossRef PubMed
- 25. Rittenhouse DR, Shortell SM, Fisher ES. Primary care and accountable care two essential elements of delivery-system reform. N Engl J Med 2009;361(24):2301−3. CrossRef PubMed PubMed

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the authors' affiliated institutions.

The RIS file format is a text file containing bibliographic citations. These files are best suited for import into bibliographic management applications such as EndNote 日, Reference Manager 日, and ProCite 日. A free trial download is available at each application's web site.

For Questions About This Article Contact pcdeditor@cdc.gov

Page last reviewed: January 31, 2013 Page last updated: January 31, 2013

Content source: National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1600 Clifton Rd. Atlanta, GA 30333, USA 800-CDC-INFO (800-232-4636) TTY: (888) 232-6348 - Contact CDC-INFO